


Control Number: 42866



Item Number: 137

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-14-5144.WS
PUC DOCKET NO. 42866

RECEIVED

2015 APR 15 AM 9:44

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
FILING CLERK

PETITION OF TRAVIS COUNTY	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT	§	
NO. 12 APPEALING CHANGE OF	§	
WHOLESALE WATER RATES	§	
IMPLEMENTED BY WEST TRAVIS	§	OF
COUNTY PUBLIC UTILITY AGENCY;	§	
CITY OF BEE CAVE, TEXAS; HAYS	§	
COUNTY, TEXAS; AND WEST	§	
TRAVIS COUNTY MUNICIPAL	§	
UTILITY DISTRICT NO. 5	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

SOAH ORDER NO. 15
GRANTING REVISED MOTION TO COMPEL AND
RULING ON OBJECTIONS TO PREFILED EVIDENCE

Motion to Compel

On April 6, 2015, West Travis County Public Utility Agency (PUA) filed a motion to compel Travis County Municipal Utility District No. 12 (District 12) to respond to PUA Request for Information (RFI) 3-3. District 12 initially objected that complying with the request was burdensome, but the parties have agreed to a modification of the request that has resolved that dispute. District 12 also objects that the RFI seeks information that is not relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to relevant information. That objection is overruled. District 12 shall respond to RFI 3-3 by April 16, 2015.

Objections to Prefiled

The following objections to prefiled direct-case evidence are sustained and the evidence is struck:

Jay Joyce Direct

Page 22, lines 5–9, WTCPUA's relevancy objection

Exhibit JJJ-11, page 41, sections 1) and 3) in email, WTCPUA's relevancy objection

Exhibit JJJ-11, pages 39 and 41, WTCPUA's hearsay objection

Jay Zarnikau Direct

Page 10, lines 3-4, WTCPUA's unqualified opinion objection

Joseph A. Diquinzio, Jr. Direct

Page 11, line 11, WTCPUA's unqualified opinion objection

Jack E. Stowe Direct

Page 24, lines 8-12, and page 25, lines 8-14, District 12's improper opinion objection

Page 20, lines 12-22, & page 21, lines 1-17, District 12's relevancy objection

Donald G. Rauschuber Direct

Page 15, lines 16-17, District 12's improper opinion objection


Page 19, on line 9 "utilizing the cash needs methodology" is struck, District 12's improper opinion objection

Page 34, line 1-7, District 12's improper opinion objection

Attach. V, Ex. B, Bates pages 274-304, District 12's relevancy objection

All other objections are overruled.

SIGNED April 14, 2015.



**WILLIAM G. NEWCHURCH
ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS**