1 2876 --2 Α Okay. 3 -- some of its applicability. Sure. 4 Α You reviewed House Bill 2876? 5 0 Α Yes, I did. 6 7 And you know where it's codified in the 8 statutes. Is that correct? 9 Α Yes. 10 Do you recall in House Bill 2876 there was 11 actually an uncodified section, there was a Section 15 12 that talked about the applicability of the section? 13 I don't remember exactly. Do you recall that even though the law went 14 15 into effect September 1, 2005 it actually only applied to applications filed after January 1, 2006? 16 That's correct. 17 Α 1.8 You do remember that? 19 I vaguely remember something like that in 20 there, yes. 2.1 Q Okay. And now if we look at what was --22 what's already been admitted as DLM No. 8, I 23 believe --MS. WRIGHT: 9. 24 25 (BY MR. RODRIGUEZ) 9, excuse me; DLM-9,

1 excuse me. 2 Α Oh, okay. In Applicant's Exhibit No. 2. 3 4 Α Okay. 5 You would agree with me that whether it was 6 either filed or accepted for filing that those dates 7 both preceded the applicability of House Bill 2876? 8 Α Yes. 9 Okav. And also you would agree with me that 10 the rules that implement House Bill 2876 did not 11 actually get approved until January 6, 2007, I 12 believe. Is that right? I'm sorry 2006; January 5, 2006? 13 1 4 That's correct. Α 15 Q Okay. 16 That was -- there was a lot going on that 17 agenda day, I remember. 18 So regardless of when House Bill 2876 came into law, it only affected applications that were 19 20 filed after January 1, 2006? 21 Α Yes. 22 And you mentioned during questioning 23 from Lindsay Pure Water that one of the things in 24 House Bill 2876 that have since been changed -- and I

Is it something about cities beyond

25

wrote it down.

the ETJ?

A Uh-huh.

Q And you mentioned it has since gone away. Can you explain that for me, please?

A Yes, in 2876, when the legislation was passed, there was a requirement for landowners outside the city's ETJ. If the city was actually -- if the city was applying for a CCN that covered area outside its ETJ, they had to have individual landowner consent from those landowners to be included in the CCN. And since then, actually August 22, 2008, just recently, the new rules -- the latest rules, not the statute, but the TCEQ rules were adopted, and they no longer have that in there.

Q Okay. Senate Bill 3 in the 2007 legislative session removed the requirement of -- well, allows a city to extend its CCN beyond its extraterritorial jurisdiction. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. It's no longer prohibited?

A That's correct. It was Senate Bill 3 of the 80th legislative session.

Q Now, there were some questions from Lindsay

Pure Water with respect to CCN holders and landowners

that are within the CCN and -- regarding some

developments needing a certain level of service and not being able to get it. Do you recall the question and answer there generally?

A Yes, yes.

2.0

- Q Now, don't the TCEQ rules also provide some customer service standards for certificate holders to abide by?
 - A We do.
- Q And also there are some protections in there for customers who the certificate holder is not abiding by those statutes. Is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q And one of them -- one of the options is to either complain for an enforcement action for the certificate holder to take corrective action in a certain manner. Is that correct?
- A That's correct.
- Q One of the other -- if you've got -- if you've got acreage of any size, you can avail yourself of Water Code Section 13.254(a) for decertification.

 Is that correct? Or maybe it's (b).
 - A Let me turn to that section. That's correct.
- Q And also if you're a landowner that's got 50 acres or more, you're unplatted and you're not receiving service from anyone, you can avail yourself

of 13.254(a)(1) which -- yeah, (a)(1) -- that would 1 2 allow for an expedited release from someone's CCN. 3 that correct? 4 Α That's correct. 5 Okay. And really kind of the only real 6 exception to that is if you're located within the city 7 ETJ -- in a city or the city's ETJ that has a 8 population of over half a million. Is that right? 9 Α That's correct. 10 Q Okay. And regardless of whether you're 11 inside a city that has more than half a million in 12 population you still have the -- and you're a 13 customer, you still have customer service rules you 14 may be able to avail yourself of if a certificate 15 holder is not doing what they need to do? 16 Α Yes. 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I pass the 18 witness, Your Honor. 19 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. I've forgotten 20 whose turn it is. Mr. Carlton? 21 MR. CARLTON: I'm trying to remember 22 what Mr. MacLeod asked on redirect. 23 JUDGE NORMAN: What he asked --24 MR. MacLEOD: The effective date and 25 stuff.

1	JUDGE NORMAN: about the effective
2	date?
3	MR. MacLEOD: And when filed.
4	MR. CARLTON: I don't think I have any
5	further questions.
6	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. And, Mr. MacLeod?
7	MR. MacLEOD: We don't have any more
8	questions.
9	JUDGE NORMAN: Thank you, Ms. Benter.
10	Now, is there any more evidence that
11	anyone wants to produce on this issue?
12	MR. CARLTON: I think I'm up.
13	JUDGE NORMAN: You're up? Yeah, you
14	are.
15	MR. CARLTON: I'd like to call
16	Ms. Fleitman.
17	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. Who is the city
18	secretary?
19	MR. CARLTON: Yes.
20	JUDGE NORMAN: All right. Is that you,
21	ma'am?
22	MS. FLEITMAN: Yes, sir.
23	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. You've been called
24	to testify. Would you raise your right hand?
25	(Witness sworn)

1	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. Go ahead.
2	PRESENTATION ON BEHALF OF LINDSAY PURE WATER COMPANY
3	BETSY FLEITMAN,
4	having been first duly sworn as an adverse witness,
5	testified as follows:
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. CARLTON:
8	Q Good morning, Ms. Fleitman. I'm going to
9	apologize, first, for putting you on the stand, but
10	you're here, so I'm going to take advantage of it.
1 1.	A Good morning. I'll be glad to leave.
12	(Laughter)
13	Q (BY MR. CARLTON) Would you please state your
14	name for the record?
15	A Elizabeth Ann Fleitman. I go by the name of
16	Betsy Fleitman.
17	JUDGE NORMAN: And how do you spell your
18	last name?
19	A F, like in "Frank," L-E-I-T-M-A-N.
20	JUDGE NORMAN: All right.
21	Q (BY MR. CARLTON) Thank you. And where are
22	you employed currently?
23	A For the City of Lindsay as the city
24	secretary.
25	Q And as the city secretary, I believe I've

seen your name on a lot of affidavits testifying that 1 these are copies of documents on some of these 2 exhibits that came out of your files. Right? 3 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 Okay. And including an affidavit on top of this stack of letters that we've been talking about? 6 7 Yes, sir. 8 Are you familiar with those letters? 0 9 Α Oh, yes, sir. 10 Okay. And when did you first become familiar 11 with those letters? 12 When I typed up this form. 13 So you prepared the form for these 14 folks to sign? 15 At the request of the people, yes. Α At the request of the people. Which people? 16 Q 17 The individuals that signed these letters. Α 18 Okay. Were you involved in the application Q process for the city with its CCN at all? 19 2.0 Α Yes, sir. 21 Okay. And so why weren't these letters filed Q 22 with the application initially? 23 Α I didn't have them initially. 24 And what caused you to go and try to get 0 25 them?

A I didn't go and try to get them. People came to me.

Q Did you have any -- well, strike that.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

How many people came to you and said they needed service?

I couldn't give you a count, a number, because I'm at city -- when this was going on, I was at city hall by myself. I had no one to work with me. The mayor and city council are all voluntary. They're not in the office day by day, and so I'm taking care of everything that comes up. And people would come in over a period of time and ask, and then some of them would -- they would ask me if they could have -- "Can I have a couple of these because I want to talk to my brother" or "I want to talk to my uncle and I think they would be interested in signing a request letter also." And so that's why they have the same dates on them because I just made a copy of the letter I had, and then sent them with them. And so they would take the letters, and then they would bring them back to me.

Q Do you know what prompted them to come in and ask you about this?

A I was required by TCEQ -- or the city was required by TCEQ when we filed our application to put

a legal notice in the newspaper and to send a letter to every property owner within the requested area. And so we did that, and the letter that we sent out was prescribed by TCEQ. It followed the wording that was provided through them. And I had citizens come in and say they either read the legal notice in the paper or they received a letter, and what was it all about, what did it mean, what should they do.

Q And what did you tell them it was about?

expand their CCN, which meant that if that area that we had asked for was granted to the city, then the city would be the one that would be providing water service, sewer service to that area when it was needed or when it was requested by the people that lived in that area, and that it really changed nothing currently. If the person had a water well or a septic tank, they could continue to have a water well or a septic tank, but they could not sell water to someone else, and they could not buy water from someone else if we held the certificate, at least that was my understanding of what it meant.

Q Were the people that came into your office and talked to you, were those the folks that signed these letters?

21

22

23

24

25

Yes -- well, I'd have to say I don't think Α all of them signed, there were one or two, because when they asked me what they were supposed to do, I told them that if they did not want to be included in this or they did not approve of what the city was doing, that they should submit a letter, according to the guidelines, in what they had received to TCEQ filing a protest. And if they did agree with what the city was doing, that they really did not have to do anything at all, but several of them said "Well, you know, I want to be sure I'm included. How can I be sure that, you know, I'm going to be part of this?" And I said "Well, then you can file a letter of request." And then they said -- they would say, "Well, can you give me some words" or "What should I say" or "How should it be written?" And so that's when I came up with this. I got several examples from the city consultant, and I wrote up this letter and said something like -- basically what I said was "something like this."

Q Okay. And so --

A And then most of them said "Well, will you type it up? And I'll sign it."

Q So really these letters were prepared after the application had been filed in order to support the

1 application. Correct? 2 Yes, that's true. 3 How many CCN applications have you been 4 involved in? 5 Α This is it. 6 Would you consider dealing with this CCN to 7 be part of your daily activities as city secretary? 8 In what way? 9 Is this something that you routinely do as 10 city secretary, routinely deal with CCN requests for 11 service, or do you routinely deal with other stuff? 12 I have to do it all. I mean, I'm not quite 13 sure what you're asking me. If someone came in and wanted water service from the City of Lindsay and they 14 came in and said, you know, "We would like to tie into 15 the city system," or "We would like to get water 16 17 service from the city," then, yes, I would be the one that would take the information that would provide 18 whatever documentation, you know, they needed. 19 20 work with them to get whatever they needed to get in 21 order to make that presentation to the city. 22 Okay. So -- and have you received any of 23 those types of requests? I've had -- just recently I've had one 24 25 citizen who asked to tie into the system that was not

on the system previously, and we just extended water service to them.

- Q How long have you been the city secretary?
- A Since 2001.
- Q Okay. And how many times has the city had a request like that other than the one you just

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Once again, Your Honor,
I'll object to the difference between a qualified
applicant and these requests for service. I think

 $\label{eq:JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. I'm going to} \mbox{permit the question.}$

A The number 55 is floating around. I had all of these requests to be -- to be provided service within our CCN at some future date if we got our CCN. I am aware of that one citizen that asked recently to have their water service extended.

On a regular basis, we have subdivision developments where additional lines are put in by the developer, and they are attached onto our water system. So I mean, we have several subdivisions within our city limits that are expanding and getting additional service. We have had some properties that have annexed into the city, but have not yet requested

1 service.

2.4

Q (BY MR. CARLTON) Have you had any requests where you've been asked to provide service outside the city limits?

A We do provide service to some citizens outside the city limits currently, about ten taps.

Q And you said there was one -- one subdivision that had been annexed that hadn't -- or one property that had been annexed that hadn't gotten service yet?

A We've had several properties annexed recently that don't have service at this time and haven't requested it. They have inquired about it. They've asked, you know --

Q Right.

A -- if it could be provided, but they haven't actually come in and said "Now we're ready. We want to tie into the system."

Q Were any of those property owners part of the group that submitted these letters, or do you remember who it was? Maybe that's the easier way to say it. Who has come in and asked for that?

A No, I can't. I don't know. I would have to go through all these letters again. And considering that I do all this other stuff for the city, I don't -- I couldn't -- without going through here, I

1 can't tell you for sure everybody that signed the 2 letter. 3 But you said there was a few folks who had 0 4 recently requested annexation, that they would request service in the future. Do you know who those property 5 owners are? 6 7 Α Two years ago, three years ago, we did annex 8 some property, and some of those property owners asked about that, about service --9 10 Q Who was that? 11 -- on the north side of town. 12 Who was that? 13 Well, I know the properties that were annexed. I can't tell you for sure which ones asked 14 15 what at the time that we annexed. 16 Does the property have some common name that Q 17 you use when you refer to it or subdivision? 18 Just the property owners that live out there. 19 JUDGE NORMAN: And who were they is what 20 he's asking. Who are the property owners? 21 Α Okay. Chris Neu, Harold Owens, Frances 22 Zimmerer, Louie Gieb, Ed Schad, Harold Nortman, all of 23 those were properties that were annexed in the city in 2003 -- 2002, 2003. I'm not that good on --24

(BY MR. CARLTON) Is that the area on the

1	city map that extends up on the northeast
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q side of the city?
4	A Yes, sir, it is.
5	Q Okay. All right. So apart from that, you
6	haven't had any requests for annexation?
7	A For annexation?
8	Q Right.
9	A We just annexed a ten-foot-wide strip going
10	north out of the city limits all the way to Moss Lake
11	and going south out of the city limits all the way to
12	1630.
13	Q Okay. In order to extend your ETJ and at the
14	request of those landowners?
15	A Well, we cannot just go out and annex.
16	People have to petition, voluntary petition for
17	annexation, yes.
18	MR. CARLTON: No further questions.
19	Thank you, Ms. Fleitman. I appreciate it.
20	JUDGE NORMAN: Mr. MacLeod, any
21	questions?
22	MR. MacLEOD: We don't have any
23	questions for this witness.
24	JUDGE NORMAN: Any more questions?
25	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No questions, Your

1 Honor.

2.4

JUDGE NORMAN: Thank you very much.

And let me remind you-all again that this evidence that I'm taking right now is for the purpose, as I said in the beginning, to determine whether or not this is going to be admissible. It's not for any other purpose at this point. Okay?

All right. So is that all the evidence that we had?

(No response)

JUDGE NORMAN: I'm going to admit the DLM-10 for -- as some evidence on need. It's not determinative, but I am going to admit it for the purpose -- all.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ CARLTON: And just for purposes of the record --

JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.

MR. CARLTON: -- I appreciate your ruling. We had objected on the grounds that it was hearsay, that it didn't fall under 803(6) or 803(8) as far as exceptions, and that it hadn't been properly authenticated as required under -- that's the wrong book -- 902, Self-authentication. Thank you.

JUDGE NORMAN: I'm admitting it on the basis of your objection and your prefiled objections,

	1
1	which was a hearsay objection, as I recall.
2	MR. CARLTON: Right. And
3	Mr. Rodriguez's response to that was it had been an
4	affidavit attached
5	JUDGE NORMAN: That was the
6	certification.
7	MR. CARLTON: so that that overcame
8	the hearsay objection under 803(6) and 803(8).
9	JUDGE NORMAN: But it did not. But I'm
10	admitting it over the I'm overruling your hearsay
11	objection under 2001.081.
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
13	At this time, we would call Mr. Metzler back to the
14	stand.
15	JUDGE NORMAN: All right. Mr. Metzler,
16	you're still under oath. Go ahead.
17	PRESENTATION ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF LINDSAY
18	(CONTINUED)
19	DONALD METZLER,
20	having been previously sworn, continued to testify as
21	follows:
22	FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. RODRIGUEZ:
24	Q Thank you, Mr. Metzler
25	A You're welcome.

1 -- for staying here. Q 2 (Laughter) 3 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I believe at this point, it's appropriate to I guess kind of start at the 4 5 beginning and allow the witness --6 JUDGE NORMAN: Sure. 7 MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- some time to --8 JUDGE NORMAN: Right, to summarize his 9 testimony, and then it will be tendered for cross. 10 Sorry. 11 (Simultaneous discussion) 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- provide some summary 13 of his testimony. Is that appropriate at this time 14 your Honor? 15 JUDGE NORMAN: Correct. And you just 16 stop me whenever you need to. Okay? 17 THE REPORTER: Okay. 18 JUDGE NORMAN: All right. 19 (BY MR. RODRIGUEZ) Mr. Metzler, can you just go ahead and provide us a summary of your testimony? 20 21 I'm here today as a representative of the City of Lindsay serving as mayor pro-tem, presenting 22 this application for a water CCN and supporting all 23 the documentation that I have already previously filed 24 25 in the prefiled testimony. I've reviewed all of the

additions that we made in the last week due to the 1 2 September 30th hearing and the changing of maps. I've 3 reviewed all of the information that was struck based 4 on rulings from last Thursday. Everything in this 5 updated, amended testimony, I'm here to say is still 6 accurate and true. 7 So, Mr. Metzler, you're still the mayor 8 pro-tem for the city? 9 I still am. 10 Q You're on the city council? 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Your Honor, at 13 this point, I would tender the witness for 14 cross-examination. 15 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. 16 MR. RODRIGUEZ: I believe -- and just to make it clear, both APP-1 and APP-2 were offered and 17 18 admitted previously. Is that --19 JUDGE NORMAN: Yes, subject to the 20 previous objections. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 22 JUDGE NORMAN: And, Mr. MacLeod? 23 MR. MacLEOD: Yeah, I've got one area I want to do a little cross-examination on. 24 25 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.

1 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. MacLEOD: 3 Do you have a copy of DLM-1 in front of you? 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Your Honor, will the 5 order of witnesses be -- or the order of cross-examination be that the ED goes second in all 6 7 cases? 8 JUDGE NORMAN: It's going to be from 9 less friendly -- I mean more friendly to less 10 friendly. 11 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. 12 JUDGE NORMAN: That's the way it's going 13 to go. So the person at the end, who is Mr. Carlton, 14 will have --15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: The least friendly of 16 everyone here? 17 JUDGE NORMAN: Right. 18 MR. CARLTON: Ah, that hurts; that 19 hurts. 20 (Laughter) 21 JUDGE NORMAN: He'll have the benefit of all that before he crosses. So that will make it 22 23 efficient rather than having him go after you and then 24 go after Mr. MacLeod. 25 (BY MR. MacLEOD) You do have a copy of your

```
1
     prefiled testimony --
 2
               Yes, I do.
 3
               -- and the attached exhibits? At DLM-1,
 4
     could you look at Bates Stamp APP-1002? I think
 5
     that's part of the application.
 6
                    MR. CARLTON: You're talking about
 7
     APP-1?
 8
                    MR. MacLEOD:
                                  Yeah, his --
 9
                    MR. CARLTON:
                                  APP-1.
10
                    MR. MacLEOD:
                                  I'm talking about --
11
     right, the application.
12
                    MR. CARLTON:
                                  DLM-1 is his resume.
13
                    MR. MacLEOD: Okay. All right. Then
14
     that's what I want.
15
                    JUDGE NORMAN: 1002. Is that right?
16
                    MR. MacLEOD: Yeah, and one of the
     subtitles on there is, yes, APP-1002, and it's part of
17
18
     APP-2. It's kind of confusing because it was before
19
     the -- it was provided in front of the actual
20
     testimony.
21
              (BY MR. MacLEOD) But we're on the same page
22
     now. Right?
23
         Α
              I think so.
24
         Q
              Yeah, No. 2 says Location Information on that
25
     page?
```

A Yes, sir.

Q If you go down to D, you indicate there that there is an overlap with the city of Gainesville --

A Yes.

Q -- in your requested area. Is that right?

A Correct.

Q And you said that there was a verbal agreement to have the overlapped area served by the City of Lindsay. Was that an agreement for dual certification?

A I'm not aware of whether or not it was.

Q You also said that you would supplement the application -- at least the application says that the application will be supplemented with the agreement once it was excused. Was that agreement ever executed?

A It has not been at this time.

Q Did your application or petition state that Gainesville has never provided, is no longer providing or is incapable of providing or has failed to provide continuous and adequate service in that overlap area?

A Are you finding that somewhere in particular?

Q No. That's the language that's required for decertification. I just want to make sure that can't be decided in this case because that wasn't in the

application, unless it is in the application. 1 I don't think it's in the application, and 2 I've not heard anything that would indicate a "yes" 3 4 answer to your question. 5 All right. Are you still -- are you going to provide -- have you provided maps that are excluding 6 7 that overlap area in this application at this point? Do you know? 8 9 No, I don't think so. I think it shows the Α 10 overlapped area. 11 So you still are requesting the overlap area. Q 12 Is that right? 13 Α Yes. 14 Do you have a map available so you can show us where this overlap area is? Because the ED is 15 going to have to resist decertifying an existing CCN. 16 17 I believe in DLM-13 -- and, Mr. Rodriguez, Α 18 you may have to help me with that. It's that little 19 portion up here, the portion that looks like a small factory with the two smokestacks on it that's outlined 2.0 21 in red, yellow inside of it. 2.2 Q Where there's an overlap between the red dots and the yellow, is that what you're talking about? 23 24 Possibly red stripes -- well, maybe dots. Α

MR. RODRIGUEZ: He's pointing at it

1 right here, Brian. 2 Right. Mr. MacLeod, right here, that little 3 portion. 4 JUDGE NORMAN: But the record can't pick 5 that up. 6 MR. MacLEOD: Let me go take a look and see if I can figure out how to get that in the record. 7 8 THE WITNESS: Okay. 9 MR. CARLTON: Can we go off the record? 10 JUDGE NORMAN: Certainly. 11 (Discussion off the record) 12 JUDGE NORMAN: We're back on the record. (BY MR. MacLEOD) Let's go to -- now, DLM-13 13 0 14 is already in the record. Is that right? 15 A Yes. And then I don't think I need to admit that 16 into evidence. Well, let's make it really clear. I'm 17 18 going to go ahead and admit this as an exhibit. I'm 19 handing you what's been marked ED-1 for 20 identification. 21 (Exhibit ED No. 1 marked) 22 Q (BY MR. MacLEOD) And could you identify what 23 that is? 24 That is a map of our extraterritorial 25 jurisdiction with an overlay of the water CCN

application. It also shows the city limits of the City of Lindsay, both the stripes running south and north, the areas that were annexed in 2002, 2003, and then this portion right here that is at the north end of that annexed from 2002, 2003.

JUDGE NORMAN: When you say "right here," though, the record is not picking that up.

- A How do I word that?
- Q (BY MR. MacLEOD) Let me ask another question. Could you -- is this an exact copy of DLM-13 \sim
- A Yes, it is.

- Q -- that's already been admitted into evidence? Could you take this pen I'm handing you now and circle the overlap area which you've described as looking like a factory?
 - A (Complied)
- Q And that area that you've just marked on the -- on ED-1 is the area where your CCN request overlaps the existing CCN of the city of Gainesville. Is that correct?
 - A It is correct.
- JUDGE NORMAN: And that's where -that's the little area that's outlined in red and has
 red dots and a yellow background. Is that right?

1	A Yes, it is, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE NORMAN: And the two little
3	smokestacks there, the two little things
4	A Uh-huh.
5	JUDGE NORMAN: All right. Two little
6	rabbit ears. Right?
7	A Correct.
8	
	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.
9	MR. MacLEOD: I want to go ahead and
10	offer ED-1 into evidence.
11	JUDGE NORMAN: Any objection?
12	MR. RODRIGUEZ: No objections.
13	MR. MacLEOD: Pass the witness.
14	JUDGE NORMAN: Any objection to that,
15	Mr. Carlton?
16	MR. CARLTON: No, I don't.
17	JUDGE NORMAN: It's admitted.
18	(Exhibit ED No. 1 admitted)
19	JUDGE NORMAN: And I want to state for
20	the record just for my own records that I've just
21	marked on my copy that little area as ED Exhibit 1.
22	I also want to state for the record so
23	that I don't forget it, you know, I note that in the
24	new rules, 291.102(d)(2)(D) that the words are written
25	"application or requests for service." It's in the

```
distinctive.
                    That's for me for the record.
 1
 2
     you. Go ahead.
 3
                    MR. CARLTON:
                                  Oh, I'm up?
                    JUDGE NORMAN: Yes.
 4
 5
                    MR. CARLTON: Well, delightful.
 6
                   FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION
 7
     BY MR. CARLTON:
 8
              Good morning, Mr. Metzler, again.
 9
              Good morning.
         Α
1.0
              How long have you been in the Lindsay
         Q
11
     community?
12
              Well, I'm 53 years old and outside of six
13
     years, so 47 years.
14
              Forty-seven years. So -- and your family,
15
     did they -- were they in the community before you?
              Yes, they were one of the original founding
16
         Α
17
     families in 1892.
              Okay. How many founding families were there?
18
         Q
19
              There were only four or five.
         Α
20
              Oh. Which ones are they?
21
              Oh, I can't recall four or five. I just know
         Α
22
     we're listed as one of the original settlers.
23
              Okay. You were -- you've had some history as
         Q
24
     serving the City of Lindsay as council member and
25
     mayor previously. Right?
```

1 Α Correct. 2 And I'm going to have to ask you some of this Q 3 stuff again because -- what was your involvement with 4 preparing what has been admitted as APP-1, the 5 application? This was done previous to my latest time on 6 7 the council. And even though it's received 8 August 31st, which technically would have had me as a 9 P&Z member a couple of months, I had nothing to do 10 with the application itself. 11 So when you're testifying about the 12 application, that's solely based upon you having 13 reviewed the document? 14 Reviewing all of this document and all of 15 these other documents that have been supplied by the 16 city. 17 And I think you testified earlier that the 18 reason you're here giving this testimony is because 19 the mayor isn't able to do that? 20 Correct. Α 21 And was the mayor the one who was involved 22 for the city in preparing the application or 23 overseeing that? 24 The mayor would have been.

Would you turn with me to 1002, APP-1002 in

the Exhibit APP-1, in the application? And the 1 2 application indicates that there were verbal requests 3 for service. Correct? 4 Α Yes. 5 And did the application, when it was 6 originally filed, attach a list of those requests? 7 It doesn't appear to on a cursory review of 8 it. 9 Is there a map attached to the application 10 that shows where those requests are? 11 Well, there's maps on APP-1014, APP-1015, but Α 12 in looking at them, it would be very difficult to pick 13 up that small red dot, if it's there, because the 14 background is almost the identical same color. 15 So Page 1014 and 1015 don't identify any 16 specific areas where these requests might have been 17 from, do they? 18 Α No, they do not. 19 In your role on the city planning and zoning 20 commission from 2005 to 2007, what kind of 21 applications did you deal with, or what kind of 22 matters did you deal with? 23 Α Oh, some variances to, you know, planning and 24 zoning to subdivision ordinances, looking at some

street repairs, park improvements. Those are the ones

that pop up quickly in my head.

- Q During your what appears to be two years on the planning and zoning commission, how many variances to subdivision ordinances did you have to deal with?
 - A It wouldn't have been more than four or five.
- Q Is that because there were only four or five subdivision applications filed during that two-year period that you were on the commission?
- A There were one or two of those, and then there would have been a couple of variances for individual property owners wanting to do something out of the norm.
- Q Do you recall the two subdivision applications that were filed?
- A Well, we would have dealt with the one located north of 82, the Nortman addition. He was adding ten lots at a time to it. There was some initial discussion about another one on the southeast side of town. It's an extension of one that was started by Ronnie Hess. I don't know the official name of it. Blue Ribbon.

MS. FLEITMAN: Yes.

- A The Blue Ribbon addition.
- Q (BY MR. CARLTON) How many lots are in the Nortman addition?

I think he has as many as 150, 160 potential 1 2 lots out there. 3 How many lots are in the Blue Ribbon 4 subdivision? 5 Oh, there's two streets that are in place 6 with the third proposed one, each street having 16 to 20 homes on it, and the third street would have 7 8 been similar in nature to that with some possible There's supposed to be a loop on the backside 9 homes. of that connecting all three streets that would have 10 11 had some homes on the east side of it, which could have been another ten to fourteen. 12 And is the Blue Ribbon subdivision within the 13 14 city limits? 15 А Yes. Okay. And the city limits is already within 16 your CCN. Correct? 17 18 Α Yes. And the Nortman subdivision, is it within the 19 20 city limits? I believe all of that territory is inside our 21 2.2 city limits. And the Nortman subdivision is within the 23 0 24 area for which the order was just recently issued correcting where your CCN is located. Correct? 25

1	A North of 82, yes.
2	Q Okay. So you're not during that time you
3	were on the planning and zoning commission, you didn't
4	receive any subdivision applications for anything
5	outside those two?
6	A No, we did not.
7	Q Okay. And have you had any that you've
8	considered since you've been on the city council?
9	A No.
10	Q How many subdivisions are in the city's ETJ?
11	A I would think two, one being the north side
12	of town, Kupper-Fuhrmann or whatever their name is,
13	and the other one would be the South Ridge of Lindsay
1 4	that would now be inside of our ETJ, parts of it
15	anyway.
16	Q So those are the only other two subdivisions
17	in your in the town of Lindsay's ETJ?
18	A Correct.
19	Q Is the rest of your property in your ETJ
20	family farms?
21	A The vast majority of it would be, yes.
22	Q And would it be safe to say that the vast
23	majority of those farms are owned by descendents of
2 4	those founding fathers of Lindsay?

That would be a fair statement to make.

25

Α

Are you familiar with -- well, let me ask it 1 2 this way: Are you aware of whether any of those 3 family-owned farms have been sold or conveyed to anyone who is not a family member? 4 I'm not aware of any, and I don't keep track 5 6 of that personally. So I'm not aware of any. 7 Do you -- are you aware of any of those families that are wanting to subdivide their property 8 9 and have water service from the City of Lindsay in the 10 next year? 11 There is one family that owns some property inside of our ETJ that has sold off two or three small 12 lots along Highway 82, but they have not requested 13 14 water or sewer from us. And which family is that? 15 The Block family. They could be under Mary 16 Α Jean Fleitman and Others may be the legal description 17 18 of it. And how do you spell the 19 JUDGE NORMAN: 20 Blog, or Block? 2.1 Α B-L-O-C-K. JUDGE NORMAN: B-L-O-C-K, okay. 22 (BY MR. CARLTON) And is that in your ETJ or 23 0 24 your city limits?

That's inside of our ETJ.

25

Α

On Highway 82? 1 Q 2 West. Α West? 3 Part of their property on the very east side 4 5 of it is inside our city limits, but the three spots that were sold off on 82 are outside the city limits, 6 7 but inside the ETJ. How does -- how do people who are outside --8 or not on the city's utility system, how do they get 9 service? How do they get water or wastewater service? 10 11 I would assume most of them drill a water well and put in a septic tank of some kind. 12 I turn your attention to Page 1006 of APP-1 13 and look at F. And the question is, "What is the 14 effect of granting or amending a certificate on a 15 recipient of the certificate and on any retail public 16 utility of the same kind already serving the 17 approximate area?" And the answer is "There are no 18 other utilities providing service within the requested 19 area except for the small overlap with Gainesville." 20 And that's not a correct statement, is it? 21 Well, is Mr. -- is the Lindsay Pure Water a 22 Α retail public utility? 23 24 Yes, it is. Q

25

Then it would not be a correct statement.

So there is potentially an impact on Lindsay 1 Pure Water Company as a result of your application. 2 Correct? 3 Well, I'm not a financial person. So I don't 4 know if there would be or not. 5 Let me represent to you that if the City of 6 Lindsay is awarded the CCN in the area around where 7 Lindsay Pure Water is currently certificated, that 8 Lindsay Pure Water would be prohibited from serving in 9 that area, and that would be an impact on Lindsay Pure 10 Water, wouldn't it? 11 JUDGE NORMAN: Assuming those facts. 12 I would think so, but I also don't know what 13 his business plan showed he needed to recapture his 14 original investment and maintain it. 15 (BY MR. CARLTON) And I'm certainly not 16 0 asking you to testify about the extent of the impact. 17 I agree, I don't think you have enough information to 18 be able to testify about that. 19 (Discussion off the record) 2.0 (BY MR. CARLTON) I believe in your testimony 21 Q you indicated that there are four contract operators 22

two of those are water operators. Yes. KENNEDY REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 512.474.2233

for the city's water and wastewater systems, and that

23

24

25

Α

When you say they're Is that correct? 1 contract operators, they don't -- they're not 2 employees of the city? 3 No, they are -- the two water? 4 Correct. 5 One of them is an employee of the city, the 6 other would be a purely contractual arrangement. 7 Okay. And which -- who are those? 8 Frank Joe Geray is the city employee who has 9 Α obtained his Class D and is working towards his 10 Class C. And then Robert Walterscheid would be the 11 other one who has a Class C, I think. 12 And is Charles Young an operator for the 13 city, too? 14 Yes, he is. 15 Α Does he just do wastewater, or does he do 16 water? 17 Well, I think he holds both licenses and Α 18 would oversee primarily the wastewater and would be 19 available for help with the city water system. 20 then Claude Tamplin is the fourth operator who I think 21 has a Class C wastewater license. And then there's a 22 fifth one we're training right now, Lori Geray, on 23 water. 24 In your testimony, in particular the

25

testimony you updated today, you indicated that 1 Lindsay has approximately 399 water connections? 2 Yes, sir. Α 3 But you had also in your testimony indicated 4 that Lindsay had three wells from which it was able to 5 provide 306 additional customers. Now, I don't recall 6 what the old number was, but is that 306 still 7 accurate, or are there now fewer customers that you're 8 able to provide service to in addition to the ones 9 you're already serving? 10 Since I'm not an engineer, I may defer that Α 11 question to Mr. Maroney. 12 So how did you determine how many 13 customers --14 In a conversation earlier, four or five 15 Α months ago, with Mr. Maroney. 1.6 So I'm going to ask it so it's on the record. 17 So how did you determine how many additional customers 18 Lindsay could serve? 19 By working with the city engineer. 2.0 So you don't have any particular expertise in 21 being able to make that calculation yourself? 22 No. Α 23 And where did you get your information in 2.4 your testimony regarding the lines that are -- the 25

1	size and lengths of the lines that are owned by the
2	city?
3	A The city city hall, the records we have.
4	Q So you went through those individually and
5	read all those and added up the length of pipe?
6	A City staff did.
7	Q And when you say "city staff," who was that?
8	A Well, that would have been either
9	Ms. Fleitman, or it would have been Mr. Maroney, or it
10	could have been Mr. Swinggi, Kelly Swinggi, who also
11	works with the city as an engineering consultant.
12	Q Is Mr. Maroney the city's engineer on a
13	routine basis, or has he been hired especially for
14	this process?
15	A Hired especially for this. Mr. Swinggi would
16	be the one we deal with.
17	JUDGE NORMAN: On a routine basis?
18	A Uh-huh.
19	JUDGE NORMAN: Correct?
20	A Yes.
21	JUDGE NORMAN: Okay.
22	Q (BY MR. CARLTON) So you're not familiar with
23	the capacity of the wells that you have?
24	A No. That would be a question best left for
25	Mr. Maroney.

And you --0 1 MR. CARLTON: Sorry? 2 JUDGE NORMAN: How do you spell Swinggi? 3 Z-W-I-G-G-N-I (sic). 4 Α MR. CARLTON: Just like it sounds. 5 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. Thank you. 6 (Laughter) 7 (BY MR. CARLTON) And likewise, you wouldn't 8 be familiar with what improvements the city might need 9 to make in order to provide additional service? 10 Correct. Α 11 Does the city's existing CCN boundary as 12 shown on what is Exhibit DLM-17 include all of the 13 property that's within the city limits except for the 14 two ten-foot strips that had been annexed to the north 15 and south along 3108 and 1199? 16 Well, it certainly doesn't include those two 17 ten-foot strips, and there could be some question over 18 whether or not it would include the overlapped area 19 between our proposed -- our CCN proposal on water and 20 Gainesville's CCN. And since I don't have a scale in 21 front of me to work all the footages out, that's a 22 just-looking-at-it answer. 23 I have one if it would be helpful. 24 JUDGE NORMAN: Would it be helpful?

25

Well, I could try. 1 Α (BY MR. CARLTON) So --2 Q But I can't -- since I don't do this for a 3 Α living, it's hard to answer that question exactly. 4 So your concern would be that this doesn't 5 show the area where there is overlap with the 6 Gainesville CCN that was identified on DLM-13, now 7 ED-1, where you circled in red? 8 Correct. It would be nice if the DLM-17 had 9 Α a better -- I'm having a hard time reading this -- if 10 the city limits, which I'm assuming are the yellow 11 broken line, but I can't say that for sure because 12 there's no rows on here to tell us that. So the map 13 to show that possible area that's overlapped by the 14 two CCNs from the two different cities, it doesn't 15 depict it very well in DLM-17. 16 Okay. But would it be safe to say but for 17 any area that overlaps the Gainesville CCN, all of the 18 city limits are within the town -- City of Lindsay's 19 20 existing CCN? It does appear to be that way. 21 Okay. And is the Nortman subdivision based 22 Q on DLM-17 within the existing CCN? 23

better person to ask that question of.

24

25

I don't know if Mr. Maroney wouldn't be a

ľ	
1	Q Okay. So DLM-13, you didn't prepare that?
2	A Did I personally prepare it?
3	Q Yes.
4	A No, I did not personally prepare this.
5	Q Who prepared it? Do you know?
6	A Well, I would
7	MR. RODRIGUEZ: It's on the other map.
8	A Oh, this one.
9	MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yeah.
10	A No, I can't answer you specifically who
11	called for this to be prepared.
12	Q (BY MR. CARLTON) Do you know who Engineering
13	Concepts and Design, L.P. is?
14	A No, I do not.
15	Q The Blue Ribbon subdivision that we talked
16	about earlier
17	A Yes.
18	Q can you tell whether it's within the
19	city's CCN area on DLM-17?
20	A Yes, it would appear to be.
21	Q And is the is Kupper subdivision?
22	A Yes, spelled K-U-P-P-E-R.
23	Q Okay. Is that within the city's CCN?
2 4	A It would appear to be. It's located right
25	north of 82 on 1199, the west side of it, left side of

Farm-to-Market 1199. 1 Okay. And then the South Ridge of Lindsay is 2 where the Lindsay Pure Water Company's CCN is located. 3 Correct? 4 I'm not -- not an expert on what their CCN 5 Α looks like, but I'm assuming -- I'm assuming it is. 6 Okay. But that's the location of the South 7 8 Ridge subdivision? 9 А Correct. 10 To your knowledge, are there any other subdivisions or is there any development occurring 11 outside the town of Lindsay's existing CCN except 12 South Ridge of Lindsay? 13 I'm not aware of any. 14 Can I get you to turn to Exhibit DLM-3 in 15 your testimony? Have you found it? 16 17 Α Yes. And could you identify that document? 18 City Ordinance 0607-1, annexing properties. 19 And is this the ordinance that annexed what 20 21 I'm going to call the -- kind of the finger that goes 22 up on the northeast side of town? The ten-foot strip, yes. 23 Α 24 Oh, it's the ten-foot strip, okay.

Uh-huh.

Α

25

Now, there's a service extension policy 1 for -- or there's an explanation about how to get 2 water services attached to this ordinance, isn't 3 there? 4 Section 3, the service plan providing. 5 Α Okay. Would you turn to -- and they're not 6 numbered. So I'm going to apologize, but there's a 7 subsection in Exhibit B with the title Water Services. 8 All right. I have it. 9 Α MR. CARLTON: Your Honor, I believe 10 11 that's about six pages in. JUDGE NORMAN: I've got it. 12 (BY MR. CARLTON) And that states that anyone 13 who wants water service from the city has to bear the 14 costs of construction of those facilities that are 15 necessary to provide them service. Correct? 16 Correct. 17 Ά So if I'm now annexed into this territory and 18 I'm in that ten-foot strip and I want water, I have to 19 20 pay for it? That would be my understanding based on the 21 Α 22 wording here. Now, turn with me to -- if you have LPWC-8, 23 0 which has been admitted, and I guess it's probably 24 going to come in as JES-13, but it's not in yet. 25

JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. LP --1 MR. CARLTON: LPWC-8. 2 JUDGE NORMAN: Okay. 3 MR. CARLTON: It is the ordinance on the 4 utility service outside the city. 5 JUDGE NORMAN: All right. I got it. 6 MR. CARLTON: So do I need to offer it 7 again? 8 JUDGE NORMAN: Yes. You know, because 9 I'm trying to keep it all -- I obviously took in some 10 11 inadmissible evidence. MR. CARLTON: So can I just re-offer it 12 13 as --JUDGE NORMAN: Sure. 14 MR. CARLTON: -- 8 again? 15 JUDGE NORMAN: Yes. And you do. 16 there any objection? 17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: No, but I think at some 18 point, Your Honor, can we go through and see what's 19 been admitted for all purposes and what's been 20 21 limited? JUDGE NORMAN: Well, you know, we spent 22 a long time this morning -- you know, I'm trying to 23 caution you on that on whether or not to admit the 24 names and the map, you know, that shows the places. 25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Sure. 1 JUDGE NORMAN: And I tried to make clear 2 that I was not going to limit myself to admissible 3 evidence, as I'm not required to do under Rule 104(a) 4 of the Texas Rules of Evidence. 5 So I think in order to be real clean. 6 about that, then, you know, anything else -- anything 7 that came up within that context, you know, you 8 probably would be better off, if you wanted it as part 9 of your case, to retender that evidence, either in the 1.0 form of testimony -- now, what Mr. Metzler said at 11 first, you know, when he came in and you first 12 tendered his exhibit --13 MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's what I was 14 concerned with. 15 JUDGE NORMAN: Yeah, that came in, and 16 then we got to the issue of whether or not those --17 MR. RODRIGUEZ: So I don't -- just to be 18 clear, I do not need to re-offer --19 JUDGE NORMAN: You don't have to do 2.0 that. 21 MR. RODRIGUEZ: -- APP-1 or APP-2? 22 JUDGE NORMAN: Correct, you do not. 23 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. Those have been 24 admitted? 25

1 JUDGE NORMAN: They have been admitted 2 subject to the objections. 3 All right. Okay. LPWC-8 is admitted. 4 (Exhibit LPWC No. 8 admitted) 5 (BY MR. CARLTON) So, Mr. Metzler, would you turn to the first page of that exhibit in Paragraph 6 7 (A)(1)? Do you find that paragraph? 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 Does this ordinance say that once the city starts providing utility service that it can terminate 10 11 those services at any time if it's beyond the 12 corporate limits? 13 Α Yes. 14 And so the city, if it's providing service 15 outside its city limits, would not consider itself to 16 be obligated to continue to provide those services? 17 Α Yes. 18 And the second paragraph says that the city 19 reserves a right to basically provide service to who 20 it wants to. And if they don't want to, they don't 21 have to, and it's in the city's discretion. Is that 22 correct? 23 Α That could be one reading of it, yes. 2.4 The third paragraph appears to require that 25 the folks who are outside the city limits who are

getting service have to maintain -- well, have to construct those facilities at their own expense, and that the city doesn't assume any responsibility for the quality of that construction. Is that correct?

A Yes.

2.2

Q In Paragraph (3), I'm confused, and I need your help with understanding what is intended here. The last part of that paragraph says that "The city assumes no responsibility or liability for satisfactory service maintenance, pressure or wasteage until it acquires sole ownership as part of its, utility system." When would the city acquire sole ownership of the line as part of its utility system?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll object, Your Honor.

The ordinance speaks for itself. It's the best evidence of it.

JUDGE NORMAN: Overruled.

A Well, not being an attorney, it might be a little difficult for me to answer, but based on this and reading some of the others, it would seem that once these people have asked to be completely -- or be annexed into the city and we take in all the property that's being served with the sewer system or the water system, at that point in time after they are annexed, then we would begin to look at becoming responsible

1	for the system and its upkeep.
2	Q (BY MR. CARLTON) So once the property is
3	annexed into the city, the city will then take over
4	operation and maintenance of the lines?
5	A I would think that would be an accurate
6	statement.
7	Q And is it a precondition to getting service
8	outside the city that you file a petition for
9	annexation based on this ordinance in Paragraph (A)?
10	A Paragraph (A)?
11	Q 1(A).
12	A 1(A).
13	Q I apologize.
14	MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll also object, Your
15	Honor, it's not the best evidence. The document
16	speaks for itself.
17	JUDGE NORMAN: Oh, okay. Sustained.
18	A It would have.
19	MR. RODRIGUEZ: That's okay.
20	JUDGE NORMAN: The objection is
21	sustained.
22	A Oh, okay.
23	JUDGE NORMAN: It's the best evidence
24	rule objection.
25	Q (BY MR. CARLTON) Based on this ordinance,

would the city refuse to provide service if somebody who was requesting service didn't file a petition for annexation?

A I really don't know what the full council would decide to do.

Q Would you turn to the next page, Section

(B)(1)? And what is the minimum size of line that is required to be constructed in order to obtain service from the city?

A Six inches.

2.0

Q So regardless of the location of service, how far away, how close, the six-inch line is the minimum size that's required?

MR. RODRIGUEZ: I'll object to asked and answered, and the document speaks for itself. It's the best evidence of what's required.

JUDGE NORMAN: I think he's just getting a clarification. I'm going to let him ask questions about interpretation of the document, his interpretation, if you make that clear, Mr. Carlton. But based on what the document itself says, the best evidence of what a document says is the document itself.

MR. CARLTON: I agree, and I think the issue really becomes how is it applied by the city.