

Control Number: 41606



Item Number: 582

Addendum StartPage: 0

Effective: January 1, 2003

Comments in Docket No. 41606 If you want to be a PROTESTOR only, please complete this form. Although and identify issues to dest treated as evidence, they help inform the PUC and its staff of the public concerns and identify issues to dest of the public concerns and identify issues to dest

Mail this completed form and 10 copies to:

Public Utility Commission of Texas Central Records Attn: Filing Clerk 1701 N. Congress Ave. P.O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326

First Name: Jane M. Edwards Last Name: Edward Phone Number: 512 447-0544 Fax Number: Address, City, State: Clo Cash Edwards, 1121-B Bluebonne AUSTIN Texas 787 I am NOT requesting to intervene in this proceeding. As a PROTESTOR, I understand the following:

- I am NOT a party to this case;
- My comments are not considered evidence in this case; and
- I have no further obligation to participate in the proceeding.

Please check one of the following:

- I own property with a habitable structure located near one or more of the utility's proposed routes for a
- One or more of the utility's proposed routes would cross my property.
- Other. Please describe and provide comments. You may attach a separate page, if necessary.

900 acher

Signature of person submitting comments:

11 Edwards Date: 8

Public Utility Commission of Texas Central Records Attn: Filing Clerk 1701 North Congress Avenue P.O. Box 13326 Austin, Texas 78711-3326

August 14, 2013

Re: Letter of Protest to proposed power line, Docket No. 41606 Link 262 by Electric Transmission Texas and Sharyland Utilities

Dear Sirs,

. . 🖻 🖬

I am Jane M. Edwards the owner of acreage legally described as:

Abstract 16-SBL&W CO SE Portion Pt. of Blk. 151, 20.25 Acs out of 97.83 (Cameron Appraisal District PID #79469).

I received notification from Electric Transmission Texas that they propose as a potential route to put their power line through our land. (Link#262) With respect to the property in proposed Link 262 the route leaves HWY 509 and travels down to and then along the Resaca de Los Fresno (Resacas are former channels of the Rio Grande found in the southern half of Cameron County.) and then crosses back. I am writing to protest this proposed route.

It seems strange that the electrical transmission line would run along one of the beautiful and natural attractions of the valley. Although the land crossed is currently agricultural in nature the building of the Hwy 509 spilt our family farm into two unequal sections, the remainder along the resaca is 20.25 acres. As told to us by the State representatives, at the time when our land was condemned to build Hwy 509, the property is planned to be commercial/warehouse/shipping offices in nature as the route for the trucks coming up from Mexico.

Along the Resaca, close into San Benito, are some lovely office parks. As such our family has been holding the property as a buffer zone between HWY 509 and its commercial/warehouse/shipping offices development, and the lovely residential area on the other side of the Resaca.

To put the transmission line along the Resaca ruining the beauty and limiting the future development use of the property, instead of along HWY 509, seems incomprehensible to us. Also, to place the transmission line along the Resaca would place it high and visible to that residential neighborhood across the Resaca and Charles Barber Road.

Secondly, why would a transmission line go along a natural waterway instead of up the state owned road? Would not it be cheaper for the transmission line to build on already state owned property? Another question would be why would an electrical transmission line go along a waterway? Electricity and water do not make good companions. I know that technology has come along way and that it might not lead to an immediate threat but hurricane forces do sweep the valley and do not adhere to the laws of mankind. Therefore a gale force could push the lines into the water and cause much damage and danger.

So we respectfully would like for you to consider the route be moved to State Highway 509 where the infringement on our property would not be so bad and it would not so infringe upon the development potential of this wonderful water landmark of Texas history and culture.

Sincerely.

Jane 111 Edwards

Jane M. Edwards Clo Cash Edwards 1121-B BluebonnetLane Austra, Texas 78704