DOUGLAS UTILITY FOUNTAINVIEW

Investigation # 984076

Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/27/2012

Failed to collect effluent samples at the required frequency. Specifically, E. coli samples were not collected in August 2011, September 2011, or November 2011. E. coli samples are required to be collected monthly. Samples shall be taken and measurements shall be made at the minimum frequencies specified in the permit for each parameter.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit a standard operating procedure for the collection and analysis of E. coli samples.

Track No: 461798

Compliance Due Date: 03/17/2012

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(7) 30 TAC Chapter 305.126(b)

PERMIT WQ0011200001, Permit Conditions, No. 1

Permit Conditions, No. 1, p. 9

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/20/2012

Failed to submit the proper notification before physical alterations were made to the permitted facility. During the investigation, it was noted that the wastewater treatment plant was not operated in the contact stabilization mode, as described in the permit renewal application. A permit application for a minor amendment must be submitted reflecting the change in the mode of operation.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit a permit application for a minor amendment to the Wastewater Permits Section and a copy to the Houston Region Office.

Track No: 461813

Compliance Due Date: 03/09/2012

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(19)

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/20/2012

Failed to accurately complete the discharge monitoring reports (DMRs). Specifically, during a review of the records from February 2011 - December 2011, the total chlorine residual was analyzed six days per week, and the flow was measured with a totalizer. The frequencies of analysis were not correctly reported on the DMRs. The sample type for flow was also incorrectly reported. All effluent data must be accurately reported on the DMRs.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit a correctly completed DMR to the Houston Region Office and the Enforcement Division (MC 224).

Track No: 462271

Compliance Due Date: 03/09/2012

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(5)

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/23/2012

Failed to maintain the structural integrity of the wastewater treatment plant. Specifically, the catwalk and support beams along the digester and aeration basin were pitted with rust. In addition, rusting was noted along the walls of the chlorine contact basin. The areas pitted with rust must be repaired or replaced.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit documentation indicating that the areas pitted with rust have been repaired or replaced.

Twilesting thon Date Contacted 11200-00(11 Fragil - Sque Date Faxed TCEO EXIT INTERVIEW FORM: Potential Violations and/or Records Requested TCEQ Add. ID No. RN No. (optional) 281-754-0895 Complance Purpose of Investigation Fax:No. Telephone No. Regulated Entity/Site Name Dovg las Utility Compavily / Fountainvilled WW TF 9 Contact Made In-House (Y/N) pacal rate Smith D. Walia MCP MROGA 7 Regulated Entity Contact Investigation Type Title

NOTICE: The information provided in this form is intended to provide clarity to issues that have arisen during the investigation process between the TCEQ and the regulated entity named above and does not represent final TCEQ findings related to violations. Any potential or alleged violations discovered after the date on this form will be communicated by telephone to the regulated entity representative prior to the issuance of a notice of violation or enforcement. Conclusions drawn from this investigation, including additional violations or potential violations discovered (if any) during the course of this investigation, will be documented in a final investigation report.

For Records Request: identify the necessary records, the company contact and date due to the agency. Ssue For Alleged and Potential Violation issues: include the rule in question with the clearly described potential problem. Other type of issues: fully describe.	Type! Rule Citation (if known)	AV Failed to provide a backup blavest for the wintp minutable	AV FRITACK to smoothly a backup primp for the off-sitethen.	Av Failed to sowide an audible alorm for the offersite lift station	All Failed to properly complete the DHRs - frequency of analysis of an	flans and chladae incorrect sample type for flaw incorrect	AV Failed to collect E. Cali Samples In 8/11, 4/11, 4 My.	(s) O Rusting at plant along the walls of the chlange contact chamber & along	oe Can Be One or More of: AV (Alleged Violation), PV (Poltential Violation), O (Other), or RR (Records Request) the Cottof to Support be and alloged Violation) Algority of transferred	Did the TCEQ document the regulated entity, named above operating without proper authorization?	Did the investigator advise the regulated entity representative that continued operation is not authorized?	
isi.	No.	_	4	8	4		10	9	ue Ty	Did the	Did the	

Document Acknowledgment. Signature on this document establishes only that the regulated entity (company) representative received a copy of this document and associated MARIO MITH I DIALLO continuation pages on the date noted. If contact was made by telephone, document will be faxed to regulated entity; therefore, signature not required. (MI DOLONO) Ċ

5-5

1	Date	,
177	Ď	1-239-3282.
	nted)	tion, call 512
	ied & Pri	such informa
3	Regulated Entity Representative Name (Signed & Printed)	d. To review
	ntative N	ion correcte
アルカ	y Represe	neir unformat
11/10	ited Entit	у етогз іп Ü
1	Reguli	also have an
		Office. Is. They may
100	Dat	Q Regional rs on its form
D.M.		our local TCEQ Regional Office. e agency gathers on its forms. They may also have any errors in their information corrected. To review such information, call 512-239-3282.
2	ed)	If you have questions about any information on this form, please contact you individuals are entitled to request and review their personal information that the a
Sem!	d & Print	form, pleus
	ne (Signe	rtion on this ew their pers
/ Brw	gator Nau	any informaticst and revi
JAN O	Investi	tions about
5		have quesi duals are en
V		If you

White Copy: Regulated Entity Representative Yellow Copy: TCEQ TCE0.20085 (Rev. 607)

(Note: Use additional pages as necessary) Page

õ

Or Records Requested. Oadd Dino. Object of Langlery of Langlery of Second Sec	Date Faxed
S. Reques	Date F
8 0 0 1	
Cecor ad D option	20
and for Records TEEQ Add (D.No. (optional)	1 25 125 E
Sand m F	<i>\</i>
ation Sugation	
Viol	
ential	ax INO.
100 J	
WEORM: Potential Violations a Company Fountainises with the second of Propose of Investigation Telephone No.	
N. Conj	Nath N
M. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	SA MA
THER UNA	S
EXITENTED Suglas WAT Commentment Agen Smit	2 May 19 ance
XI Ome T	HEWI
Name	される。
ity/Site lype ity/Goi	阿爾巴語
ted Ente	Service Co.
Regulate Investiga Regulate	STATE OF THE PARTY

NOTICE: The information provided in this form is intended to provide clarity to issues that have arisen during the investigation process between the TCEQ and the regulated entity named above and does not represent final TCEQ findings related to violations. Any potential or alleged violations discovered after the date on this form will be communicated by telephone to the regulated entity representative prior to the issuance of a notice of violation or enforcement. Conclusions drawn from this investigation, including additional violations or potential violations discovered (if any) during the course of this investigation, will be documented in a final investigation report.

Hythe necessary Tion issues: In	listed in the permit rememal applicating (notified via phage alaps)		
For Records Request: iden For Alleged and Potential: Type! Rale Citation (If Infown)			
Issue. Type:			
2 2].

'Issue Type Can Be One or More of: AV (Alleged Violation), PV (Potential Violation), O (Other), or RR (Records Request)

	Q %			200	
	☐ Yes				
ない。これではないない	ne regulated entity named above	されているが、	he investigator advise the regulated enfity ren	ではない きつばずかながってからないのかないにいるな	41.

Document Acknowledgment. Signature on this document establishes only that the regulated entity (company) representative received a copy of this document and associated continuation pages on the date noted. If contact was made by telephone, document will be faxed to regulated entity; therefore, signature not required.

 	, ,
Notified by telephone (Item #7)	Regulated Entity. Representative Name (Signed & Pointed)
2/2/12	Date
SAMAR) PM DRINGE LON	Investigator Name (Signed & Printed)

Yellow Copy: TCEQ

If you have questions about any information on this form, please contact your local TCEQ Regional Office. Individuals are entitled to request and review their personal information that the agency gathers on its forms. They may also have any errors in their information corrected. To review such information, call 512-239-3282.

(Note: Use additional pages as necessary) Page 20 01

Bryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., Chairman Carlos Rubinstein, Commissioner Toby Baker, Commissioner Zak Covar, Executive Director



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

June 21, 2012

CERTIFIED MAIL 7010 2780 0002 1299 1249 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Herbert Zieben, Board President Douglas Utility Company 32 East Rivercrest Drive Houston, Texas 77042

Additional Compliance Documentation Needed for: Re:

Fountainview Wastewater Treatment Plant

5530 North Sam Houston Parkway East, Houston (Harris County), Texas

TCEQ ID No.: 11200-001, EPA ID No.: TX0031461

Dear Mr. Zieben:

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Houston Region Office has received the compliance documentation that you submitted on April 4, 2012 for the alleged violations noted during the investigation of the above-referenced facility conducted on February 9, 2012. However, information is still needed to address the alleged violations listed in the enclosed summary. Please submit to our office by July 23, 2012 a written description of corrective action taken and the required compliance documentation demonstrating that these remaining alleged violations have been resolved.

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality appreciates your assistance in this matter and looks forward to receiving your response. Please note that the Legislature has granted TCEQ enforcement powers which we may exercise to ensure compliance with environmental regulatory requirements. Self-reported violations may be subject to enforcement, including penalties, upon review by the Enforcement Division. If you or members of your staff have any questions, please feel free to contact Ms. Denise Tom in the Houston Region Office at (713)767-3698.

Sincerely.

Elizabeth Sears Team Leader Water Quality Section Region 12 Houston

EWS/DJT/cs

Megan Smith, Compliance Coordinator, TNG Utility Corp., P.O. Box 2749, Spring, Texas cc:

77383

Summary of Investigation Findings Enclosure:

TCEQ Region 12 · 5425 Polk St., Ste. H · Houston, Texas 77023-1452 · 713-767-3500 · Fax 713-767-3520

Summany of Investigation Findings

DOUGLAS UTILITY FOUNTAINVIEW

Investigation #

5326 W BELLFORT ST STE 120

1007757 Investigation Date: 05/22/2012

HOUSTON, HARRIS COUNTY, TX 77035

Additional ID(s): TX0031461

WQ0011200001

COURSTANDING ALLEGED VIOLATION(S)

Track No: 461768

Compliance Due Date: 03/09/2012

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(5) 30 TAC Chapter 317.4(g)(4)

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/19/2012

Failed to maintain the required number of operational blowers. Specifically, the back-up blower was inoperable. The blowers shall be designed so that the maximum design air requirements can be met with the largest single unit out of service.

requirements can be met with the largest single unit out of service.

Investigation: 1007757

Comment Date: 06/19/2012

See violation description. Documentation was received on April 4, 2012 indicating that a blower was ordered, but not yet received.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit documentation indicating that the back-up blower has been repaired or replaced.

Track No: 461798

Compliance Due Date: 03/17/2012

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(7) 30 TAC Chapter 305.126(b)

PERMIT WQ0011200001, Permit Conditions, No. 1

Permit Conditions, No. 1, p. 9

Alleged Violation: Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/20/2012

Failed to submit the proper notification before physical alterations were made to the permitted facility. During the investigation, it was noted that the wastewater treatment plant was not operated in the contact stabilization mode, as described in the permit renewal application. A permit application for a minor amendment must be submitted reflecting the change in the mode of operation.

Investigation: 1007757

Comment Date: 06/19/2012

See violation description. Documentation was received on April 4, 2012 indicating that the permittee has contracted an engineering firm to help with the permit amendment.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit a permit application for a minor amendment to the Wastewater Permits Section and a copy to the Houston Region Office.

Track No: 461813

Compliance Due Date: 03/09/2012

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(19)

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/20/2012

Failed to accurately complete the discharge monitoring reports (DMRs). Specifically, during a review of the records from February 2011 - December 2011, the total chlorine residual was analyzed six days per week, and the flow was measured with a totalizer. The frequencies of analysis were not correctly reported on the DMRs. The sample type for flow was also

Summary of Investigation Findings

126

DOUGLAS UTILITY FOUNTAINVIEW

Investigation # 1007757

Comment Date: 03/27/2012

incorrectly reported. All effluent data must be accurately reported on the DMRs. Comment Date: 06/19/2012 Investigation: 1007757

The February 2012 DMR was received on April 4, 2012. The frequency of analysis for total chlorine residual and the sample type for flow were correctly completed; however, the frequency of analysis for the flow should be "continuous" instead of "six days per week."

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit a correctly completed DMR to the Houston Region Office and the Enforcement Division (MC 224).

Compliance Due Date: 03/09/2012 Track No: 462271

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(5)

Alleged Violation:

Comment Date: 03/23/2012 Investigation: 984076

Failed to maintain the structural integrity of the wastewater treatment plant. Specifically, the catwalk and support beams along the digester and aeration basin were pitted with rust. In addition, rusting was noted along the walls of the chlorine contact basin. The areas pitted

with rust must be repaired or replaced.

Comment Date: 06/19/2012 Investigation: 1007757

See violation description. Documentation was received on April 4, 2012 indicating that the information was provided to the owners of the utility.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit documentation indicating that the areas pitted with rust have been repaired or replaced.

ALLEGED WIOLATION SYNOHED AND RESOLVED

Track No: 461769

30 TAC Chapter 317.3(c)

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 984076

Failed to provide a standby pump at the lift station. Specifically, a standby pump at the off-site lift station was not available. An operational standby pump shall be provided in order to ensure that the firm pumping capacity be such that the expected peak flow can be pumped

to its desired location.

Comment Date: 06/19/2012 Investigation: 1007757

See violation description.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit documentation indicating that a standby pump at the off-site lift station has been installed.

Resolution: Documentation was received on April 4, 2012 indicating that a standby pump at the off-site lift station was installed.

Track No: 461771

30 TAC Chapter 317.3(e)(5)

Alleged Violation:

Comment Date: 03/19/2012 Investigation: 984076

Failed to provide the required alarm system. Specifically, an audible alarm was not provided at the off-site lift station. An audio-visual alarm system (red flashing light and horn) shall be provided for all lift stations. The alarm system shall be activated in case of power outage,

pump fallure, or a specified high water level.

Comment Date: 06/19/2012 Investigation: 1007757

See violation description.

Summary of Investigation Findings

DOUGLAS UTILITY FOUNTAINVIEW

Investigation # 1007757

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit documentation indicating that the required alarm system at the off-site lift station has been installed.

Resolution: Documentation was received on April 4, 2012 indicating that the required alarm system at the off-site lift station was installed.

Track No: 461782

30 TAC Chapter 319.5(b)

PERMIT WQ0011200001, ELMR, No. 1

Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements, No. 1, p. 2

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 984076 Comment Date: 03/27/2012

Failed to collect effluent samples at the required frequency. Specifically, E. coli samples were not collected in August 2011, September 2011, or November 2011. E. coli samples are required to be collected monthly. Samples shall be taken and measurements shall be made at the minimum frequencies specified in the permit for each parameter.

Investigation: 1007757 Comment Date: 06/19/2012

See violation description.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit a standard operating procedure for the collection and analysis of E. coli samples.

Resolution: Documentation was received on April 4, 2012 indicating that E. coli samples have been collected following November 2011 and that the contract laboratory has since changed.

ryan W. Shaw, Ph.D., Chairman Buddy Garcia, Commissioner Carlos Rubinstein, Commissioner Mark R. Vickery, P.G., Executive Director



TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Protecting Texas by Reducing and Preventing Pollution

December 3, 2012

CERTIFIED MAIL 7002 0510 0003 6161 6857 RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Herbert Zieben, Board President Douglas Utility Company 32 East Rivercrest Drive Houston, Texas 77042

Notice of Violation for the Compliance Evaluation Investigation at:

Fountainview Wastewater Treatment Plant

5530 North Sam Houston Parkway East, Houston (Harris County), Texas TCEQ ID No.: WQ0011200001, EPA ID No.: TX0031461

Dear Mr. Zieben:

On September 20, 2012, Denise Tom of the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) Houston Region Office conducted an investigation of the above-referenced facility to evaluate compliance with applicable requirements for wastewater treatment. Enclosed is a summary which lists the investigation findings. During the investigation, some concerns were noted which were alleged noncompliances that have been resolved based on subsequent corrective action. In addition, a certain outstanding alleged violation was identified for which compliance documentation is required. Please submit to this office by January 3, 2013 a written description of corrective action taken and the required documentation demonstrating that compliance has been achieved for the outstanding alleged violation.

In the listing of the alleged violations, we have cited applicable requirements, including TCEQ rules. Please note that both the rules themselves and the agency brochure entitled Obtaining TCEQ Rules (GI 032) are located on our agency website at http://www.tceq.state.tx.us for your reference. If you would like a hard copy of this brochure mailed to you, you may call and request one from either the Houston Region Office at (713) 767-3650 or the Central Office Publications Ordering Team at (512) 239-0028. Copies of applicable federal regulations may be obtained by calling Environmental Protection Agency's Publications at (800) 490-9198.

The TCEQ appreciates your assistance in this matter. Please note that the Legislature has granted TCEQ enforcement powers which we may exercise to ensure compliance with Self-reported violations may be subject to environmental regulatory requirements. enforcement, including penalties, upon review by the Enforcement Division. We anticipate that you will resolve the alleged violations as required in order to protect the State's environment. If you have additional information that we are unaware of, you have the opportunity to contest the violation(s) documented in this notice. Should you choose to do so, you must notify the

TCEQ Region 12 • 5425 Polk St., Ste. H • Houston, Texas 77023-1452 • 713-767-3500 • Fax 713-767-3520

Herbert Zieben December 3, 2012 Page 2

Houston Region Office within 10 days from the date of this letter. At that time, Ms. Elizabeth Sears, Water Quality Team Leader will schedule a violation review meeting to be conducted within 21 days from the date of this letter. However, please be advised that if you decide to participate in the violation review process, the TCEQ may still require you to adhere to the compliance schedule included in the attached Summary of Investigation Findings until an official decision is made regarding the status of any or all of the contested violations.

If you or members of your staff have any questions regarding these matters, please feel free to contact Ms. Tom in the Houston Region Office at (713) 767-3698.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Sears

Team Leader

Water Quality Management

Region 12 Houston

EWS/DJT/cs

cc:

Megan Smith, Compliance Coordinator, TNG Utility Corp., P.O. Box 2749, Spring, Texas

77383

Enclosure:

Summary of Investigation Findings

Summany or linvestigation aindrings:

DOUGLAS UTILITY FOUNTAINVIEW

Investigation #

5326 W BELLFORT ST STE 120

1029094 Investigation Date: 09/20/2012

HOUSTON, HARRIS COUNTY, TX 77035

Additional ID(s): TX0031461

WQ0011200001

COUTSTANDING ALLEGED WOLATION(SI):

Track No: 462271

Compliance Due Date: 03/09/2012

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(5)

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/23/2012

Failed to maintain the structural integrity of the wastewater treatment plant. Specifically, the catwalk and support beams along the digester and aeration basin were pitted with rust. In addition, rusting was noted along the walls of the chlorine contact basin. The areas pitted with rust must be repaired or replaced.

Investigation: 1007757

Comment Date: 06/19/2012

See violation description. Documentation was received on April 4, 2012 indicating that the

information was provided to the owners of the utility.

Investigation: 1029094

Comment Date: 11/06/2012

See violation description. During the investigation conducted on September 20, 2012, it was noted that the wastewater treatment plant was still pitted with rust.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit documentation indicating that the areas pitted with rust have been repaired or replaced.

ALLEGED WIOLATION (S) MOTED AND RESOLVED

Track No: 461768

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(5) 30 TAC Chapter 317.4(g)(4)

Alleged Violation:

Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/19/2012

Failed to maintain the required number of operational blowers. Specifically, the back-up blower was inoperable. The blowers shall be designed so that the maximum design air requirements can be met with the largest single unit out of service.

Investigation: 1007757 Comment Date: 05/19/2012

See violation description. Documentation was received on April 4, 2012 indicating that a

blower was ordered, but not yet received. Investigation: 1029094

Comment Date: 10/03/2012

See violation description.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit documentation indicating that the back-up blower has been repaired or replaced.

Resolution: The back-up blower was verified to be operational during the investigation conducted on September 20, 2012.

Track No: 461798

Summary of investigation Findings

DOUGLAS UTILITY FOUNTAINVIEW

Investigation # 1029094

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(7) 30 TAC Chapter 305.126(b)

PERMIT WQ0011200001, Permit Conditions, No. 1

Permit Conditions, No. 1, p. 9

Alleged Violation: Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/20/2012

Failed to submit the proper notification before physical alterations were made to the permitted facility. During the investigation, it was noted that the wastewater treatment plant was not operated in the contact stabilization mode, as described in the permit renewal application. A permit application for a minor amendment must be submitted reflecting the change in the mode of operation.

Investigation: 1007757

Comment Date: 06/19/2012

See violation description. Documentation was received on April 4, 2012 indicating that the permittee has contracted an engineering firm to help with the permit amendment. Investigation: 1029094 Comment Date: 10/03/2012

See violation description.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit a permit application for a minor amendment to the Wastewater Permits Section.

Resolution: A copy of the minor amendment was received by the Wastewater Permits Section on October 19, 2012.

Track No: 461813

30 TAC Chapter 305.125(19)

Alleged Violation: Investigation: 984076

Comment Date: 03/20/2012

Falled to accurately complete the discharge monitoring reports (DMRs). Specifically, during a review of the records from February 2011 - December 2011, the total chlorine residual was analyzed six days per week, and the flow was measured with a totalizer. The frequencies of analysis were not correctly reported on the DMRs. The sample type for flow was also incorrectly reported. All effluent data must be accurately reported on the DMRs. Investigation: 1007757 Comment Date: 06/19/2012

The February 2012 DMR was received on April 4, 2012. The frequency of analysis for total chlorine residual and the sample type for flow were correctly completed; however, the frequency of analysis for the flow should be "continuous" instead of "six days per week." Investigation: 1029094 Comment Date: 10/03/2012

See violation description.

Recommended Corrective Action: Submit a correctly completed DMR to the Houston Region Office and the Enforcement Division (MC 224).

Resolution: A correctly completed DMR was submitted on October 29, 2012 through NetDMR.

VADDITIONAL ISSUES

Description Item 5

Additional Comments

During the investigation, floating solids were noted covering half of the clarifier. Floating solids should be removed to prevent the unauthorized discharge of floating solids into the receiving stream.

🍓 User:Chip Callegari, Pemittee User

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

📌 View Certification | 😽 Download COR | 💒 View COR Signature | 🍕 Download COR Sig. Public Key

DMR Copy of Record

Permit											
Permit #: Major:	TX0031461	<u>a a</u>	Permittee: Permittee Address:	DOUGLAS UTILITY COMPANY 1MI W HWY 59/0.45 W LEE RD HOUSTON, TX 77032		Facility: Facility Location:	FOUNTAINVIEW WWTF 1M W HWY 59 & APPROX 0.45M W OF LEE RD HOUSTON, TX 77032	W WWTF & APPROX 0.7 77032	45M W	OF LEE RD	
Permitted Feature:	001 External Outfall		Discharge:	001-A DOMESTIC FACILITY - 001							
Report Dates & Status Monitoring Period:	tus From 09/01/12 to 09/30/12		DMR Due Date:	10/20/12	<u></u>	Status:	NetDMR Validated	dated			
Considerations for Form Completion FOR TKN SEE OTHER REQUIREMENT NO	Considerations for Form Completion FOR TKN SEE OTHER REOUIREMENT NO. 4, PAGE 31 OF PERMIT.	OF PERMIT.									
Principal Executive Officer	Officer										
First Name:			Title:		<u>·</u>	Telephone:					
Last Name:											
No Data Indicator (NODI)	(NODI)										
Form NODI:	1										,
Parameter	Monitoring Season Param.		Quantity or Loading	ading		Quality or Concentration	tration		# 6	Frequency S	Sample
Code Name		ď	Qualifier Value Qualifie	Qualifier Value Units Qualifier	Value 1 Q	Qualifier Value Qu 2 2	Qualifier Value 3	Units		ž	<u>.</u>
		Sample	1	11	6.01			19 - mg/L	6≥	01/07 - C Weekly C	GR - GRAB
		Permit		11	4 MO			19 - mg/Ł	0	01/07 - 0	GR -
00300 dissolved	Gross 0	Req.			MIN MIN				\$		9
		Value									
		Sample		II	7.48	H	7.73	12 - SU	ö≯	01/07 - (Weekly (GR - GRAB
00400 pH	1 - Effluent 0	Permit Reg.		¥	6 MINIMUM	#I *	9 MAXIMUM	12 - SU	öΣ	01/30 - 0 Monthly	GR - GRAB
	200	Value									
		Sample =	9.0	26 - lb/d	Ü	= 2.38 =	3.8	19 - mg/L	o \$	01/07 - Weekly	GR - GRAB
00530 Solids, total suspended	1 - Effuent 0	Permit Req.	48 <= DALY AV	26 - lb/d		15 <= DAILY < AV	<= 60 SINGGRAB	60 SINGGRAB 19 - mg/L	, o s ,	01/07 - Weekh	GR - GRAB
		Value									

-	Parameter	Monitoring	Season	ġ,		Quantity	Quantity or Loading	Đ.				Quality	or Con	Quality or Concentration			*	Frequency	Cample
Code	Матте		**************************************		Qualifier 1	Value Q	\ualifier 2	Value 1	Units	Qualifier Value Units Qualifier Value 1 2 2 1		Qualifier Value	Value 2	Qualifier 3	Value 3	Units	_		Type
				Sample	v	0.04		. 4 ₩	26 - 15/d			v	0.1	v	0.1	19 - mg/L		01/07 - Weekly	GR - GRAB
00610	Nitrogen, ammonia total (as N)	al 1 - Effluent Gross	. 0	Permit Req.		Req Mon DAILY AV		2 75	26 - lb/d				Req Mon DAILY AV		Req Mon SINGGRAB	Req Mon SINGGRAB 19 - mg/L	0		GR - GRAB
				Value															
	ī			Sample	!!	0.153 =		0.276 ⁰	03 - MGD									99/99 - Continues	TM - TOTA! 7
50050	How, in conduit or thru treatment plant	1 - Effuent Gross	. 0	Permit Reg.	n V	.38 DAILY AV		Req Mon 0 DAILY M MX	03 - MGD								0		IN - INSTAN
				Value															
	;			Sample					u	₩	1.17			II	2.89	19 - mg/L	022	05/WK - Five Per Week	GR - GRAB
20060	Chlorine, total residual	1 - Effluent Gross		Permit Req.					٨	₩	1 MO MIN			II V	4 MO MAX 19 - mg/L	19 - mg/L	0	05/WK - Five Per Week	GR - GRAB
				Value															
				Sample								٧	Ħ	٧	1	3Z - CFU/100mL	02	01/30 - C Monthly C	GR - GRAB
51040	E. co#	1 - Effluent Gross	1	Permit Req.							·)! V	63 DAILY AV	H V	197 SINGGRAB	197 3Z - SINGGRAB CFU/100mL	0	01/30 - C Monthly C	GR - GRAB
				Value															
				Sample <	v			2 4	p/ql		·	٧	ю	H	ю	19 - mg/L	0 >	01/07 - G Weekly G	GR - GRAB
80082	BOD, carbonaceous, 05 day, 20 C	1 - Effluent Gross	. 0	Permit Reg.	:: <u>1</u>	32 DAILY AV		2. (5)	26 - 1b/d		·	11 V	10 DAILY AV	, II	35 SINGGRAB 19 - mg/L	19 - mg/L	0 >	01/07 - G Weekly G	GR - GRAB
				Value															

Submission Note

If a parameter row does not contain any values for the Sample nor Effluent Trading, then none of the following fields will be submitted for that row: Units, Number of Excursions, Frequency of Analsyis, and Sample Type.

Edit Check Errors

No errors.

Comments
REVISED: Changed Frequency of Analysis for the Flow Parameter to Continuous, and the sample type to totalizer.

Attachments

https://welland.tc-d-smie.tr.nds/...tmin. wea/preferences-general

2012-10-29 14:26 (Time Zone: -05:00)

Date/Time:

No attachments.

Report Last Saved By

DOUGLAS UTILITY COMPANY

Chip Callegari Chip Callegari Name: User:

megans@tng-utility.com E-Mail:

I certify under penalty of law that this submission was prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information, the information, the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are criminal penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations. By entering my password and security question answer and pressing the Submit button, I agree that:

- I am Chip Callegari.
 I have not violated any term in my Electronic Signature Agreement.
 I have not violated any term in my Electronic Signature Agreement.
 I have not violated any reason to believe that the confidentiality of my password has been compromised now or at any teason to believe that the confidentiality of my password has been confidentiality to submit these data on behalf of the listed facilities.
 I have the authority to submit these data on behalf of the listed facilities.
 I have the authority to submit these data on behalf of the pest of my knowledge.
 This action constitutes are electronic signature to the implementation, oversight, and enforcement of a federal environmental program and must be true to the best of my knowledge.

6. I understand that this attestation of fact pertains to the implementation, oversignit, and emorcement of a constraint of a	ion 2012-10-29 14:26 (Time Zone: -05:00)	ri Date/Time:		פתסווותכן זף.	20622bhfd_ddd_d0fh_af2a_508464040579
6. I understand that this attestation	Submission Information	Name:	User:	Submitter Telephone:	

a15c027c762542d8f6aedc68d232ee3446716dd8331b09343703b42f605f1e7c 59fec8cbe083a8c0dd7d2ae86f5a9f10b46605a38568819049c1728388982502

Submitter Hashed Password:

NetDMR Certificate Id:

DMR Hash:

Certificate Alias:

©2008 NetDMR

netdmr uat sample certificate 2

See the Test Support Page for utilities to facilitate testing.

TNG UTILITY CORP.



THE NEXT GENERATION OF WATER AND WASTEWATER UTILITY SERVICES

April 3, 2012

Denise Tom TCEQ – Environmental Investigator Water Section, Region 12 Email: <u>Denise.tom@tceq.texas.gov</u>

RE: Douglas Utility Company, WWTP Inspection, 2/9/2012, ID# TX0031461

Ms. Tom,

The following correspondence is in regards to the exit interview received after the inspection at the Douglas Utility Company Wastewater Treatment Facility and the Aldine Bender off-site lift station. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding the information below. You may contact me via email at Megans@tng-utility.com or by phone at 281-350-0895.

Sincerely,

Megan Smith Compliance Coorinator TNG Utility Corp. 281-350-0895 Megans@tng-utility.com

<u>Issue No. 1:</u> Failed to provide a backup blower for the WWTP.

The blower has been ordered from the manufacturer and we are still awaiting delivery.

<u>Issue No. 2:</u> Failed to Provide backup pump for the off site lift station.

Please see the attached photo showing that the backup pump has since been installed on 2/23/12. Also attached is a work order (#97970) showing the completion of the work.

136

TNG UTILITY CORP.



THE NEXT GENERATION OF WATER AND WASTEWATER UTILITY SERVICES

<u>Issue No. 3:</u> Failed to provide audible alarm for the offsite lift station.

Please see the attached work order (#97983) showing the completed work to fix the audible alarm on the offsite lift station. Also, see the attached photo showing a black speaker now installed on the side of the panel in order to deliver an audible tone.

<u>Issue No. 4:</u> Failed to properly complete the DMRs – Frequency of analysis for flow and chlorine incorrect; sample type for flow incorrect.

Please see that attached corrected and submitted DMR. The frequency of flow has been changed to six days per week, and the sample type has been changed from instantaneous to totalizer. Also, the chlorine frequency has been changed to 6 times per week instead of 5.

Issue No. 5: Failed to collect E. coli samples in 8/11, 9/11 and 11/11.

Due to a scheduling issue with the laboratory E. coli samples were not collected during those three months. However, since November 2011 E.coli samples have been taken every month according to the permit. Also, we have since changed laboratories in order to prevent such scheduling conflicts in the future.

<u>Issue No. 6:</u> Rusting at plant along the walls of the chlorine contact chamber and along the catwalk and its support beams along the digester and aeration basin.

This information was provided to the owners of the utility.

<u>Issue No. 7:</u> Failed to operate the wastewater treatment plant in the mode listed in the permit renewal application.

The Utility has contracted an Engineering firm to help with amending the Wastewater Treatment Permit in order to resolve this violation. More information is forthcoming regarding the amendment process.



PO Box 2749, Spring, TX 77383 (281) 350-089

INVOICE

To: Aldine Bender Square

Invoice # 97970

Entered 3/1/2012 10:15:50 AM

Complete

2/23/2012

MeterNumber:

Reading:

0

Classification Lift Station

Aldine Bender Lift Station

Problem

Install new lift pumps in off site lift station- Pre-approved project

Resolution

Installed new 2" lift pumps at lift station.

Labor

Hours	Description	Rate	Total
	Plant Technician	\$48.00	\$192.00
4	Supervisor	\$60.00	\$240.00

Equipment

Hours	Description	Rate	Total
ı .	Service Truck	\$15.00	\$60.00
4	Service Truck	\$15.00	\$60.00

Services

Description

Total

Materials

	Description	Purchase Order	Cost	Total
1 1	Niel Technical Services	45060	\$7,751.00	\$7,751.00
1 1	Niel Technical Services	i i		\$7,751.00

Total Due

<u>\$16,054.00</u>



PO Box 2749, Spring, TX 77383 (281) 350-089

INVOICE

To: Aldine Bender Square

Invoice # 97983

Entered

3/1/2012 10:58:07 AM

Complete

2/7/2012

MeterNumber:

Reading:

0

Classification Lift Station

Aldine Bender Lift Station

Problem

Check and repair high level alarm

Resolution

Met contractor to repair high level alarm.

Labor

Description Hours Plant Technician

Total Rate \$48.00 \$96.00

Equipment

Description Hours

Total Rate \$30.00 \$15.00

Service Truck 2

Services

Description

Total

Materials

Quantit Description

1 K & R Utility Service

Purchase Order \$977.50 700686

Cost

Total \$977.50

Total Due

<u>\$1,103.50</u>

COMPANY SCHEDULES

Douglas Utility Company
Statement of Income Expense
For the Year ended June 30, 2012

į	Water	Sewer	Unclassified	Total	Allocated	ted	Total	-	
Ordinary Income/Expense					Water	Sewer	Water	Sewer	
Income .					51.4%	48 6%		•	
4100.10 · Water Sales (Sales)	441,970			441,970	0	0	441,970	O O	
4100.20 · Sewer Sales		112,442		112,442	0	0	0	112,442	
4300.10 · Reconnect Fees			1,214	1,214	624	591	624	160	
4300.20 • Late Fees			6,564	6,564	3,371	3,193	3,371	3,193	
4330.00 · Other Income	8,156	1,094		9,250	0	0	8,156	1, 994	
Total Income	450,127	113,536	7,778	571,441	3,995	3,785	454,121	117 320	
Expense					,	•		,	
6010.10 · Accounting Expense-Water/Sewer			2,928	2,928	1,504	1,425	1,504	1,425	
6020.30 · Automobile Expense - Other			2,415	2,415	1,240	1,175	1,240	271,1	
6030.30 · Bank Charges · Other			88	68	46	43	46	43	
6040.10 · Chemicals - Water	1,481			1,481	0	0	1,481	0	
6040.20 · Chemicals- sewer		4,012		4,012	0	0	0	4,312	
6040,30 · Chemicals - water/sewer			1,715	1,715	881	834	881	\$34	
6080.10 · Electricity - Sewer		19,299		19,299	0	0	0	19,299	
6080.20 · Electricity-water	27,649			27,549	0	0	27,649	0	
6100.30 · Grounds Maintenance - Other			2,760	2,760	1,417	1,343	1,417	1,343	
6110,10 · Insurance - Water/Sewer			1,760	1,760	904	856	904	356	
6140.10 · Laboratory Services-Sewer		8,069		690'8	0	0	0	8,369	
6140.20 · Laboratory Services-Water	2,779			2,779	0	0	2,779	0	
6150.10 · Misc Expense - Water/Sewer		252		252	0	0	0	252	
6150.30 · Miscellaneous Expense - Other			221	221	113	108	113	108	
6160.10 · Office Expense - Water/Sewer			453	453	233	220	233	220	
6160.30 · Office Expense - Other			3,925	3,925	2,016	1,910	2,016	0161	
6170,10 · Operator Labor - Water/Sewer			54,000	54,000	27,730	26,270	27,730	26,270	
6170.30 · Operator Labor - Other			8,139	8,139	4,179	3,959	4,179	3,959	
6180.10 · Operator Supplies - Water/Sewer			918	918	471	447	471	444	
6185.1 · Parking and Tolls			1,122	1,122	576	546	2/6	546 ,	
6200 · Reports	664			664	0	0	664	0 5	
6200.10 · Permits, License & Fees-Wtr/Sew	1,523	2,077		3,600	0	0 (1,523	7,7,7	
6210 · Haverstock Metering Project (Metering Haverstock to Improve accoun	10,654			10,654	0	00	10,654	00	
6210.10 · Repairs & Maintenance - Water	74,280			74,280	0	- •	087,4/	0 010	
6210.20 · Repairs & Maintenance - Sewer		60,910	;	60,910	0 85	0 25	0 00 00	36,779	
Salaries		!	75,600	75,600	28,862	30,170	30,06	30,778	
6220.20 · Sludge Hauling		45,281	0	45,281	3 186	3 019	3 186	3.319	
6230.10 · Taxes - Property - Water/Sewer			6,203	2,203	394	374	394	374	
Payroll Taxes			3 203	3 203	1 645	1 558	1.645	1.558	
6232.1 · Telephone			905	905,0	465	440	465	440	
6235,10 · Trash Removal - Water/Sewer	0.00			61.810		C	51.810	0	
6251.30 · City of Houston · GRP Water	010'10		250	250	128	121	128	121	
6500 · Postage		2E 000 007	202 022 04	400 446 40	050 58	81.427	266 791	221 325	
Total Expense	180,840 36	139,898 75	10 //s//ar	400, 110.12	00,000	124,10	0.00	0.701, 7.70	
Net Ordinary Income	269,286 39	-26,362 71	-159,598 52	83,325 16	(81,955)	(77,642)	187,330	(104 005)	
Other income/Expense									
Other Income		;		0	ć	c	d	2 283	
7030.30 · Miscellaneous Income (Other Income)		2,282		2,282	0			2,202	
Total Other Income	000	2,281 86		2,281 86	0	0		2,282	
Net Other Income	000	2,28186	00.0	2,281 86	0	0	9	2,282	
Net Income ==	269,286	(24,081)		85,607	(81,955)	(77,642)	187,330	(677,FUT)	

Douglas Utility Company Company Schedule Miscellaneous Expenses For the Year ended 6/30/2012

Water	Sewer	Unclassified	Total	Jul '11 - Jun 12	Allozated	pa	Total	aj
					Water	Sewer	Water	Sewer
					51%	49%		
		89	88	89 37	46	43	46	43
		2,415	2,415	2,415 42	1,240	1,175	1.240	1.17
	690'8		8,069	8,068 50	0	0	0	8.06
2,779			2,779	2,778 75	0	0	2.779	•
		918	918	917 88	471	447	471	44
		905	902	905 02	465	440	465	44
61,810			61,810	61,810 20	0	0	61.810	0
		2,760	2,760	2,760 00	1,417	1,343	1.417	1.34
0	252		252	252 00	0	0	0	25
		221	221	221 00	113	108	113	108
		1,122	1,122	1,121 85	576	546	576	54
664			99	663 60	0	0	664	
1,523	2,077		3,600	3,600 20	0	0	1.523	2.07
		3,203	3,203	3,203 21	1,645	1,558	1,645	1,558
		250	250	249 73	128	121	128	121
2,186.60	10,397 70	11,883.48	89,056 73	89,056 73	6,103	5,782	72,878	16,179

6200.10 · Permits, License & Fees-Wtr/Sew 6232.1 · Telephone

Total Miscellaneous Expenses

6500 · Postage

6261.30 · City of Houston · GRP Water 6100.30 · Grounds Maintenance · Other 6150.10 · Misc Expense · Water/Sewer 6150.30 · Miscellaneous Expense · Other 6185.1 · Parking and Tolls

6180.10 · Operator Supplies - Water/Sewer 6235.10 · Trash Removal - Water/Sewer

6020.30 - Automobile Expense - Other 6140.10 · Laboratory Services-Sewer 6140.20 · Laboratory Services-Water

6030.30 · Bank Charges - Other

Miscellaneous Expenses

Douglas Utility Company

Company Schedule Schedule D-2

Known and Measureable Changes 6/30/2012

KNOWN	& MEASURABLE	CHANGES
-------	--------------	---------

KNOWN & MEASONABLE CHANGES		<u>Water</u> _ <u>51%</u>	Sewer
RATE CASE EXPENSE (REGULATORY)		<u> </u>	<u></u>
Ronald L Payne, LLC.	7,500		
Mark H. Zeppa	4,000		
GDS & Associates	1,500		
	500		
Copy Cost 2 Notices to customers-\$1.45/ notice	580		
Total Non-Contested Cost	14,080		
Total Non-Contested Cost	X 50%		
Two year amortization To Table VI.A, Line J	7,040	3,615	3,425
		Annual Dep	reciation
	Cost	Water	Sewer
Installed new lift Pumps - 02/23/2012 Installed Lift Station Floats - 7/13/12	16,054 \$2,164	0 0	535 216
Total Sewer - to sewer rate base	18,218		752
0/0/10	1 750	88	0
Installed 2" Backflow Devises - 2/9/12	1,758		0
Haverstock Metering Project - 9/7/12	104,262	2,085	0
Air Compressor installed on Hydro Tank Replace Well Pump & Motor - 09/28/12	6,142	307 832	- -
Teoplace (fell x daily et allertal	16,646	032	

Note: these items to be described later

Douglas Utility Company Company Schedules Income Tax Calculation

			WATER	SEWER	COMBINED
					(With Rate Increase)
Operating Revenues Total Operations & Maintenance Other taxes (payroll, ad val., etc.)			298,036 (266,825) (3,581)	242,048 (221,358) (3,392)	540,085 (488,183) (6,973)
Depreciation and amortization Interest expense Other Revenues			(8,652)	(6,151)	(14,802)
Income before income taxes			18,979	11,147	30,126
State Franchise (Margin) Tax			1,245	519	1,764
Income before Federal Income Taxe	es		17,734	10,628	28,362
Federal Income Taxes:					
1st Tier @15%	28,362	4,254			
2nd Tier @ 25%	-	-			
3rd Tier @ 34%	-	-			
4th Tier @ 39%	-	_			
Total	28,362	4,254			
Total Federal Income Tax			4,483	2,668	4,254

Table 1	.t.tion	a para			
Federal Income Tax Compu	utation WATER	SEWER	COMBINED		
DETLIDA	25,402	15,120	40,522		
RETURN INTEREST EXPENSE	25,402	13,120			
NET TAXABLE INCOME	25,402	15,120	40,522		
NET TAXABLE INCOME	FIRST TIER	13,123	47,673	47,673	7,151
	111.01 11211		-	,	·
•			-		
	SECOND TIER		_	-	-
	0200110 11211		-		
			-		
	THIRD TIER		-	-	-
			-		
	FOURTH TIER		-		-
	NET INCOME TA	X-TOTAL			7,151
	NET INCOME TA	X-WATER			4,483
	NET INCOME TA	AX-SEWER			2,668
Calculate State Income (Margin) Ta	ях		0 = 400	45.420	40 533
Return			25,402	15,120	40,522
Operating Expenses			279,057	230,901	509,958
Federal Income Tax Calculation (Ab			4,483	2,668	7,151
Revenues before margin calculation	on		308,942	248,689	557,631
Cost of Goods Sold			185,676	197,344	383,020
Margin before gross up			123,266	51,346 51,865	174,611 176,375
Gross up Margin @ 1%			124,511	51,865	1/0,3/3
State Income (Margin) Tax			1,245	519	1,764

Income Taxes Increase

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Revenue and Regulatory Assessment Report

For PUBLIC UTILITY

UTILITY: DOUGLAS UTILITY COMPANY ACCOUNT: 11369

Revenue and Regulatory Assessment Report for the Calenda	r Year 2012
_	
. Enter total revenues from retail water and sewer service in year 2012	1.548,160.20
. Enter amount collected OR multiply item 1 by 0.01	1.548,160.20 2. 5,481.60
 Late payment penalty: 5% - If paid after January 30th and before March 1st - multiply line 2 by 0.05 10% - If paid after March 1st - multiply line 2 by 0.10 	3.
 Late payment interest, 1% per month if paid after March 31st: a. Multiply line 2 by 0.01 = monthly interest due, then b. Multiply monthly interest due by the number of months payment is made after March 31, rounded to the nearest month. 	4.
Amount due and payable (Add lines 2, 3, and 4).	5. 5, 481.60
Please note if the utility was inactive for more than a month during the year or exper which affected revenues (attach an additional page if necessary):	

I declare that the abo	re information is true and correct to the b	pest of my knowledge and belief.
Signature	Mo	Date 20
Preparer's name	Mag Schoux Col	Mice Manager) Phone number 713-78.
reparer a name	(Please Print)	Phone number
VIPP Form WC04C5 / TCEC	20098	

Acct #11369

Wells Fargo - Checkin Acct #11369

5,481.60

5,481.60

Rate of Return Worksheet

Step	:		18	%
A		ost current Baa Public Utility Bond average. (Call TCEQ staff at 512/239-4691 to get this mber.)		5,57
В	Ad	d 2% - for utilities with 200 or less customers		
С	Ad	d 1% if the utility can demonstrate that it has both:		
	1	Debt/equity ratio is greater than 60% (Table IV. D Box ② ÷ Box ③) AND		
	2	No affiliated companies with access to revenues or other funds to support utility operations		
D	Ad	ld 1% if the utility can demonstrate that it has at least 2 of the following 4 conditions:	,	
	1	unstable population - Weekender/seasonal population: a. >25% of total customers; OR b. >10% of total customers and do not use seasonal reconnect fee;	,	
·	2	commercial customers account for more than 15% of revenues	X	
-	3	low growth a. less than 5% customer growth over the last three years; OR b. documentation of potential customer growth of less than 5% over the next three years; declining population	χ	
	4	aging system a. more than 50% depreciated; OR b. low rate base (<\$500/customer)	X	1,0
Е	Ac	ld 1% if the utility is a stand alone sewer system with no agreement for either billing and llection or discontinuance for nonpayment with the water supplier.		
F		id 1% if the utility can demonstrate that it has at least 3 of the 4 following conditions:		
	1	Number of complaints 2 complaints or less per year to TCEQ for less than 200 customer system		
	2	No major deficiencies in the most recent PWS inspection report		
	3	No current or prior enforcement actions under current management within the last 3 years	_	
	4	Good faith efforts to solve any current problems		
G	A	dd 1% if the utility can demonstrate that it has at least 4 of the following 5 conditions:		1,0
	1	well-maintained, up-to-date books and records	χ	
	2	effective communications and good customer relations	X	
	3	consistently timely in meeting reporting requirements (ex. annual reports for last 3 years) and payment of fees	X	
	4	exhibit fiscal responsibility with respect to rate filings, including completeness, accuracy and frequency	X	
	5	Less than 12% unaccounted for water - (Section VIII of the Application - Page 16 of 41)	Х	

Н	Ad	ld 1% if the utility can demonstrate that it has at least 4 of the following 5 conditions:		1.0
	1	rate structure - any two of the following a. zero gallons included in minimum bill b. gallonage rate set high enough to encourage conservation (> \$2.00/1000 gal.) c. use of inclining blocks, i.e. higher use pays higher cost	χ	
	2	drought contingency plan included in tariff and enforced (if applicable)	X	l
	3	conservation plan including encouragement of the use of water conserving devices, efficient lawn watering, or xeriscaping	χ	
-	4	program to educate the customers about the nature of the system, its production and distribution ability, PWS standards, and the need for water conservation		
	5	unaccounted for water a. greater than or equal to 10% and or b. successful program to reduce losses (ex. leak detection & repair) (within last 3 years 25% reduction since program implemented)		
Ţ	十一	Total Rate of Return	1%	8.57

FIXED ASSETS - WATER

03/06/13 03:16PM

Douglas Utility Depreciation Schedule by Category For the 6 Months Ended 06/30/12

Asset No.	Asset Description	Date Acquired	d Method	Life	Sold?	' Cost	Accum Depr 01/01/12	Current Depreciation	Accum Depr 06/30/12
Land									
38	Access Road	07/01/05	ST LINE	30/00	N	8,975.00	1,945.83	148.77	2,094.60
39	Land	07/01/77	LAND	00/00	N	99,142.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	Total for (Land)					108,117.00	1,945.83	148.77	2,094.60
Structures	•								
36	Chlorine / Blower Room	07/01/99	ST LINE	30/00	N	5,760.00	2,400.79	95.48	2,496.2
	Lotal for (Structures)					5,760.00	2,400.79	95 48	2,496.2
.lectricial									
, 42	Control Room Lights	07/01/11	ST LINE	20/00	N	2,523.00	63.59	62.73	126.3
43	High Level Alarm	07/01/11	ST LINE	10/00	N	532.00	26.82	26.45	53.2
	Total for (Electricial)				_	3,055.00	90.41	89.18	179.5
rencing & G	sates								
40	Fencing	07/01/06	ST LINE	30/00	N	952.00	174 65	15.78	190.4
	Total for (Fencing & Gates)				_	952.00	174.65	15.78	190.4
hlorinators									
34	Chlorinator & Scales	07/01/08	ST LINE	20/00	N	5,991.00	1,049.24	148.96	1,198.2
45	Chlorine Scale	07/01/11	ST LINE	10/00	N	1,904.00	95.98	94.68	190
50	SCBA Unit	05/16/11	ST LINE	10/00	N	1,846.00	116.32	91.80	208.
3	Total for (Chlorinators)				-	9,741.00	1,261.54	335.44	1,596.
1eters									
37	Meter Flow Chart	07/01/99	ST LINE	20/00	N	2,050.00	1,281.67	50.97	1,332.6
	Total for (Meters)				_	2,050.00	1,281.67	50.97	1,332.
ollection Sy	ystem								
29	3,925 ft - 8" Line	07/01/61	ST LINE	50/00	N	29,946.00	29,946.00	0.00	29,946.0
30	140 ft - 10" Line	07/01/61	ST LINE	50/00	N	1,373.00	1,373.00	0.00	1,373.
31	2,585 ft - 6" Line	07/01/61	ST LINE	50/00	N	16,553.00	16,553.00	0.00	16,553.
32	980 ft - 8" Line	07/01/61	ST LINE	50/00	N	7,477.00	7,477.00	0.00	7,477.
	Total for (Collection System)				-	55,349.00	55,349.00	0.00	55,349.
/astewater	Treatment & Disposal Equip								
33	Wastewater Treatment Plant	07/01/86	ST LINE	25/00	N	1,102,074.00	1,102,074.00	0.00	1,102,074.0
35	10hp Lift Pump	07/01/00	ST LINE	30/00	N	5,790.00	2,220.03	95.97	2,316.
41	Lift Pump	07/01/11	ST LINE	20/00	N	3,751.00	94.55	93.26	187.
44	Processed Water System	07/01/11	ST LINE	25/00	N	3,500.00	70.58	69.62	140.
53	Rebuild Blower #2	04/11/12	ST LINE	30/00	N	6,410.00	0.00	47.29	47.
	Total for (Wastewater Treatmen	nt & Disposal	Equip)		-	1,121,525.00	1,104,459.16	306.14	1,104,765.
	Client Subtotal Before Sales				_	1,306,549.00	1,166,963.05	1,041.76	1,168,004.
	Less Assets Sold					0.00	111.00,000	- 1	0.
	Total				_	1,306,549.00	1,166,963.05	1,041.76	1,168,004.8
					=				



GDS Associates, Inc.

Engineers and Consultants

Ph: 512 494 0369 Fax: 512.494 0205 chuck loy@gdsassociates com

February 26, 2013

Ms. Carol Zieben, Owner Douglas Utility Company 32 E Rivercrest Drive Houston, TX 77042

Re: Douglas Utility Company Trending

Dear Ms. Zieben:

Charles Lov

Principal

GDS was asked to provide asset trending for Douglas Utility Company. Douglas Utility Company provided a test year end date of 06/30/12. Douglas Utility Company also provided an inventory list of assets with install dates and replacement cost values. We used this information in our GDS Asset Valuation Model to compute useful life, years in service at test year end date, trended original cost, annual depreciation expense, total accumulated depreciation, and net book value at test year end date for each asset. Because we were only provided with a year for install date for each asset, we made the assumption that all assets were installed at mid-year on July 1 of the year of installation.

A trending study is a computational methodology used to develop a reliable value of utility plant for different times. If the value of an item is known at any point in time, trending indices can be used to estimate its value at any other point in time. One normally begins a trending study with a replacement cost of an item for a point in time and, with trending indices from that point in time and from the time the item was installed, computes a value at the time of installation, a substitute for the original cost of the item. The purpose of this trending study is to provide Douglas Utility Company with a computation of the value of the original cost for existing plant so that the original cost can be depreciated to the net plant value for the end of the test year.

A trending study is based on two key items, the replacement cost and construction cost indices. The replacement cost is the current price for installing the same item new and is a purchase price or contractor's price for an item based upon materials, equipment, and labor used. Construction price indices are maintained by various organizations that monitor construction pricing over time. For the construction industry as a whole, ENR (formerly Engineering News Record) maintains both a construction cost index and a building cost index. For the utility industry, Electric, Gas and Water, the Handy Whitman Index maintains indices based upon capital items using a utility chart of accounts. Government agencies, such as the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation also maintain construction cost indices. Each of these indices provides an index number for different times. If one knows the cost of an item at any point in time, construction

152

Ms. Carol Zieben February 26, 2013 Page 2 of 2

cost indices can be used to reliably estimate the cost at another point in time. Thus, current costs can be used to estimate original cost using an index value for the date of installation.

The GDS Asset Valuation Model uses three indices of construction costs to estimate trended original cost: (1) Handy Whitman Index of Water Utility Construction Costs for the South Central Region (Region 4); (2) the ENR (formerly Engineering News Record) Index of Building Cost and Construction Cost Trends; and (3) the Bureau of Reclamation Construction Cost Trends. The Handy Whitman Index was the primary reference source used for this trending because utility regulators and the industry routinely accept it. The Handy Whitman Index is commonly used in Texas ratemaking dockets. The Handy Whitman Index has been reporting values since 1912. The Handy Whitman Index has reported values on January 1 and July 1 for each year since 1973 and reported annual values before 1973. The Handy Whitman indices are designed to estimate reproduction and original costs. For sewage treatment plants, we use the Building Cost Index of ENR, as we have found it to be the most suitable alternative when the Handy Whitman Index is not applicable. We prefer the ENR Building Cost Index to the ENR Construction Cost Index because we believe it is based upon features more accurately applied to sewage treatment plants and because it has a slightly lower inflation rate. The ENR Building Cost Index has been reported since 1915 and currently reports monthly values. We also use the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Construction Cost Trends Index because it covers land costs, electrical equipment, and other specialized items not covered by the Handy Whitman Index and the ENR Building Cost Index. The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Index has been reported quarterly since 1940. We have used the most appropriate index for each inventory item and used the index value for the nearest reported date.

Service lives and depreciation rates were determined using recommended service lives from TCEQ. These rates were used to compute the annual depreciation expense and the total accumulated depreciation on the purchased assets. Depreciation was computed and subtracted from the trended value of original cost to determine net book value.

The attached reports included the trended value of assets for the Water Treatment Plants # 1 and 2 as well as the Sewer Treatment Plant at Douglas Utility Company. We believe that our computations have produced appropriate values for net book value.

Sincerely,

Chuck Loy

Subd Name. Water Treatment Plants # 1 & 2 Summary Company: Douglas Utility Company

Utility Asset Valuation Water Treatment Plants # 1 & 2

1	Account	Account Name	Asset Description	Unit	Approx.	Unit Price	Replacement	Date	Useful	Years in	Actual or	Annual	Total	Net Book
Š.					Quantity		Cost	Installed	Life	Service at Test Year	Trended Original Depreciation	Depreciation	Accumulated	Value
										End Date 6/30/2012	Zes S	rypense	Depreciation	End Date 6/30/2012
	0.000	9	Director Desired House	¥ 21	1 4		00 000 53	02/01/80	30	32.0	\$2,095.44 T	\$69.85	\$2,095.44	\$0.00
-	7. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Structures &	Ĉ			X +				,	- 5	ja N j	44 47 (4 ²)	
ŷ	が明	Improvements - Blags -	大大 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一				\$0. 8 × 5.				in.	i i		٠.
		(Masonry, Metal, or		1.0	e ,	**	, i					24 70 7 5		
٠	307.0	2070 Wale	Jant 2. 6" Wat	EAS	1 × ×	4.	\$56,000.00	04/10//0	50	32.0	\$28,000.00 T	\$560.00		\$10,081.53
4 6	307.0	Wells	20770 Water Well (170gnm)	4	1		856,000.00	04/01/80	50	32.0	\$28,000.00 T	\$560.00	S	210
4	311.0	Booster pumps: 7.1/2.HP	311.0 Booster pumps: 7 1/2 HP Plant 2: Booster pumps, 25hp, Qty 2		7	×	83,900.00	02/10//80	30	32.0	\$1,105.09 T	\$36.83	\$1,105.00	
y**		orgreater		500	12					,	-	0000	13160	01 346 70
જ		Chloringtors and Water	326.0 Chlorinators and Water. Plant 2: Chlorinator (Superior), Oty	A A	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	is y	\$2,500.00	07/01/08	91	4.0	52,080.21 I	70°807¢	rerrese	
9	320.0	Chlorinators and Water	320.0 Chioringtors and Water Plant 1: 2 Superior Chlorine	PHI PHI	2		\$1,700.00	01/01/08	20	4.0	\$1,414.54 T	\$70,73	\$282.71	\$1,131.83
1	100 m	Treatment Equipment	Regulator Comments of the Comm		*		* *		91	0.7	T 1414 KA T	\$141.45	EP 5953	\$849.11
7	320.0	Chlorinators and Water	Chlorinators and Water Plant 2: Chlorinator Scales, Qty 2 Treatment Equiliment	፭ ፡	, ,	e R	\$1,700.00	0//01/08	IO	P.		, leg	* "	
ä	+-	Pressure Table	330.0 Pressure Tables Plant 1: Pressure Tank 9:000 gal	EA	14 4 88 9 4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	\$32,168.00	07/01/85	50	27.0		# 5-4	- 1	3.
0	1	3300 Presente Tanks	Plant 2: Pressure Tank 10,000 gal	1520	7	and a self-	\$35,743.00	ě.	20	4.0				
2	11 31 10	Ground Storage Tanks	330.0 Ground Storage Tanks Plant 1: GST (bolted galvanized),	. EA		100 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m 2 m	\$94,500.00	07/01/85	20	27.0	S17,222,38 T	\$344.45	\$9,299.38	\$7,923.00
: :	_	Ground Storage Tanks	330.0 Ground Storage Tanks Plant 2:: GST (bolted galvanized),	EA	2		\$94,500.00	04/10//80	20	32.0	\$20,345.61 T	\$406.91	\$13,020.08	\$7,325.53
1	- 32		1,500 bbl, Oty 2			70° 16. 4			-					00 03
12		331,0 Distribution System	8" Cast Iron Pipe, 2,355' (\$22.00 per	E .	2,355	\$22.00	\$51,810.00	07/01/61	20	9	\$6,724.09	3,34.40	30,(24.0)	3 (%)
ç		221 On Distribution System	8" 4 (C Pine 1 \$70" (\$72 00 ner fnot)	FT	1.570	\$22.00	\$34,540.00	19/10//0	20	≥ 51.0 ° ⊊	S9,411.44 T			1,000
27 41		331 0 Distribution System	2" Steel Pine, 2,970" (\$11,50 per foot)	100	W.	\$11.50	4	8.7	50	51.0	S3,738.67		*	
1,4				FF	979	\$14.50	7 (A)	3	20	51.0				3
16		331.0 Distribution System	4"A/C Pipe, 1,450/(\$14.50 per foot)	FILE	1,450	\$14.50		8	20	1.0	\$19,764.65 T			3
12	3310	Distribution System	3370 Dietalbuilon System 2 2"Stree Pine 930 (\$11.50 ner.1001)	FI		\$11.50	310,695.00	07/01/61	20	\$1.0	S1,170.770 T			
101	33403	334 0 Meters	Plant I: Well Meter, 4" Sensus	EA	120000		\$2,225.00	07/01/83	70	29,0	S982,47 T			3
101		Valence	3340" Meter 31 am Plant 2: Well Meter 31 am	EA	Larry	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	\$1,465.00	01/10//0	20	2.0	-1		*	S
2 5		Dira Hullconte	335 0 Directions Fire Hwirants Oiv 9 (\$3,800 each)	EA	6	S3,800.00	34,200.00	07/01/61	40	51.0	S2,829.32 T	S70.73	52,829,32	S0.0
3		THE VILLE OF THE PARTY OF THE P		· ·	おおから とか		人 中國 妻子 秋						なる とないのできる 大田 とかない	æ
_	+-	TOTAL - WATER		_			\$582,816.00				\$189,800.57	\$4,244.76	\$97,378.45	892,422.12
		TREATMENT PLANTS												

Page 1

FIXED ASSETS - SEWER

Douglas Utility
Derreciation Schedule by Category
For the 6 Months Ended 06/30/12

03/06/13* 03:16PM

Asset No.	Asset Description	Date Acquired	Method	Life	Sold?	Cost	Accum Depr 01/01/12	Current Depreciation	Accum Depr 06/30/12
and.									
1	Land	07/01/77	LAND	00/00	N	16,267.00	0.00	0.00	0 00
	Total for (Land)					16,267.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ervice Equi	pment								
46	Air Compressor	11/01/10	ST LINE	10/00	N	943.00	110.06	46.89	156.95
	Total for (Service Equipment)					943.00	110.06	46.89	156.95
vells (with p	eump) Plant								
3	6" Water Well (60gpm)	07/01/80	ST LINE	50/00	N	28,000.00	17,641.53	278.47	17,920.00
4	6" Water Well (170gpm)	07/01/80	ST LINE	50/00	N	28,000.00	17,641 53	278.47	17,920.00
	Total for (Wells (with pump) Plant	:)				56,000.00	35,283.06	556.94	35,840.00
Structures									
2	Pump House	07/01/80	ST LINE	30/00	N	2,095.00	2,095.00	0.00	2,095.00
24	Pump House	07/01/99	ST LINE	30/00	N	8,400.00	3,501.15	139.23	3,640 38
25	Chlorine Cylinder Storage	07/01/99	ST LINE	30/00	N	2,496 00	1,040.34	41.37	1,081.71
51	Rebuilt Chlorine Buildings	01/27/12	ST LINE	30/00	N	3,168.00	0.00	45.01	45.01
	Total for (Structures)					16,159 00	6,636.49	225.61	6,862.10
sooster Pum									
5	2 - Booster Pumps - 7 1/2hp	07/01/80	ST LINE	30/00	N	1,105.00	1,105 00	0.00	1,105.00
22	Booster Pump - 7 1/2 hp	07/01/00	ST LINE	30/00	N	1,735 00	665.20	28.76	693.96
23	Booster Pump - 7 1/2 hp	07/01/04	ST LINE	30/00	N	2,510.00	627.75	41.61	669.36
	Total for (Booster Pumps)					5,350.00	2,397.95	70.37	2,468.32
lectricial	, , , , ,								
26	Generator	07/01/94	ST LINE	30/00	N	16,202.00	9,453.44	268.56	9,722.00
48	Mercoid Switches	03/16/11	ST LINE	10/00	N	1,490.00	118.79	74.09	192.88
	Total for (Electricial)					17,692.00	9,572.23	342.65	9,914.88
Pressure Ta	,								
9	9,000 gal Pressure Tank	07/01/85	ST LINE	50/00	N	7,243.00	3,839.39	72.03	3,911.42
10	10,000 Pressure Tank	07/01/08	ST LINE	50/00	N	32,461.00	2,274.04	322.84	2,596.88
	Total for (Pressure Tanks)					39,704.00	6,113.43	394.87	6,508.30
hlorinators	,								
6	2 - Chlorinators	07/01/08	ST LINE	10/00	N	2,080.00	728.57	103.43	832.00
7	2 - Superior Chlorine Regulators	07/01/08	ST LINE	20/00	N	1,415.00	247.82	35.18	283.00
8	2 - Chloring Scales	07/01/08	ST LINE	10/00	N	1,415.00	495.64	70.36	566.00
47	2 - Chlorine Scale	03/29/11	ST LINE	10/00	N	3,028.00	230.63	150.57	381.20
52	Chlorine Scale	01/01/12	ST LINE	10/00		1,900.00	0.00	94.48	94.48
	Total for (Chlorinators)				_	9,838.00	1,702.66	454.02	2,156.68
∂round Stor									
11	3,000 bbl Ground Storage Tank	07/01/85	ST LINE	50/00	N	17,222.00	9,129.08	171.28	9,300.36
12		07/01/80	ST LINE	50/00		20,346.00	12,819.09	202.35	13,021.44
·-	Total for (Ground Storage Tanks)					37,568.00	21,948.17	373.63	22,321.80
Distribution S	•					•	•		
13	2,355 ft - 8" Cast Iron Pipe	07/01/61	ST LINE	50/00	N	6,724.00	6,724.00	0.00	6,724.00
14	1,570 ft- 8" A/C Pipe	07/01/61	ST LINE	50/00		9,411.00	9,411.00	0.00	9,411.00
15	2,970 ft -2" Steel Pipe	07/01/61	ST LINE	50/00		4,433.00	4,433.00	0.00	4,433.00
	•	07/01/61	ST LINE	50/00		2,450.00	2,450.00	0.00	2,450.00
16	620 ft - 4" A/C Pipe	37701701	O' LINE	30/00		2,-100.00	2, .00.00	5.50	=,

Douglas Utility Preciation Schedule by Category For the 6 Months Ended 06/30/12

03/06/13 03:16PM

Asset No.	Asset Description	Date Acquired	Method	Life	Sold?	Cost	Accum Depr 01/01/12	Current Depreciation	Accum Depr 06/30/12
Distribution	System								
17	1,450 ft - 4" C-900 Pipe	07/01/11	ST LINE	50/00	N	19,765.00	199.27	196.57	395.84
18	930 ft - 2" Steel Pipe	07/01/61	ST LINE	50/00	N	1,388.00	1,388.00	0.00	1,388.00
	Total for (Distribution System)					44,171.00	24,605.27	196.57	24,801.84
Meters									ļ
19	4" WellMeter	07/01/83	ST LINE	20/00	N	982.00	982.00	0.00	982.00
20	3" Well Meter	07/01/10	ST LINE	20/00	N	1,348.00	101.38	33.52	134.90
27	Meter with Modem Line	07/01/05	ST LINE	20/00	N	6,750.00	2,195.14	167.83	2,362.97
28	Meter with Modem Line	07/01/05	ST LINE	20/00	N	8,680.00	2,822.78	215.81	3,038.59
	Total for (Meters)					17,760 00	6,101.30	417.16	6,518.46
Fire Hydrant	.s								I
. 21	9 - Fire Hydrants	07/01/61	ST LINE	40/00	N	2,829 00	2,829.00	0.00	2,829.00
49	Fire Hydrandt	04/07/11	ST LINE	05/00	N	3,518 00	518.54	349.88	868.42
	Total for (Fire Hydrants)					6,347 00	3,347 54	349.88	3,697.42
	Client Subtotal Before Sales					267,799.00	117,818 16	3,428.59	121,246 75
	Less Assets Sold					0.00			0.00
	Total					267,799.00	117,818,16	3.428.59	121,246,75



GDS Associates, Inc.

Engineers and Consultants

Ph: 512 494 0369 Fax. 512 494.0205 chuck loy@gdsassociates com

February 26, 2013

Ms. Carol Zieben, Owner Douglas Utility Company 32 E Rivercrest Drive Houston, TX 77042

Re: Douglas Utility Company Trending

Dear Ms. Zieben:

Charles Lov

Principal

GDS was asked to provide asset trending for Douglas Utility Company. Douglas Utility Company provided a test year end date of 06/30/12. Douglas Utility Company also provided an inventory list of assets with install dates and replacement cost values. We used this information in our GDS Asset Valuation Model to compute useful life, years in service at test year end date, trended original cost, annual depreciation expense, total accumulated depreciation, and net book value at test year end date for each asset. Because we were only provided with a year for install date for each asset, we made the assumption that all assets were installed at mid-year on July 1 of the year of installation.

A trending study is a computational methodology used to develop a reliable value of utility plant for different times. If the value of an item is known at any point in time, trending indices can be used to estimate its value at any other point in time. One normally begins a trending study with a replacement cost of an item for a point in time and, with trending indices from that point in time and from the time the item was installed, computes a value at the time of installation, a substitute for the original cost of the item. The purpose of this trending study is to provide Douglas Utility Company with a computation of the value of the original cost for existing plant so that the original cost can be depreciated to the net plant value for the end of the test year.

A trending study is based on two key items, the replacement cost and construction cost indices. The replacement cost is the current price for installing the same item new and is a purchase price or contractor's price for an item based upon materials, equipment, and labor used. Construction price indices are maintained by various organizations that monitor construction pricing over time. For the construction industry as a whole, ENR (formerly Engineering News Record) maintains both a construction cost index and a building cost index. For the utility industry, Electric, Gas and Water, the Handy Whitman Index maintains indices based upon capital items using a utility chart of accounts. Government agencies, such as the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation also maintain construction cost indices. Each of these indices provides an index number for different times. If one knows the cost of an item at any point in time, construction

158

Ms. Carol Zieben February 26, 2013 Page 2 of 2

cost indices can be used to reliably estimate the cost at another point in time. Thus, current costs can be used to estimate original cost using an index value for the date of installation.

The GDS Asset Valuation Model uses three indices of construction costs to estimate trended original cost: (1) Handy Whitman Index of Water Utility Construction Costs for the South Central Region (Region 4); (2) the ENR (formerly Engineering News Record) Index of Building Cost and Construction Cost Trends; and (3) the Bureau of Reclamation Construction Cost Trends. The Handy Whitman Index was the primary reference source used for this trending because utility regulators and the industry routinely accept it. The Handy Whitman Index is commonly used in Texas ratemaking dockets. The Handy Whitman Index has been reporting values since 1912. The Handy Whitman Index has reported values on January 1 and July 1 for each year since 1973 and reported annual values before 1973. The Handy Whitman indices are designed to estimate reproduction and original costs. For sewage treatment plants, we use the Building Cost Index of ENR, as we have found it to be the most suitable alternative when the Handy Whitman Index is not applicable. We prefer the ENR Building Cost Index to the ENR Construction Cost Index because we believe it is based upon features more accurately applied to sewage treatment plants and because it has a slightly lower inflation rate. The ENR Building Cost Index has been reported since 1915 and currently reports monthly values. We also use the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Construction Cost Trends Index because it covers land costs, electrical equipment, and other specialized items not covered by the Handy Whitman Index and the ENR Building Cost Index. The U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Index has been reported quarterly since 1940. We have used the most appropriate index for each inventory item and used the index value for the nearest reported date.

Service lives and depreciation rates were determined using recommended service lives from TCEQ. These rates were used to compute the annual depreciation expense and the total accumulated depreciation on the purchased assets. Depreciation was computed and subtracted from the trended value of original cost to determine net book value.

The attached reports included the trended value of assets for the Water Treatment Plants # 1 and 2 as well as the Sewer Treatment Plant at Douglas Utility Company. We believe that our computations have produced appropriate values for net book value.

Sincerely,

Chuck Loy

GDS Associates, Inc.

Printed: 2/26/2013

Company: Douglas Utility Company Subd Name: Sewer Treatment Plant

Summary

Utility Asset Valuation Sewer Treatment Plant

_				_						 ,	-	
Net Book	Value	at Test Year	End Date	6/30/2012	\$0.00	\$0.00	20.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$4,793.62	-	\$4,793.62
Total	Accumulated	Depreciation			\$29,945,50	\$1,373.30 \$0.00	\$16,552,59	T 8149.54 87,476,84	\$1,102,073.50 T \$44,082.94 \$1,102,073.50	\$299.55	10 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m	\$45,489.45 \$1,158,619.11
Annual	Depreciation Accumulated	Expense			\$598.91	\$27.47	\$331.05	\$149.54	\$44,082.94	\$299,55	April 180	\$45,489.45
papi					Ţ	H	T	Ĺ	T	L.		
Actual or Trended	Original Cost				\$29,945.50	S1,373.30 % T	\$16,552.59	\$7,476.84	\$1,102,073.50	\$5,991.00	ę	\$1,163,412.73
Ĺ	Service	at Yest Year	6/30/2012		21	51	51	21	26	4		
Useful	Life				20	20	20	20	25	20		
Date	Installed				07/01/61	07/01/61	07/01/61	19/10//0	07/01/86	07/01/08		
Approx. Unit Price Replacement	Cost				3,925 \$28.00 \$109,900.00	\$5,040.00	\$23.50 \$60,748.00	\$28,00 \$27,440.00	\$2,280,000.00 07/01/86	\$7,200.00 07/01/08	i de	\$2,490,328.00
Unit Price					\$28.00	\$36.00	\$23.50	100		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	7	
	Ouantity	,			- Fig. 36	140	2,585	086	GPD 380,000		0 3 5 F.Mg	
Unit					L.	E	E	H	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	EĄ.		
Asset Description					1. 360.0 Collection System - 8" Line, 3,925 (528.00 per foot)	2 360.0 Colletto or 2010 Line, 140. (\$36.00 per foot)	36.0 Collection System - 6" Line, 2,585 (\$33.50 per foot)	4 360.0 CollectionSystem 8" Line; 980; (\$28.00 per foot);	5 380.0 WastewaterTreatment, WastewaterTreatmentPlante	6 380.0 Wastewater Treatment Chlorinator & Scales	があれるないと W	
Account Name			-		Collection System -	Collection System -	Collection System -	Collection System -	Wastewater Treatment,	380.0 Wastewater Treatment Chlorinator & Scales		TOTAL - SEWER
Item Account	Ž				360.0	360.0	360.0	360.0	380.0	380.0	╀	
Item	ž				14.70	77	e,	4	ν,	٠٠٠	1	

Page 1

Reconciliation and Land Value Conclusion

After considering the all of the land sales, the land value for the subject tracts is calculated as follows:

	LAND VALUE SUMMARY #	
Land Area	Land Value/SF	Land Value
49,571	\$2.00	\$99,142
11,717	\$1.00	\$11,717
4,550	\$1.00	\$4,550
	Total:	\$115,409
	Rounded:	\$120,000

C12-0643

161

