

Control Number: 42964



Item Number: 11

Addendum StartPage: 0

House Bill (HB) 1600 and Senate Bill (SB) 567 83rd Legislature, Regular Session, transferred the functions relating to the economic regulation of water and sewer utilities from the TCEQ to the PUC effective September 1, 2014

Karen Blaschke - Re: City of Bonham, Application Nos. 35091-C & RECEIVED

ZUIL DEC 17 PM 4: 40
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
FILING CLERK

From:

Daniel Smith

To:

Blaschke, Karen

Date:

11/2/2005 2:00 PM

Subject:

Re: City of Bonham, Application Nos. 35091-C & 35092-C

Then, yes, let's add the "other request language," which I assume means that language that Tammy had redone for other Rodriguez apps., right?

Dan

>>> Karen Blaschke 11/2/2005 1:58 PM >>> Yes, I need to send a FNOD for 2 items.

Thanks, Karen

>>> Daniel Smith 11/2/2005 11:44 AM >>>

Well, the maps are pretty aggressive and there is dual-certification requested. The balance sheet has a lot of debt, but the net income is good. Do you have other requirements for an NOD?

Dan

>>> Karen Blaschke 11/2/2005 11:13 AM >>>

Dan, these applications are amendments and we asked for construction breakdown & an estimated time line to indicate the cost & time-frame to provide water and sewer service to requests for service received in the 1st BTR letter. Mr. Rodriguez responded with the not a legal requirement language. Should I use the other request language with an NOD or can we go forward with the current financial information?

Thanks, Karen



Karen Blaschke - Re: Application NO. 35091-C & 35092-C

From:

Karen Blaschke

To:

Faith Wright

Date:

11/7/2005 10:07 AM

Subject:

Re: Application NO. 35091-C & 35092-C

Faith, please, disregard the Final Notice of Deficiency letter dated November 1, 2005. You should be receiving another Final Notice of Deficiency letter dated November 7, 2005 and this letter includes the additional items you have submitted.

Please, call me at 239-6932 if you have any questions.

Sincerely, Karen Blaschke

>>> "Faith Wright" <fwright@rmrlawfirm.com> 11/7/2005 9:34 AM >>> I received a Final NOD on this application. It was my understanding, from our conversations, that you had extended our deadline for responding to the initial NOD to 11/1/05 for this application. The items listed in the Final NOD are the same ones that I responded to the Commission with on 11/1/05. Is this going to cause the application to be rejected if there are now additional items that you discover are needed?

Faith Wright
Russell, Moorman & Rodriguez, L.L.P.
102 West Morrow, Suite 103
Georgetown, Texas 78626
512/ 930-1317
512/ 930-7742 (fax)
fwright@rmrlawfirm.com