

Control Number: 42867



Item Number: 21

Addendum StartPage: 0

House Bill (HB) 1600 and Senate Bill (SB) 567 83rd Legislature, Regular Session, transferred the functions relating to the economic regulation of water and sewer utilities from the TCEQ to the PUC effective September 1, 2014.

SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-13-4617 TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2013-0865-UCR

RECEIVED 2014 SEP -5 AM 9: 25

BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE FILING CLERK PETITION OF NORTH AUSTIN MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 1, § NORTHTOWN MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT, TRAVIS COUNTY WATER CONTROL AND IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT NO. 10 AND WELLS **BRANCH MUNICIPAL UTILITY OF DISTRICT** FROM THE RATEMAKING ACTIONS OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN AND REQUEST FOR INTERIM RATES § IN WILLIAMSON AND TRAVIS § **COUNTIES** § ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

SOAH DOCKET NO. 582-14-3145 TCEQ DOCKET NO. 2014-0489-UCR

PETITION OF NORTH AUSTIN	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO.	1, §	
NORTHTOWN MUNICIPAL UTILITY		
DISTRICT, AND WELLS BRANCH	8	
MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT	8	OF
FROM THE RATEMAKING ACTIONS	\$ \$	
OF THE CITY OF AUSTIN	8	
AND REQUEST FOR INTERIM RATES	S 8	
IN WILLIAMSON AND TRAVIS	- 8 - 8	
COUNTIES	8	ADMINISTRATIVE HEADINGS

NORTHTOWN MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO CITY OF AUSTIN'S AMENDED FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

TO: City of Austin, by and through its attorneys of record, Stephen P. Webb and Gwendolyn Hill Webb, Webb & Webb, 712 Southwest Tower, 211 East 7th Street, Austin, Texas 78701.

COMES NOW, Northtown Municipal Utility District ("Northtown" or "Petitioner"), in the above-styled and numbered cause, and serves this, its Response to the City of Austin's Amended First Request for Production of Documents.

Respectfully submitted,

Randall B. Wilburn, Attorney at Law State Bar No. 24033342 3000 South IH 35, Suite 150 Austin, Texas 78704 Telephone: (512) 535-1661 Fax: (512) 535-1678

John Carlton State Bar No. 03817600 The Carlton Law Firm, P.L.L.C. 2705 Bee Cave Road, Suite 200 Austin, Texas 78746

Telephone: (512) 614-0901

Fax: (512) 900-2855

JOHN J. CARLTON

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served via hand delivery, facsimile, electronic mail, overnight mail, U.S. mail and/or certified mail, return receipt requested on all parties whose names appear below on the 12th day of August, 2014.

Gwendolyn Webb Stephen P. Webb Webb & Webb P.O. Box 1329 Austin, Texas 78767 Telephone: 512-472-9990

Fax: 512-472-3183

Email: g.hill.webb@webbwebblaw.com

Clark Cornwell, Assistant Attorney City of Austin P.O. Box 1088

Austin, Texas 78767-1088 Telephone: 512-974-6482

Fax: 512-974-6490

Email: <u>clark.cornwell@austintexas.gov</u>

Garrett Arthur TCEQ Office of Public Counsel, MC 103 P.O. Box 13087

Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Telephone: 512-239-5757

Fax: 512-239-6377

Email: garrett.arthur@tceq.texas.gov

Hollis Henley, Staff Attorney **Environmental Law Division** P.O. Box 13087 – MC-173 Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Telephone: 512-239-0602

Fax: 512-239-0606

Email: hollis.henley@tceq.texas.gov

TCEQ Chief Clerk, MC 105

P.O. Box 13087

Austin, Texas 78711-3087 Telephone: 512-239-3300

Fax: 512-239-3311

JOHN J. CARLTON

PRODUCTION REQUESTS

1. Please provide budgets formally adopted by Northtown for current year and previous five (5) years. Please include all back up data for each budget formally adopted by Northtown.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad in its time frame; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof and its budgets are not a basis for the underlying rate action; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); see also Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); the request is irrelevant and unduly burdensome as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein); and the request is overbroad as it requests "all back up data" which is not limited in scope or time, and would likely include documents protected by attorney work product or attorney-client privileges.

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive and non-privileged documents will be produced.

2. Please provide copies of the budgeted water revenue for Northtown versus actual water revenue reports for the past five (5) completed fiscal years.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad in its time frame; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof and its budgeted and actual water revenues are not a basis for the underlying rate action; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); see also Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); and the request is irrelevant and unduly burdensome as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein).

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive documents will be produced.

3. Please provide copies of all revenue forecast models used by Northtown for the last five (5) years.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad in its time frame; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof and its budgeted and actual water revenues are not a basis for the underlying rate action; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); see also Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); and the request is irrelevant and unduly burdensome as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein).

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive documents will be produced.

4. Please provide copies of the resolutions or orders to establish water rates that have been formally adopted by Northtown for current year and previous five (5) years.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad in its time frame; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); see also Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); and the request is irrelevant as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein).

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive documents will be produced.

5. Please provide documents that show the number of customers served by Northtown by each customer class for current year and previous five (5) years.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad in its time frame; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof and its customer numbers and customer classes are not a basis for the underlying rate action; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); *see also* Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); and the request is irrelevant and unduly burdensome as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein).

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive documents will be produced.

6. Please provide line item detail of each operating and capital expense assigned to the water rate revenue requirements of Northtown for current year and previous five (5) years.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad in its time frame; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof and its water rate revenue requirements are not a basis for the underlying rate action; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); see also Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); the request is overbroad and unduly burdensome in that it requires Petitioner to create documents in order to respond; and the request is irrelevant and unduly burdensome as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein).

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive documents will be produced.

7. Please provide copies of all water rate studies completed by Northtown or at the direction of Northtown, within last five (5) years.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad in its time frame; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof and its water rate studies, if any, are not a basis for the underlying rate action; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); *see also* Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); and the request is irrelevant and unduly burdensome as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein).

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive documents, to the extent any exist, will be produced.

8. Please provide all documents which describe, with specificity, the Northtown's state approved water conservation plan.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad as it is not limited in time; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof and its water conservation programs are not a basis for the underlying rate action; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); *see also* Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); the request is irrelevant and unduly burdensome as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein); and the request is overbroad as it requests "all documents" which is not limited in scope or time, and could include documents protected by attorney work product or attorney-client privileges.

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive and non-attorney/client privileged documents will be produced.

9. Please provide dated "screen shot" copies of Northtown's web pages advertising the availability of water conservation programs to their customers.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad as it is not limited in time; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof and its water conservation programs are not a basis for the underlying rate action; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); *see also* Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); the request is irrelevant and unduly burdensome as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein); and the request is unduly burdensome, as the Petitioner's web page is

equally available to the City, the City can create its own "screen shot," and the Petitioner is not required to create documents in order to respond to a request.

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive documents will be produced.

10. Please provide documents, reports, memoranda, and pamphlets that describe Northtown's current financial reserves fund policy; its treatment of reserve funds, and its use of such funds for new construction and operations and maintenance. Provide copies of documents that describe said policy.

Objection: Northtown objects to this request on the following independent bases: the request is overbroad as it is not limited in time; the request is irrelevant, because this Petitioner does not have the burden of proof and its reserve funds are not a basis for the underlying rate action; the request seeks information that is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence (*K-Mart Corp. v. Sanderson*, 937 S.W.2d 429, 431-32 (Tex. 1996); see also Tex. R. Civ. P. 192.3); the request is irrelevant and unduly burdensome as it is simply a part of a fishing expedition (*In re American Optical Corp.*, 988 S.W. 2d 711, 713-14 (Tex. 1998) and cases cited therein); and the request is overbroad as it requests "documents" which is not limited in scope, and could include documents protected by attorney work product or attorney-client privileges.

Response: Notwithstanding and without waiving the objections noted above, responsive and non-attorney/client privileged documents will be produced.