

Control Number: 42866



Item Number: 145

Addendum StartPage: 0

## **SMITH TROSTLE & HUERTA LLP**

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2015 APR 30 PM 4: 22

FUCLIC UTILITY CONTRISSION

J. Kay Trostle 512-494-9500 ext. 105 ktrostle@smithtrostle.com

April 30, 2015

Hon. ALJ William G. Newchurch State Office of Administrative Hearings 300 West 15<sup>th</sup> Street Austin, Texas 78701

RE: SOAH Docket No.473-14-5144.WS; PUC Docket No. 42866; Petition of Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 12 Appealing Change of Wholesale Water Rates

Implemented by West Travis County Public Utility Agency, City of Bee Cave, Texas, Hays County, Texas and West Travis Municipal Utility District No. 5 – Parties Agreed

**Outline for Post Hearing Briefs** 

Dear Judge Newchurch:

Attached please find the Parties' Agreed Outline for Post Hearing Briefs.

Sincerely,

J. Kay Trostle

## SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-14-5144.WS PUC DOCKET NO. 42866

PETITION OF TRAVIS COUNTY	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT NO.	§	
12 APPEALING CHANGE OF	§	
WHOLESALE WATER RATES	§	
IMPLEMENTED BY WEST	§	
TRAVIS COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY	§	OF
AGENCY, CITY OF BEE CAVE, TEXAS	§	
HAYS COUNTY, TEXAS AND WEST	§	
TRAVIS COUNTY MUNICIPAL	§	
UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 5	§	
		ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

## **INITIAL BRIEF**

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION					
II.	PARTIES					
III.	PROCEDURAL HISTORY					
IV.	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF PROTESTED RATES					
V.	JURISDICTION					
VI.	THE REQUIRED PUBLIC INTEREST DETERMINATION AND ITS SCOPE					
	A.	The R	equirement for an Initial Public-Interest Determination			
	B.	Public	c-Interest Considerations In This Case			
	C.		of Service Analysis Is Not Relevant To Determining Whether Rates rsely Affect The Public Interest (P.U.C. Subst. R. 24.133(b))			
VII.	II. DOES THE PROTESTED RATE EVIDENCE WTCPUA'S ABUSE OF MONOP POWER? (P.U.C. Subst. R. 24.133(a)(3))					
	A.	Is the	WTCPUA a Monopoly?			
	B.	Dispa	rate Bargaining Power of the Parties (P.U.C. SUBST. R. 24.133(a)(3)(A))			
		1.	What Are TCMUD 12's' Alternative Means, Alternative Costs and Problems of Obtaining Alternative Wholesale Water Services?			
		2.	Are There Other Disparate Bargaining Power Factors?			
		3.	Conclusion: If there was disparate bargaining power, does the protested rate evidence WTCPUA'S abuse of monopoly power?			

	C.	Methodology for Computation of Revenue Requirement and Rate (P.U.C. SUBST. R. 24.133(a)(3)(C))					
		1.	Did WTCPUA change the methodology for the computation of the revenue requirement?				
		2.	Did WTCPUA change the methodology for the computation of the rate?				
		3.	Conclusion: If there was a change in the methodology for the computation of the revenue requirement or rate, does the Protested Rate evidence WTCPUA's abuse of monopoly power?				
VIII.	TRAN	TION COSTS					
, 111.	11011	, D C I CI					
IX.	CONCLUSION AND PRAYER						