2	A.	I am a Vice President with First Southwest Company.
3		
4	Q.	WHAT TYPE OF WORK DOES FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY
5		PERFORM?
6	A.	Primarily, First Southwest Company provides financial advisory services to
7		governmental entities (i.e., cities, school districts, counties, etc.).
8		
9	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES?
10	A.	I work primarily with Texas cities and school districts. I help them with multi-year
11		capital planning, and ultimately with the issuance of debt to implement their plans.
12		
13	Q.	HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN WITH FIRST SOUTHWEST COMPANY?
14	A.	I began with First Southwest in July 1996.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT HISTORY?
17	A.	Between July 1993 through July 1996, I worked with Public Financial Management,
18		Inc. ("PFM") performing financial advisory services for cities and school districts.
19		My job responsibilities at PFM were very similar to my current job responsibilities
20		with First Southwest Company.
21		Between August 1989 through August 1991, I was an Information Systems
22		Consultant with Andersen Consulting ("AC"). During my two years with AC, I
23		worked on several consulting engagements implementing large computer systems for

WHERE ARE YOU CURRENTLY EMPLOYED?

1		a major utility, a major retailer, and a federal government agency. My primary
2		responsibilities were programming and systems design.
3		
4	Q.	WHAT OTHER TYPES OF PROJECTS HAVE YOU BEEN INVOLVED
5		WITH THAT WOULD HAVE PROVIDED RELEVANT EXPERIENCE?
6	A.	I have worked with more than twenty-five (25) cities in Texas to develop and
7		implement capital plans. I have particular experience with smaller cities that are
8		experiencing rapid growth similar to Prosper's growth.
9		
10	Q.	IS A SUMMARY OF YOUR WORK EXPERIENCE ATTACHED TO THIS
11		PREFILED TESTIMONY?
12	A.	Yes, it is attached as Attachment DRH-1.
13		
14	Q.	IS ATTACHMENT DRH-1 AN ACCURATE REFLECTION OF YOUR
15		EDUCATION, PROFESSIONAL HISTORY, AND QUALIFICATIONS?
16	A.	Yes.
17		
18	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON
19		ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ("TCEQ") REGULATIONS CONCERNING
20		CERTIFICATIONS OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY?
21	A.	Yes.

PREFILED TESTIMONY

3

HARTMAN

1	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TOWN OF PROSPER'S SEWER
2		SERVICE FACILITY?
3	A.	Yes.
4		
5	Q.	WHAT IS THE BASIS OF YOUR FAMILIARITY WITH THE PROSPER
6		FACILITY?
7	A.	While I am not an engineer, I do have working knowledge of the Town of Prosper's
8		("Town" or "Prosper") sewer service. This knowledge is relevant to helping them
9		develop multi-year capital plans. The status of the sewer system is also relevant to
10		the credit rating process and disclosed in the Town's bond offering documents.
11		
12		II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
13	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
14	A.	To provide financial expertise regarding Prosper's ability to issue debt to fund various
15		capital projects.
16		
17		III. PROSPER'S FINANCIAL STABILITY
18	Q.	HAVE YOU HAD OCCASION TO REVIEW THE TOWN'S FINANCIAL
19		STATUS?
20	A.	Yes. I have worked on several debt issues with Prosper since 1998, so I have over a
21		five year history of reviewing Prosper's financial situation.

2		WASTEWATER INFRASTRUCTURE AND ITS WASTEWATER					
3		TREATMENT PLANT?					
4	A.	Yes. I am aware that Prosper plans to improve its wastewater system. I am not					
5		familiar with the specifics about the project itself. However, I am assisting Prosper in					
6		understanding its financial situation and advising on the availability of capital to					
7		finance different projects, including improvements to the Town's water and					
8		wastewater infrastructure.					
9							
10	Q.	DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION REGARDING PROSPER'S ABILITY TO					
11		FINANCE SUCH IMPROVEMENTS? IF SO, PLEASE EXPLAIN.					
12	A.	Yes. Based on Prosper's current financial status and low debt ratios, it is my					
13		professional opinion that Prosper could easily issue debt to finance capital					
14		improvements to its wastewater system.					
15							
16	Q.	WHY WOULD IT BE EASY FOR PROSPER TO ACCESS THE CAPITAL					
17		MARKETS TO FUND IMPROVEMENTS TO ITS WASTEWATER SYSTEM?					
18	A.	Prosper has a solid, growing tax base, low debt ratios, and a low tax rate. Prosper has					
19		an investment grade credit rating and, in my opinion, would qualify for bond					
20		insurance and have no problems issuing debt to finance the proposed wastewater					
21		system improvements.					

1 Q. ARE YOU AWARE OF PROSPER'S PLANS TO IMPROVE ITS

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN.

As the attached Texas Municipal Reports indicates, Prosper has only had a few debt 2 A. issuances in the past five years. While Prosper only has a few debt issuances, Prosper's total property tax assessment has increased steadily. For example, in 1998, Prosper's total assessment was \$60,981,698.00 while it grew to \$219,863,605.00 in It is expected that the total assessment will increase again in 2003 to approximately \$262,700,000.00. This growth indicates that Prosper has adequate financial resources available to pay debt service and the Town can easily issue more debt to finance the Town's infrastructure needs. Based on the trends over the past few years in the northern part of the Dallas-Fort Worth Metroplex, it appears that Prosper will continue to experience growth that will only serve to increase its total taxable assessed value. The Texas Municipal Reports discussed above is attached to my testimony as Attachment DRH-2.

14

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15 O. WHAT IS THE TEXAS MUNICPAL REPORTS?

16 A. It is a third-party service that provides detailed financial information on cities. It is 17 commonly relied upon by financial advisors such as myself.

18

- 19 Q. BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE, HOW DOES PROSPER'S CURRENT
- 20 FINANCIAL STATUS COMPARE WITH OTHER COMMUNITIES OF
- 21 SIMILAR SIZE?
- 22 A. Prosper's debt ratios are below the median for similarly sized cities. Further, 23 Prosper's debt ratios are well below the median for cities experiencing fast growth.

This statement is based on my experience working with high growth cities. Such entities often have to issue debt to keep up with infrastructure needs. This leads to higher-than-average debt ratios that typically decrease as more growth occurs in the city. Based on Prosper's preliminary 2003 figures, its debt to total taxable assessed value is approximately 1.68% while fast growing cities in Texas usually experience a ratio closer to 5%. In some extreme cases, the ratio can be as high as 10%.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE DEBT-TO-TOTAL ASSESSMENT RATIO AND ITS USE IN DETERMINING AN ENTITY'S ELIGIBILITY FOR RECEIVING

DEBT FINANCING.

A. One commonly used debt ratio in the bond business is a municipality's total principal amount of debt outstanding divided by its total taxable assessed valuation. This ratio provides a quick indicator of how much debt a city has outstanding and its ability to handle its debt load. The lower the ratio, the easier it is for a City to obtain additional financing. With a debt ratio of under 2%, Prosper is in a strong financial position to obtain financing for sewer system improvements.

Q. WHAT OTHER RATIOS ARE USED TO DETERMINE A MUNICIPALITY'S FINANCIAL INTEGRITY?

A. Total debt per capita, total overlapping debt to total taxable assessed valuation, and amount of fund balance divided by total operating budget are other ratios that are used to determine a municipality's financial integrity.

PREFILED TESTIMONY

HARTMAN

2		OF SIMILAR SIZE?
3	A.	Based on Prosper's \$262,700,000.00 preliminary taxable assessed valuation for tax year
4		2003, Prosper's debt-to-total-taxable-assessed-valuation is 1.68%, well below the median
5		ratio of 3.0% for Texas cities of similar size. Prosper's low ratio is even more significant
6		in light of the strong growth Prosper has experienced. All other ratios are close to or
7		slightly below the median for similarly sized Texas cities.
8		
9	Q.	DO YOU HAVE AN OPINION REGARDING WHETHER PROSPER COULD
10		READILY AND EASILY ACCESS THE CAPITAL MARKETS TO FINANCE
11		IMPROVEMENTS TO ITS WASTEWATER UTILITY? IF SO, WHAT IS
12		THAT OPINION?
13	A.	Yes. My opinion is that Prosper could readily and easily access the capital markets to
14		finance improvements to its wastewater utility system.
15		
16	Q.	ARE YOU AWARE THAT IN THIS PROCEEDING PROSPER IS SEEKING
17		TO ENLARGE ITS SEWER SERVICE TERRITORY?
18	A.	Yes.

1 Q. HOW DO PROSPER'S RATIOS COMPARE TO OTHER COMMUNITIES

2		WOULD THIS HAVE AN EFFECT ON THE WASTEWATER RATES FOR
3		THE TOWN?
4	A.	Not necessarily. Prosper could repay its debt by pledging its ad valorem taxes or
5		sales tax receipts, raising its water/wastewater rates, or any combination thereof. As
6		Prosper awaits to see if it will be allowed to certificate the proposed service territory,
7		this level of detail has not been made.
8		
9	Q.	BASED ON PROSPER'S GOOD FINANCIAL RATING, DO YOU HAVE AN
10		OPINION ON WHETHER PROSPER HAS THE FINANCIAL CAPABILITY
11		TO SERVICE THE PROPOSED SERVICE TERRITORY? IF SO, PLEASE
12		EXPLAIN.
13	A.	Yes, from a financial standpoint. My opinion is that Prosper has the financial capability
14		to service the proposed service territory. Prosper 1s in a sound financial position to serve
15		the proposed service territory.
16		
17	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
1 Ω	٨	Vor

1 Q. IF PROSPER DID ISSUE DEBT TO SERVE THE SERVICE TERRITORY,

Douglas R. Hartman, Vice President (Dallas Office)

Mr. Hartman joined First Southwest Company in July, 1996 and serves as a Vice President in the firm's Dallas Office. Additionally, he has three years of experience working with a national financial advisory firm.

Mr. Hartman works with numerous cities, water districts and school districts throughout the State of Texas. He has worked with many cities to develop and implement multi-year capital financing plans. He is experienced in utilizing all types of debt instruments available to Texas cities and has worked with several cities to develop public/private partnerships to finance major capital projects. He also has significant experience in helping cities and water districts take advantage of the many programs offered by the Texas Water Development Board. Recently, Mr. Hartman has worked with numerous Texas school districts to take advantage of the Instructional Facilities Allotment program. He has expertise working with school districts to maximize available State aid while minimizing the local tax effort.

Mr. Hartman also has significant airport financing experience and has served as financial advisor to the Dallas-Fort Worth International Airport, Dade County Aviation Department (Miami International Airport), Austin-Bergstrom International Airport and Oklahoma City Airport Trust (Will Rogers World Airport). All of these airport clients have undertaken extremely large capital projects over the past several years.

Mr. Hartman is a graduate of The University of Texas at Austin with a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering. He also received his Master of Business Administration with highest honors from The University of Texas Graduate School of Business. He is a Registered Representative of the NASD.





PROSPER, TOWN OF

04/29/03

2183 NE

Collin County

An 1/2 of 1% increase was effective 10-1-95 for the benefit of Economic and Industrial Development.

DETAILS OF GENERAL OBLIGATION DEBT

Outstanding: 09/30/2002

Taxable Assessed Valuation, 2002 (100% of Market) \$ 219,863,605 New Debt Outstanding Debt 4,189,557 Total General Obligation Debt \$ 4,514,557 Less: Self-Supporting Water & Sewer 884,221 GO Debt payable from Ad Valorem Taxes Less: 1&S Funds \$ 3,630,336 73,926* \$ 3,556,410 Net Debt

*Excludes \$26,546 in W&S Fund for Systems G.O. debt.

FINANCIAL STATEMENT (As of September 30, 2002)

Net Debt Per Net Assessed Valuation - 1.62% Net Debt Per Sq mile -\$1,185,470.00

Net Debt Per Capita - \$1,480.60 Net Assessed Valuation Per Capita - \$91,533.56

Bureau of Census Pop: 1990 - 1,018; 2000 - 2,097 2002 Estimated Population - 2,402

Area: 3 Sq miles

PAYMENT RECORD Never defaulted.

TAX DATA

Tax % Collections Year Valuation Year \$0.3550 \$49,596,357 99.10 99.56 09/30/1998 1997 1998 60.981.698 0.3695 99.30 99.97 09/30/1999 81,554,886 0.3600 98.77 99.13 09/30/2000 2000 114.472.263 0.3600 99.10 100.02 09/30/2001 0.3600 95.85 100.05 09/30/2002 2002 219.863.605 0.3600 (In process of collection) Tax Rate Distribution 2002 2001 2000 1999 \$0.2447 \$0.2260 \$0.2444 \$0.1928 Operations 0.1153 0.1340 0.1156 0.1672 \$0,3600 \$0,3600 \$0,3600 \$0,3600

Tax Rate Limitation: Article XI, Section 4 of Texas Constitution, applicable to cities of 5,000 or less - \$1.50 per \$100 assessed valuation for all purposes.

Municipal Sales Tax: The City has adopted the provisions of Article 1066c, VACS, Section 9. In addition, some cities are subject to a property tax relief and/or an economic and industrial development In addition, some cities are subject to a tax. Net collections on calendar year basis are as follows:

ar	Total	% of Ad Val	Equiv of Ad	
Rate*	Collected	Tax Levy	Val Tax Rate	
2.00%	\$297,462	101.30%	\$0.36	
2.00	632,144	153.40	0.55	
2.00	743,203	130.30	0.47	
2.00	908,014	114.70	0.41	
	Rate* 2.00% 2.00 2.00	Rate* Collected 2.00% \$297.462 2.00 632,144 2.00 743,203	Rate* Collected Tax Levy 2.00% \$297,462 101.30% 2.00 632,144 153.40 2.00 743,203 130.30	

COMB TAX & REV C/O SER 96 Tax Treatment: Original Issue Amount: \$805,000 Dated Date: 06/01/1996 Sale Date: 06/11/1996

Delivery Date: Type of Sale: 07/08/1996 Private Place Record Date: MSRB Bond Form:

Denomination: \$5,000 Semi-Annually: 01/10, 07/10 Interest Pays:

lst Coupon Date: Principal Pays: 01/10/1997 Annually Paying Agent: JPMorgan Chase Bank, Dallas Bond Counsel: McCall, Parkhurst & Horton Fin Adv: First Southwest Company, Dallas Purchaser: Texas Water Development Board

Water & Sewer 38.60%

Call Option: Bonds maturing on January 10, 2007 to January 10, 2016 callable in whole or in part, in inverse order, on any date beginning January 10, 2006 © par.

Security: Limited Tax and a subordinate lien and limited pledge on the s of the Waterworks & Sewer system.

			Orig Reoffering
Maturity	Amount	Coupon	Price/Yield
01/10/2003	30,000	5.5000%	N/A
01/10/2004	30,000	5.6000%	N/A
01/10/2005	35,000	5.7000%	N/A
01/10/2006	35,000	5.8000%	N/A
01/10/2007	40,000	5.9000%	N/A
01/10/2008	40,000	6.0000%	N/A
01/10/2009	45,000	6.1000%	N/A
01/10/2010	50,000	6.2000%	N/A
01/10/2011	50,000	6.2500%	N/A
01/10/2012	55,000	6.3000%	N/A
01/10/2013	60,000	6.3500%	N/A
01/10/2014	65,000	6.4000%	N/A
01/10/2015	65,000	6.4500%	N/A
01/10/2016	70,000	6.4500%	N/A
			\$670 0

COMB TAX & REV C/O SER 98

Tax Treatment: BO Original Issue Amount: \$800,000 Dated Date: 07/01/4998 Sale Date: Delivery Date: Type of Sale: 09/16/1998 Private Placement ecord Date: MSRB

BE Bond Form: Denomination: \$5,000

Semi-Annually: 01/10, 07/10 Interest Pays:

1st Coupon Date: 01/10/1999 Principal Pays: Annually Paying Agent: JPWorgan Chase Bank, Dallas Bond Counsel: McCall, Parkhurst & Horton Fin Adv: First Southwest Company





Use of Proceeds: Sewer.

07/10/2003

07/10/2004

07/10/2005

07/10/2006

07/10/2007

07/10/2008

07/10/2009

07/10/2010

07/10/2011

07/10/2012 07/10/2013

07/10/2014

07/10/2015

07/10/2016

07/10/2018

Tax Treatment:

Dated Date:

Sale Date:

Water & Sewer 38.60%

PROSPER, TOWN OF

04/29/03

2183 NE

Page

Collin County

Call Option: Bonds maturing on 07/10/2009 to 07/10/2018 callable

3.6000%

3.7000%

3.7500%

3.8500%

3.9000%

3.9500%

4.0500%

4.1500%

4.2500%

4.3500%

4.4000%

4.4500%

4.5000%

4.5000%

4 5500%

4.5500%

10/01/1999 09/14/1999

10/08/1999

inversely in whole or in part on any date beginning 07/10/2008 @ par.

Orig Reoffering

Price/Yield

NRO

----\$730,000

Purchaser: Texas Water Development Board

Amount Coupon

35.000

35,000

35,000

35,000

40,000

40,000

40,000 45,000

45,000

50,000

50,000

50.000

55,000

55,000

60,000

60,000

ANTICIPATION NOTES SER 99

Original Issue Amount: \$100,000

Sale Date: 09/25/2001 Delivery Date: 10/25/2001 Type of Sale: Negotiated Bond Form: BE

Denomination: \$5,000 Interest Pays: Semi-Annually: 02/15, 08/15

1st Coupon Date: 02/15/2002 Annually

Principal Pays: Insurance: FGIC

Paying Agent: First Union National Bank, Houston Bond Counsel: NcCall, Parkhurst & Horton Fin Adv: First Southwest Company, Dallas Lead Manager: Dain Rauscher Incorporated

Use of Proceeds: Water.

Water & Sewer 12,74%

Call Option: Bonds maturing on 08/15/2012 to 08/15/2019 and 08/15/2021 callable in whole or in part on any date beginning 08/15/2011 ep par The following term bonds are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption each 8/15 @ par as follows: Mty 08/15/2021: 190M-08/15/2020; 200M matures 08/15/2021.

Security: Limited Tax and a Subordinate lien on the Surplus revenues of the Water & Sewer system

			Orig Reoffering	
Maturity	Amount	Coupon	Price/Yield	
08/15/2003	60,000	4.2500%	3.000%	
08/15/2004	90,000	4.2500%	3.200%	
08/15/2005	95,000	4.2500%	3.350%	
08/15/2006	100,000	4.2500%	3.550%	
08/15/2007	105,000	4.2500%	3.750%	
08/15/2008	110,000	4.2500%	3.950%	
08/15/2009	110,000	4.2500%	4.050%	
08/15/2010	115,000	4.1500%	100.000%	
08/15/2011	120,000	4.1500%	4.250%	
08/15/2012	125,000	4.2500%	4.350%	
08/15/2013	135,000	4.3500%	4.450%	
08/15/2014	140,000	4.5000%	4.600%	
08/15/2015	145.000	4.6000%	4.700%	
08/15/2016	155,000	4.7000%	4.800%	
08/15/2017	165,000	4.8000%	4.900%	
08/15/2018	170,000	4.8500%	4.950%	
08/15/2019	180,000	4.9000%	5.000%	
08/15/2021	390,000	5.0000%	5.050%	
	,		2.000.0	

-----\$2,510,000

Delivery Date: Type of Sale: Private Placem OTHER

BO

Record Date: \$100,000 Denomination: Monthly Interest Pays: 1st Coupon Date: 11/01/1999 Principal Pays: Monthly

Paying Agent: Prosper State Bank, Prosper Bond Counsel: McCall, Parkhurst & Horton Purchaser: Local Bank

Use of Proceeds: Water.

Water & Sewer 38,60%

Call Option: Callable in whole on any date or in part on any interest

date e par.

Maturity	Amount	Coupon	Orig Reoffering Price/Yield
09/01/2003	14,347		N/A
09/01/2004	15,150	*	N/A
09/01/2005	16,011	*	N/A
09/01/2006	16,787	*	N/A
			\$62.296

*Monthly payments shown on fiscal year basis.

COMB TAX & REV C/O SER 2001 Tax Treatment: BO Original Issue Amount: \$2,510,000 Dated Date: 09/15/2001

Tax Treatment: BQ
Original Issue Amount: \$217,260 08/01/2002 Sale Date: 07/09/2002 Delivery Date: 08/15/2002 Type of Sale: Private Placement lecord Date: FR Bond Form: \$217,260 Denomination: Interest Pays: Annually 1st Coupon Date: Principal Pays: Annually Paying Agent: Prosper State Bank, Prosper Bond Counsel: McCall, Parkhurst & Horton Purchaser: Local Bank

TAX NOTES SER 2002

P.O. Box 2177, 78768-2177

600 W. Eighth Street, 78701 Austin, Texas (512) 476-6947

FAX (512) 476-6403



ALL RIGHTS RESERVED



PROSPER, TOWN OF

04/29/03

2183 NE

Page

Collin County

Use of Proceeds: Ambulance.

Call Option: Bonds maturing on 07/15/2007 callable in whole or in part on any interest date beginning 08/09/2002 e par. The following term bonds are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption each 7/15 e par as follows: Mty 07/15/2007: 39,702.62-07/15/2003; 40,890.49-07/15/2004; 43,139.47-07/15/2005; 45,512.14-07/15/2006; 48,015.28 matures 07/15/2007.

Orig Reoffering

N/A

Maturity

Amount Coupon Price/Yield

07/15/2007 217,260 5.5000%

-----\$217,260

TAX NOTES SER 2003

Tax Treatment: Original Issue Amount: \$325.000 Sale Date: 02/18/2003 Delivery Date:

03/18/2003 Type of Sale: Private Placement OTHER Record Date:

Bond Form: FR \$325,000 Denomination: Interest Pays: Annually 1st Coupon Date: 03/01/2004 Principal Pays: Annually
Paying Agent: Prosper State Bank, Prosper
Bond Counsel: McCall, Parkhurst & Horton

Purchaser: Local Bank

Use of Proceeds: Fire Truck, Ambulance.

Call Option: Bonds maturing on 03/01/2004 to 03/01/2008 callable in

whole or in part on any payment date * par.

Maturity	Amount	Coupon	Orig Reoffering Price/Yield
03/01/2004	58,816	5.0000%	N/A
03/01/2005	61,757	5.0000%	N/A
03/01/2006	64,845	5.0000%	N/A
03/01/2007	68,087	5.0000%	N/A
03/01/2008	71,492	5.0000%	N/A
			\$325,000

GRAND TOTAL \$4.514.556

BOND DERT SERVICE

Period Ending	Principal	Interest	Debt Service
09/30/2003	179,050.09	199,805.27	378,855.36
09/30/2004	269,857.63	208, 124.84	477,982.47
09/30/2005	285,908.50	195, 116, 47	481,024.97
09/30/2006	297,145.41	181,390.27	478,535.68
09/30/2007	301,103.09	167,352.34	468, 455. 43
09/30/2008	261,492.20	152,904.61	414,396.81
09/30/2009	195,000.00	140,502.50	335,502.50
09/30/2010	210,000.00	131,285.90	341,285.00
09/30/2011	215,000.00	121,532.50	336,532.50
09/30/2012	230,000.00	111,345.00	341,345.00
09/30/2013	245,000.00	100,220.00	345,220.00
09/30/2014	255,000.00	88, 162. 50	343,162.50
09/30/2015	265,000.00	75,461.25	340,461.25
09/30/2016	280,000.00	61,962.50	341,962.50
09/30/2017	225,000.00	49,945.00	274,945.00

COMPUTED ON	BASIS OF MANDATORY		
	4,514,556.92	2,082,225.07	6,596,781.99
09/30/2021	200,000.00	10,000.00	210,000.00
09/30/2020	190,000.00	19,500.00	209,500.00
09/30/2019	180,000.00	28,320.00	208,320.00
09/30/2018	230,000.00	39,295.00	269,295.00

DEBT SERVICE FUND MANAGEMENT INDEX

G.O. Debt Service Requirements for

fiscal year-ending 09/30/2003
I&S Fds all G.O. issues 09/30/2002 \$73.926*

2002 I&S Fund Tax Levy @ 90% Water & Sewer 81,470

*Excludes \$26,546 in W&S Fund for Systems G.O. debt.

SELF SUPPORTING DEBT

The September 30, 2002 Audit reports the following General Obligation Debt being paid from revenues other than ad valorem taxes; thus considered self-supporting.

Source Amount of debt Water & Sewer \$884,221

WATERWORKS & SEWER SYSTEM OPERATING EXPERIENCE The following condensed statements have been compiled using accounting principles customarily employed in the determination of net revenues available for debt service, and in all instances exclude depreciation, transfers, debt service payments and expenditures identified as capital.

Statements include undeterminable amount of garbage revenue.

	Fiscal Y	ear Ended	
09-30-02	09~30~01	09-30-00	09-30-99
\$978,429	\$866,444	\$561,457	\$549,435
840,515	109,850	28,306	92,305
\$1,818,944	\$976,294	\$589,763	\$641,740*
\$902,263	\$789,732	\$577,269	\$511,103
			•
\$916,681	\$186,562	\$12,494	\$130,637
614	512	491	487
934	806	733	682
	\$978,429 840,515 	09-30-02 09-30-01 \$978,429 \$866,444 840,515 109,850 \$1,818,944 \$976,294 \$902,263 \$789,732 \$916,681 \$186,562	\$978,429 \$866,444 \$561,457 840,515 109,850 28,306

*Excludes \$98,300 Grant Revenue:

WATER SUPPLY, Three wells.

WATER RATES (Billed Monthly) Effective September 30, 2002. No change since January 1, 2001.

Inside City Limits:

Gallons	Rates
0-2,000	\$8.75/M (Min.)
Next 38,000	3.40/M
Next 40,000	4.50/M

MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COUNCIL OF TEXAS

600 W. Eighth Street, 78701 Austin, Texas (512) 476-6947 P.O. Box 2177, 78768-2177 FAX (512) 476-6403



PROSPER, TOWN OF

04/29/03

2183 NE

Collin County

Page

Next 20,000 Over 100,000 6.50/14

Outside City Limits:

Gallons	Rates	
0-2,000	\$19.75/M	(Min.)
Next 38,000	3.40/M	
Next 40,000	4.50/N	
Next 20,000	6.50/M	
Over 100,000	11.50/M	

SEWER RATES (Billed Monthly) Effective September 30, 2002. No change since January 1, 2001.

Rates **Gallons** \$6.00/M (Min.) 0-2,000 1.60/M Over 2,000

*Maximum charge \$25.20.

GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED None

PENSION FUND All qualified employees of the City are members of the Texas Municipal Retirement System. The City employees also participate in the U.S. Social Security program.

2003 Contribution Rates (Percentage of gross covered salary)

Employee: 7.00% City: 2.53%

Actuarial Valuation as of December 31, 2001:

\$161.742 Accrued Liabilities \$132,157 \$ 29,585 Overfunded Liability

122.4% Funded Ratio Annual Covered Payroll \$404,000 Overfunded Liability as a Pct of Covered Payroll 7.3%

Source: City of Prosper September 30, 2002 Annual Financial Report.

NON-FUNDED DEET PAYABLE (As of September 30, 2002) None.

ESTIMATED OVERLAPPING DEBT STATEMENT

	Net	Debt		Amount
Taxing Body	Amount	As of	% Ovlpg	Ovlpg
Collin Co \$	200,594,996*	03-31-03	0.47	\$ 942,796
Collin Co CCD	31,209,988*	03-31-03	0.47	146,687
Prosper ISD	21,974,431	01-31-03	49.44	10,864,159
Total Net Overlapping De	bt:			\$11,953,642
Prosper, Town of	(09-30-02		3,556,410
Total direct and overlapp	ing Debt			\$15,510,052
Total direct and overlapp	ing Debt % of	A.V.:		7.05%
Total direct and overlapp	ing Debt Per	Capita:		\$6,457
*Gross Debt				

ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

The Town of Prosper is located at the intersection of State Highways 380 and 289, approximately 30 miles north of Dallas and 5 miles north of Frisco. It is a rapidly growing residential community with residents community to the Cities of Dallas and Plano. The 2000 population was 2,097, an increase of 105.9%

County Characteristics: Collin County was created in 1846 from Fannin County. The county has an economy based on manufacturing and agriculture. It ranked 10th in wheat production for 1999. The Texas Almanac designates sorghum, wheat, hay, cotton, and livestock as the principal sources of agricultural income. Many residents commute to jobs in Dallas, Garland and Richardson. To County ranked 3rd in production of wheat for the state in 2000. The county seat is McKinney.

The 2000 census for the County was 491,675, an increase of 86.22% since 1990. The County is Texas' 10th largest county by population. The total 2002 Effective Buying Income was \$16.4 billion with a The total ZUUZ Effective Buying Income was \$10.4 billion with a median income of \$61,055 compared to the state median of \$38,669.* A total of 91.4% of the households had Effective Buying Incomes in excess of \$20,000, while 8.6% had incomes below \$20,000.* Retail sales for 2002 totaled \$7.3 billion.* The Texas Employment Commission reported countywide employment of 187,291 with earnings of \$1.9 billion in the second quarter of 2002.

Popular recreational attractions include water sports on Lake Lavon, the McKinney Historical District and the South Fork Ranch of the "Dallas" television series. Mineral production is limited to small amounts of limestone. Collin County Community College District had a fall 2002 enrollment of 15,970 students.

Any data on population, value added by manufacturing or production of minerals or agricultural products are from US Census or other official

* Sales & Marketing Management: 2002 Survey of Buying Power; further reproduction not licensed.

Pri	ncipal Taxpayers	2002 AV %	of AV
1.	Prosper Land Co LLC	\$ 18,911,602	8.60%
	Property/Development		
2.	Deion Sanders	7,229,552	3.28%
	Residence		
3.	Associates Leasing Inc.	2,978,470	1.35%
	Property/Development		
4.	Lattimore Materials Co.	2,569,796	1.16%
	Concrete Plant		
5.	SBC	2,157,320	0.98%
	Telephone Utility		
6.	Jobe Properties	1,987,918	0.90%
	Real Estate		
7.	Hope Lumber & Supply Co.	1,987,918	0.90%
	Lumber Company		
8.	Mahard Grain Co	1,794,130	0.81%
	Grain Mill		
9.	Lennar Homes of Texas	1,731,520	0.78%
	Homebuilder		
10.	Carmen Investments	1,478,000	0.67%
	Commercial		
	Total:	\$ 42,826,226	19.47%*

* Rounding differences

Major Employers*

Employees

MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COUNCIL OF TEXAS

600 W. Eighth Street, 78701 Austin, Texas (512) 476-6947 FAX (512) 476-6403 P.O. Box 2177, 78768-2177





PROSPER, TOWN OF

04/29/03

2183 NE

Collin County

Page

Mahard Egg Farm	100
Egg Farm	
Hope Lumber	15
Lumber	
Tree Source	10
Landscape	
Guthrie Lumber	10
Lumber	
Fina	10
Convenient Store	

*Source: Official Statement dated September 25, 2001.

FINANCE CONNECTED OFFICIALS

Mayor Jim Dunmire P.O. Box 307 Prosper, TX 75078-0307 Phone: 972-347-2304 Fax: 972-347-2111 Jim_Dunmire*prospertx.org

Town Administrator Jennifer Finley
P.O. Box 307 Prosper, TX 75078-0307 Phone: 972-346-2640 Fax: 972-347-2111 Jennifer_Finley*prospertx.org

Town Secretary Amber Phillips P. O. Box 307 Prosper, TX 75078-0307 Phone: 972-346-2640 Fax: 972-347-2111

Amber_Phillips@prospertx.org

Tax Assessor/Collector Radean Herron Prosper Independent School District

P.O. Box 65 Prosper, TX 75078~0307 Phone: 972-346-9213 Fax: 972-347-2919

Chief Appraiser
Jimmie Honea
Collin County Appraisal District
2404 Avenue K
Plano, TX 75074-5911
Phome: 972-578-5200
Fax: 972-578-0733

Fax: 972-578-0733 jhonea@collincad.org

KED

MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COUNCIL OF TEXAS 600 W. Eighth Street, 78701 Austin, Texas (512) 476-6947 FAX (512) 476-6403

P.O. Box 2177, 78768-2177

SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-03-1994 TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2002-1350-UCR

APPLICATION OF THE TOWN OF	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
PROSPER TO AMEND SEWER	§	
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE	§	OF
AND NECESSITY (CCN) NO. 20888	§	
IN DENTON COUNTY, APPLICATION	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
NO. 34004-C	§	
	3	

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF RANDEL L. DOBBS, P.E., R.P.L.S.

ON BEHALF OF THE

TOWN OF PROSPER

JULY 25, 2003

APP EX. 104

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF RANDEL L. DOBBS, P.E., R.P.L.S.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SEC	<u>PAC</u>	<u> ; E</u>
I.	INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS	.1
II.	SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	. 5
III.	TESTIMONY	6

SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-03-1994 TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2002-1350-UCR

APPLICATION OF THE TOWN OF	§ BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
PROSPER TO AMEND SEWER	§
CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE	§ OF
AND NECESSITY (CCN) NO. 20888	§
IN DENTON COUNTY, APPLICATION	§ ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
NO. 34004-C	§

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF RANDEL L. DOBBS, P.E., R.P.L.S.

1		I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
2	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR NAME?
3	A.	My name is Randel L. Dobbs, P.E., R.P.L.S.
4		
5	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR BUSINESS ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER?
6	A.	My business address is 505 East Brown Street, Wylie, Texas, 75098. My business
7		telephone number is (972) 442-5405.
8		
9	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION?
10	A.	I am the Planning Officer for the North Texas Municipal Water District (the
11		"District" or "NTMWD").

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.
2	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering in 1976 from Texas A&M
3		University.
4		
5	Q.	ARE YOU A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER?
6	A.	Yes. I am a licensed professional engineer in the State of Texas.
7		
8	Q.	WHEN DID YOU BECOME A LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER?
9	A.	I have been licensed in the State of Texas since 1981. My professional engineering
10		license number is 49267.
11		
12	Q.	DO YOU HOLD ANY OTHER LICENSES OR REGISTRATIONS?
13	A.	Yes. I am also a registered professional land surveyor in the State of Texas. My
14		registration number is 4623.
15		
16	Q.	HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN WITH THE DISTRICT?
17	A.	I have been with the District since 1997. I was the Assistant Engineering Officer
18		from May 1997 through May 1998. I was the District's Engineering Officer from
19 .		May 1998 through April 2003, and I have been the District's Planning Officer since
20		April 2003.

Q. WHAT ARE YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES?

A. As the District's Planning Officer, I am responsible for the management and supervision of the District's Planning Department. The staff of the District's Planning Department includes the Assistant Planning Officer, a professional engineer, a registered professional land surveyor, a senior planning analyst, two land agents, a survey technician, and an administrative assistant.

A.

8 Q. WHAT IS THE FUNCTION OF THE DISTRICT'S PLANNING 9 DEPARTMENT?

The Planning Department is responsible for the short-term and long-term planning for the water, wastewater, and solid waste operating systems. The Department tracks the growth of the District's service area through population projections, requests for new or expanded service, land use changes, and changes in the total usage by the members and customers of the three operating systems. The services provided by the Planning Department include short-term and long-term planning for water resources development, water rights, water treatment and distribution, wastewater treatment plants, wastewater collection, solid waste landfills, and solid waste transfer stations. The Department also maintains the master plans for the water, wastewater and solid waste systems and updates and tracks the capital improvement programs for the systems as well as providing the data for updating the rate models. The Department maintains and upgrades the District's water conservation and drought contingency policy, provides mapping and graphics support for the District, acquires any needed real estate and rights-of-way, maintains the District's library, develops vulnerability

assessments for the District's facilities, and acts as liaison to the members and 2 customers, planning groups, and other governmental agencies.

3

4

Q. WHAT IS YOUR PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT HISTORY?

The following briefly summarizes my employment history prior to joining the 5 A. District: Assistant City Engineer for the City of Richardson (1996 through 1997); 6 7 Town Engineer for the Town of Highland Park (1991 through 1996); Senior Civil 8 Engineer for the City of Garland Engineering Department (1987 through 1991); Manager, Kindle, Stone & Associates, Inc. (1985 through 1987); City Engineer for 9 10 the City of Farmers Branch (1983 through 1985); Project Engineer for B.L. Nelson 11 Associates, Inc. (1982 through 1983); Engineering Designer II for the City of Farmers Branch Department of Public Works (1981 through 1982); Project Engineer, 12 Henningson, Durham & Richardson, Inc. (1980 through 1981); Design Engineer, C.L. Moon, Inc. (1979 through 1980); and Civil Engineer for Dallas Water Utilities (1976 through 1979).

16

13

14

15

Q. IS YOUR RESUME ATTACHED TO THIS PREFILED TESTIMONY? 17

A. Yes. It is attached as Attachment RLD-1. 18

PREFILED TESTIMONY

DOBBS

1	Q.	IS THE INFORMATION IN YOUR RESUME, CONTAINED IN							
2		ATTACHMENT RLD-1, AN ACCURATE REFLECTION OF YOUR							
3		EDUCATION, PROFESSIONAL HISTORY, AND QUALIFICATIONS?							
4	A.	Yes.							
5									
6	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TOWN OF PROSPER'S REQUEST FOR							
7		AN AMENDMENT TO ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND							
8		NECESSITY NO. 20888?							
9	A.	Yes, I am generally familiar with the application.							
10									
11	Q.	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE TEXAS COMMISSION ON							
12		ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ("TCEQ") REGULATIONS CONCERNING							
13		CERTIFICATIONS OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY?							
14	A.	Yes.							
15		II. SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY							
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY TODAY?							
17	A.	The purpose of my testimony today is to discuss the relationship between Prosper and							
18		NTMWD as well as any contracts that are either currently in place or proposed							
19		between Prosper and NTMWD.							

III. TESTIMONY

2	Q.	PLEASE	DESCRIBE	THE	EXISTING	RELATIONSHIP	BETWEEN
3		PROSPER	R AND THE DI				

Prosper approached NTMWD as well as the City of Frisco seeking contracts for the provision of water and wastewater transportation and treatment capacity. NTMWD is agreeable to such contracts and has recently executed a contract that will supply Prosper with a permanent source of surface water. There are additional contracts being drafted for the provision of wastewater transportation and wastewater treatment services. Prosper is roughly split between two drainage basins. The eastern one-third of Prosper naturally drains to Wilson Creek and the District's Upper East Fork Interceptor System and the Wilson Creek Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant. The western two-thirds of Prosper naturally drains to Doe Creek and Panther Creek, and this area could be easily served by the District's proposed Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant. The Prosper service area was specifically noted in the Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant permit application and the plant was sized to provide this service. NTMWD has the ability to provide Prosper with wastewater treatment plant capacity within two years.

Additionally, I am aware that Prosper recently executed a contract with the City of Frisco for interim surface water supply.

Q. PLEASE PROVIDE THE SPECIFICS OF THE PROPOSED STUDY FOR SERVICE TO THE PANTHER CREEK/DOE CREEK DRAINAGE AREA AND THE PROPOSED PANTHER CREEK REGIONAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT.

As noted in the Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant permit application, this facility was designed and permitted as a regional facility. The District is developing an engineering services agreement to assess regional service issues associated with the Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant site. The District currently holds a wastewater treatment discharge permit for five million gallons per day initially and ten million gallons per day for Phase II construction for the Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant. The Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant was proposed originally for service to the City of Frisco and the Town of Prosper. The Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant will serve drainage basins that include several of the surrounding cities, including Frisco and Prosper. Additionally, with the growth of the area and in order to optimize the District's various regional collection and treatment systems, it is advantageous for the District to develop the Panther Creek site as a regional facility. By making the Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant a regional facility, it allows for other partners to participate in the capital financing as well as with the annual operation and maintenance costs. This type of arrangement would be advantageous to both Frisco and any additional members of the proposed regional system. There is the opportunity for Frisco and Prosper to utilize a common regional lift station and force main to the Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant since both cities have area within the Doe Creek and Panther Creek

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Drainage Basins. The lift station would be located near the area at the northwest corner of the Frisco City limits and the southwest corner of the Prosper City limits. The topography in that area lends itself to a common lift station site that could pump all of the wastewater from the Frisco/Prosper area that is in Doe Creek and Panther Creek Basins. The lift station and force main would be included in the regional wastewater collection system. The proposed study will examine the feasibility of developing a regional wastewater collection system with the Panther Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant constructed as a regional treatment facility. The potential members of this system could be the cities of Frisco, Prosper, Little Elm, The Colony, and Plano.

A.

Q. PLEASE PROVIDE THE SPECIFICS OF THE PROPOSED WASTEWATER TRANSPORTATION CONTRACT AND THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT CONTRACT.

Pursuant to the wastewater interceptor system (transportation) contract, the District will provide, manage, operate, and maintain a regional interceptor wastewater collection system to collect and transport wastewater flows from the System's member and customer cities to the Regional Wastewater Treatment System's plants for treatment and discharge. In all likelihood, Prosper will participate in this contract.

Pursuant to the wastewater treatment contract, the District will provide, manage, operate, and maintain a Regional Wastewater Treatment System necessary for providing adequate, efficient, and economical service to the Member Cities. In all likelihood, Prosper will participate in this contract. The contract would allow Prosper

to discharge up to 4,960,000 gallons per day of wastewater from its sewer system into the District's system, provided that the wastewater meets the requirements for quantity and quality set forth in the contract and as it is permitted to deliver to the District for transportation pursuant to the transportation contract discussed above.

Q. WHAT IS THE TERM IN THE PROPOSED CONTRACTS?

7 A. The contacts would be in force from the effective date at least until the principal and
8 interest on all bonds have been paid in full and thereafter throughout the useful life of
9 the Regional Wastewater System.

A.

Q. WHY WOULD PROPSER SEEK A CONTRACT WITH THE DISTRICT?

The District is a regional provider of treated water, wastewater, and solid waste services. Prosper is situated within the planning area of the District and it is easily accessible to the services provided by the District. The District should be able to provide wastewater transportation and treatment more economically and efficiently through the regional systems than if Prosper were to seek to provide those same services alone. By Prosper contracting with the District for wastewater transportation and treatment, it would eliminate the need for Prosper to construct, operate and maintain its own wastewater treatment facilities to serve the Proposed Service Territory. It eliminates the proliferation of numerous small treatment facilities, and allows for wastewater treatment to be provided in larger regional facilities with better control on environmental quality.

- 1 Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT WITH THE PROPOSED CONTRACT PROSPER
- 2 CAN PROVIDE CONTINOUS AND ADEQUATE SERVICE TO ALL OF ITS
- 3 **CUSTOMERS?**
- 4 A. Yes.

5

- 6 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 7 A. Yes.

RANDEL L. DOBBS, P.E., R.P.L.S.

309 Rustic Ridge Drive Garland, Texas 75040 Phone: 972-414-1154

EDUCATION

1968-1972: Forney High School, Forney, Texas

1972-1976: Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas

B.S. Degree in Civil Engineering, December, 1976

REGISTRATION

Texas Registered Professional Engineer No. 49267 Texas Registered Professional Land Surveyor No. 4623

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

My experience is in various areas of civil design, municipal administration, construction management, utility management and residential and commercial development. My design experience includes paving, drainage, water and sanitary sewer facilities. I have been involved in various phases of civil engineering design and project management with several municipalities and private consulting firms.

April 2003 - Present

Planning Officer - North Texas Municipal Water District.

As Planning Officer I am responsible for managing and supervising all personnel in the Planning Department including the Assistant Planning Officer, a professional engineer, a registered professional surveyor, a senior planning analyst, two land agents, a survey technician, and an administrative assistant. The services provided by the Planning Department include short and long-term planning for water resources development, water rights, water treatment and distribution, wastewater treatment plants, wastewater collection, solid waste landfills, and solid waste transfer stations. The department also maintains the master plans for the water, wastewater and solid waste systems and updates and tracks the capital improvement programs for the systems as well as providing the data for updating the rate models. The department maintains and upgrades the District's water conservation and drought contingency policy, provides mapping and graphics support for the District, acquires any needed real estate and rights-of-way, maintains the District's library, develops vulnerability assessments for the District' facilities, and acts

as liaison to the members and customers, planning groups, and other governmental agencies.

May 1997 - April 2003

Assistant Engineer Officer/Engineer Officer – North Texas Municipal Water District. I was promoted from Assistant Engineering Officer to Engineering Officer in May 1998. Responsible for the management and supervision of the NTMWD's Engineering System. The staff includes an assistant, ten engineers, a registered surveyor, three technicians, a land agent, eight construction inspectors, a line locator and an administrative assistant. The Engineering System is responsible for the engineering and technical support of the water, wastewater, and solid waste operating systems. The primary mission in the management of approximately \$300 million in design/construction projects for replacement and upgrades to the operating systems to keep pace with the growth that continues in the member and customer cities. The Engineering System also is responsible for management of long term and short-term studies for the three operating system to insure that planning efforts are keeping pace with the growth and demands in the three operating systems. Engineering provides direct technical support and management for the daily operations and maintenance of the systems.

1996 - 1997

Assistant City Engineer – City of Richardson. Responsible for the management and supervision of the development engineer, two engineering technicians, construction manager, five construction inspectors and the administrative secretary. I acted as a project engineer on design and construction contracts. I managed and provided support for department functions such as inspections, quality control of all construction projects, preparation of design and contract documents, maintenance of engineering maps and records, floodplain management, annual department budget, acquisition of easements and right-of-way, liaison with private utility companies, preparation of construction standards, review of designs and issuance of permits for utility construction within the city.

1991 -1996

Town Engineer – Town of Highland Park. Responsible for providing engineering support to all of the operating departments in the town including public works – streets, water, sanitary sewer, storm drainage, and street lighting, sanitation, parks, and public safety. Directly responsible for the operation of the town's traffic signals, water, sanitary sewer, and drainage systems. Responsible for the capital projects including the design and the construction of paving, drainage, water, and sanitary sewer projects. I served as the town's liaison to TxDOT for the North Central Expressway reconstruction, the City of Dallas, the City of University Park, Dallas County Park Cities Municipal Utility District, the supplier of the town's treated water, USEPA, and the TNRCC. Responsible for the maintenance of the town's GIS and CAD system.

1987 - 1991 -

Senior Civil Engineer – City of Garland Engineer Department. Responsible for the management of the design staff for the engineering department. The staff included the city's cartographer, three design technicians, a drafter, and the chief technician. Responsible for production of approximately five million of in-house design projects. Promoted to Senior Field Engineer in the fall of 1988. Responsible for the management of approximately \$15-20 million of annual CIP construction and five million of subdivision construction with the inspection staff of one chief inspector and four inspectors. I also managed the cities survey crew.

1985 - 1987

Kindle, Stone & Associates, Inc., Manager of the Dallas Branch office. Responsible for management of the Dallas Branch office including marketing, overall project management, budgeting, financial management, and supervision of technical and support staff.

1983 - 1985

City of Farmers Branch – City Engineer. Responsible for the management of the Engineering Department including all phases of municipal engineering including development plan review, city project design and construction, consultant design management, and engineering support to all city departments.

1982 - 1983

B. L. Nelson Associates, Inc., Dallas, Texas – Project Engineer. Responsible for numerous private development and city projects, boundary surveys, plats, site plans, construction plan design, and all stages of development plan preparation.

1981 - 1982

City of Farmers Branch – Engineering Designer II, Department of Public Works. Responsibilities include construction plan and plat review, project design, construction management and facilities management.

1980 - 1981

Henningson, Durham & Richardson, Inc., Dallas, Texas – Project Engineer. Responsible for facilities design, preparation of construction plans and specifications, and project management and coordination.

1979 - 1980

C. L. Moon, Inc., Dallas, Texas – Design Engineer. Responsibilities include design and construction plan preparation for topography maps, property surveys, drainage maps, water, sanitary sewer, storm drainage, and paving facilities, grading plans and cost estimation for private and public projects.

1976 - 1979

Dallas Water Utilities, Dallas, Texas – Civil Engineer. Responsibilities included design of water and sanitary sewer mains and related structures, utility relocation design, construction management, facility planning area studies for federal programs, infiltration/inflow analyses, sanitary sewer television inspections, and feasibility analysis of new construction materials and methods.

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES

Texas Society of Professional Surveyors
American Water Works Association

OVERSIZED MAP(S)

TO VIEW OVERSIZED MAP(S) PLEASE GO TO CENTRAL RECORDS

FOR ANY QUESTIONS
PLEASE CALL *CR* MAIN LINE
(512) 936-7180