



Control Number: 38597



Item Number: 123

Addendum StartPage: 0

# Comments in Docket No. 38597

**If you want to be a PROTESTOR only, please complete this form.** Although public comments are not treated as evidence, they help inform the PUC and its staff of the public concerns and identify issues to be explored. The PUC welcomes such participation in its proceedings.

Mail this completed form and 10 copies to:

Public Utility Commission of Texas  
Central Records  
Attn: Filing Clerk  
1701 N. Congress Ave.  
P.O. Box 13326  
Austin, TX 78711-3326

RECEIVED  
SEP 28 AM 11:29  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
FILING CLERK

First Name: Michael and Tanja Last Name: Dickerson

Phone Number: (972) 670-6932 Fax Number: (972) 382-4564

Address, City, State: 8102 Shady Brook Lane Celina, Texas 75009

I am NOT requesting to intervene in this proceeding. As a PROTESTOR, I understand the following:

- I am NOT a party to this case;
- My comments are not considered evidence in this case; and
- I have no further obligation to participate in the proceeding.

Please check one of the following:

- I own property with a habitable structure located near one or more of the utility's proposed routes for a transmission line.
- One or more of the utility's proposed routes would cross my property.
- Other. Please describe and provide comments. You may attach a separate page, if necessary. \_\_\_\_\_

Please see attached letter

Signature of person submitting comments:

M. C. Dickerson Tanja Dickerson  
Michael C. Dickerson Tanja Dickerson

Date: 9-24-2010

9 - 24 - 2010

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please accept this formal letter of protest relative to our property as described in the Docket No. 38597

**Property Identification Number**

1554

**Habitable Structure Identification Number**

463 and 471

**Submitted by: Landowners: Michael and Tanja Dickerson  
8102 Shady Brook Celina, Texas 75009**

Our position is that the potential development of the S Link on or near our property will have a negative impact on our land and surrounding terrain.

***We have a photographic journal of the terrain and its inhabitants, flora and fauna for your review if you would like us to email or print for your consideration.***

We have the following concerns and objections relative to this S Link alternative Route location:

**Property Value**

In terms of function and aesthetics.

Diminished re sale value.

**Business**

My home office and private design studio are located on site.

Impact of power lines on wireless communication and various electronics may impact our ability to conduct business.

**Greenbelt and Erosion**

Large stands of mature trees along creek banks. These trees hold top soils along Honey Creek and nearby open farmlands.

Michael and Tanja Dickerson – S Link Protest Continued

### **Wildlife**

The natural creek habitat provides nesting and cover for the following wildlife along the creek banks.

Owls, Hawks, Turkey, Deer, Bobcats

### **Protected Migratory Wildlife**

Habitat Destruction for:

Wood Ducks and Egrets have nests in our trees. Various species of Puddle and Diving ducks and many varieties of Geese (some of these geese nest on our ponds) light on our ponds. Blue Herons and Various Shore Birds use our land for refuge.

(This area previously used to be a Waterfowl Dog Training Facility and now is a private waterfowl refuge based along the tree line and ponds near Honey Creek).

### **Park and Recreation**

Many of the neighborhood children as well as members of the Celina community come to our property to play sports on the open fields and enjoy outdoor sports. We are concerned for the safety and welfare of our child and those who enjoy the natural areas near proposed S Link installation along Honey Creek.

### **Creek**

Honey Creek houses a great number of fish and crustaceans.

I am concerned about the effects of clear cutting trees, erosion and water contamination.

### **Construction Cost**

It is our position that the costs to access, construct and maintain the proposed S Link near our property will prove to be exorbitant versus construction in open areas without the natural terrain and topography as described earlier. It is our understanding that the Halff Engineering report is largely based on broad stroke aerial photography and satellite imagery. It is our protest that the route will prove to be more costly than is portrayed in the budgets submitted relative to the S Link on public record.