



Control Number: 41606



Item Number: 81

Addendum StartPage: 0

July 18, 2013

Randal E. Roper  
Electric Transmission Texas  
400 West 15th Street, Suite 1520  
Austin, TX 78701-1648

2013 JUL 23 AM 9:05

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
FILING CLERK

Subject: Your July 3, 2013 letter concerning PUC Docket No. 41606

Dear Mr. Randal E Roper:

Thank you for your recent missive informing me of my rights to intervene or to be a protestor in PUC Docket No. 41606: Joint Application of Electric Transmission Texas, LLC and Sharyland Utilities, L.P. to Amend Their Certificates of Convenience and Necessity for the Proposed North Edinburg to Loma Alta Double-Circuit 345 kV Transmission Line in Hidalgo and Cameron Counties, Texas.

However, you have not responded to my October 16, 2012 request for information of your preferred route (see attached). I recognize the PUC will actually make the decision on the route, but I want to know what your company and Sharyland prefer. Also, I requested any environmental analysis on the effects of this project especially to Bentsen Rio Grande State Park and the National Butterfly Center, and any social analysis, especially to retired and low income families. Without this information how can I determine if I want to protest or intervene, or to provide further comment?

All I have been sent to date is twice a map of proposed alternate routes. I have reviewed the information available at the PUC's as instructed in the "Landowners and Transmission Line Cases at the PUC" brochure you provided. However, none of the requested information is available at this site. Please provide the requested information before August 12, 2013, so I can make a determination by August 19 if I want to intervene or protest.

Sincerely,



William T. Supulski II  
2200 Seagull Lane  
Mission, TX 78572

cc: Public Utility Commission of Texas  
Central Records, Attn: Filing Clerk  
1701 N. Congress Avenue  
P.O. Box 13326  
Austin, Texas 78711-3326

Please provide any additional comments below or on the back of the questionnaire, if necessary.

I did not make any of the public meetings. I am concerned you have held these meetings prior to the annual influx of "Winter Texans" who are my primary neighbors. What opportunities will you provide for them?

Your September 25, 2012 letter has lots of possible routes but no proposed/preferred route. What is the preferred route?

If you are going to use "84" - this will have effects to both Bentsen State Park and the National Butterfly Center. I am especially concerned for migrating hawks and kites which use the <sup>State</sup> Park and neighboring wildlife refuge and butterfly park. I have not seen any (over)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR COMMENTS!

Name William T. Supulski II / Dorothy E. Lamohiana  
Address 2200 Seagull Lane  
City Mission, TX Zip Code 78572  
Phone (optional) \_\_\_\_\_  
Email Address (optional) \_\_\_\_\_

environmental document discussing this route.

Please send me a copy. I am most interested in your cumulative effects analysis.

Of course no one wants these lines through their backyard. However, we retired to the Bentsen Palm area because of it's promotion of nature and wildlife. I believe this project if conducted along route "84" would be the antithesis of the purpose of this development.

I also noted your letter is in English, but the population here is over 90% Hispanic. I would like to see all/any of the social/environmental justice analysis you have done for this project.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment.

Sincerely  
Will T. Sykes

10/16/2012