outsourcing relationships and to provide strategic information systems
 direction for the entire corporation.

SAIC directly bills ESI and the EOCs (including ETI) for the
 services it provides to each individual entity. This reduces the IT services
 provided through ESI and thereby reduces the affiliate charges for such
 services.

- 7
- 8

C. <u>Employee Description</u>

9 Q13. WHAT TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS PERFORM THE SERVICES OUTLINED 10 ABOVE?

11 ESI IT and the outsourced providers such as SAIC employ a diverse Α. 12 group of individuals. The vast majority of individuals have college degrees 13 in disciplines such as computer science, management information 14 systems, or engineering. Many have extensive experience in developing 15 computer software specifically designed for electric utility operations. IT 16 also employs a wide range of technical experts, such as programmers, 17 telecommunication specialists, database administrators, technical 18 architects, systems engineers, and computer hardware technicians. In the 19 support area, the staff includes accountants, MBAs, engineers, and 20 personnel professionals.

Page 10 of 42

1		III. NECESSITY OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CLASS
2	Q14.	DOES ETI NEED THE IT SERVICES THAT YOU HAVE PREVIOUSLY
3		DESCRIBED IN YOUR TESTIMONY?
4	A.	Yes. The EOCs, including ETI, require computer technology to make their
5		operations more efficient and effective, which enables them to provide
6		more cost-effective and reliable services to their electric customers. As
7		with most businesses, the majority of the Entergy Companies' business
8		functions has been automated and requires the use of some type of
9		computer system. The computer systems facilitate customer service
10		initiation, meter reading, billing and billing account inquiries, rate and
11		refund processing, payment processing, customer contact management,
12		and call center support. These systems are also essential to the prompt,
13		accurate dispatch of appropriate personnel to respond to power outages
14		and restore electric service, and the management of transmission and
15		fossil system operations. In addition, the computer systems are used by
16		the Entergy Companies to manage financial accounting, regulatory
17		reporting, and human resource functions as well as for basic business
18		functions such as electronic mail and management reporting.
19		In short, the services in this class are necessary to support the

20 basic day-to-day utility operations.

Page 11 of 42

Q15. IS IT REASONABLE FOR THESE SERVICES TO BE PROVIDED
 CENTRALLY, BY AN AFFILIATE FOR EXAMPLE, AS OPPOSED TO ETI
 PROVIDING THESE SERVICES DIRECTLY TO ITSELF?

4 Α. Yes. IT services are centralized within ESI in order to gain efficiencies of 5 scale, enhance buying leverage, and maintain corporate standards. By 6 consolidating the above described services, redundant computer software 7 systems have largely been eliminated and overhead costs associated with similar capabilities within each EOC, or contracting separately for such 8 9 services, have been reduced. In addition to the economies of scale that 10 are achieved from a consolidation of services, volume discounts have 11 been obtained on computer hardware and software by ESI purchasing for 12 all the EOCs, as opposed to each Operating Company purchasing this 13 equipment individually. Also, systems can be developed and maintained 14 consistently for all EOCs, thus avoiding inefficiencies and unnecessary 15 duplication. For example, developing a single Accounting or Payroll 16 system for use by all companies requires considerably less time and cost 17 than developing six separate systems, one for each Operating Company. 18 By centralizing functions and realizing economies of scale, ETI has paid 19 for only a portion of the cost of development and maintenance rather than 20 100% of such costs.

1		IV. <u>IT A</u>	FFILIATE COSTS
2	Q16.	WHAT IS THE TOTAL ETI A	DJUSTED AMOUNT FOR THIS CLASS OF
3		SERVICES?	
4	Α.	The Total ETI Adjusted amou	nt for this class of services is \$6,066,324. Of
5		this amount, ESI directly bille	ed 3% of the Total ETI Adjusted amount to
6		ETI, and allocated 97% of the	e Total ETI Adjusted amount. The following
7		table summarizes this inform	ation for the IT class. The table shows for
8		each class the following inform	nation:
9 10 11 12 13 14		Total Billings	Dollar amount of total test year billings from ESI to all Entergy companies, plus the dollar amount of all other affiliate charges that originated from any Entergy company. This is the amount from Column (C) of the cost exhibits JFB-A, JFB-B, and JFB-C.
15 16 17		Total ETI Adjusted Amount	ETI's adjusted amount for electric cost of service after pro forma adjustments and exclusions.
18 19		% Direct Billed	The percentage of the ETI adjusted test year amount that was billed 100% to ETI.
20 21		% Allocated	The percentage of the ETI adjusted test year amount that was allocated to ETI.

Table 1

		To	tal ETI Adjus	ted
Class	Total Billings	Amount	% Direct	% Allocated
Information Technology	\$108,011,738	\$6,066,324	3%	97%

1 Q17. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EXHIBITS THAT SUPPORT THE 2 INFORMATION INCLUDED IN TABLE 1.

A. Attached to my testimony are exhibits showing the calculation of the Total
ETI Adjusted amount for the IT class. In my Exhibit JFB-A, the information
is shown broken down by the departments comprising the class. My
Exhibit JFB-B shows the same information broken down by project code
and the billing method assigned to each project code. My Exhibit JFB-C
shows the information by class, departments and project code. For each
exhibit, the amounts in the columns represent the following information:

Column (A) – Dollar amount of total Test Year billings and Support charges from ESI to all Entergy Business Units, plus the dollar amount of all other affiliate charges to ETI that originated from any Entergy Business Unit. Column (B) -Dollar amount that was included in the service Service Company company recipient allocation. Service Recipient company recipient charges are the cost of services that ESI provides to itself, which in turn are charged to affiliates that receive those services. The service company recipient allocation process is described in the testimony of Company witness Stephanie B. Tumminello.

Column (C) – Total	Represents the sum of Columns (A) and (B).
Column (D) – All Other Business Units	That portion of Column (C) that was billed and charged to Business Units other than ETI.
Column (E) – ETI Per Books	Represents the difference between Columns (C) and (D).
Column (F) – Exclusions	Represents amounts that are excluded from ETI electric cost of service. The exclusions are described in the testimony of Company witness Tumminello.
Column (G) – Pro Forma Amount	Pro Forma Amounts include adjustments for known and measurable changes, and corrections.
Column (H) – Total ETI Adjusted	ETI adjusted amount requested for recovery in this case for this class (Column (E) plus Columns (F) and (G)).
The testimony of	Company witness Tumminello describes the
coloulations that take the	dellare of support comisses in Column A to the

- 2 calculations that take the dollars of support services in Column A to the
- 3 Total ETI Adjusted numbers shown on Column H.
- 4

1

- 5 Q18. ARE THERE ANY PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS TO THIS CLASS?
- 6 A. Yes. The pro forma adjustments for the IT class are shown on
 7 Exhibit JFB-D, which also indicates the Company witnesses who sponsor
 8 those pro forma adjustments.
- 9
- 10 Q19. WHAT ARE THE MAJOR COST COMPONENTS OF THE CHARGES
- 11 FOR THE IT CLASS?
- 12 A. The major cost components of the charges for the IT class are as follows:

Table 2

<u>Cost Component</u>	<u>Cost</u>	<u>% of Total</u>
Payroll & Employee Costs	\$2,198,324	36%
Outside Services	\$426,976	7%
Office and Employee Expenses	\$3,024,163	50%
Service Company Recipient	\$411,161	7%
Other	\$5,701	0%
Total	\$6,066,324	100%

1 Q20. WHAT IS THE IMPORTANCE OF THESE COST CATEGORIES?

2 Α. These cost categories present an additional way of viewing the costs in 3 the IT class. Other witnesses provide support for these cost categories 4 and, thus, indirectly support the costs in the IT class. As Table 2 shows, 5 36% of the costs are payroll and employee costs (*i.e.*, labor) costs. 6 Company witness Jennifer A. Raeder discusses the reasonableness and 7 necessity of compensation and benefits programs. In addition, 50% of the 8 costs are labeled as office and employee expenses. While this 9 component includes typical office supplies, most of these expenses for the 10 IT class are for software licenses and hardware maintenance costs. 11 These are acquired in accordance with the Entergy Companies' overall 12 procurement policies, as addressed by Company witness 13 Reginald T. Jackson. The remaining office and employee expenses

Page 16 of 42

1		include the costs of maintaining work spaces, office supplies, and rent,
2		which are items addressed primarily in the testimony of Company witness
3		Thomas C. Plauché. Outside services, which consist of contract services
4		such as consulting and charges from the secondary IT service providers
5		(that is, providers other than SAIC), represent 7% of the costs. Finally, the
6		Service Company Recipient category consists of services that ESI
7		provides to itself, which in turn are billed to the affiliates that receive ESI
8		services, as explained by Company witness Tumminello. This component
9		represents 7% of the Total ETI Adjusted amount for my class.
10		
11	Q21.	HOW ARE THE COSTS OF THIS CLASS OF SERVICES BILLED TO
12		ETI?
13	Α.	Exhibit JFB-B shows all of the costs included in this class broken down by
14		project code and shows the billing method associated with each project
15		code. As shown earlier on Table 1, some of those charges have been
16		directly billed to ETI and other charges are the results of allocations that
17		bill a portion of the costs to ETI.
18		
19	Q22.	WHY WERE SOME AMOUNTS DIRECTLY BILLED TO ETI?
20	Α.	Directly billing for services was appropriate when services were performed
21		exclusively for a single ESI affiliate. In the test year, ESI directly billed
22		\$152,264 (Total ETI Adjusted) or 3% of the services associated with this
23		class. For example, Project Codes F3PPD10131 includes direct costs

associated with support for the Customer Information System ("CIS") and
 Agent Information System ("AIS") and related components. It is
 appropriate that this project code is billed directly to ETI because only ETI
 utilizes these applications.

- 5
- 6 Q23. WHY DOES ESI ALLOCATE A PORTION OF THE COSTS OF THIS
 7 CLASS TO ETI INSTEAD OF DIRECTLY BILLING ALL CHARGES?
- A. Whenever appropriate, costs are direct billed to ETI and other affiliates,
 and, as noted above, SAIC directly bills ESI for the services that it
 provides to ETI. However, when ESI costs are incurred that benefit more
 than one of the Entergy Companies, such costs are billed through an
 allocation. In the test year, ESI allocated \$5,914,060 (or 97%) of the Total
 ETI Adjusted amount.
- 14

15 Q24. ON WHAT BASIS ARE COSTS IN THIS CLASS ALLOCATED?

16 Α. Each class is made up of one or more project codes. As Company 17 witness Tumminello explains, only one billing method is assigned to each 18 project code. A billing method is selected based on cost causation. While 19 several organizations could bill to a single project code, the billing method 20 for that project code remains the same. This ensures that the unit price 21 charged to ETI for the services is no higher than the unit price charged 22 other affiliates for the same or similar services and represents the actual 23 cost of the services.

Q25. WHAT ARE THE PREDOMINANT BILLING METHODS USED FOR THE IT CLASS OF SERVICES?

3 The predominant billing methods were "APPSMVSX," "APPSUNIX," Α. 4 "APPSUPAL," "APPSWINT," "CAPAOPCO," "CUSEOPCO," "CUSTEGOP," "DIRECTTX," "ITSPENDA," "LOADOPCO," "PCNUMALL," 5 "PCNUMXNR," and "TRSBLNOP." For the Test Year, these 13 billing 6 7 methods were used for 91% of the Total ETI Adjusted costs associated 8 with the IT class. As I explain above, direct billing to ETI, which is 9 achieved through the DIRECTTX billing method, is appropriate when 10 services were performed exclusively for ETI.

11

12 Q26. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "APPSMVSX" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR 13 THE PROJECT TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

For the project code assigned this billing method, the cost driver is based 14 Α. 15 on the amount of mainframe usage. In particular, Project Code 16 F3PCFCQMVS captures costs associated with the operation and support 17 of the mainframe environment. The primary activities associated with this 18 project code include production control, computer processing, capacity 19 planning, output distribution, storage management, and disaster recovery 20 of the applications running on the mainframe. Therefore, the billing 21 method "APPSMVSX," which is based on the mainframe usage, is an 22 appropriate method by which to allocate these costs. For this project 23 code, the unit price charged to ETI as a result of the application of this

Page 19 of 42

billing method is no higher than the unit price charged to other affiliates for
 the same or similar service and represents the actual cost of the services.

3

4 Q27. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "APPSUNIX" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR
5 THE PROJECT TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

6 Α. For the project code assigned this billing method, the cost driver is based 7 on the usage amount of UNIX servers. In particular, Project Code 8 F3PCFCQUNX captures costs associated with the operation and support 9 of the UNIX server environment. The primary activities associated with 10 this project code include production control, computer processing, capacity planning, output distribution, storage management, and disaster 11 12 recovery of the business applications running on UNIX servers. Therefore, 13 the billing method "APPSUNIX," which is based on the composite of UNIX 14 server usage, is an appropriate method by which to allocate these costs. 15 For this project code, the unit price charged to ETI as a result of the 16 application of this billing method is no higher than the unit price charged to 17 other affiliates for the same or similar service and represents the actual 18 cost of the services.

19

Q28. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "APPSUPAL" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR
THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

A. For the project codes assigned this billing method, the cost driver is the
 composite of computer usage. For example, Project Code F3PCFX3701

Page 20 of 42

Entergy Texas, Inc. Direct Testimony of Julie F. Brown 2013 Rate Case

1 captures costs associated with the Entergy Companies' responsibility in 2 the management of the Entergy Companies' IT infrastructure and shared What drives the cost of this project code is the size and 3 services. complexity of the servers, databases, and systems used to perform the 4 5 Entergy Companies' business operations which are supported by this 6 project. Therefore, the billing method "APPSUPAL," which is based on the 7 composite of UNIX and NT servers and mainframe usage, is an 8 appropriate method by which to allocate these costs. For this project 9 code, the unit price charged to ETI as a result of the application of this 10 billing method is no higher than the unit price charged to other affiliates for 11 the same or similar service and represents the actual cost of the services.

12

13 Q29. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "APPSWINT" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR

14 THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

15 Α. For the project codes assigned this billing method, the cost driver is the 16 composite of computer usage. For example, Project Code 17 F3PCFCQNTS, NT Servers, captures costs associated with the operation 18 and support of business applications in the network and 19 telecommunication services ("NTS") WINTEL environment. The primary 20 activities associated with this project code include production control, 21 computer processing, capacity planning, output distribution, storage 22 management, and disaster recovery of the business applications running 23 on WINTEL servers. Therefore, the billing method "APPSWINT," which is

1	based on the composite of WINTEL server usage, is an appropriate
2	method by which to allocate these costs. For this project code, the unit
3	price charged to ETI as a result of the application of this billing method is
4	no higher than the unit price charged to other affiliates for the same or
5	similar service and represents the actual cost of the services.

6

7 Q30. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "CAPAOPCO" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR 8 THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

9 Α. For the project codes assigned this billing method, the cost driver is the 10 fossil capacity of each operating company relative to the fossil system 11 capacity. For example, Project Code F3PCWE0073, Fossil Information 12 Technology, captures and manages costs associated with providing 13 dedicated systems consultation, application support, business needs 14 analysis, small application programming, and project management 15 services related to the automation needs in the Entergy Companies' Fossil 16 Production business unit. Costs are driven by the need to provide support 17 related to automation needs in the Entergy Companies' Fossil Production 18 Therefore, the billing method "CAPAOPCO," which is business unit. 19 based on the power level that could be achieved if all non-nuclear 20 generating units were operating at maximum capability simultaneously, is 21 an appropriate method by which to allocate these costs. For these project 22 codes, the unit price charged to ETI as a result of the application of this

- billing method is no higher than the unit price charged to other affiliates for
 the same or similar service and represents the actual cost of the services.
- 3

4 Q31. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "CUSEOPCO" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR 5 THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

For the project codes assigned this billing method, the cost driver is the 6 Α. 7 twelve month average number of electric customers. For example, Project Code F3PPD10140 captures activities performed to support the Large 8 9 Power Billing System ("LPBS") application for Customer Service. 10 Activities include fixing or correcting problems with existing software code, 11 software release upgrades, and critical performance issues that prohibit 12 billing. Activities also include supporting business requests for software 13 modifications resulting from regulatory, legal, or contractual issues. This 14 system supports the billing of electric customers. Therefore, the billing 15 method "CUSEOPCO," which is based on a twelve month average number of all EOC electric customers, is an appropriate method by which 16 17 to allocate these costs. For these project codes, the unit price charged to 18 ETI as a result of the application of this billing method is no higher than 19 the unit price charged to other affiliates for the same or similar service and 20 represents the actual cost of the services.

Q32. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "CUSTEGOP" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

3 For the project codes assigned this billing method, the cost driver is the Α. 4 number of electric and gas customers. For example, Project Code F5PPD10154 captures activities to support costs associated with 5 supporting the purchase, installation and usage of broadband air cards 6 7 which are used by the Mobile Data Terminal ("MDT") application. MDT 8 provides crews access to the Distribution Work Management system from 9 their company vehicles. These systems are used to handle customer 10 service functions such as service initiation, service restoration, and 11 cutoffs. Therefore, the billing method "CUSTEGOP," which is based on the number of electric and gas customers, is an appropriate method by 12 13 which to allocate these costs. For these project codes, the unit price charged to ETI as a result of the application of this billing method is no 14 higher than the unit price charged to other affiliates for the same or similar 15 16 service and represents the actual cost of the services.

17

18 Q33. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "ITSPENDA" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR 19 THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

A. For project codes assigned this billing method, the cost driver is based on
 the amount spent on IT for each business unit, which in turn, is directly
 related to the level of IT activity on behalf of each business unit. For
 example, Project Codes F3PCFX3290 and F3PCFX3700 capture activities

Page 24 of 42

1 performed in managing the IT outsourcing relationship and the evaluation of various sourcing strategies for the Information Technology function. 2 Activities include developing sourcing strategies, analyzing the impacts to 3 4 the business, evaluating risks vs. costs of alternative strategies, facilitating 5 sourcing decisions, and managing implementations. The services 6 provided through the IT outsourcing are IT activities on behalf of all the 7 Entergy Companies' business units. Therefore, the billing method "ITSPENDA," which is based on IT twelve-month total spending, is an 8 9 appropriate method by which to allocate these costs. For these project 10 codes, the unit price charged to ETI as a result of the application of this 11 billing method is no higher than the unit price charged to other affiliates for 12 the same or similar service and represents the actual cost of the services.

13

14 Q34. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "LOADOPCO" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR 15 THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

16 Α. For the project codes that use this billing method, the cost driver is based 17 on the load responsibility of the regulated operating companies. For 18 example, Project Code F3PCW29608, Transmission Power System 19 Operations, captures costs associated with the Transmission System 20 Operations charges necessary to meet FERC requirements. The activities associated with this Project Code are necessary for efficient dispatching, 21 planning and operation of the Entergy Companies' transmission system to 22 23 meet company goals and performance requirements. Costs are driven by

Page 25 of 42

Entergy Texas, Inc. Direct Testimony of Julie F. Brown 2013 Rate Case

system dispatching and planning activities. Therefore, the billing method
"LOADOPCO," which allocates based on the ratio of each company's load
at the time of system peak load, is an appropriate method by which to
allocate these costs. For these project codes, the unit price charged to
ETI as a result of the application of this billing method is no higher than
the unit price charged to other affiliates for the same or similar service and
represents the actual cost of the services.

8

9 Q35. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "PCNUMALL" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR 10 THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

11 For the project codes assigned this billing method, the cost driver is the Α. 12 number of PCs. For example, Project Codes F5PPZFMSEA and 13 F5PCZFCWAN includes the cost for the Microsoft software products 14 required by the Entergy Companies including the Windows Operating 15 Systems and the Microsoft Office suite and captures activities performed 16 to support the wide area data network at the Entergy Companies. This 17 network and the Microsoft products are used by all employees at the 18 Entergy Companies with a personal computer to access information 19 systems. What drives the costs of the wide area network is the usage by 20 those employees. Therefore, the billing method "PCNUMALL," which 21 allocates based on the number of PCs within each business unit, is an 22 appropriate method by which to allocate these costs. For these project 23 codes, the unit price charged to ETI as a result of the application of this

- billing method is no higher than the unit price charged to other affiliates for
 the same or similar service and represents the actual cost of the services.
- 3

4 Q36. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "PCNUMXNR" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR 5 THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

6 For the project codes assigned this billing method, the cost driver is the Α. 7 number of PCs within the EOCs and ESI. For example, Project Code F5PCZFCTRN captures activities to maintain the regulated 8 non-backbone fiber optic and microwave radio technologies used to 9 provide the Entergy Companies' corporate-owned data, voice and SCADA 10 11 network. The non-backbone network serves specific facilities throughout 12 the EOCs' service areas. Costs for the non-backbone network are 13 primarily driven by network demand which is best represented by the number of PCs attached to the network. Therefore, the billing method 14 "PCNUMXNR," which allocates based on the number of PCs within each 15 of the EOCs and ESI, is an appropriate method by which to allocate these 16 costs. For these project codes, the unit price charged to ETI as a result of 17 the application of this billing method is no higher than the unit price 18 charged to other affiliates for the same or similar service and represents 19 20 the actual cost of the services.

Q37. WHY IS BILLING METHOD "TRSBLNOP" APPROPRIATE TO USE FOR THE PROJECTS TO WHICH IT IS ASSIGNED?

For the project codes assigned this billing method, the cost driver is the 3 Α. number of transmission line miles and the number of transmission 4 5 substations for each regulated operating company. For example, Project Code F3PCF74195, Transmission Application Support, captures costs 6 associated with maintenance, enhancements, and support of systems 7 8 supporting various design and maintenance activities within the Transmission business unit. Costs are driven by overall operations of the 9 10 transmission function. A reasonable basis for allocating costs for these services is a composite of the transmission operations components. 11 12 Therefore, the billing method "TRSBLNOP," which allocates based on the 13 number of transmission miles and high voltage substations, is an 14 appropriate method by which to allocate these costs. For these project codes, the unit price charged to ETI as a result of the application of this 15 billing method is no higher than the unit price charged to other affiliates for 16 17 the same or similar service and represents the actual cost of the services.

1	Q38.	YOU HAVE ADDRESSED 91% OF THE TOTAL ETI ADJUSTED COSTS
2		ASSOCIATED WITH THIS CLASS. PLEASE ADDRESS THE
3		REMAINING 9%.
4	A.	A number of other project codes and different billing methods were used
5		for the remaining 9% of such costs. The remaining billing methods are set
6		forth in my Exhibit JFB-B.
7		
8	Q39.	HAVE YOU DETERMINED THAT THE APPROPRIATE PROJECT
9		CODES AND BILLING METHODS HAVE BEEN USED FOR THE
10		REMAINING 9% OF TOTAL ETI ADJUSTED COSTS ASSOCIATED
11		WITH THIS CLASS?
12	A.	Yes. I have reviewed each of the project codes and associated billing
13		methods used to bill the remaining 9% of Total ETI Adjusted costs
14		associated with this class and they are reasonable. The costs associated
15		with the remaining billing methods are consistent with and reflect the
16		services captured in each respective project code. The unit cost to ETI as
17		a result of the application of these billing methods is no higher than the
18		unit cost to other affiliates for the same or similar service and represents
19		the actual cost of the services.

1		V. IT-RELATED CAPITAL ADDITIONS
2	Q40.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS SECTION OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
3	A.	In this section of my testimony, I describe the IT-related capital additions
4		to rate base for the period July 1, 2011 through March 31, 2013.
5		
6	Q41.	WHAT IS THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF IT-RELATED CAPITAL ADDITIONS
7		THAT WERE CLOSED TO PLANT-IN-SERVICE ON ETI'S BOOKS
8		DURING THE PERIOD JULY 1, 2011 THROUGH MARCH 31, 2013?
9	A.	The total amount of IT-related capital additions that closed to
10		plant-in-service on ETI's books is \$3,203,673. This amount represents
11		25 projects, which are detailed on my Exhibit JFB-3 attached to
12		this testimony.
13		
14	Q42.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON
15		EXHIBIT JFB-3.
16	A.	This exhibit includes the following information:
17		Column A Project Code
18		Column B Project Code Description
19		Column C Asset Class
20		Column D In-service Date
21		Column E Asset Location Description
22		Column F State Location
23		Column G Business Unit ("BU")

.

1 2	Column H	Non-Affiliate Charges Excluding Capital Suspense and Reimbursements
3	Column I	Reimbursements
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Column J	Represents capital suspense overhead costs associated with administrators, engineers and supervisors to the capital projects for which they provide services. Each function charges their capital suspense to a "Capital Suspense" project, which is then allocated out to the appropriate capital projects. Capital Suspense costs and the subsequent allocation is separated by BU and function combination to more accurately match such costs on the actual projects worked on for each function within a BU.
15 16	Column K	Represents the portion of capital suspense overhead costs (in Column J) from an affiliate.
17 18 19	Column L	Represents the portion of capital suspense overhead costs (in Column J) that are charged to the project by ETI employees.
20 21 22 23 24 25	Column M	Represents charges incurred by the ESI service company and allocated out to the appropriate BUs based on the ESI billing method assigned to the project plus loaned resource charges incurred at one BU and charged to another BU for services rendered on behalf of that BU.
26 27 28	Column N	Represents the total affiliate portion of the charges included in Column O, and is the total of Columns K, and M.
29 30	Column O	Represents the total amount of capital additions closed to plant in service.

1 Q43. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE MAJOR PROJECTS INCLUDED IN THE

- 2 ADDITIONS TO RATE BASE.
- 3 A. The following are the major projects from the period between July 1, 2011
- 4 and March 31, 2013.

5 Telecom Projects – Project Codes C6PPFI233A, C6PPFI276E, 6 C6PPFIB14T, C6PPFIB21L, C6PPFIB26T, C6PPFIB281, 7 C6PPFTWT11, and C6PPFTWT12 represent capital improvements 8 and replacements to the telecommunications infrastructure in the ETI 9 system. These improvements and replacements address FCC tower 10 lighting, generators, batteries, HVAC, test equipment, and similar 11 supporting infrastructure. These projects benefit all areas of 12 telecommunications including fiber, microwave and voice. IT 13 personnel design the improvements, acquire the materials, and install 14 the equipment. The total cost for these projects was \$1,845,211.

15 PC Purchases & Installs Project Codes C6PPFP12AT. _ C6PPFP12BT, C6PPFP12CT, C6PPFP12DT, and C6PPFPCT11 16 represent capital costs to acquire, configure and install end-user 17 18 computers for ETI that were replaced in order to maintain already 19 existing services. The total cost for these projects was \$937,311.

20

21 Q44. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REST OF THE PROJECTS INCLUDED IN

- 22 THE ADDITIONS TO RATE BASE.
- 23 A. The remaining projects can be grouped into two types of projects:
- Computing Infrastructure and Software; and
- Application Systems Projects.
- 26 I note that the projects were initiated in large part at the request of
- 27 individual Entergy Companies business units. The remainder were either
- 28 prerequisites to those projects or were initiated in order to maintain service
- 29 levels for already existing services in response to increased usage by the
- 30 business units or the need to upgrade aging software.

Q45. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPUTING INFRASTRUCTURE AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY SERVICES PROJECTS.

A. The computing infrastructure and software projects include the costs for
the purchase, configuration, and installation of software enterprise
infrastructure systems. The software related to these projects includes
SharePoint, Windows 7, and tools to manage the Entergy Companies'
desktops and laptops which support ETI business activities.

8

9 Q46. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE APPLICATION SYSTEMS PROJECTS
 10 INCLUDED IN THE ADDITIONS TO RATE BASE.

- A. The Application Systems projects represent the upgrade, development or
 purchase and installation of computer application software systems which
 support ETI business functions. Some examples include application
 projects in support of customer mobile applications, customer service data
 warehouse, and Entergy's Enterprise Portal and safety business
 processes.
- 17

Q47. WHY IS IT REASONABLE TO INCLUDE THE COSTS IDENTIFIED ON
 EXHIBIT JFB-3 IN RATE BASE IN THIS PROCEEDING?

A. It is reasonable to include these capital costs in rate base in this case
 because these investments are necessary in order for ETI to provide
 service to its customers. These investments are for the network,
 telecommunications, computers, and application software required to

serve ETI customers. Moreover, these projects are used and useful in
 providing service to ETI customers.

3

4 Q48. ON EXHIBIT JFB-3 YOU HAVE INCLUDED AFFILIATE COSTS. ARE 5 THOSE COSTS NECESSARY IN CONSTRUCTING THE FACILITIES?

6 Α. Yes. The affiliate costs total \$1,149,336 of the requested capital additions 7 of \$3,203,673 for IT on Exhibit JFB-3. These costs are made up of 8 \$1,128,232 allocated to ETI based on the ESI billing method assigned to 9 the projects and \$21,104 of allocated supervision and overhead charges. 10 Each project code used for the capital projects was assigned a 11 cost-causative billing method in the same manner I described above for 12 ESI billings to ETI and as further explained by Company witness 13 Tumminello. The majority of the allocated project costs for the capital 14 additions in the IT class are for hardware and software and for employees 15 of ESI providing assistance on the projects. The supervision and 16 accounting allocated charges are costs which cannot be readily attributed 17 to specific capital projects. These charges are overhead-related costs that 18 are necessary to design and build the IT capabilities for ETI.

19

20 Q49. ARE THE COSTS OF THE AFFILIATE CHARGES IN THE CAPITAL21 ADDITIONS REASONABLE?

A. Yes. Company witness Raeder discusses the reasonableness and
 necessity of compensation and benefits costs for ESI employees. The

1		reasonableness of IT costs, both expense and capital is also described
2		immediately below in Section VI. That discussion is applicable to the
3		types of costs that are represented in the IT affiliate costs discussed in
4		Section IV above, as well as the affiliate costs included in the capital
5		additions represented in Exhibit JFB-3 and discussed in this section of
6		my testimony.
7		
8		VI. REASONABLENESS OF IT COSTS
9	Q50.	HOW DOES ESI ENSURE THAT THE COSTS FOR IT SERVICES ARE
10		REASONABLE?
11	Α.	The IT organization has a continuing focus on maintaining a high level of
12		service to ETI while managing staffing levels and keeping costs down
13		wherever possible.
14		
15	Q51.	WHAT HAS BEEN THE IT STAFFING HISTORY OVER THE PAST
16		THREE YEARS?
17	A.	The following table shows the staffing levels for the IT Department at the
18		end of the past three calendar years and at the end of the test year:

Table 3³

IT Class	2010	2011	2012	Test Year
	185	186	178	172

³ The 2010, 2011, and 2012 figures are year-end (December 31) headcounts. The test year figure is the headcount as of March 31, 2013.

1		The stable staffing level shown above in Table 3 is one factor that has
2		helped the IT Department control its costs over the past few years even in
3		the face of increasing demand and activity for IT services. The IT
4		Department continues to seek opportunities for centralization and
5		implement efficiencies whenever possible.
6		
7	Q52.	WHAT IS THE OPERATING COST TREND FOR THE IT CLASS OF

8 SERVICES OVER THE PAST FEW YEARS?

9 A. ETI's operating costs for this class of services over the past few years is
shown in Table 4 below. These charges have been adjusted to remove
the MISO and ITC-related costs that the Company is removing from the
requested cost of service (as explained by Company witness Considine)
as well as the nuclear and gas department codes (as explained by
Company witness Tumminello).

 Table 4

 (Excludes pro-forma adjustments except as noted above)

IT Class	2010	2011	2012	Test Year
	(000s)	(000s)	(000s)	(000s)
	\$6,427	\$6,482	\$6,035	\$6,113

As can be seen above, the IT department has maintained relatively stablecost levels over the past several years.

Q53. DOES THE IT DEPARTMENT HAVE A BUDGETING PROCESS TO CONTROL COSTS?

3 Α. Yes. The budgeting process, for both operating and maintenance 4 expenses and for capital additions, begins with a target for total IT 5 spending for the corporation. This target is established in the course of 6 the overall corporate budget process, with input from the ITAC. The 7 projects proposed by corporate IT and each business unit are reviewed 8 and prioritized based on their business cases and other mandatory 9 considerations, such as legal and regulatory compliance requirements. 10 first by the CIO Lead Team and then by the ITAC.

11

12 Q54. DURING A FISCAL YEAR, DOES THE IT DEPARTMENT MONITOR ITS 13 ACTUAL EXPENDITURES VERSUS ITS BUDGET?

14 Α. Yes. The IT Department manages its budget on a daily basis. The IT 15 Department managers and directors review and approve all expense 16 reports and invoices submitted for payment by their employees in their 17 respective organizations. In accordance with the Entergy Companies' approval policy, higher levels of authorization are required if the amounts 18 19 are sufficiently large. Variance reports are produced and reviewed 20 monthly both within the IT Department and at each higher level in the 21 organization hierarchy and appropriate actions are taken if errors are 22 discovered or actual expenses are greater than budgeted amounts. The 23 total IT spending for both corporate and the business units is also

1 reviewed quarterly with both the CIO Lead Team and the ITAC and 2 appropriate actions are taken if performance is not meeting the expected 3 targets both for specific projects and for the total overall. 4 5 Q55. ARE IT DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR 6 **DEVIATIONS FROM THE BUDGET?** 7 Α. Yes. As noted above, variance reports are produced and reviewed 8 monthly both within the IT Department and at each higher level in the 9 organization hierarchy for the IT Department's budget and quarterly with 10 the ITAC and the CIO Lead Team for the corporate total IT spending. 11 Appropriate actions are taken if errors are discovered or actual expenses 12 are greater than budgeted amounts. The ability to manage to budget is a 13 consideration in evaluating the performance of IT management, including 14 merit compensation and promotions. 15 16 Q56. SEPARATE FROM THE BUDGETING PLANNING PROCESS, DOES 17 THE IT DEPARTMENT TAKE ANY STEPS TO CONTROL ITS COSTS 18 **OR TO IMPROVE ITS SERVICES?** 19 Α. Yes. The cost of this class is made up of hardware, software license 20 costs, outsourcing contract costs, and employee labor. Exhibit JFB-4 21 shows that the majority of all IT expenses for the Entergy Companies are 22 for hardware, software, or outsourced services that are competitively 23 procured at market based prices. In those instances where ESI

4-202

928

1		employees were involved, ESI's labor rates for IT professionals during the
2		test year were competitive in the marketplace for similar employee skills,
3		experience, geographic location, and industries. Company witness
4		Raeder sponsors the reasonableness of the compensation and benefits
5		programs of all ETI and ESI personnel.
6		
7	Q57.	DOES ESI CONTINUE TO SEEK WAYS TO IMPROVE SERVICES AND
8		REDUCE COSTS FOR IT-RELATED SERVICES?
9	A.	Yes. ESI continually examines ways to reduce costs. As discussed
10		below, the Gartner benchmark demonstrated the effectiveness of the
11		overall IT cost performance, which was better than that of its peer group,
12		including the other utilities in the benchmark.
13		
14	Q58.	WHAT IS THE MOST RECENTLY COMPLETED BENCHMARK STUDY
15		AVAILABLE?
16	A.	The most recently completed benchmark study was completed in August
17		2010. It is included as Exhibit JFB-5. As seen in Table 4 above, the IT
18		department's costs have remained relatively stable over the past several
19		years, and cost benchmarking of broader ESI cost categories is discussed
20		below.

1 Q59. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SCOPE OF THE 2010 BENCHMARK STUDY.

2 Α. This benchmark study covers IT operating and capital spending 3 throughout the Entergy Companies for the IT functions indicated in the 4 study. As such, it includes non-affiliate IT costs that were billed directly to 5 ETI by the outsourcers as well as affiliate IT costs that are the 6 responsibility of the business units and are included in various business 7 function classes covered in the testimony of other witnesses in this case. 8 From a functional perspective, it includes all IT functions except 9 application development.

10

11 Q60. WHY WAS APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT EXCLUDED?

12 Α. Effective and objective application development benchmarking has always 13 been a challenge because of the inherent difficulty in measuring the 14 functional complexity of an application program. Without an objective 15 basis for comparison of product delivered, comparisons of cost to produce 16 are meaningless. The problem has only been further exacerbated by the 17 increasingly prevalent use of off the shelf applications, reusable code, and 18 web-based services. As a result, the Entergy Companies depends on 19 competitive bidding between its outsourcing providers to ensure market 20 based prices for application development.

Q61. WHAT OTHER COMPANIES WERE USED FOR COMPARISON PURPOSES IN THE BENCHMARK?

3 The composition of the benchmark comparison groups is described on Α. 4 pages 5 through 7 of the study. In order to preserve confidentiality of cost data and encourage participation in the study, Gartner does not identify 5 6 the names of the individual companies that make up the 7 comparison groupings.

8

9 Q62. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BENCHMARK RESULTS.

A. As shown on page 13 of the study, the Entergy Companies' overall IT cost
performance is better than that of its peer group and other utilities in the
benchmark. The Entergy Companies' IT spending is just short of the
threshold for Gartner's "Top Half" (which in actuality is how Gartner labels
the top quartile) of its peer group. See Exhibit JFB-6, which visually
depicts the Entergy Companies overall performance.

16

17 Q63. IS THERE ANY MORE GENERAL BENCHMARKING SUPPORT IN THE18 COMPANY'S FILING?

A. Yes. Although it does not apply explicitly to my class, Company witnesses
 Michelle P. Bourg and Tumminello address benchmarking studies that
 apply to ETI's costs. Ms. Bourg addresses benchmarking applicable to
 ETI total company non-production O&M costs, and Ms. Tumminello
 addresses benchmarking that applies at the service company (ESI) level.

1	Q64.	WHAT	IS	YOUR	CC	NCLU	SION	WITH	ł	REGA	RD	то	THE
2		REASO	NABL	ENESS	OF	THE	OVE	RALL	IT	COST	rs F	OR	BOTH
3		OPERA	TING	AND	MAIN	TENA	NCE	COST	S,	AND	FOR	CA	PITAL
4		COSTS	?										

5 The overall IT costs incurred by ETI directly and through charges from its Α. affiliates are clearly reasonable as evidenced by the fact that over 78% 6 are obtained at competitive market rates while the remaining portion, 7 8 internal Entergy Companies' labor, has been demonstrated to be reasonable with respect to the level of compensation and benefits in the 9 10 testimony of Company witness Raeder. This conclusion is further 11 corroborated by benchmarking results and historical staffing and spending 12 trends as well as the budget and cost control processes described above.

- 13
- 14

VII. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

15 Q65. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY.

16 Α. The overview of the IT organization and the description of the IT class of 17 service indicate that the services provided and their associated costs are necessary for the ETI business operations. The reasonableness of the 18 19 costs is described above in the previous response. Billing methods used 20 ensure that the allocation of costs to ETI reflect the actual cost of 21 providing the services and that the unit prices charged to ETI are no 22 higher than the unit prices charged to other affiliates for the same or similar services. The functions provided by this class of service provide 23

- 1 cost-effective centralized services that ensure no duplication of services
- 2 and directly benefit ETI's electric customers.
- 3
- 4 Q66. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 5 A. Yes.

This page has been intentionally left blank.

Exhibit JFB-1 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 1



2013 ETI Rate Case

This page has been intentionally left blank.

Supply Chain Supply Chain R Jackson \$1,048,563 Information Technology Information Technology *J Brown* \$6,066,324 Human Resources & Administration Human Resources *J Raeder* \$2,469,206 Administration *T Plauche* \$1,368,447 Service Company Recipient Offsets S *Tumminello* \$0 Other Expenses S Tumminello (\$1,058,055) Accounting Entries Depreciation S Turminello \$2,952,022 Income Tax Expense *R Roberts* \$116,027 Communications D Caplan \$509,526 Regulatory Services Utility & Executive Internal & External Management S *Rainer* \$2,337,992 Legal Services *M Brown* \$5,456,903 Corporate J Lewis \$1,422,392 Treasury Operations S McNeal \$866,687 Financial Services Tax Services P Galbraith \$2,523,998 *D Doucet* \$3,813,906 Finance

Corporate Support Functions & Classes (\$ Total ETI Adjusted)

Exhibit JFB-2 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 2

Operations Functions & Classes (\$ Total ETI Adjusted)

Domestic Regulated Utility Operations Group



Exhibit JFB-2 2013 TX Rate Case Page 2 of 2 Entergy Texas, Inc. Dollars Closed to Plant in Service Including Affiliate Component July 1, 2011 - March 31, 2013

ŝ	5		Dollars Closed to Plant	12 044		0,446	629	62,484	1,139,207	163,843	339,697	66,387	152,073	14,262	364,393	253,688	207.819	2.782.522	12.357	21,363	52,369	22,124	45,043	27,178	112,587	6,967	14,838	46,137	37,515	22,675	421,151	3,203,673
141		Total Affiliate	Charges	140	8	3 1		2,241	215,983	11,482	306,560	51.792	35,604	668	319,033	4,782	3,890	958,445	11,856	669	2,366	4,049	44,766	14,234	40,998	349	14,769	12,118	22,857	21,828	190,891	1,149,336
NO.	Non-Capital	Suspense Affiliate	Charges		•	••••			208,188	10:01	303,776	57.482	34,502	812	316,970	2.994	2,847	939,849	11,700	558	2,127	3,926	44,268	14,109	40,450	313	14,697	11,866	22,644	21,715	188,383	1,128,232
10		Charges Charges	excluding Affiliate	33	9	6		440 4	040	107	657	2	R	3	/R4	423	246	4,388	37	8	8	29	118	8	171		1	28	8	27	592	4,980
(K)		Affiliate Capital	Suspense	140	26	2	- CLV	202 4	68/5	100	2, /83	200		87	2007	1,/18	1.043	18,596	156	142	82	123	3	9 5	200	81	5	757	213	113	2,508	HOL 17
(7)		Capital Suspense	Charges	174	8	0	202	0.825	000.0	277 6	0.440	1907	10.	101	BHC'N	607'7	897.1	COR 77	183	175	ŝ	2	910	000	800	F 8	8	115	797	94	3,099	600'07
6			Keimbursements	•	•	•		•			•	•	1 1				•	•			•	•	'	-L- 1	'+			•	•		•	I
(H)	Non-Affiliate Charges	Excluding Cap Susp	and Keimbursements	LZR'LL	5,416	620	60.126	921.384	152 129	187 22	9.022	116 209	12247	44.873	AAA AAC	L03 CUC	1 040 200	000 210 1	404	100107	140.84	CH0'01	10 014	71 461	6 RND	63	1000 000	000 1 1	14,608	070	228,669	100'040'5
(0)		Burlinse (12	TYNON CATEDOV TYNA 140	TVDRO ENTEROT EXAS, INC.	WWW. EN IERGY IERAS, INC.	TX000: ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	TX000 ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	TX000: ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	TX000: ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	TX000: ENTERGY TEXAS INC.	TX000: ENTERGY TEXAS INC	IX000: ENTERGY TEXAS, INC.	TX000: ENTERGY TEXAS INC	X000: ENTERGY TEXAS INC	X000 ENTERCY TEXAS INC.	X000 FNTERCY TEXAS INC		YOM ENTEDEY TEYNE MC	YOM CATCOCY TEVAS NO	YOO ENTERGY TEVAS NO	YOM ENTEREY TEXAS INC	X000 ENTERCY TEVAS INC	X000 ENTERGY TEXAS INC	X000: ENTERGY TEXAS INC.	X000: ENTERGY TEXAS INC	X000: ENTERGY TEXAS INC	XMO ENTERCY TEXAS INC	YOOP ENTEDED TEVAS INC.	X000 ENTEDOV TEVAS INC.			
(E)		ł	A DIRUS			×	x	×	×	æ	ď	R	2	×	×			Ili State	It. State	cifi-State	III State	ulti-State	ulti-State	ulti-State 1	ulti-State 1	ulti-State 1	In State	It State	Iti-State 7			
(E)	Asset	Location	awis Creek	Jolen CSO		MICTOWAVE S	ewis Creek 1	Aicrowave S T	Aicrowave S 1	ittle Rock D A	ittle Rock DIA	ittle Rock D A	ittle Rock DIA	gs-Tx It Ele T	asi-Tx # Ele T	asi-Tx ft Elé T		BLF - Canif N	Ri F - Canit M	BLE - Canit M	BIF - Canit M	BIE - Canit M	BLE - Capit M	BLE - Capit M	BLE - Capit M	BLE - Capit M	BLE - Canif M	RIF - Canif M	BIF - Canit M			
Ô		In Service Date	13-Dec-11 []	20.Dec.12		28-U80-10	13-Dec-111	13-Dec-11	3-Jan-13 h	31-Mar-121	30-Jun-12 L	26-Sep-12 L	20-Dec-12 L	28-Dec-11 E	28-Dec-11 E	18-Dec-12 E	_	16-Apr-12 C	31-Aun-12 C	31-Dec-12 C	18-Dec-12 C	31-Dec-11 C	19-Dec-12 C	13-Mar-13 C	31-Dec-121C	25-Oct-12 C	28-Dec-12 C	31-Aun-11 C	29-Nov-12 C			
(C)		Asset Class	General Plant	General Plant	00000	Centeral Plant	Ceneral Man	General Plant	General Plant	General Plant	General Plant	General Plant	General Plant	General Plant	General Plant	General Plant	General Plant Total	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible	Intancible	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible	Intangible	Intengible	Intangible	Intancible	Intancible	Intendible Total	Grand Total	
(B)		Project Code Description	Lewis Creek Wireless LAN	Nelson Infrastructure Uporades	Mirrouwie Daniacement Truce 2040	I auto Crack DDY Default		Microwave Replacement Texas 2011	Microwave Replacement Texas 2012	PC Purchases & Installs Q1 2012-ETI	PC Purchases & Installs C2 2012-ETI	PC Purchases & Installs Q3 2012 ETI	PC Purchases & Installs Q4 2012-ETI	PC Installs for EntTX - 2011	2011 Telecom Capital Rolomnt Ent-TX	2012 Telecom Capital Rolomnt Ent-TX		Mobile App-iPhones & Androids	ISMC Website Conversion-SharePoint	Sharepoint Infra Foundation	Accelerated Windows7 Adoption	IBM Software License Procurement	RCRC-Identity Mgmt New Release/Rpl	Shared Applications Enhnomnts/Imprv	MS SharePoint 2010 Implementation	Trvoli Endpoint Manager/Big Fix	2012 UDW & BW Enhancements	Safety Information Momt System	Config Mamt DB (CMDB) Software			
Ø		Project Code	C6PFFI233A	C6PPFI276E	C6PPFIR14T	CEPPER24	Centrate	1979LLAND	COPP-F(6281	COPPERIZA	C6PPFP12BT	C6PPFP12CT	C6PPPP1201	C6PPFPCT11	COMPLIMIN	C6PPFTWT12		C1PP11EA01	CIPPEAPISM	C1PPFI282A	C1PPFIB269	C1PPF18271	CIPPEIB277	C1PPFIB280	CIPPEIB282	C1PPFIB311	C1PPFICS03	C1PPSF0801E	C1PPWIO062			
as	se		INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INCODIATION TECHNICI DOV				INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	NEORIATION LECHNOLOGY		INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION LECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION LECTINOLOGY	INFORMATION LECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION LECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION RECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY			

Exhibit JFB-3 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 1 This page has been intentionally left blank.

Exhibit JFB-4 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 1



This page has been intentionally left blank.



20 August 2010 Engagement: 223287711

aterials, is owned by Gartner, Inc. and/or its affiliates and is for the sole use of the intended Gartner resentation may contain information that is confidential, proprietary or otherwise legally protected, publicly displayed without the express written permission of Gartner, Inc. or its affiliates. This presentation, including any supporting materials, is ow audience or other authorized recipients. This presentation r and it may not be further copied, distributed or publicly dist © 2009 Gartner, inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Exhibit JFB-5 2013 TX Rate Case Page 1 of 94



4-217

2013 ETI Rate Case



- Objectives and Analysis Scope
- Gartner Benchmarking Approach and Methodology
- Summary Findings
- Year to Year Comparisons
- Detailed Benchmark Comparisons by IT Area

© 2010 Gartner, inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. Gartner is a registered trademark of Gartner, inc. or its affiliates.



Exhibit JFB-5 2013 TX Rate Case Page 2 of 94



The objective of the analysis is to Benchmark Entergy's 2009 IT infrastructure costs, based on a total cost of ownership cost model, for the following technology areas:

Technology Area	Unit Cost Measure
Mainframe	Installed MIPS
Wintel	OS Instances
UNIX	OS Instances
Storage	GB of Storage
Client Desktop	Users
IT Help Desk	Handled Contacts
Local Area Network	Active ports
Wide Area Network	Devices with WAN connectivity
Long Distance Voice	Minutes
Local Voice	Active Extensions
Internet Access	GB of traffic
Remote Access	Remote Users

- Entergy's costs are allocations based on IT service contracts, cost codes and workload.
 - Note that this study does not look analyze the impact of service levels on cost.

© 2010 Gartner, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved. Gartner is a registered trademark of Gartner, Inc. or its affiliates.

Exhibit JFB-5 2013 TX Rate Case Page 3 of 94

Gartner

Exhibit JFB-5 2013 TX Rate Case Page 4 of 94





- Peer groups are selected based on the characteristics of Entergy's environment, including size, topology, configurations, complexity and other key drivers
 - Peer group IT costs are then normalized to determine the peer group IT costs equired to support Entergy's specific workload volumes
- Gartner finds that this environment driven and industry independent approach is the best indicator of IT efficiency and effectiveness ł

Exhibit JFB-5 2013 TX Rate Case Page 5 of 94

Gartner,

For internal use of Entergy only. © 2010 Gartner, Inc. and/or its affiliates All rights reserved.

and "Top Hair" Comparisons	is to "top quartile" averages reflects how Entergy a cost efficiency standpoint relative to the average ile of cost efficient performers within each peer	If that these comparisons are cost efficiency based only; typically t are this cost efficient may not be as well aligned to the needs of others.	naller sample size upon which these comparisons are based dictates risons be treated as reference points only.	verages reflect the most efficient top half of each rkload peers.	ed that the overall comparison to the Workload well as to the top half of cost efficient performers more valuable indicators of performance lose groups having more observations versus the is that make up the top quartile.	ts reserved.
"Top Quartile" and "Top	 The comparisons to "top que compares from a cost efficie of the top quartile of cost effi group. 	 It should be noted that these comporganizations that are this cost effither their business as others. 	 In addition, the smaller sample size that these comparisons be treated 	The "top half" averages refle of the tower Workload peers.	It should be noted that the or peer average as well as to the may prove to be more valuab attributable to those groups few organizations that make	For internal use of Entergy only. © 2010 Gartner, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

.

948



- are based dictates that these comparisons be treated as reference points only.
 - industry. They could be gas or electric and/or generation revenue on a scale companies that Entergy would consider to be competitors within the utility It should also be noted that these utility companies are not purely utility different than that of Entergy.

For internal use of Entergy only. © 2010 Gartner, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

4-223

20 August 2010

Gartner