1	Q9.	DO YOU SPONSOR OR CO-SPONSOR ANY SCHEDULES IN THE
2		RATE FILING PACKAGE ("RFP") WHICH HAVE BEEN FILED IN THIS
3		PROCEEDING?
4	A.	Yes, I sponsor or co-sponsor several schedules filed in this proceeding.
5		Exhibit RLR-4 indicates the schedules that I am sponsoring or
6		co-sponsoring with other witnesses. The schedules were prepared by me
7		or under my direct supervision and control.
8		
9	Q10.	DOES YOUR TESTIMONY EXPLAIN ALL SCHEDULES THAT YOU
10		CO-SPONSOR?
11	A.	No. The discussion below concerns only those schedules for which I am
12		the sole sponsor. To avoid duplication, the schedules I have
13		co-sponsored with other Company witnesses will be addressed in their
14		testimony as applicable.
15		
16	Q11.	ON WHAT BASIS WERE THE SCHEDULES THAT YOU JUST
17		MENTIONED PREPARED?
18	Α.	They were prepared using the books and records of the Company and are
19		accurate summaries of the business records upon which they are based.
20		The schedules have been examined by Deloitte & Touche LLP, our
21		independent auditors. The report of their examination is included in
22		Schedule S of the RFP.

5-539 2097

- 1 Q12. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS?
- 2 A. Yes. I sponsor the exhibits listed in the Table of Contents to my3 testimony.
- 4
- 5

III. INCOME TAX SCHEDULES

6 Q13. PLEASE PROVIDE A DESCRIPTION OF THE INFORMATION
7 PROVIDED ON SCHEDULE G-7.1a, RECONCILIATION-TIMING
8 DIFFERENCES.

- 9 A. This schedule provides a reconciliation of those timing differences and
 10 other items that produce a federal income tax rate differing from the
 11 statutory rate of 35%.
- 12

13 Q14. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULE G-7.3, CONSOLIDATED TAXES.

14 This schedule presents the federal taxable income for ETI had it filed a Α. separate 2011 federal income tax return, and shows the amount of tax 15 benefit ETI received as a result of its filing as a member of the Entergy 16 consolidated group for 2011. For 2011, ETI received an income benefit of 17 \$623,615 that reduced its net operating loss-related ADIT and ETI avoided 18 19 incurring \$10,076,772 of alternative minimum tax. As a result, rate base is 20 \$10.6 million lower than it otherwise would have been because ETI was a 21 member of the Entergy Corporation consolidated group for 2011. The 22 2011 consolidated income tax return was filed September 10, 2012. An 23 amended return was filed March 14, 2013.

- Q15. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CONSOLIDATION BENEFITS SCHEDULE,
 SCHEDULE G-7.3a, TAX CONSOLIDATION BENEFITS.
- A. This schedule explains the benefits to ETI of joining in the filing of the
 consolidated Entergy FIT return for 2011, the most recent tax return.
- 5
- 6 Q16. PLEASE EXPLAIN SCHEDULE G-7.3b, CONSOLIDATION/INTER7 CORPORATE TAX ALLOCATION.
- A. This schedule provides a detailed explanation of the accounting for
 inter-corporate tax allocations. The Entergy Tax Allocation Agreement
 (including amendments) is filed with the Securities and Exchange
 Commission and is included in the workpapers for Schedule G-7.3b.
- 12

Q17. PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.4a, ADFIT - DESCRIPTION OF
 TIMING DIFFERENCES.

A. Timing differences giving rise to deferrals shown in Schedule G-7.4 are
described in Schedule G-7.4a. The timing differences are detailed to
show the type of timing difference. A further description of these timing
differences is included in Schedule G-7.13a, History of Tax Normalization.
Where known, the anticipated remaining life for each timing difference
is shown.

1	Q18.	PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.5, ANALYSIS OF INVESTMENT
2		TAX CREDIT ("ITC").

A. This schedule presents the analysis of the ITC adjustment. The "stripped"
book depreciation rate of 2.286% reflected in the schedule is derived from
the book depreciation calculation. This "stripped" rate represents the
service life portion of the book depreciation rate without regard to amounts
for cost of removal or salvage. As the schedule indicates, the ITC
amortization rate reflected in the Company's filing is 2.286%.

9

10 Q19. PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.5a, ITC UTILIZED.

- A. This schedule is an analysis of the years ITC was utilized for tax return
 purposes.
- 13
- 14 Q20. PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.5b, ITC GENERATED BUT NOT15 UTILIZED.
- A. This schedule indicates that the Company's ITCs were fully utilized by theend of 1999.
- 18
- 19 Q21. PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.5d, ITC ELECTIONS.
- A. Elections regarding ITC are shown on this schedule. There have not been
 any new elections since the Company's last rate filing. One of the
 elections made was to amortize ITC ratably to cost of service rather than
 to reduce rate base.

1	Q22.	PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT IS SHOWN ON SCHEDULE G-7.9,
2		AMORTIZATION-PROTECTED/UNPROTECTED EXCESS DEFERRED
3		TAXES.
4	A.	Schedule G-7.9 explains that the Company's unprotected excess deferred
5		income taxes are fully amortized and the Company is requesting \$229,548
6		of protected excess deferred income tax amortization during the test year.
7		
8	Q23.	PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.9a, ANALYSIS-EXCESS
9		DEFERRED TAXES BY TIMING DIFFERENCE.
10	A.	This schedule shows that all the excess deferred income taxes are for
11		depreciation. The unamortized balance of excess deferred taxes on
12		depreciation at the end of the Test Year is \$3,569,950.
13		
14	Q24.	PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT IS DEPICTED ON SCHEDULE G-7.9b,
15		RECONCILIATION OF EXCESS DEFERRED TAXES.
16	A.	This schedule reconciles the balance of excess deferred taxes at the end
17		of the Test Year to the balance of excess deferred taxes in the Company's
18		last rate case filing, Docket No. 39896.
19		
20	Q25.	PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.9c, ANALYSIS-RESERVE
21		ACCOUNTING-EXCESS DEFERRED TAXES.
22	Α.	This schedule explains that the Company's excess deferred tax reserve
23		was fully amortized at the end of July 1991.

5-543 2101

1	Q26.	PLEASE	DESCRIBE	SCHEDULE	G-7.13a,	HISTORY	OF	TAX
2		NORMALI	ZATION.					

- A. This schedule details the history of tax normalization for the Company and
 also details items for which deferred taxes were not provided.
- 5
- 6 Q27. PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.13b, TAX ELECTIONS.
- 7 A. Tax elections made by the Company are detailed in this schedule. Certain
 8 provisions in the Internal Revenue Code require taxpayers to include
 9 elections in returns specifying the tax treatment selected by the taxpayer
 10 which may be binding on future treatment of like transactions.
- 11
- 12 Q28. SCHEDULE G-7.13c REFERS TO CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING FOR
- 13 DEFERRED TAXES. HAS THE COMPANY MADE ANY SUCH14 CHANGES?
- 15 A. No, it has not.
- 16
- 17 Q29. PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.13d, IRS AUDIT STATUS.
- A. This schedule explains the Company's current FIT audit status. The
 Company is currently under audit for the years 2006 through 2009.

1	Q30	. SCHEDULE G-7.13e RELATES TO PRIVATE LETTER RULINGS SINCE
2		THE LAST RATE FILING. HAVE THERE BEEN ANY PRIVATE LETTER
3		RULINGS RECEIVED THAT AFFECT THE FIT OF THE COMPANY OR
4		ITS PARENT?
5	Α.	Entergy Corporation received a private letter ruling advising of the tax
6		consequences of a proposed reorganization. There have been no private
7		letter rulings received by ETI since the last rate filing.
8		
9	Q31.	PLEASE DESCRIBE SCHEDULE G-7.13f, METHOD OF ACCOUNTING
10		FOR ADFIT RELATED TO NET OPERATING LOSS ("NOL")
11		CARRYFORWARD.
12	Α.	This schedule describes the accounting for NOLs and the amount of
13		carryforwards available at the end of the test year. ETI's NOL
14		carryforward as of March 31, 2013 is \$44,203,247.
15		
16		IV. <u>FIN 48</u>
17	Q32.	DOES ETI HAVE ANY TAX POSITIONS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO BE
18		ACCOUNTED FOR IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FINANCIAL
19		ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD'S INTERPRETATION NO. 48
20		(FIN 48)?
21	A.	ETI does have tax positions that must be accounted for in accordance with
22		FIN 48.

5-545 2103

Page 10 of 19

Entergy Texas, Inc. Direct Testimony of Rory L. Roberts 2013 Rate Case

1	Q33.	WERE THESE AMOUNTS INCLUDED IN THE ADIT ACCOUNTS?
---	------	---

2 Yes. These FIN 48 amounts were included in the ADIT accounts. They Α. were treated in the manner described in the PUC Order on Rehearing in 3 4 the Company's last rate case. Docket No. 39896. dated November 1, 2012. This order stated "... ETI's full FIN 48 liability ... less 5 the ... cash deposit ETI has made with the IRS for the FIN 48 liability ... 6 should be added to ETI's ADFIT and thus be used to reduce ETI's rate 7 8 base."1

9

10	Q34. DID THAT SAME ORDER ALSO RECOMMEND THAT ETI CREATE A
11	DEFERRED-TAX-ACCOUNT TRACKER IN THE FORM OF A RIDER?

- A. Yes. This order authorizes ETI to "create a deferred-tax-account tracker
 in the form of a rider to recover on a prospective basis an after-tax return
 of 8.27% on the amounts paid to the IRS that result from an unfavorable
 FIN 48 audit." ²
- 16

17 Q35. IS ETI PROPOSING A DEFERRED TAX ACCOUNT TRACKER IN THIS 18 CASE?

A. Yes. The Company is proposing a deferred tax account tracker in the
form of a rider that is consistent with the Commission's Decision in Docket

¹ Application of Entergy Texas for Authority to Change Rates, Reconcile Fuel Costs, and Obtain Deferred Accounting Treatment, Docket No. 39896, Order on Rehearing, FOF 39 (Nov. 1, 2012).

² *Id.*, FOF 40A.

1		No. 39896 (Schedule DTA sponsored by Company witness				
2		Myra Talkington) and will continue to track amounts paid to the IRS that				
3		result from any unfavorable FIN 48 audits.				
4						
5	Q36.	HAVE ANY OF ETI'S FIN 48 ISSUES ASSOCIATED WITH DEFERRED				
6		TAXES INCLUDED IN THIS CASE BEEN RESOLVED?				
7	A.	No. None of the issues associated with deferred taxes included in this				
8		case, or in the Docket No. 39896 tracker, have been resolved.				
9						
10		V. INCOME TAX EXPENSE CLASS OF AFFILIATE COSTS				
11	Q37.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INCOME TAX EXPENSE CLASS OF COSTS				
12		THAT YOU ARE SPONSORING.				
13	Α.	This class of affiliate costs consists of the federal income tax expense that				
14		ESI incurred in the test year. The ESI income tax expense is billed to the				
15		other Entergy companies, including ETI, just as any other costs incurred				
16		by ESI. My testimony and the testimony of Company witness				
17		Stephanie B. Tumminello will explain in more detail how the ESI costs are				
18		allocated to ETI and the other companies.				

5-547 2105

- 1 Q38. WHAT IS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF ESI INCOME TAX EXPENSE FOR
- 2 WHICH ETI REQUESTS RECOVERY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 3 A. The Total ETI Adjusted amount of expense for this class of services is
- 4 \$116,027. The following table summarizes this information for the Income
- 5 Tax Expense Class. The table shows the following information:

Total Billings	Dollar amount of total Test Year billings from ESI to all Entergy companies, plus the dollar amount of all other affiliate charges that originated from any Entergy company. This is the amount from Column (C) of the cost exhibits RLR-A, RLR-B, and RLR-C.
Total ETI Adjusted Amount	ETI's adjusted amount for electric cost of service after pro forma adjustments and exclusions.
% Direct Billed	The percentage of the ETI adjusted test year amount that was billed 100% to ETI.
% Allocated	The percentage of the ETI adjusted test year amount that was allocated to ETI.

Table 1

		Tot	al ETI Adjus	ted
Class	Total Billings	Amount	% Direct	% Allocated
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	\$1,188,822	\$116,027	0%	100%

1 Q39. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EXHIBITS THAT SUPPORT THE 2 INFORMATION INCLUDED IN TABLE 1.

Attached to my testimony are exhibits showing the calculation of the Total 3 Α. 4 ETI Adjusted amount for the Income Tax Expense Class. In my Exhibit RLR-A, the information is shown broken down by the departments 5 comprising the class (where applicable). My Exhibit RLR-B shows the 6 7 same information broken down by project code and the billing method assigned to each project code. My Exhibit RLR-C shows the information 8 9 by class, department (where applicable), and project code. For each 10 exhibit, the amounts in the columns represent the following information:

Column (A) – Dollar amount of total Test Year billings and charges from ESI to all Entergy Business Units, plus the dollar amount of all other affiliate charges to ETI that originated from any Entergy Business Unit.

- Column (B) Service Company Recipient Dollar amount that was included in the service company recipient allocation. Service company recipient charges are the cost of services that ESI provides to itself, which in turn are charged to affiliates that receive those services. The service company recipient allocation process is described in the testimony of Company witness Tumminello.
- Column (C) Represents the sum of Columns (A) and (B). Total
- Column (D) That portion of Column (C) that was billed and All Other Business charged to Business Units other than ETI. Units
- Column (E) Represents the difference between Columns (C) ETI Per Books and (D).

Column (F) Exclusions	Represents amounts that are excluded from ETI electric cost of service. The exclusions are described in the testimony of Company witness Tumminello.
Column (G) – Pro Forma Amount	Pro Forma Amounts include adjustments for known and measurable changes, and corrections.
Column (H) – Total ETI Adjusted	ETI adjusted amount requested for recovery in this case for this class (Column (E) plus Columns (F) and (G)).

- In her testimony, Company witness Tumminello describes the calculations 1
- that take the dollars of support services in Column A to the Total ETI 2
- 3 Adjusted numbers shown on Column H.
- 4

Q40. ARE THERE ANY PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS TO THIS CLASS? 5

- Yes. As shown on Exhibit RLR-D, \$17 was removed from the ETI per 6 Α. books amount. The purpose of the proforma is to remove franchise tax 7 penalty costs that were inadvertently charged to the wrong resource code 8 9 during the Test Year.
- 10
- Q41. ON WHAT BASIS ARE THE AFFILIATE COSTS OF THIS CLASS OF 11 12 SERVICE ALLOCATED?
- Each class is made up of services that are charged to one or more project 13 Α. 14 codes. As Company witness Tumminello explains, only one billing method is assigned to each project code. Any organization performing 15

Entergy Texas, Inc. Direct Testimony of Rory L. Roberts 2013 Rate Case

8

Page 15 of 19

1		work relating to a project code will bill to that project code, but the billing
2		method for that project code remains the same. A billing method is
3		selected based on cost causation. This assures that the price billed to ETI
4		for the services is no higher than the price charged to other affiliates for
5		the same or similar services and represents the actual costs of the
6		service. Company witness Tumminello's Direct Testimony provides a
7		complete listing of billing methods, billing method numbers, project titles,
8		descriptions, families, and percentages by affiliate company. As charges
9		are incurred in this class, they are billed to the appropriate project code,
10		allocated based on the applicable billing method, and then billed to each
11		affiliate, including ETI.
12		
13		A. <u>Necessity</u>
14	Q42.	IS THE INCOME TAX EXPENSE CLASS NECESSARY?
15	Α.	Yes, it is. Income tax expense for ESI is a necessary expense. These
16		taxes are imposed by law. If ESI did not satisfy its tax obligations, ESI
17		would not be able to operate and provide all of the other classes of

18 services to ETI that ETI requires in order to provide electric service to its19 customers.

1		B. <u>Reasonableness</u>
2	Q43	IS THE AMOUNT OF THE INCOME TAX EXPENSE CLASS OF
3		SERVICES REASONABLE?
4	A.	Yes. The Total ETI Adjusted amount of the ESI Income Tax Expense
5		Class is reasonable. The amount is based on the calculations and
6		payments dictated by applicable tax statutes.
7		
8	Q44.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW THE INCOME TAXES THAT ARE INCLUDED
9		IN THIS CLASS ARE CALCULATED.
10	A.	The Tax Services Department prepares and files the appropriate income
11		tax returns in accordance with the laws of the jurisdictions in which ESI
12		operates. This expense is then accrued over a twelve-month period in
13		accordance with standard accounting practices.
14		
15	Q45.	UPON WHAT EVIDENCE DO YOU BASE YOUR OPINION THAT THE
16		AMOUNT INCLUDED IN THE INCOME TAX EXPENSE CLASS OF
17		SERVICE IS REASONABLE?
18	Α.	The amount included in the Income Tax Expense Class is reasonable
19		because this amount represents the tax liabilities imposed by law.

1		C. Price Charged to ETI
2	Q46.	HOW ARE THE COSTS OF ESI'S INCOME TAX EXPENSE CLASS
3		BILLED TO ETI?
4	Α.	Exhibit RLR-B shows the costs included in this class by project code. The
5		current portion of income tax expense costs associated with this class is
6		captured and billed using Project Code F5PCZUITFS (Current Federal
7		and State Income Tax). The deferred portion of income tax expense costs
8		associated with this class are captured and billed using Project Code
9		F5PCZUITNC (Deferred Federal and State Income Tax).
10		
11	Q47.	DOES ESI ALLOCATE THE COSTS OF THIS CLASS TO ETI?
12	Α.	Yes. While ESI attempts to direct bill costs to ETI and other affiliates
13		whenever appropriate, instances exist when costs are incurred that benefit
14		more than one Entergy affiliate. Such costs are therefore billed through
15		an allocation. This is the case with the Income Tax Expense Class and,
16		accordingly, ESI costs associated with this class are allocated to Entergy
17		affiliates, including ETI, rather than being direct billed.
18		
19	Q48.	WHAT BILLING METHOD IS USED TO ALLOCATE THE ESI INCOME
20		TAX EXPENSE TO THE VARIOUS ENTITIES THAT RECEIVE
21		SERVICES FROM ESI?
22	Α.	Billing method LVLSVCAL (ESI Level of Service) is used to allocate this
23		expense item to the various entities that receive services from ESI. This

5-553 2111

method is based on the level of ESI service (measured by total ESI
 billings) that an individual entity receives.

3

4 Q49. WHY IS ALLOCATION METHOD LVLSVCAL APPROPRIATE TO USE
5 FOR THE TWO PROJECT CODES IN THIS CLASS OF SERVICE?

A. Income Tax Expense is an expense of ESI. This expense is incurred by
ESI in order that it may provide services to Entergy Corp. and its affiliates.
The driver of this cost is the services from ESI required by the legal
entities, and allocation method LVLSVCAL reasonably reflects this cost
driver. Thus, the price charged for this item is no higher than the price
charged to other affiliates for this item and represents the item's actual
cost.

- 13
- 14

VI. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

15 Q50. PLEASE STATE YOUR CONCLUSIONS.

16 Α. The Company's per books test year Federal Income Tax amounts found in 17 the G-7 schedules and included in ETI's requested cost of service are 18 calculated and presented in accordance with PURA and the PUC's 19 Substantive Rules. Additionally, I have discussed the Income Tax Expense Class of affiliate services. I have demonstrated that this class of 20 21 service is necessary and that its associated costs are reasonable. In 22 addition, I have demonstrated that the price charged to ETI for ESI Income

- 1 Tax Expense is no higher than the price charged to other affiliates for the
- 2 same or similar items and represents the actual cost of these items.
- 3
- 4 Q51. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 5 A. Yes, it does.

Exhibit RLR-1 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 1

Rory L. Roberts Educational Background and Professional Experience

Education

Southwest Baptist University Bolivar, Mo. 65613

September, 1979 to May, 1983

BS, Majors in Accounting and Business Administration

Summa Cum Laude

3rd in class of 194

Certified Public Accountant

Awarded Gold Medal for achieving the highest score of Missouri candidates on the November 1983 Uniform CPA Examination.

Elijah Watt Sells Award from the American Institute of CPAs in "recognition of performance with high distinction in submitting papers of outstanding merit for the Uniform CPA examination November 1983". The award was given to 117 candidates out of the 72,695 taking this exam.

Professional Affiliations

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Arkansas Society of Certified Public Accountants

Professional Experience

Entergy Services, Inc. New Orleans LA

Jrieans, LA	
Director, Income Tax	September 2008 – Present
Director, Income Tax Accounting and Compliance	March 2003 – August 2008
Manager, Domestic Income Tax	2000 to 2003
Tax Advisor	1998 to 2000
Senior Staff Tax Analyst	1997 to 1998
Senior Lead Tax Accountant	1993 to 1997

Arkansas Power & Light Company

October, 1987 to January, 1993

February, 1993 to Present

Little Rock, AR

Progressed from Staff Accountant II to Senior Accountant while working in Taxes & Special Studies and in Regulatory Accounting & Taxes.

Charles	Cole	Co.,	CPAs
---------	------	------	------

March, 1984 to October, 1987

North Little Rock, AR

Progressed from staff to supervisory level while performing duties in audit, tax and management advisory services.

Families and Functions



Supply Chain

Exhibit RLR-2 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 1

Corporate Support Functions & Classes (\$ Total ETI Adjusted)



١

Exhibit RLR-3 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 2

Exhibit RLR-3 2013 TX Rate Case Page 2 of 2



Domestic Regulated Utility Operations Group



Entergy Texas, Inc. Listing of Rate Filing Package Schedules Sponsored or Co-Sponsored By Rory L. Roberts

Line No	o.	Schedule Description	Sponsor	Co-Sponsor
			opender	
1	G-7.1	Recon-Test Yr Bk Net Inc &Tax Net Inc		х
2	G-7.1a	Reconciliation-Timing Diffnces	X	
3	G-7.3	Consolidated Taxes	X	
4	G-7.3a	Tax Consolidation Benefits	<u> </u>	
5	<u>G-7.3b</u>	Consolidation/Inter-Corp Tax Allocation	X	
6	G-7.4	ADFIT		Х
7	G-7.4a	ADFIT-Descrptn Of Timing Diffncs	Х	
8	G-7.4b	Adjustments to ADFIT		X
9	G-7.4c	ADFIT & ITC-Plt Adjustments & Alloc		<u> </u>
10	G-7.4d	ADFIT-Rate Case Expense		<u> </u>
11	G-7.5	Analysis Of ITC's	X	
12	G-7.5a	ITC Utilized	<u> </u>	·
13	G-7.5b	ITC Generated But Not Utilized	X	
14	G-7.5c	ITC Utilized-Stand Alone Basis		X
15	G-7.5d	ITC Election	X	
16	G-7.5e	FERC A/C 255 Balance		X
17	G-7.6	Analys-TY & Rqstd FIT-Tx Meth 2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>x</u>
18	G-7.6a	Analysis Of Deferred FIT		<u>x</u>
19	G-7.8	Analys-TY & Rqstd FIT-Tx Meth 1		<u> </u>
20	G-7.9	Amort-Protctd/Unprot Exc Def Txs	X	
21	G-7.9a	Analys-Exc Def Txs By Timing Diff	<u>X</u>	
22	G-7.9b	Reconcitn Of Excess Def Txs	<u>X</u>	
23	G-7.9c	Analys-Resv Acctng-Exc Def Txs	<u> </u>	
24	G-7.10	Effects of Accounting Order Deferrals		X
25	G-7.13	List of FIT/ADFIT Testimony		— <u> </u>
26	G-7.13a	History of Tax Normalization	X	
27	G-7.13b	Tax Elections	<u> </u>	
28	G-7.13c	Changes in Accounting for Def FIT	<u>x</u>	· <u> </u>
29	G-7.13d	IRS Audit Status	<u>x</u>	
30	G-7.13e	Private Letter Rulings	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
31	G-7.13f	A/C Meth-ADFIT Rel to NOL Cfwd	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Entergy Texas, Inc. Affiliate Billings - by Witness, Class, and Department For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2013 Amounts in Dollars

Exhibit RLR - A 2013 TX Rate Case

116,027 116,027 116,027 116,027 Adjusted Total EI £ -17 -17 -17 4 Proforma Amount ଡ clusid 0 õ Ő Ő Ű. 116,044 116,044 116,044 116,044 Books E Per Ē 1,072,958 1,072,958 1,072,958 1,072,958 Other BU's 0 P **Total** 1,189,002 1,189,002 1,189,002 1,189,002 ତ୍ର (B) Total Billings 0 Ő 0 0 Recipient Company Service 1,189,002 1,189,002 1,189,002 1,189,002 Support € * * Dept Billing Entity ESI Total ESI Income Tax Expense Income Tax Expense X Class Roberts, Rory L Witness Total Total For

Exhibit RLR-A 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 1

1

Exhibit RLR - B 2013 TX Rate Case

					((B) Total Billinge	0	(<u>a</u>)	(E)	(L)	9	(H)
	Billing	Activity/ Project	Activity/ Project	ESI Billing		Service		All	E.			Total
Income Tax Expense	Entity	ESPCZUITES	Description CUR FED/STATE INC TAX	Method	Support 24,933,361		Total 24,933,361	Duner BU's 22,563,674	Books 2,369,686	Exclu	Proforma Amount	ETI Adjusted 2.369.686
1		F5PPZFRTX1	UEF FEU/SIAIE INC TAX Franchise Taxes	k lylsvcal	8		-23,744,538 180	-21,490,879 -2,253,659 163 17	-2,253,659 17	00	0 -17	-2,253,659 0
Total	ESI		and the second sec	* <u>B</u> ernon 3 2	1,189,002	0	0 1,189,002	1,072,958	116,044	0	- 1 -	116,027
			000000 000000 000000 000000 000000 000000		1,189,002	0	189,002	ਜੋ	116,044	•	-1 7	116,027
l otal For Witness	******			w. 2008 17 17 			, **** *****	49 			* 9 *04 *000 000	
Roberts, Rory L man a final and a final an		30000000000000000000000000000000000000			1,189,002	0	1,189,002	1,072,958	116,044		-17	116,027
******	* 971000000000000000000000000000000000000						and the second s	**************************************		т биллилины заасалаана тараалаалаала заасалаан за		

Exhibit RLR-B 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 1

Exhibit RLR- C 2013 TX Rate Case

Entergy Texas, Inc. Affiliate Billings - by Witness, Class, Department and Project For the Twelve Months Ended March 31, 2013 Amounts in Dollars

(H)	Total ETT	Aujusted 2,369,686 -2,253,659	116,027	116,027	116,027	116,027
(6)	Proforma		-17	-17	-17	
(F)			•	• •,***	•	
(E)	ETT Per Booke	2,253,659	116,044	116,044	116,044	116,044
a	All Other Bills	22,563,674 -21,490,879 163	1,072,958	1,072,958	1,072,958	1,189,002 1,072,958
(c)	Total	24,933,361 22,5 -23,74,538 -21,4 180) 1,189,002 1,072,958	0 1,189,002 1,072,958		
(B)	Service Company Recipient		O	0		
(A)	Support		1,189,002	1,189,002	1,189,002	1,189,002
	ESI Billing Method	LVLSVCAL LVLSVCAL LVLSVCAL		2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	40.0 20.0	зониция на страниция на стр
	Activity/ Project Description	CUR FED/STATE INC TAX DEF FED/STATE INC TAX Franchise Taxes	20 9999999999 202222999999999 9995	₩ 00000000000 ₩ 0000000000000000000000	2 (2000) 2000 (2000) 2000/0000 (2000/0000) 2000/0000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/0000) 2000/00000 (2000/00000) 2000/00000 (2000/000000 (2000/00000 (2000/00000 (2000/00000 (2000/00000 (2000/00000 (2000/0000000 (2000/0000000 (2000/000000 (2000/0000000000	andre ser second a second s
	Activity/ Dept Project Code	ESPCZUITIES ESPCZUITINC -SPPZFRTX1	**************************************			со , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	lling Entity		Total	ES		9 20 200000 2000000000000000000000000000
	C 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10				Lincome Tax Expenses www.www.www.mww.mww.mww.mww.mww.ww www.mww.m	For Witness Roberts, Rony L Reverses and Second Sec

2013 ETI Rate Case

Exhibit RLR-C 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 1

Exhibit RLR - D 2013 TX Rate Case

Witness		Billing		Account	Proforma		ľ	Proforma						Γ
Name	Class	Entity	Account	Desc	Number		Des	Description			Mitnee			
Roberts, Rory L	Income Tax Expense	ESI Total	408152 Fre	408152 Franchise Tax - State	AJ21K	To remove franchise tax costs from the Income Tax Expense class.	se tax costs fron	om the Income Ta	ax Expense c		Roberts, Rory		97 L] ;
*	Total	ESI	***	10-10000000000000000000000000000000000		**************************************		00 - 660 - 660 - 660			· · · ·			-17
**************************************	Income Tax Expense	F 1		00000000000000000000000000000000000000				·		100-100 -0000000 00000000000000000000000			-17	-17
Total	douver to transi to a moreometeres uninversidantes canas are an	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	-	1000 ··································		na a manana ananana				and an	annonenna ar an an annan an annan annan annan annan an			* *** ********************************
For			190100 a											***** ×
witness Roberts, Rory L					~					~ u				
- 	ŧ			*			10	÷ ÷	******			ł		-17
	740 V House (1)	•		4 4 4	ŝ	-	ę		N.		ł			

Exhibit RLR-D 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 1

5-571

DOCKET NO. 41791

APPLICATION OF ENTERGY	§	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
TEXAS, INC. FOR AUTHORITY TO	§	
CHANGE RATES AND RECONCILE	§	OF TEXAS
FUEL COSTS	§	

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

PHILLIP N. SHARP

ON BEHALF OF

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.

SEPTEMBER 2013

ENTERGY TEXAS, INC. DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PHILLIP N. SHARP 2013 RATE CASE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Witn	ess Inti	roduction and Qualifications	1		
II.	Purp	ose an	d Organization of Testimony	3		
111.	Test	Year C	Costs for the Customer Service Operations Class	8		
	Α.	Test	Year Affiliate Charges	9		
	В.	Budg	get Processes and Controls	19		
	C. The Services and Charges Are Reasonable and Necessary					
	D.	Custe	omer Service Operations Functions	31		
		1.	Customer Service Centers	32		
		2.	Billing	43		
		3.	Credit & Collections	48		
		4.	Revenue Assurance	57		
		5.	Meter Reading	61		
IV.	Test	Year C	osts for the Retail Operations Class	65		
	Α.	Test `	Year Affiliate Charges	72		
	В.	Budg	et Processes and Controls	82		
	C.	The S	Services and Charges Are Reasonable and Necessary	84		
V.	Custe	omer Se	ervice Operations Capital Additions	88		
VI.	Conc	lusion		93		
EXHIBITS

Exhibit PNS-1	Organization Chart of Affiliate Families and Functions
Exhibit PNS-2	Organization Chart of Affiliate Functions and Classes
Exhibit PNS-3	Utility Operations Group and Customer Experience Department
Exhibit PNS-4	Summary of First Quartile Consulting Benchmarking Report
Exhibit PNS-5	Analysis of Dollars Closed to Plant in Service – Customer Service Operations – July 1, 2011 through March 31, 2013
Exhibit PNS-A	Affiliate Billings by Class and by Department
Exhibit PNS-B	Affiliate Billings by Class and by Project
Exhibit PNS-C	Affiliate Billings by Class, by Department and by Project
Exhibit PNS-D	Pro Forma Adjustments to Affiliate Billings

.

1		I. WITNESS INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS
2	Q1.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION, AND BUSINESS
3		ADDRESS.
4	A.	My name is Phillip N. Sharp. I am employed by Entergy Services, Inc.
5		("ESI") ¹ as the Director, Customer Service Centers and Credit &
6		Collections. My business address is 639 Loyola Ave., New Orleans,
7		Louisiana 70113.
8		
9	Q2.	ON BEHALF OF WHOM ARE YOU TESTIFYING?
10	A.	I am testifying on behalf of Entergy Texas, Inc. ("ETI" or the "Company").
11		
12	Q3.	WHAT ARE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES AS DIRECTOR OF
13		CUSTOMER SERVICE CENTERS AND CREDIT & COLLECTIONS?
14	A.	I am responsible for the direct operation of six Customer Service Centers
15		(<i>i.e.</i> , call centers) plus an outsourced call center in Atlanta, Georgia. I am
16		also responsible for necessary support functions, and training and process
17		improvement associated with the call centers. The Customer Service
18		Centers ("CSCs") support the call center operations of the EOCs. ² I also
19		direct the operation of the Entergy Business Center ("EBC"), which
20		provides account management services to the EOCs' large industrial and
	¹ ES	I is a subsidiary of Entergy Corporation that provides administrative and technical services

ESI is a subsidiary of Entergy Corporation that provides administrative and technical services to the Entergy Operating Companies ("EOCs").

² The six EOCs are: ETI, Entergy Arkansas, Inc. ("EAI"); Entergy Gulf States Louisiana, L.L.C. ("EGSL"); Entergy Louisiana, LLC ("ELL"); Entergy Mississippi, Inc. ("EMI"); and Entergy New Orleans, Inc. ("ENOI").

- commercial customers and the Credit & Collections ("C&C") functions for
 the EOCs.
- 3
- 4 Q4. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE AND
 5 EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.
- I have served as Director, Customer Service Centers and Credit & 6 Α. 7 Collections ("CSC and C&C") for ESI since May 2012. My responsibilities 8 in this position are described above. Prior to my current assignment, I was the Director of Marketing for ESI for about five years and was 9 10 headquartered in New Orleans. Before that, I served as the Manager of Entergy Call Center Operations for about eight years and was 11 12 headquartered in Little Rock, Arkansas. I was responsible for call center 13 operations; including call routing plans, workforce management, quality 14 assurance, technology deployment, and operational reporting.
- I have held management positions in marketing, account
 management, customer service, and gas operations in Arkansas and
 Louisiana. I joined the Entergy Companies³ in January 1986.
- 18 I have a Bachelor of Science degree in Marketing from Arkansas
 19 State University and a Master of Science degree in Operations
 20 Management (School of Engineering) from the University of Arkansas. In

³ I use the term "Entergy Companies" or Entergy to mean Entergy Corporation and all of its subsidiaries, including ESI, ETI, and the other EOCs. Each of these subsidiaries is a separate legal entity.

- addition, I successfully completed the University of Maryland's Robert H.
 Smith Executive Education program in 2003.
- 3

4

II. PURPOSE AND ORGANIZATION OF TESTIMONY

5 Q5. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

6 A. My testimony covers two main topics.

7 First, I support the affiliate charges for the Customer Service 8 Operations and Retail Operations classes of services for the Test Year.⁴ 9 There are five primary business functions within the Customer Service 10 Operations class: Customer Service Centers; Billing; Credit & Collections; 11 Revenue Assurance; and Meter Reading. I support \$5,930,323 Total ETI 12 Adjusted costs for this class. The Retail Operations class covers the sales 13 and marketing function and other associated functions. I support 14 \$1,323,698 Total ETI Adjusted costs for this class. These business 15 functions are described in later sections of this testimony.

Second, I support \$105,699 of capital additions for General and
 Intangible Plant associated with ETI's Customer Service Operations.

18

19 Q6. WHERE DO THE AFFILIATE CLASSES YOU SUPPORT FIT INTO THE20 OVERALL ESI STRUCTURE?

A. My Exhibit PNS-1 presents a chart of ESI Families and Functions. I
 sponsor testimony in support of the Customer Service function within the

⁴ The Test Year for this base rate case is the 12 months ending March 31, 2013.

Page 4 of 93

1		Operations family. My Exhibit PNS-2 includes charts that display the two	
2		affiliate classes of service providing support to ETI within the Customer	
3		Service function. ⁵ The Customer Service Operations class is	
4	organizationally managed within ESI by the Customer Experience and		
5		Utility Operations Departments. The Retail Operations class is	
6		organizationally managed within ESI by the sales and marketing groups	
7		within the Customer Experience Department.	
8		Company witness Stephanie B. Tumminello describes the families	
9		and functions in more detail in her testimony.	
10			
11	Q7.	WHAT IS THE CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE DEPARTMENT?	
12	A.	In March 2011, the Utility Support Department within ESI was reorganized	
13		into the Customer Experience Department and the Utility Operations	
14		Department. ⁶ The Customer Experience Department operates as a part of	
15		the Entergy Utility Operations organization. The Customer Experience	
16		Department combined the Sales and Marketing groups, CSC and C&C,	
17		and the Business Services Department, and added the departments of	
18		Customer Experience Strategy and Lighting. As shown in Exhibit PNS-3,	
19		page 2, the Customer Experience Department provides support for both	
20		the Customer Service Operations and Retail Operations classes. The	
21		Customer Service Operations class of services is represented by the	
	⁵ Se	e Exhibit PNS-2, page 2.	

⁶ See Exhibit PNS-3, which shows the overall Utility Operations Group and the Customer Experience Department functional organization charts as of March 31, 2013.

Entergy Texas, Inc. Direct Testimony of Phillip N. Sharp 2013 Rate Case

Page 5 of 93

1 customer service portion of the Customer Experience Department and the Retail Operations class of services is represented by the sales and 2 marketing function portion. The sales and marketing groups within the 3 Customer Experience Department provide account management on 4 business matters for very large industrial customers and multi-site national 5 chain accounts as well as for some wholesale customers. The sales and 6 marketing function is also responsible for new product and service 7 8 development and program management for lighting, billing, usage information and other products and services offered to all retail customers. 9 In addition, the department produces the annual sales forecast and 10 11 conducts customer satisfaction research.

12

Q8. FOR THE CLASSES OF AFFILIATE CHARGES YOU SUPPORT, WHAT
FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS WILL YOU PROVE?

15 A. I will demonstrate that:

1) the services provided by the Customer Service Operations 17 and Retail Operations classes are essential (necessary) components of 18 ETI's ability to serve customers, to respond to their questions, and to 19 operate its business;

20 2) these necessary services are provided in an effective way,
21 and their associated costs are reasonable; and

3) as also demonstrated in Company witness Tumminello's
Direct Testimony, the unit prices charged to ETI for these services are no

higher than the unit prices charged to other affiliates for the same or
 similar services and reasonably approximate the actual cost of the service.

3

4 Q9. HOW IS THE REMAINDER OF YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

In Section III.A, I discuss affiliate charges for the Customer Service 5 Α. Operations class. In Section III.B, I discuss budget process and controls. 6 In subsection III.C(1), I explain the necessity of the CSCs' business 7 function, the reasonableness of the costs for the CSCs, and I demonstrate 8 that the unit prices charged to ETI for this business function are no higher 9 than the unit prices charged to other affiliates for the same or similar 10 11 services and reasonably approximate the actual cost of the service. In 12 subsections III.C(2) through III.C(6), I do the same for the following 13 Customer Service Operations business functions: Billing; Credit & Collections; Revenue Assurance; and Meter Reading. 14

In Section IV.A, I discuss affiliate charges for the Retail Operations 15 16 class. In Section IV.B, I discuss budget process and controls. In subsection IV.C, I explain the necessity of the Sales & Marketing business 17 function and the reasonableness of the costs for the function, and I 18 19 demonstrate that the unit prices charged to ETI for this business function 20 are no higher than the unit prices charged to other affiliates for the same 21 or similar services and reasonably approximate the actual cost of the 22 service.

Page 7 of 93

- In Section V, I discuss capital additions for General and Intangible
 Plant associated with ETI's Customer Service Operations.
- 3
- 4 Q10. WHY ARE YOU QUALIFIED TO ADDRESS THESE ISSUES AND TO
 5 PROVIDE THIS TESTIMONY?
- I have a broad range of experience in Customer Service and Marketing, 6 Α. including management positions in CSC and C&C, CSC operations 7 8 support and Marketing that relate to the classes of service I sponsor in this testimony. I currently have direct operational responsibilities for the 9 10 Entergy Companies' CSC and C&C functions. As an executive management member of the Customer Experience department, I have 11 12 direct contact with the other Customer Service Operations functions, Retail Operations functions, as well as customer satisfaction surveys 13 14 within customer service process improvement and benchmarking.
- 15
- 16 Q11. DO YOU SPONSOR ANY EXHIBITS?
- 17 A. Yes. My exhibits are listed in the Table of Contents to this testimony.
- 18
- Q12. DO YOU SPONSOR OR CO-SPONSOR ANY SCHEDULE IN THE RATE
 FILING PACKAGE THAT ETI HAS FILED IN THIS DOCKET?
- 21 A. Yes. I co-sponsor Schedule H-13.1e.

Entergy Texas, Inc. Direct Testimony of Phillip N. Sharp 2013 Rate Case

Page 8 of 93

1 Q13. DO YOU SPONSOR ANY PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS? 2 Α. Yes. I sponsor pro forma adjustments associated with the affiliate classes of service described in the following sections. 3 4 5 Ш. TEST YEAR COSTS FOR THE CUSTOMER SERVICE 6 **OPERATIONS CLASS** Q14. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE MAJOR BUSINESS FUNCTIONS 7 8 THAT COMPRISE THE CUSTOMER SERVICE OPERATIONS CLASS OF AFFILIATE SERVICES. 9 10 Α. Following are the five major business functions that comprise the Customer Service Operations Class and are described in greater detail 11 12 below. 13 1) The Customer Service Centers function includes the CSCs, 14 which handle all incoming customer calls. The CSCs (also referred to as 15 call centers or telephone centers) handle calls from residential and

20 2) The Billing function includes the processes of taking customer
21 meter reading data and calculating the amount due for each customer's
22 usage. The billing function ends at the point that bills are sent to the
23 individual customers of the EOCs.

business customers related to new service, changes to existing service,

billing questions, credit terms, outages, and general information needs.

Calls from large commercial and industrial customers are handled by the

16

17

18

19

EBC.

5-583 2141

1 3) The Credit & Collections function includes the work processes 2 related to the extension of credit to our customers, management of active 3 accounts receivable, and the collection of delinquent or written-off 4 accounts. 5 4) The Revenue Assurance function includes the service 6 diversion, revenue protection, and data integrity work processes. The 7 primary objective is to reduce lost revenue that arises from theft and 8 equipment failures. 9 5) The Meter Reading function involves the collection of usage and 10 energy demand data from customer meters. This is primarily 11 accomplished via the physical reading of customer meters on a monthly 12 basis. 13 14 Α. **Test Year Affiliate Charges** 15 Q15. WHAT IS THE TOTAL ETI ADJUSTED AMOUNT FOR THE CUSTOMER 16 SERVICE OPERATIONS CLASS OF SERVICES? 17 The Total ETI Adjusted amount for the Customer Service Operations class Α. 18 of services is \$5,930,323. ESI directly billed 22% of this amount and 19 allocated the remaining 78%. Table 1 shows the following information for 20 the Customer Service Operations class:

Total Billings	Dollar amount of total Test Year billings from ESI to all Entergy companies, plus the dollar amount of all other affiliate charges that originated from any Entergy company. This is the amount from Column (C) of the cost exhibits PNS-A, PNS-B, and PNS-C.
Total ETI Adjusted Amount	ETI's adjusted amount for electric cost of service after pro forma adjustments and exclusions.
% Direct Billed	The percentage of the ETI adjusted test year amount that was billed 100% to ETI.
% Allocated	The percentage of the ETI adjusted test year amount that was allocated to ETI.

1 2

Table 1 – Total Affiliate Charges for Customer Service Operations Class of Services

	Total Billings \$49,738,417	Total ETI Adjusted		
Class		Amount \$5,930,323	% Direct 22%	% Allocated 78%
Customer Service Operations				

3

4 Q16. WHAT ARE THE MAJOR COST COMPONENTS OF THESE CHARGES?

- 5 A. The major cost components of the charges to ETI for the Customer
- 6 Service Operations class are as follows:

4	

Table 2 – Major Cost Components

Cost Component	Cost (\$)	% of Total
Payroll & Employee		
Costs	4,256,431	72%
Office and Employee		
Expenses	648,535	11%
Outside Services	518,723	9%
Service Company		
Recipient	463,712	8%
Other	42,923	1%
Total	5,930,323	100%

*Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

2

3 Q17. WHAT IS THE IMPORTANCE OF THESE COST CATEGORIES?

4 Α. These major cost components reflect an additional view of the costs in the 5 Customer Service Operations class. Other Company witnesses support the necessity and reasonableness of the types of costs in these 6 components, thus providing additional support for the costs in my class of 7 8 For instance, the largest cost component of the Customer services. 9 Services Operations class of service is Payroll and Employee Costs 10 (72%). Company witness Jennifer Α. Raeder supports the 11 reasonableness of employee compensation and benefit rates, which 12 indirectly supports this category of costs in my class of services.

Office and Employee Expenses (11%) cover costs of maintaining
 work spaces, office supplies, business travel, etc. These types of

Entergy Texas, Inc. Direct Testimony of Phillip N. Sharp 2013 Rate Case

Page 12 of 93

expenses are primarily addressed by Company witness Thomas C. 1 2 Plauché and, thus, Mr. Plauché provides secondary support for this category of costs in this class. Outside Services costs (9%) pertain to 3 services provided by non-Entergy employees and firms, such as outside 4 consultants and vendors. The component Service Company Recipient 5 (8%) includes information technology services, rents, human resources 6 services, etc. The costs for these shared services, which are services that 7 8 ESI provides to itself, are in turn spread to all affiliates that receive ESI 9 Company witness Tumminello, who explains the shared services. 10 services loading process, and the witnesses who support the types of costs reflected in the shared services loader, bolster this category of costs 11 12 in my class.

13

Q18. RETURNING NOW TO TABLE 1, PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EXHIBITS
 THAT SUPPORT THE INFORMATION INCLUDED IN TABLE 1.

Attached to my testimony are exhibits showing the calculation of the Total 16 Α. ETI Adjusted amount for the Customer Service Operations class of 17 service. In my Exhibit PNS-A, the information is shown broken down by 18 19 the departments comprising the class. My Exhibit PNS-B shows the same 20 information broken down by project code and the billing method assigned to each project code. My Exhibit PNS-C shows the information by class, 21 department, and project code. For each exhibit, the amounts in the 22 23 columns represent the following information:

Column (A) – Support	Dollar amount of total Test Year billings and charges from ESI to all Entergy Business Units, plus the dollar amount of all other affiliate charges to ETI that originated from any Entergy Business Unit.
Column (B) – Service Company Recipient	Dollar amount that was included in the service company recipient allocation. Service company recipient charges are the cost of services that ESI provides to itself, which in turn are charged to affiliates that receive those services. The service company recipient allocation process is described in the testimony of Company witness Tumminello.
Column (C) – Total	Represents the sum of Columns (A) and (B).
Column (D) – All Other Business Units	That portion of Column (C) that was billed and charged to Business Units other than ETI.
Column (E) – ETI Per Books	Represents the difference between Columns (C) and (D).
Column (F) – Exclusions	Represents amounts that are excluded from ETI electric cost of service. The exclusions are described in the testimony of Company witness Tumminello.
Column (G) – Pro Forma Amount	Pro Forma Amounts include adjustments for known and measurable changes, and corrections.
Column (H) – Total ETI Adjusted	ETI adjusted amount requested for recovery in this case for this class (Column (E) plus Columns (F) and (G)).