

Public Utility Commission of Texas

Texas Technical Reference Manual


Version 9.0

Volume 2: Residential Measures

Program Year 2022

Last Revision Date:

November 2021



Public Utility Commission of Texas

Texas Technical Reference Manual

Version 9.0

Volume 2: Residential Measures

Program Year 2022

Last Revision Date:

November 2021

Table of Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Residential Measures	5
2.1	RESIDENTIAL: LIGHTING	5
2.1.1	ENERGY STAR® Omni-Directional LED Lamps Measure Overview	5
2.1.2	ENERGY STAR® Specialty and Directional LED Lamps Measure Overview	15
2.2	RESIDENTIAL: HEATING, VENTILATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING	29
2.2.1	Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Tune-Ups Measure Overview	29
2.2.2	Duct Sealing Measure Overview	36
2.2.3	ENERGY STAR® Ground Source Heat Pumps Measure Overview	55
2.2.4	Central Air Conditioner and Heat Pumps Measure Overview	63
2.2.5	Mini-Split Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps Measure Overview	78
2.2.6	Large Capacity Split and Packaged Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps Measure Overview	93
2.2.7	Packaged Terminal Heat Pumps Measure Overview	100
2.2.8	ENERGY STAR® Room Air Conditioners Measure Overview	108
2.2.9	ENERGY STAR® Connected Thermostats Measure Overview	118
2.2.10	Smart Thermostat Load Management Measure Overview	125
2.2.11	Evaporative Cooling Measure Overview	130
2.3	RESIDENTIAL: BUILDING ENVELOPE	134
2.3.1	Air Infiltration Measure Overview	134
2.3.2	Ceiling Insulation Measure Overview	144
2.3.3	Attic Encapsulation Measure Overview	158
2.3.4	Wall Insulation Measure Overview	176
2.3.5	Floor Insulation Measure Overview	188
2.3.6	ENERGY STAR® Windows Measure Overview	196
2.3.7	ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows Measure Overview	203
2.3.8	Solar Screens Measure Overview	210
2.3.9	ENERGY STAR® Cool Roofs Measure Overview	216
2.4	RESIDENTIAL: WATER HEATING	246
2.4.1	Faucet Aerators Measure Overview	246
2.4.2	Low-Flow Showerheads Measure Overview	252
2.4.3	Water Heater Pipe Insulation Measure Overview	258
2.4.4	Water Heater Tank Insulation Measure Overview	264
2.4.5	Water Heater Installations—Electric Tankless and Fuel Substitution Measure Overview	269
2.4.6	Heat Pump Water Heaters Measure Overview	278
2.4.7	Solar Water Heaters Measure Overview	289
2.4.8	Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Measure Overview	296

2.4.9 Tub Spout and Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Measure Overview	303
2.5 RESIDENTIAL: APPLIANCES.....	311
2.5.1 ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fans Measure Overview	311
2.5.2 ENERGY STAR® Clothes Washers Measure Overview	319
2.5.3 ENERGY STAR® Clothes Dryers Measure Overview.....	329
2.5.4 ENERGY STAR® Dishwashers Measure Overview.....	335
2.5.5 ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators Measure Overview.....	341
2.5.6 ENERGY STAR® Freezers Measure Overview	355
2.5.7 ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps Measure Overview	367
2.5.8 ENERGY STAR® Air Purifiers Measure Overview	373
2.5.9 Advanced Power Strips Measure Overview	378
2.5.10 ENERGY STAR® Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment	390
2.5.11 Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling Measure Overview	395
 Appendix A: Central Air Conditioner and Heat Pumps Deemed Savings Tables.....	 A-1
 Appendix B: Mini-Split Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Deemed Savings Tables.....	 B-1
 List of Figures	
Figure 1. Central AC/HPs—Unit Replacement Percentages upon Compressor Failure.....	69
Figure 2. Central AC/HPs—AC Survival Function	73
Figure 3. Central AC/HPs—HP Survival Function	73
Figure 4. Mini-Split AC/HPs—Unit Replacement Percentages upon Compressor Failure	84
Figure 5. Mini-Split AC/HPs—AC Survival Function	88
Figure 6. Mini-Split AC/HPs—HP Survival Function	88
Figure 7. Survival Function for RACs.....	113
Figure 8. Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile	249
Figure 9. Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile	255
Figure 10. Showerhead TSRVs – Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile.....	300
Figure 11. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs – Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile.....	309
Figure 12. Survival Function for ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators	346
Figure 13. Survival Function for ENERGY STAR® Freezers.....	360

List of Tables

Table 1. Residential Deemed Savings by Measure Category	1
Table 2. Omni-Directional LEDs—Baseline Wattage.....	7
Table 3. Omni-Directional LEDs Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties	9
Table 4. Omni-Directional LEDs —Coincidence Factors	10
Table 5. Omni-Directional LEDs—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties	10
Table 6. ENERGY STAR® Omni-Directional LEDs—Estimated Useful Life.....	13
Table 7. Residential Omni-Directional LED Lamps Revision History.....	14
Table 8. Specialty/Directional LEDs—General Service Non-Reflector Baseline Wattage	17
Table 9. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Decorative Non-Reflector Baseline Wattage.....	18
Table 10. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Reflector Baseline Wattage	19
Table 11. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties	23
Table 12. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Coincidence Factors	24
Table 13. Specialty and Directional LEDs—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties	24
Table 14. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Estimated Useful Life	27
Table 15. Residential Specialty and Directional LED Lamps Revision History	28
Table 16. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours	32
Table 17. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Coincidence Factors by Climate Zone.....	33
Table 18. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Deemed Energy Savings per Ton	33
Table 19. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Deemed Summer Demand Savings per Ton.....	33
Table 20. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Deemed Winter Demand Savings per Ton.....	34
Table 21. Residential Air Conditioner or Heat Pump Tune-Ups Revision History	35
Table 22. Duct Sealing—Leakage Categorization Guide	39
Table 23. Duct Sealing—Energy Savings V_E per CFM ₂₅ Reduction	41
Table 24. Duct Sealing—Summer Demand Savings V_S per CFM ₂₅ Reduction	41
Table 25. Duct Sealing—Winter Demand Savings V_W per CFM ₂₅ Reduction	42
Table 26. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)	43
Table 27. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)	43
Table 28. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)	43

Table 29. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)	44
Table 30. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)	44
Table 31. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	44
Table 32. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	44
Table 33. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	45
Table 34. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	45
Table 35. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	45
Table 36. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	45
Table 37. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	46
Table 38. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	46
Table 39. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	46
Table 40. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)	46
Table 41. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Alternate Approach (kWh)	47
Table 42. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Alternate Approach (kWh)	47
Table 43. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Alternate Approach (kWh)	47
Table 44. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Alternate Approach (kWh)	48
Table 45. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Alternate Approach (kWh)	48
Table 46. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW)	48
Table 47. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW)	48
Table 48. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW)	49
Table 49. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW)	49

Table 50. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW).....	49
Table 51. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings , Alternate Approach (kW).....	49
Table 52. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW).....	50
Table 53. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW).....	50
Table 54. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings , Alternate Approach (kW).....	50
Table 55. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings , Alternate Approach (kW).....	50
Table 56. Residential Duct Efficiency Improvements Revision History.....	53
Table 57. GSHP Baseline Efficiencies.....	56
Table 58. Ground Source Heat Pump ENERGY STAR® Requirements.....	56
Table 59. Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours.....	59
Table 60. GSHP Coincidence Factors.....	60
Table 61. Energy Savings for Desuperheaters per Cooling Tonnage.....	60
Table 62. Summer Peak Demand Savings for Desuperheaters per Cooling Tonnage.....	60
Table 63. Residential GSHPs Revision History.....	62
Table 64. Central AC/HPs – Baseline Efficiencies.....	66
Table 65. Central AC/HPs—CEE Tier 0 Requirements.....	67
Table 66. AC and HP Capacity Curve Coefficients.....	68
Table 67. AC and HP EIR Curve Coefficients.....	69
Table 68. Central AC/HPs—Remaining Useful Life of Replaced AC.....	71
Table 69. Central AC/HPs—Remaining Useful Life of Replaced HP.....	72
Table 70. Residential Central AC/HPs Revision History.....	77
Table 71. Mini-Split AC/HPs—Baseline Efficiencies.....	81
Table 72. Mini-Split AC/HPs— System CEE Tier 0 Requirements.....	82
Table 73. Mini-Split AC/HPs—Capacity Curve Coefficients.....	83
Table 74. Mini-Split AC/HPs—EIR Curve Coefficients.....	84
Table 75. Mini-Split AC/HPs—Remaining Useful Life of Replaced ACs.....	86
Table 76. Mini-Split AC/HPs—Remaining Useful Life of Replaced HPs.....	87
Table 77. Residential Mini-Split AC/HPs Revision History.....	92
Table 78. Large Capacity AC/HPs—Baseline Efficiency Levels for NC and ROB for AC/HP.....	94
Table 79. Large Capacity AC/HPs—Baseline Efficiency Levels for NC and ROB for GSHPs....	95
Table 80. Large Capacity AC/HPs—AC/HP Coincidence Factors.....	96

Table 81. Large Capacity AC/HPs—GSHP Coincidence Factors	97
Table 82. Large Capacity AC/HPs—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours	97
Table 83. Large Capacity AC/HPs Revision History	99
Table 84. ER Baseline Efficiency Levels for Standard Size PTACs	101
Table 85. ROB Minimum Efficiency Levels for PTHPs	102
Table 86. PTHP Coincidence Factors	104
Table 87. PTHP Cooling/Heating EFLHs	104
Table 88. Remaining Useful Life of ER PTAC Systems	105
Table 89. Residential Packaged Terminal Heat Pumps Revision History	107
Table 90. RAC Baseline Efficiencies for ER, ROB, and NC	109
Table 91. RAC Efficient Condition Specifications	109
Table 92. RAC Annual Operating Hours for Cooling	111
Table 93. RACs—Coincidence Factors	111
Table 94. Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of Replaced RAC	112
Table 95. RACs Revision History	116
Table 96. Baseline Efficiency of Existing HVAC Systems	119
Table 97. Connected Thermostats—Runtime Reduction Criteria for ENERGY STAR® Certification	120
Table 98. Connected Thermostats—Energy Savings for Thermostats Installed with Existing HVAC Unit (kWh/ton)	121
Table 99. Connected Thermostats—Cooling Energy Savings for Thermostats Installed with New HVAC Unit (kWh/ton)	121
Table 100. Connected Thermostats—Heating Energy Savings (HP ONLY) for Thermostats Installed with New HVAC Unit (kWh/ton)	121
Table 101. Connected Thermostats – Baseline for Various Equipment Replacement Scenarios	122
Table 102. Connected Thermostats—Upstream and Midstream Program Energy Savings (kWh/thermostat)	122
Table 103. Residential ENERGY STAR® Connected Thermostats Revision History	124
Table 104. Smart Thermostat Load Management—Deemed kW Savings Per Device	127
Table 105. Smart Thermostat Load Management—Example Total Program Year Demand Savings Calculation	127
Table 106. Residential Smart Thermostat Load Management Revision History	129
Table 107. Evaporative Cooling Deemed Savings per System	132
Table 108. Residential Evaporative Cooling Revision History	133
Table 109. Air Infiltration – N Factors	137
Table 110. Air Infiltration—Energy Savings V_E per CFM ₅₀ Reduction	138

Table 111. Air Infiltration—Peak Summer Demand Savings V_s per CFM ₅₀ Reduction.....	139
Table 112. Air Infiltration—Peak Winter Demand Savings V_w per CFM ₅₀ Reduction	140
Table 113. Residential Air Infiltration Revision History	142
Table 114. Ceiling Insulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics	146
Table 115. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings for (kWh/sq. ft.)	146
Table 116. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings(kWh/sq. ft.).....	147
Table 117. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.).....	147
Table 118. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Annual Energy (kWh/sq. ft.)	147
Table 119. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.).....	148
Table 120. Ceiling Insulation—Energy Scale-Down Factors: Ceiling Insulation to Less Than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ ΔR)	149
Table 121. Ceiling Insulation—Energy Scale-Up Factors: Insulating to Greater Than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ ΔR)	149
Table 122. Ceiling Insulation – Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	150
Table 123. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	150
Table 124. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	150
Table 125. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	150
Table 126. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	151
Table 127. Ceiling Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-Down Factors: Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ ΔR)	151
Table 128. Ceiling Insulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-Up Factors: Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ ΔR).....	151
Table 129. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	152
Table 130. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	152
Table 131. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 3: South Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	152
Table 132. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	153

Table 133. Ceiling Insulation—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	153
Table 134. Ceiling Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-Down Factors: Insulating to Less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR)	154
Table 135. Ceiling Insulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-Up Factors: Insulating to greater than R-30(kW/sq. ft./ ΔR)	154
Table 136. Residential Ceiling Insulation Revision History	157
Table 137. Attic Encapsulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics	160
Table 138. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—R-30 Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)	161
Table 139. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 2: North Region— R-30 Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)	161
Table 140. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 3: South Region—R-30 Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)	162
Table 141. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—R-30 Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)	162
Table 142. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 5: West Region—R-30 Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Insulation Component (kWh/sq. ft)	162
Table 143. Attic Encapsulation—Energy Scale-Down Factors: Insulating to Less Than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ΔR)	163
Table 144. Attic Encapsulation—Energy Scale-up Factors: Insulating to Greater than R-30 (kWh/sq. ft./ΔR)	164
Table 145. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—R-30 Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	164
Table 146. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 2: North Region—R-30 Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	165
Table 147. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 3: South Region—R-30 Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	165
Table 148. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—R-30 Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	165
Table 149. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 5: West Region—R-30 Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	166
Table 150. Attic Encapsulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-Down Factors: Insulating to less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ΔR)	166
Table 151. Table. Attic Encapsulation—Summer Peak Demand Scale-Up Factors:.....	166
Table 152. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—R-30 Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	167
Table 153. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 2: North Region—R-30 Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	167
Table 154. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 3: South Region—R-30 Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	167

Table 155. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—R-30 Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	168
Table 156. Attic Encapsulation—Climate Zone 5: West Region—R-30 Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Insulation Component (kW/sq. ft.)	168
Table 157. Attic Encapsulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-Down Factors: Insulating to less than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ ΔR)	169
Table 158. Attic Encapsulation—Winter Peak Demand Scale-Up Factors: Insulating to greater than R-30 (kW/sq. ft./ ΔR)	169
Table 159. Attic Encapsulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics	170
Table 160. Attic Encapsulation—Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Infiltration Reduction Component: 18 Percent Air Infiltration Reduction (kWh/home)	171
Table 161. Attic Encapsulation—Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Infiltration Reduction Component: 18 Percent Air Infiltration Reduction (kW/home)	171
Table 162. Attic Encapsulation—Deemed Winter Demand Savings for the Infiltration Reduction Component: 18 Percent Air Infiltration Reduction (kW/home)	171
Table 163. Residential Attic Encapsulation Revision History	175
Table 164. Wall Insulation—High-Efficiency Condition R-Values for 2x4 and 2x6 Walls.....	177
Table 165. Wall Insulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics, Climate Zones 1-4.....	178
Table 166. Wall Insulation—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R- 13 (kWh/sq. ft.)	179
Table 167. Wall Insulation—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-21 (kWh/sq. ft.)	179
Table 168. Wall Insulation – Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-17 (kWh/sq. ft.)	180
Table 169. Wall Insulation—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-33 (kWh/sq. ft.)	180
Table 170. Wall Insulation—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-13 (kW/sq. ft.)	181
Table 171. Wall Insulation—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-21 (kW/sq. ft.)	182
Table 172. Wall Insulation—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-17 (kW/sq. ft.)	182
Table 173. Wall Insulation—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-33 (kW/sq. ft.)	183
Table 174. Wall Insulation—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-13 (kW/sq. ft.)	184
Table 175. Wall Insulation—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x4 Walls to R-17 (kW/sq. ft.)	184
Table 176. Wall Insulation—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-17 (kW/sq. ft.)	185

Table 177. Wall Insulation—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, Insulation of 2x6 Walls to R-33 (kW/sq. ft.)	185
Table 178. Residential Wall Insulation Revision History	187
Table 179. Floor Insulation—Prototypical Home Characteristics	190
Table 180. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.).....	190
Table 181. Floor Insulation – Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.).....	191
Table 182. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.).....	191
Table 183. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.).....	191
Table 184. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.).....	191
Table 185. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	191
Table 186. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	192
Table 187. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	192
Table 188. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	192
Table 189. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	192
Table 190. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	192
Table 191. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	193
Table 192. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	193
Table 193. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	193
Table 194. Floor Insulation—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	193
Table 195. Residential Floor Insulation Revision History	195
Table 196. ENERGY STAR® Windows—Baseline Window Specification	197
Table 197. ENERGY STAR® Windows—High-Efficiency Specification Effective January 2015.....	197
Table 198. ENERGY STAR® Windows—TRM and ENERGY STAR® Climate Zones	197
Table 199. ENERGY STAR® Windows—Replacing Single-Pane Windows, Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	198

Table 200. ENERGY STAR® Windows—Replacing Double-Pane Windows Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.)	198
Table 201. ENERGY STAR® Windows—Replacing Single-Pane Windows, Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	199
Table 202. ENERGY STAR® Windows—Replacing Double-Pane Windows, Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.)	199
Table 203. ENERGY STAR® Windows—Replacing Single-Pane Windows, Deemed Winter Demand Savings by Heat Type (kW/sq. ft.)	200
Table 204. ENERGY STAR® Windows—Replacing Double-Pane Windows, Deemed Winter Demand Savings by Heat Type (kW/sq. ft.)	200
Table 205. Residential ENERGY STAR® Windows Revision History	202
Table 206. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows—ENERGY STAR® Specification	204
Table 207. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows—Window Assembly Properties	205
Table 208. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows—Modeled Building Characteristics	205
Table 209. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows—Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline	206
Table 210. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows—Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline	206
Table 211. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline	207
Table 212. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows—Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline	207
Table 213. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Single-Pane Baseline	207
Table 214. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows—Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW/sq. ft.), Double-Pane Baseline	208
Table 215. ENERGY STAR® Low-E Storm Windows – Revision History	209
Table 216. Deemed Energy (kWh) Savings per Square Foot of Solar Screen	213
Table 217. Solar Screens—Deemed Summer Peak Demand (kW) Savings per Square Foot of Solar Screen	213
Table 218. Solar Screens—Deemed Winter Peak Demand (kW) Savings per Square Foot of Solar Screen	214
Table 219. Residential Solar Screens Revision History	215
Table 220. Cool Roofs—ENERGY STAR® Specification	217
Table 221. Cool Roofs—Prototypical Home Characteristics	218
Table 222. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	219
Table 223. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: North Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	220

Table 224. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: South Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	221
Table 225. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	223
Table 226. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: West Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	224
Table 227. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	225
Table 228. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: North Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	226
Table 229. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: South Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	227
Table 230. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	227
Table 231. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: West Region – Deemed Annual Energy Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kWh/sq. ft.)	228
Table 232. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	229
Table 233. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: North Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	230
Table 234. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: South Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	231
Table 235. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	232
Table 236. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: West Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	232
Table 237. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	233
Table 238. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: North Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	234
Table 239. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: South Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	234
Table 240. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	235
Table 241. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: West Region – Deemed Summer Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	235
Table 242. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	236
Table 243. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: North Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	237
Table 244. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: South Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	238

Table 245. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	239
Table 246. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: West Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	240
Table 247. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	241
Table 248. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 2: North Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	241
Table 249. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 3: South Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	242
Table 250. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	242
Table 251. Cool Roofs—Climate Zone 5: West Region – Deemed Winter Demand Savings for Residential Reflective Roof Installation (kW/sq. ft.)	243
Table 252. Residential Cool Roofs Revision History	245
Table 253. Faucet Aerators—Baseline and Efficiency Standard	246
Table 254. Faucet Aerators—Water Mains Temperature	249
Table 255. Faucet Aerators—Peak Coincidence Factors	249
Table 256. Residential Faucet Aerators Revision History	251
Table 257. Low-Flow Showerheads—Baseline and Efficiency Standards	252
Table 258. Low-Flow Showerheads—Water Mains Temperatures	254
Table 259. Low-Flow Showerheads—Peak Coincidence Factors	255
Table 260. Residential Low-Flow Showerheads Revision History	257
Table 261. Water Heater Pipe Insulation—Baseline Standard	258
Table 262. Water Heater Pipe Insulation—Efficiency Standard	259
Table 263. Estimated Pipe Surface Area	260
Table 264. Ambient Temperatures per Climate Zone	261
Table 265. Residential Water Heater Pipe Insulation Revision History	263
Table 266. Estimated Tank Area	265
Table 267. Ambient Temperatures per Climate Zone	266
Table 268. Residential Water Heater Tank Insulation Revision History	268
Table 269. Federal Standard for Residential Electric Storage Water Heaters	270
Table 270. Water Heater Replacement—Efficiency Standards	271
Table 271. Water Heater Consumption (Gal/Year)	272
Table 272. Water Mains Temperature	273
Table 273. Coincident Peak Demand Factors	274
Table 274. Residential Water Heater Installations Revision History	277

Table 275. Federal Standard for Residential Water Heaters	279
Table 276. Heat Pump Water Heaters—Minimum Required Uniform Energy Factors	279
Table 277. Medium Usage Residential HPWH Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh).....	281
Table 278. High Usage Residential HPWH Deemed Annual Energy Savings (kWh)	282
Table 279. Medium Usage Residential HPWH Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW)	283
Table 280. High Usage Residential HPWH Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW)	284
Table 281. Medium Usage Residential HPWH Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW)	285
Table 282: High Usage Residential HPWH Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW).....	286
Table 283. Residential Heat Pump Water Heaters Revision History	287
Table 284. Federal Standard for Residential Electric Storage Water Heaters.....	290
Table 285. Water Heater Consumption (Gal/Year)	291
Table 286. Water Mains Temperature	292
Table 287. Coincident Peak Demand Factors	293
Table 288. Residential Solar Water Heaters Revision History.....	294
Table 289. Showerhead TSRVs – Hot Water Usage Reduction.....	298
Table 290. Showerhead TSRVs – Water Mains Temperatures	300
Table 291. Water Fixture Peak Demand Ratios	300
Table 292. Residential Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Revision History	302
Table 293. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs – Hot Water Usage Reduction	306
Table 294. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs – Water Mains Temperature	308
Table 295. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs – Peak Coincidence Factors	308
Table 296. Residential Tub Spout and Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Revision History	310
Table 297. ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fan Definitions	312
Table 298. ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fan Efficiency Requirements	312
Table 299. ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fan Light Kit Efficacy Requirements	312
Table 300. ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fans—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties	314
Table 301. Ceiling Fan Motor Wattages	314
Table 302. Ceiling Fan Operating Percentages	315
Table 303. ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fans—Lighting Coincidence Factors	316
Table 304. ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fans—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties	316
Table 305. Ceiling Fans Deemed Energy Savings	316
Table 306. Ceiling Fans Deemed Demand Savings—Summer.....	317

Table 307. Ceiling Fans Deemed Demand Savings—Winter	317
Table 308. Residential ENERGY STAR® Ceiling Fans Revision History	318
Table 309. Federal Standard for Clothes Washers.....	320
Table 310. ENERGY STAR® Specifications for Residential Clothes Washers	320
Table 311. ENERGY STAR® Clothes Washer Characteristics.....	323
Table 312. ENERGY STAR® Clothes Washer Coincidence Factors.....	324
Table 313. ENERGY STAR® Clothes Washer Energy Savings (kWh).....	324
Table 314. ENERGY STAR® Clothes Washer Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	325
Table 315. All Climate Zones—ENERGY STAR® Clothes Washer Winter Demand Savings (kW)	326
Table 316. Residential ENERGY STAR® Clothes Washers Revision History	327
Table 317. Federal Standard for Residential Clothes Dryers	330
Table 318. ENERGY STAR® Specifications for Residential Clothes Dryers	330
Table 319. Default Average Load for Clothes Dryers in Pounds	331
Table 320. ENERGY STAR® Clothes Dryer Coincidence Factors	332
Table 321. ENERGY STAR® Clothes Dryer Energy Savings (kWh/Year).....	332
Table 322. ENERGY STAR® Clothes Dryer Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	333
Table 323. ENERGY STAR® Clothes Dryer Winter Demand Savings (kW).....	333
Table 324. Residential ENERGY STAR® Clothes Dryers Revision History	334
Table 325. Federal Standard for Dishwashers	335
Table 326. ENERGY STAR® Specifications for Dishwashers.....	336
Table 327. ENERGY STAR® Dishwasher Coincidence Factors	338
Table 328. ENERGY STAR® Dishwasher Energy Savings	338
Table 329. ENERGY STAR® Dishwasher Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	338
Table 330. ENERGY STAR® Dishwasher Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	339
Table 331. Residential ENERGY STAR® Dishwashers Revision History.....	340
Table 332. ENERGY STAR® Specifications for Refrigerators.....	342
Table 333. Formulas to Calculate the ENERGY STAR® Criteria for Each Refrigerator Product Category by Adjusted Volume	343
Table 334. ENERGY STAR® Refrigerator Load Shape Adjustment Factors	344
Table 335. Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of Replaced Refrigerator	345
Table 336. ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators Energy Savings (kWh) by Refrigerator Type.....	348
Table 337. ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators Replace-on-Burnout Summer Demand Savings (kW) by Refrigerator Type.....	349
Table 338. ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators Early Retirement Summer Demand Savings (kW) by Refrigerator Type.....	350

Table 339. ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators Replace-on-burnout Winter Demand Savings (kW) by Refrigerator Type.....	351
Table 340. ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators Early Retirement Winter Demand Savings (kW) by Refrigerator Type.....	352
Table 341. Residential ENERGY STAR® Refrigerators Revision History	354
Table 342. ENERGY STAR® Specifications for Freezers	356
Table 343. Formulas to Calculate the ENERGY STAR® Criteria for Select Freezer Product Categories by Adjusted Volume	357
Table 344. ENERGY STAR® Freezer Load Shape Adjustment Factors	358
Table 345. Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of Replaced Freezer.....	359
Table 346. ENERGY STAR® Freezers Energy Savings (kWh) by Freezer Type.....	362
Table 347. ENERGY STAR® Freezers Replace-on-Burnout Summer Demand Savings (kW) by Freezer Type	363
Table 348. ENERGY STAR® Freezers Early Retirement Summer Demand Savings (kW) by Freezer Type	363
Table 349. ENERGY STAR® Freezers Replace-on-Burnout Winter Demand Savings (kW) by Freezer Type	364
Table 350. ENERGY STAR® Freezers Early Retirement Winter Demand Savings (kW) by Freezer Type	364
Table 351. Residential ENERGY STAR® Clothes Dryers Revision History	366
Table 352. Conventional Pool Pumps Assumptions	369
Table 353. ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps Assumptions.....	369
Table 354. Demand Factors	370
Table 355. ENERGY STAR® Variable Speed Pool Pump Energy Savings.....	370
Table 356. ENERGY STAR® Variable Speed Pool Pump Summer Demand Savings.....	370
Table 357. ENERGY STAR® Variable Speed Pool Pump Winter Demand Savings.....	371
Table 358. Residential ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps Revision History.....	372
Table 359. ENERGY STAR® Specifications for Air Purifiers	374
Table 360. ENERGY STAR® Air Purifiers Coincidence Factors.....	375
Table 361. ENERGY STAR® Air Purifiers Energy Savings (kWh).....	376
Table 362. ENERGY STAR® Air Purifiers Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	376
Table 363. ENERGY STAR® Air Purifiers Winter Peak Demand Savings (kW).....	376
Table 364. Residential ENERGY STAR® Air Purifiers Revision History.....	377
Table 365. APS – Peripheral Watt Consumption Breakdown.....	380
Table 366. APS—Coincidence Factors	383
Table 367. APS—Deemed Savings for Tier 1 Residential APS	385
Table 368. APS—Deemed Savings for Tier 2 Residential APS	387

Table 369. Residential ENERGY STAR® Advanced Power Strips Revision History	389
Table 370. EVSE Peak Load Share.....	392
Table 371. EVSE Annual Energy Savings	392
Table 372. EVSE Peak Demand Savings.....	393
Table 373. Residential ENERGY STAR® Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment Revision History	394
Table 374. Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling – Average Annual Energy Consumption.....	396
Table 375. Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling – Load Shape Adjustment Factors.....	397
Table 376. Residential Refrigerator/Freezer Recycling Revision History	399
Table 377: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 1.....	A-1
Table 378: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 1.....	A-2
Table 379: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 12.44 SEER Baseline—Zone 1.....	A-5
Table 380: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 1	A-8
Table 381: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1.....	A-11
Table 382: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1.....	A-14
Table 383: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1.....	A-17
Table 384: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1.....	A-20
Table 385: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 2.....	A-23
Table 386: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 2.....	A-23
Table 387: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 12.44 SEER Baseline—Zone 2.....	A-26
Table 388: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 2	A-29
Table 389: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2.....	A-32
Table 390: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2.....	A-35
Table 391: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2.....	A-38
Table 392: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2.....	A-41
Table 393: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 3.....	A-44
Table 394: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 3.....	A-44
Table 395: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 12.44 SEER Baseline—Zone 3.....	A-47
Table 396: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 3	A-50
Table 397: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3.....	A-53
Table 398: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3.....	A-56
Table 399: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3.....	A-59
Table 400: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3.....	A-62

Table 401: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 4	A-65
Table 402: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 4	A-65
Table 403: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 12.44 SEER Baseline—Zone 4	A-68
Table 404: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 4	A-71
Table 405: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	A-74
Table 406: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	A-77
Table 407: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	A-80
Table 408: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	A-83
Table 409: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 5	A-86
Table 410: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 5	A-86
Table 411: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 12.44 SEER Baseline—Zone 5	A-89
Table 412: Central Energy Savings (Cooling kWh) for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 5	A-92
Table 413: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	A-95
Table 414: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	A-98
Table 415: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	A-101
Table 416: Central Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	A-104
Table 417: Central Summer Demand Savings for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 1	A-107
Table 418: Central Summer Demand Savings for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 1	A-108
Table 419: Central Summer Demand Savings for 12.44 SEER Baseline—Zone 1	A-111
Table 420: Central Summer Demand Savings for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 1	A-114
Table 421: Central Summer Demand Savings for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 2	A-117
Table 422: Central Summer Demand Savings for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 2	A-117
Table 423: Central Summer Demand Savings for 12.44 SEER Baseline—Zone 2	A-120
Table 424: Central Summer Demand Savings for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 2	A-123
Table 425: Central Summer Demand Savings for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 3	A-126
Table 426: Central Summer Demand Savings for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 3	A-126
Table 427: Central Summer Demand Savings for 12.44 SEER Baseline—Zone 3	A-129
Table 428: Central Summer Demand Savings for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 3	A-132
Table 429: Central Summer Demand Savings for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 4	A-135
Table 430: Central Summer Demand Savings for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 4	A-135
Table 431: Central Summer Demand Savings for 12.44 SEER Baseline—Zone 4	A-138

Table 432: Central Summer Demand Savings for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 4	A-141
Table 433: Central Summer Demand Savings for 14.0 SEER Baseline—Zone 5	A-144
Table 434: Central Summer Demand Savings for 13.08 SEER Baseline—Zone 5	A-144
Table 435: Central Summer Demand Savings for 12.44 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 5	A-147
Table 436: Central Summer Demand Savings for 10.0 SEER Early Retirement Baseline—Zone 5	A-150
Table 437: Central Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	A-153
Table 438: Central Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	A-156
Table 439: Central Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	A-159
Table 440: Central Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	A-162
Table 441: Central Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	A-165
Table 442: Central Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	A-168
Table 443: Central Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	A-171
Table 444: Central Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	A-174
Table 445: Central Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3	A-177
Table 446: Central Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3	A-180
Table 447: Central Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3	A-183
Table 448: Central Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3	A-186
Table 449: Central Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	A-189
Table 450: Central Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	A-192
Table 451: Central Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	A-195
Table 452: Central Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	A-198
Table 453: Central Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	A-201
Table 454: Central Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	A-204
Table 455: Central Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	A-207
Table 456: Central Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	A-210
Table 457: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	B-1
Table 458: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	B-4
Table 459: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	B-7
Table 460: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	B-10
Table 461: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	B-13
Table 462: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	B-16
Table 463: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	B-22
Table 464: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3	B-25

Table 465: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3.....	B-28
Table 466: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3.....	B-31
Table 467: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3.....	B-34
Table 468: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4.....	B-37
Table 469: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4.....	B-40
Table 470: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4.....	B-43
Table 471: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4.....	B-46
Table 472: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5.....	B-49
Table 473: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5.....	B-52
Table 474: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5.....	B-55
Table 475: Mini-Split Energy Savings (Heating kWh) for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5.....	B-58
Table 476: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	B-62
Table 477: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	B-65
Table 478: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	B-68
Table 479: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 1	B-71
Table 480: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	B-74
Table 481: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	B-77
Table 482: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	B-80
Table 483: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 2	B-83
Table 484: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3	B-86
Table 485: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3	B-89
Table 486: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3	B-92
Table 487: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 3	B-95
Table 488: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	B-98
Table 489: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	B-101
Table 490: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	B-104
Table 491: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 4	B-107
Table 492: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 8.2 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	B-110
Table 493: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 7.7 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	B-113
Table 494: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 6.8 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	B-116
Table 495: Mini-Split Winter Demand Savings for 3.412 HSPF Baseline—Zone 5	B-119

Acknowledgments

The Texas Technical Reference Manual is maintained by the Public Utility Commission of Texas' independent evaluation, measurement, and verification (EM&V) team led by Tetra Tech. This version of the Texas Technical Reference Manual was primarily developed from program documentation and measure savings calculators used by the Texas Electric Utilities and their Energy Efficiency Services Providers (EESPs) to support their energy efficiency efforts, and original source material from petitions filed with the Public Utility Commission of Texas by the utilities, their consultants and EESPs such as Frontier Energy (TXu 1-904-705), ICF, CLEAResult, and Nexant. Portions of the Technical Reference Manual are copyrighted 2001-2017 by the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT), while other portions are copyrighted 2001-2018 by Frontier Energy. Certain technical content and updates were added by the EM&V team to provide further explanation and direction as well as a consistent structure and level of information.

TRM Technical Support

Technical support and questions can be emailed to the EM&V project manager, Lark.Lee@tetrattech.com, and the PUCT, Therese.Harris@puc.texas.gov.

1 INTRODUCTION

This volume of the TRM contains the deemed savings for residential measures that have been approved for use in Texas by the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUCT). This volume includes instructions regarding various savings calculators and reference sources of the information. The TRM serves as a centralized source of deemed savings values. Where appropriate, measurement and verification (M&V) methods by measure category are noted for informational purposes only regarding the basis of projected and claimed savings.

Table 1 provides an overview of the residential measures contained within this program year (PY) 2022 TRM 9.0 Volume 2 and the types of deemed savings estimates available for each one. There are five types of deemed savings estimates identified:

- *Point estimates* that provided a single deemed savings value correspond to a single measure or type of technology.
- *Deemed saving tables* that provide energy and peak savings as a function of size, capacity, building type, efficiency level, or other inputs.
- *Savings algorithms* that require specified primary inputs that must be gathered on site and the identification of default inputs where primary data could not be collected. In many cases, these algorithms are provided as references to deemed savings tables, point estimates, or calculator explanations.
- *Calculators* are used by different utilities and implementers to calculate energy savings for different measures. In many cases, there are several different calculators available for a single measure. Sometimes their background calculators are similar, and in other cases, estimates can vary greatly between each calculator.
- *M&V methods* are also used for some measures to calculate savings in the event that standard equipment is not used, or the specified building types do not apply. For some of these measures, both a simplified M&V approach and a full M&V approach may be allowed by the utility. M&V methods as a source of claimed and projected savings are noted for informational purposes only.

Please consult Volume 1: Overview and User Guide, Section 5: Structure and Content, for details on the organization of the measure templates presented in this volume.

Table 1. Residential Deemed Savings by Measure Category

Measure category	Measure description	Point estimates	Deemed savings tables	Savings algorithm	Calculator	M&V	9.0 update
Lighting	ENERGY STAR omni-directional LED lamps	–	–	X	–	–	Updated midstream methodology and added path for fixtures
	ENERGY STAR® specialty and directional LED lamps	–	–	X	–	–	Updated midstream methodology and added path for fixtures

Measure category	Measure description	Point estimates	Deemed savings tables	Savings algorithm	Calculator	M&V	9.0 update
HVAC	Air conditioner or heat pump tune-up	–	–	X	–	–	Updated EUL reference
	Duct sealing	–	–	X	–	X	Updated EUL reference
	Ground source heat pumps	–	X	X	–	–	Added clarifying language and updated algorithm units
	Central air conditioners and heat pumps	–	X	–	–	–	Updated early retirement age eligibility; clarified eligibility for systems where EER does not meet CEE Tier 0 requirements
	Mini-split air conditioners and heat pumps	–	X	–	–	–	Updated early retirement age eligibility; clarified eligibility for systems where EER does not meet CEE Tier 0 requirements
	Large capacity split system and single-package air conditioners and heat pumps	–	–	X	–	–	Updated baseline efficiency table to remove categories applicable to larger capacity ranges; added GSHP coincidence factors
	Packed terminal heat pumps	–	–	X	–	–	Clarified early retirement age eligibility; updated EUL reference
	Room air conditioners	–	–	X	–	–	Updated early retirement age eligibility; clarified eligibility for units with connected functionality
	ENERGY STAR® connected thermostats	–	X	–	–	–	Provided guidance about emergency heat settings and updated EUL reference; added clarification to prevent double counting of savings with the smart thermostat load management measure
	Smart thermostat load management	–	X	–	–	–	Added clarification to prevent double counting of savings with the smart thermostat load management measure
	Evaporative Cooling	–	X	–	–	–	Updated EUL reference

Measure category	Measure description	Point estimates	Deemed savings tables	Savings algorithm	Calculator	M&V	9.0 update
Building envelope	Air infiltration	–	X	–	–	X	Updated savings calculation example and EUL reference
	Ceiling insulation	–	X	–	–	–	Updated savings tables for < R-5 baseline category; clarified application of adjustment factors for space heating and cooling
	Attic encapsulation	–	X	–	–	–	Updated savings tables for < R-5 baseline category; clarified application of adjustment factors for space heating and cooling
	Wall insulation	–	X	–	–	–	No revision
	Floor insulation	–	X	–	–	–	No revision
	ENERGY STAR® windows	–	X	–	–	–	No revision
	ENERGY STAR® low-E storm windows		X	–	–	–	v9.0 origin
	Solar screens	–	X	–	–	–	Updated EUL reference
	Cool roofs	–	X	–	–	–	Updated EUL reference
Domestic water heating	Faucet aerators	–	–	X	–	–	Updated EUL reference
	Low-flow showerheads	–	–	X	–	–	Updated EUL reference
	Water heater pipe insulation	–	–	X	–	–	Updated EUL reference
	Water heater tank insulation	–	–	X	–	–	Updated EUL reference
	Water heater installation-electric tankless and fuel substitution	–	–	X	–	–	Updated EUL reference
	Heat pump water heaters	–	X	–	–	–	Clarified baseline condition. Confirmed ENERGY STAR® qualified product listing still does not contain a significant number of products with low or very small usage patterns
	Solar water heaters	–	X	–	–	–	Updated EUL reference

Measure category	Measure description	Point estimates	Deemed savings tables	Savings algorithm	Calculator	M&V	9.0 update
	Showerhead temperature sensitive restrictor valves	-	-	X	-	-	Updated EUL reference and restricted measure to electric DHW
	Tub spout and showerhead temperature-sensitive restrictor valves	-	-	X	-	-	Updated EUL reference and restricted measure to electric DHW
Appliances	ENERGY STAR® ceiling fans	-	-	X	-	-	No revision
	ENERGY STAR® clothes washers	-	X	-	-	-	General reference checks and text edits; updated deemed savings tables to match savings algorithms and ENERGY STAR® calculator
	ENERGY STAR® clothes dryers	-	X	-	-	-	No revision
	ENERGY STAR® dishwashers	-	X	-	-	-	No revision
	ENERGY STAR® refrigerators	-	-	X	-	X	Updated early retirement age eligibility
	ENERGY STAR® freezers	-	X	-	-	-	Updated early retirement age eligibility
	ENERGY STAR® pool pumps	-	-	X	-	-	Updated EUL reference and tracking requirements
	ENERGY STAR® Air purifiers	-	X	-	-	-	Updated EUL reference
	Advanced power strips	-	X	-	-	-	Updated savings with current coincidence factors
	ENERGY STAR® Electric vehicle supply equipment	-	X	-	-	-	Updated tracking requirements
	Refrigerator/ freezer recycling	X	-	X	-	-	No revision

2 RESIDENTIAL MEASURES

2.1 RESIDENTIAL: LIGHTING

2.1.1 ENERGY STAR® Omni-Directional LED Lamps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-LT-OD

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Lighting

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily; manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive and direct install

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure provides a method for calculating savings for the replacement of an incandescent lamp with an ENERGY STAR®-qualified omni-directional LED lamp¹ in a residential application. Using ANSI C79.1-2002 nomenclature, the applicable omni-directional LED lamp types are A, BT, P, PS, S, and T.²

Eligibility Criteria

Customer eligibility to be awarded these deemed savings is at the discretion of the utility for different program and customer types. See program-specific manuals to determine customer eligibility.

¹ According to ENERGY STAR® omni-directional LED products "...shall have an even distribution of luminous intensity (candelas) within the 0° to 135° zone (vertically axially symmetrical). Luminous intensity at any angle within this zone shall not differ from the mean luminous intensity for the entire 0° to 135° zone by more than 20 percent. At least 5 percent of total flux (lumens) must be emitted in the 135°-180° zone. Distribution shall be vertically symmetrical as measured in three vertical planes at 0°, 45°, and 90°."

http://www.energystar.gov/ia/partners/product_specs/program_reqs/Integral_LED_Lamps_Program_Requirements.pdf.

² ENERGY STAR®-qualified product listing: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

These savings values rely on usage patterns specific to both indoor and outdoor applications. In lieu of collecting lamp location, a default weighting of 90.5 percent indoor and 9.5 percent outdoor may be assumed.³

New homes must exceed the lighting equipment requirements of the current state building code (IECC 2015) to be eligible for prescriptive lighting savings.

Fixtures with integrated LEDs may be eligible under this measure using a modified baseline.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is assumed to be the first-tier Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (EISA)-mandated maximum wattage for a general service or standard incandescent or halogen lamp. Baseline wattages should be adjusted as EISA regulations dictate higher efficiency standards. A potential second-tier EISA baseline adjustment was scheduled to go into effect beginning January 2020. At that time, general service lamps would need to comply with a 45 lumen-per-watt efficacy standard. However, the Department of Energy (DOE) issued a definition for general service lamps on September 5, 2019, concluding that “no backstop energy conservation has been imposed.”⁴ Therefore, no additional baseline adjustment will be imposed starting in 2020. However, standard practice must also be considered in determining an appropriate baseline for this measure. To account for a rapidly changing market, measure life assumptions have been reduced as described later in this measure.

New construction applications use the same baselines; however, savings can only be claimed for efficient lighting installed above the minimum amount required by code. Current code dictates 75 percent high-efficacy lighting. Therefore, if 100 percent of installed lighting is high-efficacy, savings can be claimed for the remaining 25 percent of installed lamps.

Due to the variability among fixture types compared to screw-in lamps, qualified fixtures with integrated LEDs should use the rated installed wattage and equivalent wattage, or other approved custom methodology, in lieu of the deemed values outlined in Table 2. These wattages are available on the ENERGY STAR[®] certificate and can be used in combination with the deemed savings methodologies provided in this measure.

³ 2015 U.S. Lighting Market Characterization, Department of Energy. November 2017. Table 4.11. https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2017/12/f46/lmc2015_nov17.pdf.

⁴ “Energy Conservation Program: Definition for General Service Lamps”, Department of Energy. 9/5/2019. <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2019/09/05/2019-18940/energy-conservation-program-definition-for-general-service-lamps>.

Table 2. Omni-Directional LEDs—Baseline Wattage⁵

Minimum lumens	Maximum lumens	Incandescent equivalent wattage	1 st tier EISA 2007 (W_{Base}) ⁶	Default W_{Post} ⁷ (if unknown)
250	309	25	Exempt	3.5
310	749	40	29	5.5
750	1,049	60	43	9.0
1,050	1,489	75	53	11.5
1,490	2,600	100	72	15.0
2,601	3,300	150	Exempt ⁸	22.5

High-Efficiency Condition

LEDs must be ENERGY STAR®-qualified⁹ for the relevant lamp shape being installed as outlined in the latest ENERGY STAR® specification.¹⁰ Using ANSI C79.1-2002 nomenclature, the applicable omni-directional LED lamp types are A, BT, P, PS, S, and T.

The high-efficiency condition is the wattage of the replacement lamp.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Wattage reduction is defined as the difference between the wattage of a standard baseline lamp, according to EISA 2007 (see Table 2) and the wattage of a comparable omni-directional LED. An LED is considered comparable to the baseline lamp if they are aligned on the lumen output ranges set out in EISA 2007.

For new construction projects, programs should calculate savings using the methodology in this section for all efficient lamps installed in the home. The program should claim savings for the percentage of installed high-efficacy lamps that exceed the minimum required by code, which is currently 75 percent of lamps. For example, if a new home is built with high-efficacy lamps in 85 percent of the permanently installed fixtures, the program would claim 10 percent of the total calculated savings.

⁵ Federal standard for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs):

https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=20.

⁶ If exempt, refer to incandescent equivalent wattage.

⁷ Average rated wattage from ENERGY STAR®-qualified product listing rounded to nearest half-watt:

<https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

⁸ EISA 2007 specified that this lumen range category was subject to a forthcoming efficiency standard than has not yet been finalized.

⁹ ENERGY STAR®-qualified product listing: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

¹⁰ <http://www.energystar.gov/products/certified-products/detail/light-bulbs>.

Energy Savings

Annual energy (kWh) savings are calculated as follows.

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1000} \times Hours \times ISR \times IEF_E$$

Equation 1

Where:

- W_{post} = Baseline wattage corresponding with the lumen output of the purchased LED lamp for the year purchased/installed; reduced baselines are provided for EISA-compliant lamps in Table 2
- W_{post} = Actual wattage of LED purchased/installed (if unknown, use default wattages from Table 2)
- HOU = Average hours of use per year = 803 hours (for interior/exterior applications calculated based on an average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day¹¹)
- IEF_E = Interactive Effects Factor to account for cooling energy savings and heating energy penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 3)
- ISR = In-service Rate, the percentage of incentivized units that are installed and in use (rather than removed, stored, or burnt-out) to account for units incentivized but not operating = 0.97¹²

¹¹ The average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day is a blended value for indoor and outdoor lamps. Source: Evaluation of 2008 Texas 'Make Your Mark' Statewide CFL Program Report. Frontier Energy. June 2009.

¹² Dimetrosky, S., Parkinson, K. and Lieb, N., "Residential Lighting Evaluation Protocol – The Uniform Methods Project: Methods for Determining Energy Efficiency Savings for Specific Measures." January 2015. ISR for upstream programs, including storage lamps installed within four years of purchase. <http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/02/f19/UMPCchapter21-residential-lighting-evaluation-protocol.pdf>.

Table 3. Omni-Directional LEDs Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties¹³

IEF _E					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate zone 1	Climate zone 2	Climate zone 3	Climate zone 4	Climate zone 5
Gas heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	0.91	1.00	1.05	1.11	0.97
Electric resistance heat with AC	0.65	0.80	0.90	1.00	0.75
Electric resistance heat with no AC	0.57	0.69	0.76	0.83	0.65
No heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ¹⁴	0.88	0.98	1.04	1.07	0.95

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

Demand Savings

Summer and winter demand savings are determined by applying a coincidence factor associated with each season.

$$\Delta kW_{summer} = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1000} \times CF_{summer} \times ISR \times IEF_{D,summer}$$

Equation 2

$$\Delta kW_{winter} = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1000} \times CF_{winter} \times ISR \times IEF_{D,winter}$$

Equation 3

¹³ Extracted from BEopt energy models used to estimate savings for envelope measures. Referencing the EISA baseline table, the typical lumen output was determined by taking the midpoint for the 60-watt equivalent lamp (900 lm), which was assumed to be the most typical installation. The resulting lumens were divided by the default wattage for incandescents (43 W), CFLs (13 W), and LEDs (10 W) resulting in an assumed efficacy for incandescents (21 lm/W), CFLs (70 lm/W), and LEDs (90 lm/W). IEF values were calculated using the following formula: $1 + \text{HVAC}_{savings} / \text{Lighting}_{savings}$.

¹⁴ Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Energy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

Where:

CF = Coincidence Factor (see Table 4)

IEF_D = Interactive Effects Factor to account for cooling demand savings or heating demand penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 5)

Table 4. Omni-Directional LEDs —Coincidence Factors¹⁵

Season	Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	Climate Zone 2: Dallas	Climate Zone 3: Houston	Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate Zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.060	0.053	0.063	0.059	0.032
Winter	0.275	0.232	0.199	0.263	0.358

Table 5. Omni-Directional LEDs—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties¹⁶

$IEF_{D,summer}$					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate zone 1	Climate zone 2	Climate zone 3	Climate zone 4	Climate zone 5
Gas heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric resistance heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric resistance heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
No heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ¹⁷	1.39	1.28	1.58	1.20	1.38

¹⁵ See Volume 1.

¹⁶ Refer to Table 3.

¹⁷ Ibid.

IEF _{D,winter}					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate zone 1	Climate zone 2	Climate zone 3	Climate zone 4	Climate zone 5
Gas heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Gas heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat pump	0.71	0.67	0.65	0.74	0.81
Electric resistance heat with AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
Electric resistance heat with no AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
No heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Unconditioned space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/cooling unknown ¹⁸	0.76	0.72	0.73	0.75	0.80

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

Upstream/Midstream Program Assumptions

All general service lamps with an equivalent wattage of 100 W or lower distributed through upstream or midstream programs should calculate savings using a combination of residential and non-residential savings methodologies with 95 percent of savings allocated to the residential sector and the remaining five percent of savings allocated to the commercial sector.¹⁹ While only summer demand savings are specified for the commercial sector, winter demand savings are allowed for the portion of savings allocated to the residential sector.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

¹⁸ Ibid.

¹⁹ Weighting assumptions based on statewide evaluator review of LED purchasing behavior for similar program designs as referenced in the 2018 EM&V Upstream Lighting memo.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

Historically, the average measure life is based upon the rated lamp life of the LED. The measure life assumes an average use of 2.2 hours per day based on blended usage for indoor/outdoor applications and applies a 0.85 degradation factor to indoor/outdoor LEDs.

$$EUL_{Total} = \frac{Rated\ Life \times DF}{HOU \times 365.25}$$

Equation 4

Where:

<i>Rated Life</i>	=	10,000 hours, 12,000 hours, 15,000 hours, or 20,000 hours, as specified by the manufacture; if unknown, assume a 10,000-hour lifetime ²⁰
<i>DF</i>	=	0.85 degradation factor ²¹
<i>HOU</i>	=	2.2 hours per day ²²

However, to account for a rapidly changing market, standard practice dictates that measure life assumptions be reduced to approximate the point at which the residential lighting market has been fully transformed to high-efficiency lamps. Due to market uncertainty in response to a recent rule issued by the Department of Energy, a simplified approach to claim half of the more conservative 16-year EUL will be implemented during the 2020 program year, resulting in an 8-year EUL. This assumption will be reviewed annually to account for current market trends.

Based on an expected delay in market adoption among certain customer bases, this measure life will be extended to 10 years for programs targeting low-income and hard-to-reach customers.

²⁰ Minimum lifetime requirement under ENERGY STAR® Lamps Specification V2.1, effective January 2, 2017.
<https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification.pdf>.

²¹ ENERGY STAR® CFL Third Party Testing and Verification Off-the-Shelf CFL Performance: Batch 3. Figure 27, p. 47.

²² The average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day is a blended value for indoor and outdoor lamps. Source: Evaluation of 2008 Texas 'Make Your Mark' Statewide CFL Program Report. Frontier Energy. June 2009.

Table 6. ENERGY STAR® Omni-Directional LEDs—Estimated Useful Life

Range of rated measure life (hours)	Assumed rated measure life (hours)	Rated product lifetime (years)	Standard baseline measure life (years)	Low-income baseline measure life (years)
≤ 17,500	15,000	16	8	10
> 17,500	20,000	20*	8	10

* Measure life capped at 10 years. EUL may be deemed at 8 years in lieu of documenting the customer baseline.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone
- Number of LEDs installed
- Wattage of each replacement LED
- Lumen output of each replacement LED
- Manufacturer-rated lifetime of each replacement LED in hours
- Heating system type (gas, electric resistance, heat pump)
- Cooling system type (air conditioner, evaporative, none)
- Location of replacement lamp (conditioned, unconditioned, or outdoor); only required when not assuming default weighting
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
- Alternative: representative photos of replacement units or another pre-approved method of installation verification
- ENERGY STAR® certificate matching replacement model number
- Alternative: another pre-approved method of certification (e.g., LM-79, LM-80, TM-21 ISTMT lap reports)
- For new construction projects only, these data points must be gathered for all permanently installed fixtures in the home to document the percentage that are high-efficacy.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007
- ENERGY STAR® specifications for LED lamps

Document Revision History

Table 7. Residential Omni-Directional LED Lamps Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 origin.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Modification of in-service rate, revision of interactive effects factors to reflect indoor-specific values for additional heating and cooling equipment types. Provided default input assumptions for upstream lighting programs. Capped estimated measure life.
v3.1	3/28/2016	TRM v3.1 March revision. Updated summer and winter coincidence factors.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated IEF values and useful life estimates.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated EUL algorithm to account for baseline change beginning in 2021. Included language to deem EUL.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Updated useful life estimates. Updated interactive effects factors.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Removed dual baseline and updated useful life estimates. Added invoice and certificate requirements. Added option for new construction savings.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Defined midstream methodology and clarified default wattages by lumen range.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated midstream methodology and added path for fixtures.

2.1.2 ENERGY STAR® Specialty and Directional LED Lamps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-LT-SD

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Lighting

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive and direct install

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure provides a method for calculating savings for replacement of an incandescent or halogen reflector or decorative lamp with an ENERGY STAR®-qualified LED lamp in a residential application. These lamps include reflectors, G-shape lamps, T-shape lamps, B, BA, CA, F G16-1/2, G25, G30, S, or M14 lamps.²³

Eligibility Criteria

Customer eligibility to be awarded these deemed savings is at the discretion of the utility for different program and customer types. See program-specific manuals to determine customer eligibility.

These savings values rely on usage patterns specific to both indoor and outdoor applications. In lieu of collecting lamp location, a default weighting of 90.5 percent indoor and 9.5 percent outdoor may be assumed.²⁴

New homes must exceed the lighting equipment requirements of the current state building code (IECC 2015) to be eligible for prescriptive lighting savings.

Fixtures with integrated LEDs may be eligible under this measure using a modified baseline.

²³ <http://www.energystar.gov/products/certified-products/detail/light-bulbs>.

²⁴ 2015 U.S. Lighting Market Characterization, Department of Energy, November 2017. Table 4.11. https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2017/12/f46/lmc2015_nov17.pdf.

Baseline Condition

The baseline wattage will be determined based on the bulb shape of the installed lamp, as outlined below. New construction applications use the same baselines; however, savings can only be claimed for efficient lighting installed above the minimum amount required by code. Current code dictates 75 percent high-efficacy lighting. Therefore, if 100 percent of installed lighting is high-efficacy, savings can be claimed for the remaining 25 percent of installed lamps.

Due to the variability among fixture types compared to screw-in lamps, qualified fixtures with integrated LEDs should use the rated installed wattage and equivalent wattage, or other approved custom methodology, in lieu of the deemed values outlined in this section. These wattages are available on the ENERGY STAR® certificate and can be used in combination with the deemed savings methodologies provided in this measure.

Some baseline conditions for specialty LEDs are affected by EISA and/or a DOE 2009 ruling on incandescent reflector lamps (IRLs). Based on the shape, lumen output, and/or wattage-equivalent of the installed lamp, the appropriate baseline shall be determined from one of the following categories. Appropriate baseline wattages are presented in Table 8 through Table 10. If a baseline cannot be determined using these tables, the following guidelines may be used to determine appropriate default baseline wattage:

- Non-reflector lamps, affected by EISA 2007: using the rated lumen output of the installed LED, determine the appropriate first-tier EISA baseline default wattage from Table 8
- Non-reflector lamps, not affected by EISA 2007: ENERGY STAR® rated equivalent wattage of installed LED
- Reflector lamps affected by the DOE ruling in 2009 on IRLs: using the rated lumen output of the installed LED, determine the appropriate first-tier EISA baseline default wattage from Table 10
- Reflector lamps not affected by the DOE ruling in 2009 on IRLs: ENERGY STAR® rated equivalent wattage of installed LED

EISA Standards: Baseline for Non-Reflector Lamps

EISA-Affected²⁵

EISA-affected bulbs are:

- G-shape lamps with a diameter less than 5 inches
- T-shape lamps greater than 40 watts or with a length of 10 inches or less
- B, BA, CA, F G16-1/2, G25, G30, S, or M14 lamps greater than 40 watts

²⁵ Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, Subtitle B – Lighting Energy Efficiency, Section 321 Efficient Light Bulbs. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/BILLS-110hr6enr/pdf/BILLS-110hr6enr.pdf>.

Table 8. Specialty/Directional LEDs—General Service Non-Reflector Baseline Wattage²⁶

Minimum lumens	Maximum lumens	Incandescent equivalent wattage	1 st tier EISA 2007 (W_{Base}) ²⁷	Default W_{Post} ²⁸ (if unknown)
250	309	25	Exempt	3.5
310	749	40	29	5.5
750	1,049	60	43	9.0
1,050	1,489	75	53	11.5
1,490	2,600	100	72	15.0
2,601	3,300	150	Exempt ²⁹	22.5

EISA-Exempt³⁰

EISA-exempt bulbs are:

- Appliance lamps, black light lamps, bug lamps, colored lamps, infrared lamps, left-hand thread lamps, marine lamps, marine signal service lamps, mine service lamps, plant light lamps, reflector lamps, rough service lamps, shatter-resistant lamps, sign service lamps, silver bowl lamps, showcase lamps, 3-way incandescent lamps, and vibration service lamps
- G-shape lamp with a diameter of 5 inches or more
- T-shape lamp of 40 watts or less or a length of more than 10 inches
- B, BA, CA, F, G16-1/2, G25, G30, S, or M14 lamp of 40 watts or less³¹

²⁶ Federal standard for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs): https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=20.

²⁷ If exempt, refer to incandescent equivalent wattage.

²⁸ Average rated wattage from ENERGY STAR®-qualified product listing rounded to nearest half-watt: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

²⁹ EISA 2007 specified that this lumen range category was subject to a forthcoming efficiency standard than has not yet been finalized.

³⁰ Energy Independence and Security act of 2007 (EISA 2007), <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/PLAW-110publ140/pdf/PLAW-110publ140.pdf>.

³¹ <https://www.lightingfacts.com/Library/Content/FAQs/EISA>.

Table 9. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Decorative Non-Reflector Baseline Wattage³²

Lamp Type	Minimum Lumens	Maximum Lumens	W _{Base}	Default W _{Post} ³³ (if unknown)
3-Way	250	349	25	3.0
	350	499	40	5.0
	500	849	60 ³⁴	8.0
	850	1,199	75 ³⁵	10.5
	1,200	1,999	100	14.5
	2,000	--	150	22.0
G-shape with diameter ≥ 5 inches ³⁶	--	--	--	--
Decorative (B, BA, CA, F, S, T)	120	159	15 ³⁷	1.5
	160	299	25	2.5
	300	499	40	4.5
	500	--	60	5.5

DOE Standards for Incandescent Reflector Lamps (IRLs): Baseline for Reflector Lamps

DOE-Ruling-Affected³⁸

Certain types of incandescent reflector bulbs are affected by a DOE 2009 ruling on reflector lamps. Products affected by the IRL ruling are:

- R, PAR, ER, BR, BPAR lamps
- BR and ER lamps rated at more than 50 watts
- Reflector lamps between 2.25" (R18) and 2.75" (R22) in diameter
- 40–205-Watt incandescent PAR lamps

³² Federal standard for General Service Incandescent Lamps (GSILs): https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=20.

³³ Average rated wattage from ENERGY STAR®-qualified product listing rounded to nearest half-watt: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

³⁴ No products for this equivalent wattage are available on the ENERGY STAR®-qualified product listing. Lumen range for this row is split evenly and default wattage is interpolated between the available categories.

³⁵ Ibid.

³⁶ G-shape lamps are not included because there were very few ENERGY STAR®-qualified products with a diameter of 5 inches or more. For these products, use the equivalent and rated wattages from the ENERGY STAR® certification.

³⁷ The 15-watt equivalent category only applies to lamps with a candelabra base.

³⁸ Federal standard for Incandescent Reflector Lamps (IRLs): https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=23.

The baseline wattage can be determined according to the lumen range of the installed lamp (see Table 10).

DOE-Ruling-Exempt³⁹

The DOE 2009 ruling standards do not apply to the following types of IRLs:

- IRLs rated at 50 watts or less that are ER30, BR30, BR40, or ER40 lamps
- IRLs rated at 65 watts that are BR30, BR40, or ER40 lamps
- R20 IRLs rated 45 watts or less.

Table 10. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Reflector Baseline Wattage⁴⁰

Lamp type ⁴¹	Minimum lumens	Maximum lumens	W _{Base}	Default W _{Post} ⁴² (if unknown)
BR30	500	649	50	7.5
	650	949	65	9.0
	950	1,099	75	12.5
	1,100	1,199	85	12.5
	1,200	1,399	90	16.5
	1,400	--	100	18.0
BR40	650	924	65	10.0
	925	1,099	75	12.5
	1,100	1,299	85	14.0
	1,300	1,399	90	15.5
	1,400	1,749	100	17.0
	1,750	2,174	120	22.0
	2,175	--	150	22.0
MR16/ MRX16	250	349	20	4.5
	350	449	35	6.0
	450	499	42	6.5
	500	574	50	7.0
	575	--	75	8.5

³⁹ Ibid.

⁴⁰ Federal standard for Incandescent Reflector Lamps (IRLs): https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=23.

⁴¹ Lamp types excluded from this table were not included on the ENERGY STAR®-qualified product listing. For missing lamp types, refer to the equivalent and rated wattages from the ENERGY STAR® certification.

⁴² Average rated wattage from ENERGY STAR®-qualified product listing rounded to nearest half-watt: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

Lamp type ⁴¹	Minimum lumens	Maximum lumens	W _{Base}	Default W _{Post} ⁴² (if unknown)
PAR16	250	349	20	3.5
	350	424	35	4.5
	425	499	40	5.5
	500	574	45	5.5
	575	649	50	6.5
	650	749	60	6.5
	750	--	75	7.5
PAR20	400	800	50	7.0
PAR30/ PAR30L/ PAR30S	500	899	50	11.5
	900	--	75	11.5
PAR38	500	649	45	7.0
	650	799	75	14.0
	800	999	90	14.0
	1,000	1,499	100	14.5
	1,500	1,999	120	16.0
	2,000	--	150	19.0
R14	250	299	25	4.0
R16	300	399	30	4.0
	400	474	40	5.5
	475	549	45	6.0
	550	--	50	7.0
R20	300	449	30	4.5
	450	524	45	6.0
	525	649	50	7.0
	650	899	60	9.0
	900	1,399	75	12.5
	1,400	--	100	14.0

High- Efficiency Condition

LEDs must be ENERGY STAR®-qualified⁴³ for the relevant lamp shape being removed as outlined in the latest ENERGY STAR® specification.⁴⁴ These lamps include reflectors, G-shape lamps, T-shape lamps, B, BA, CA, F G16-1/2, G25, G30, S, or M14 lamps.

The high-efficiency condition is the wattage of the replacement lamp.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Wattage reduction is defined as the difference between the wattage of a specialty baseline lamp and the wattage of a directional or specialty LED.

For new construction projects, programs should calculate savings using the methodology in this section for all efficient lamps installed in the home. The program should claim savings for the percentage of installed high-efficacy lamps that exceed the minimum required by code, which is currently 75 percent of lamps. For example, if a new home is built with high-efficacy lamps in 85 percent of the permanently installed fixtures, the program would claim 10 percent of the total calculated savings.

Energy Savings Algorithms

For EISA-affected lamps only, annual energy (kWh) savings are calculated as follows,

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(W_{base,FT} - W_{post})}{1000} \times HOU \times ISR \times IEF_E$$

Equation 5

For EISA-exempt lamps and reflectors (both DOE-ruling-exempt and DOE-ruling-affected), annual energy (kWh) savings are calculated as follows.

$$\Delta kWh = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1000} \times HOU \times ISR \times IEF_E$$

Equation 6

⁴³ ENERGY STAR®-qualified product listing: <https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-light-bulbs/results>.

⁴⁴ ENERGY STAR® specification: <http://www.energystar.gov/products/certified-products/detail/light-bulbs>.

Where:

$W_{base,FT}$	=	<i>First-tier EISA baseline wattage corresponding with the lumen output of the purchased LED lamp for the year purchased/installed; first-tier EISA baseline lamp wattage is provided in Table 8</i>
W_{base}	=	<i>EISA-exempt specialty lamp or a DOE-ruling-exempt reflector, use the appropriate baseline wattage from Table 9 and Table 10; if a DOE-ruling-affected IRL, use the wattages provided in Table 10</i>
W_{post}	=	<i>Actual wattage of LED purchased/installed</i>
HOU	=	<i>Average hours of use per year = 803 hours (for interior/exterior applications calculated based on an average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day⁴⁵)</i>
IEF_E	=	<i>Interactive Effects Factor to account for cooling energy savings and heating energy penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 11)</i>
ISR	=	<i>In-service Rate, the percentage of incentivized units that are installed and in use (rather than removed, stored or burnt-out) to account for units incentivized but not operating = 0.97⁴⁶</i>

⁴⁵ The average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day is a blended value for indoor and outdoor lamps. Source: Evaluation of 2008 Texas 'Make Your Mark' Statewide CFL Program Report. Frontier Energy (formerly Associates). June 2009.

⁴⁶ Dimetrosky, S., Parkinson, K. and Lieb, N. "Residential Lighting Evaluation Protocol – The Uniform Methods Project: Methods for Determining Energy Efficiency Savings for Specific Measures." January 2015. ISR for upstream programs, including storage lamps installed within four years of purchase. <http://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2015/02/f19/UMPCChapter21-residential-lighting-evaluation-protocol.pdf>.

Table 11. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Interactive Effects for Cooling Energy Savings and Heating Energy Penalties⁴⁷

Heating/cooling type*	IEF _E				
	Climate zone 1	Climate zone 2	Climate zone 3	Climate zone 4	Climate zone 5
Gas Heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12
Gas Heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat Pump	0.91	1.00	1.05	1.11	0.97
Electric Resistance Heat with AC	0.65	0.80	0.90	1.00	0.75
Electric Resistance Heat with no AC	0.57	0.69	0.76	0.83	0.65
No heat with AC	1.06	1.13	1.17	1.15	1.12
Unconditioned Space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/Cooling Unknown ⁴⁸	0.88	0.98	1.04	1.07	0.95

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

Demand Savings Algorithms

Summer and winter demand savings are determined by applying a coincidence factor associated with each season.

$$\Delta kW_{summer} = \frac{(W_{base,FT} - W_{post})}{1000} \times CF_{summer} \times ISR \times IEF_{D,summer}$$

Equation 7

$$\Delta kW_{winter} = \frac{(W_{base,FT} - W_{post})}{1000} \times CF_{winter} \times ISR \times IEF_{D,winter}$$

Equation 8

⁴⁷ Extracted from BEopt energy models used to estimate savings for envelope measures. Referencing the EISA baseline table, the typical lumen output was determined by taking the midpoint for the 60-watt equivalent lamp (900 lm), which was assumed to be the most typical installation. The resulting lumens were divided by the default wattage for incandescents (43 W), CFLs (13 W), and LEDs (10 W) resulting in an assumed efficacy for incandescents (21 lm/W), CFLs (70 lm/W), and LEDs (90 lm/W). IEF values were calculated using the following formula: $1 + \text{HVAC}_{savings} / \text{Lighting}_{savings}$.

⁴⁸ Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Energy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

For EISA-exempt lamps and reflectors (both DOE-ruling-exempt and DOE-ruling-affected), peak demand (kW) savings are not calculated using the two-tiered system. Instead, peak demand (kW) savings are calculated using one algorithm, depending on the season of the savings.

$$\Delta kW_{summer} = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1000} \times CF_{summer} \times ISR \times IEF_{D,summer}$$

Equation 9

$$\Delta kW_{winter} = \frac{(W_{base} - W_{post})}{1000} \times CF_{winter} \times ISR \times IEF_{D,winter}$$

Equation 10

Where:

CF = Coincidence Factor (Table 12)

IEF_D = Interactive Effects Factor to account for cooling demand savings or heating demand penalties associated with lighting power reductions (see Table 13)

Table 12. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Coincidence Factors⁴⁹

Season	Climate zone 1: Amarillo	Climate zone 2: Dallas	Climate zone 3: Houston	Climate zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.060	0.053	0.063	0.059	0.032
Winter	0.275	0.232	0.199	0.263	0.358

Table 13. Specialty and Directional LEDs—Interactive Effects Factor for Cooling Demand Savings and Heating Demand Penalties⁵⁰

Heating/cooling type*	IEF _{D,summer}				
	Climate zone 1	Climate zone 2	Climate zone 3	Climate zone 4	Climate zone 5
Gas Heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Gas Heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat Pump	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric Resistance Heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Electric Resistance Heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
No heat with AC	1.45	1.33	1.68	1.23	1.44
Unconditioned Space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/Cooling Unknown ⁵¹	1.39	1.28	1.58	1.20	1.38

⁴⁹ See Volume 1

⁵⁰ Refer to Table 11.

⁵¹ Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Energy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

IEF _{D,winter}					
Heating/cooling type*	Climate zone 1	Climate zone 2	Climate zone 3	Climate zone 4	Climate zone 5
Gas Heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Gas Heat with no AC	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heat Pump	0.71	0.67	0.65	0.74	0.81
Electric Resistance Heat with AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
Electric Resistance Heat with no AC	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.42	0.52
No heat with AC	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98
Unconditioned Space	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Heating/Cooling Unknown ⁵²	0.76	0.72	0.73	0.75	0.80

* IEF for homes with no AC is most appropriate for customers with evaporative cooling or room air conditioners.

Upstream/Midstream Program Assumptions

All general service, decorative, and reflector lamps with an equivalent wattage of 100 W or lower distributed through upstream or midstream programs should calculate savings using a combination of residential and non-residential savings methodologies with 95 percent of savings allocated to the residential sector and the remaining five percent of savings allocated to the commercial sector.⁵³ While only summer demand savings are specified for the commercial sector, winter demand savings are allowed for the portion of savings allocated to the residential sector.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

⁵² Calculated using IEFs from Cadmus report, weighted using TMY CDD and HDD for Texas, and adjusted to exclude 16 percent outdoor lighting except for upstream defaults. Cadmus report: Cadmus. Entergy Energy-Efficiency Portfolio Evaluation Report 2013 Program Year. Prepared for Entergy Arkansas, Inc. March 14, 2014. Docket No. 07-082-TF.

⁵³ Weighting assumptions based on statewide evaluator review of LED purchasing behavior for similar program designs as referenced in the 2018 EM&V upstream lighting memo.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

Historically, the average measure life is based upon rated lamp life of the LED. The measure life assumes an average use of 2.2 hours per day based on blended usage for indoor/outdoor applications and applies a 0.85 degradation factor to indoor/outdoor LEDs.

$$EUL_{Total} = \frac{Rated\ Life \times DF}{HOU \times 365.25}$$

Equation 11

Where:

<i>Rated Life</i>	=	10,000 hours, 12,000 hours, 15,000 hours, or 20,000 hours, as specified by the manufacturer; if unknown, assume a 10,000-hour lifetime ⁵⁴
<i>DF</i>	=	0.85 degradation factor ⁵⁵
<i>HOU</i>	=	2.2 hours per day ⁵⁶

EISA Compliant Lamps

To account for a rapidly changing market, standard practice dictates that measure life assumptions be reduced to approximate the point at which the residential lighting market has been fully transformed to high-efficiency lamps. Due to market uncertainty in response to a recent rule issued by the Department of Energy, a simplified approach to claim half of the more conservative 16-year EUL will be implemented during the 2020 program year, resulting in an 8-year EUL. This assumption will be reviewed annually to account for current market trends.

Based on an expected delay in market adoption among certain customer bases, this measure life will be extended to 10 years for programs targeting low-income and hard-to-reach customers.

These reductions do not apply to specialty lamps.

⁵⁴ Minimum lifetime requirement under ENERGY STAR® Lamps Specification V2.1, effective January 2, 2017.
<https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Lamps%20V2.1%20Final%20Specification.pdf>.

⁵⁵ ENERGY STAR® CFL Third Party Testing and Verification Off-the-Shelf CFL Performance: Batch 3. Figure 27, p. 47.

⁵⁶ The average daily usage of 2.2 hours per day is a blended value for indoor and outdoor lamps. Source: Evaluation of 2008 Texas 'Make Your Mark' Statewide CFL Program Report. Frontier Energy (formerly Associates). June 2009.

Table 14. Specialty/Directional LEDs—Estimated Useful Life

Range of Rated Measure Life (Hours)	Assumed Rated Measure Life (Hours)	Specialty Measure Life (Years)	If EISA Compliant:	
			Standard Baseline Measure Life (Years)	Low-income Baseline Measure Life (Years)
≤ 17,500	15,000	16	8	10
> 17,500	20,000	20*	8	10

* Measure life capped at 20 or 10 years depending on the applicable baseline. EUL may be deemed at 16 or 8 years in lieu of collecting manufacturer rated life or documenting customer baseline for EISA compliant lamps.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone
- Number of LEDs installed
- ANSI C79.1-2002 nomenclature of LED installed (G40, PAR, etc.)
- Baseline and rated wattages of each replacement LED
- Lumen output of each replacement LED
- Manufacturer-rated lifetime of each replacement LED in hours
- Heating system type (gas, electric resistance, heat pump)
- Cooling system type (air conditioner, evaporative cooler, none)
- Location of installed lamp (conditioned, unconditioned, or outdoor); only required when not assuming default weighting
- Baseline calculation methodology (EISA-affected non-reflector, EISA-exempt non-reflector, DOE-ruling-affected reflector, DOE-ruling-exempt reflector, manufacturer-rated equivalent incandescent wattage, or default wattage)
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: representative photos of installed units or other pre-approved method of installation verification
- ENERGY STAR® certificate matching replacement model number
 - Alternative: other pre-approved method of certification (e.g., LM-79, LM-80, TM-21 ISTMT lap reports)
- For new construction projects only, these data points must be gathered for all permanently installed fixtures in the home to document the percentage that are high-efficacy.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007
- Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards and Test Procedures for General Service Fluorescent Lamps and Incandescent Reflector Lamps, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Office (EERE), 2009
- ENERGY STAR® specifications for LED lamps

Document Revision History

Table 15. Residential Specialty and Directional LED Lamps Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 origin.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Modification of in-service rate, revision of interactive effects factors to reflect indoor-specific values for additional heating and cooling equipment types. Consolidated default input assumptions for upstream lighting programs. Capped estimated measure life.
v3.1	3/28/2016	TRM v3.1 March revision. Updated summer and winter coincidence factors.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated IEF values.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated useful life estimates.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Updated useful life estimates. Updated interactive effects factors.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Removed dual baseline and updated useful life estimates. Added invoice and certificate requirements. Added option for new construction savings.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Defined midstream methodology and clarified default wattages by lumen range. Updated specialty lamps baselines.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated midstream methodology and added path for fixtures.

2.2 RESIDENTIAL: HEATING, VENTILATION, AND AIR CONDITIONING

2.2.1 Air Conditioner and Heat Pump Tune-Ups Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-TU

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to central air conditioners (AC) and heat pumps (HP) of any configuration where all applicable actions from the checklist below are completed. An AC tune-up involves checking, cleaning, adjusting, and resetting the equipment to factory conditions to restore operating efficiencies, on average, closer to as-new performance. This measure applies to all residential applications.

For this measure, the service technician must complete the following tasks according to industry best practices. To properly assess and adjust the refrigerant charge level, the unit must be operating under significant (normal) cooling load conditions. Therefore, this measure may only be performed for energy savings reporting purposes when the outdoor ambient dry bulb temperature is above 75°F, and the indoor return air dry bulb temperature is above 70°F.

Air conditioner inspection and tune-up checklist:⁵⁷

- Tighten all electrical connections, measure motor voltage and current
- Lubricate all moving parts, including motor and fan bearings
- Inspect and clean the condensate drain
- Inspect controls of the system to ensure proper and safe operation. Check the startup/shutdown cycle of the equipment to assure the system starts, operates, and shuts off properly.
- Clean evaporator and condenser coils

⁵⁷ Based on ENERGY STAR® HVAC Maintenance Checklist.
www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?c=heat_cool.pr_maintenance.

- Clean indoor blower fan components
- Inspect and clean or change air filters; replacement preferred best practice
- Measure airflow via static pressure across the cooling coil and adjust to manufacturers specifications
- Check refrigerant level and adjust to manufacturer specifications
- Check capacitor functionality and capacitance; compare to OEM specifications

Eligibility Criteria

All residential customers are eligible for this measure if they have refrigerated air conditioning 65,000 Btu/hr or less in cooling capacity that has not been serviced in the last 5 years.

Baseline Condition

The baseline is a system with some or all of the following issues:

- Dirty condenser coil
- Dirty evaporator coil
- Dirty blower wheel
- Dirty filter
- Improper airflow
- Incorrect refrigerant charge

The baseline system efficiency should be calculated using the following formulas:

$$EER_{pre} = (1 - EL) \times EER_{post}$$

Equation 12

$$HSPF_{pre} = (1 - EL) \times HSPF_{post}$$

Equation 13

Where:

EER_{pre}	=	Efficiency of the cooling equipment before tune-up
EL	=	Efficiency loss due to dirty coils, blower, filter, improper airflow, and/or incorrect refrigerant charge = 0.05
EER_{post}	=	Deemed cooling efficiency of the equipment after tune-up = 11.2 EER
$HSPF_{pre}$	=	Heating efficiency of the air source heat pump before tune-up
$HSPF_{post}$	=	Deemed heating efficiency of air source heat pumps after tune-up = 7.7 HSPF

High-Efficiency Condition

After the tune-up, the equipment must be clean with airflows and refrigerant charges adjusted as appropriate and set forth above, with the added specification that refrigerant charge adjustments must be within +/- 3 degrees of target sub-cooling for units with thermal expansion valves (TXV) and +/- 5 degrees of target super heat for units with fixed orifices or capillary tubes.

The efficiency standard, or efficiency after the tune-up, is deemed to be the manufacturer specified energy efficiency ratio (EER) of the existing central air conditioner or heat pump, which has been determined using the following logic and standards. The useful life of an AC unit is 19 years. The useful life of a heat pump is 16 years. Therefore, it is conservatively thought that the majority of existing, functioning units were installed under the federal standard in place between January 23, 2006 and January 1, 2015, which set a baseline of 13 SEER and 7.7 HSPF⁵⁸. A 13 SEER is equivalent to approximately 11.2 EER⁵⁹ using the conversion developed by Lawrence Berkeley Lab and US DOE: $EER = -0.02 \times SEER^2 + 1.12 \times SEER$.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Savings are based on an assumed efficiency loss factor of 5 percent due to dirty coils, dirty filters, improper airflow, and/or incorrect refrigerant charge.⁶⁰

Energy Savings Algorithms

Heating energy savings are only applicable to heat pumps.

$$\text{Energy Savings } [kWh_{savings}] = kWh_{savings,C} + kWh_{savings,H}$$

Equation 14

$$\text{Energy (Cooling)} [kWh_{savings,C}] = Capacity_C \times \left(\frac{1}{EER_{pre}} - \frac{1}{EER_{post}} \right) \times EFLH_C \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}}$$

Equation 15

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Energy (Heating)} [kWh_{savings,H}] \\ = Capacity_H \times \left(\frac{1}{HSPF_{pre}} - \frac{1}{HSPF_{post}} \right) \times EFLH_H \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}} \end{aligned}$$

Equation 16

⁵⁸ Code specified HSPF from federal standard effective January 23, 2006 through January 1, 2015.

⁵⁹ Code specified 13 SEER from federal standard effective January 23, 2006 through January 1, 2015, converted to EER using $EER = -0.02 \times SEER^2 + 1.12 \times SEER$. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). "Building America House Simulation Protocols." U.S. Department of Energy. Revised October 2010. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy11osti/49246.pdf>.

⁶⁰ Energy Center of Wisconsin, May 2008; "Central Air Conditioning in Wisconsin, A Compilation of Recent Field Research."

Where:

- $Capacity_C$ = Rated cooling capacity of the equipment based on model number [Btuh] (1 ton = 12,000 Btuh)
- $Capacity_H$ = Rated heating capacity of the equipment based on model number [Btuh] (1 ton = 12,000 Btuh)
- EER_{pre} = Cooling efficiency of the equipment pre-tune-up using Equation 12 [Btuh/W]
- EER_{post} = Cooling efficiency of the equipment after the tune-up [Btuh/W]; assume 11.2
- $HSPF_{pre}$ = Heating efficiency of the equipment pre-tune-up using Equation 13 [Btuh/W]
- $HSPF_{post}$ = Heating efficiency of the equipment after the tune-up [Btuh/W]; assume 7.7
- $EFLH_{C/H}$ = Cooling/heating equivalent full-load hours for appropriate climate zone [hours]

Table 16. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours⁶¹

Climate zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Climate zone 1: Panhandle	1,142	1,880
Climate zone 2: North	1,926	1,343
Climate zone 3: South	2,209	1,127
Climate zone 4: Valley	2,958	776
Climate zone 5: West	1,524	1,559

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$\text{Summer Peak Demand } [kW_{Savings,C}] = \text{Capacity} \times \left(\frac{1}{EER_{pre}} - \frac{1}{EER_{post}} \right) \times DF_C \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}}$$

Equation 17

$$\text{Winter Peak Demand } [kW_{Savings,H}] = \text{Capacity} \times \left(\frac{1}{HSPF_{pre}} - \frac{1}{HSPF_{post}} \right) \times DF_H \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}}$$

Equation 18

⁶¹ ENERGY STAR® Central AC/HP Savings Calculator. April 2009 update.
https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/ASHP_Sav_Calc.xls.

Summer and winter demand savings are determined by applying a coincidence factor for each season. Winter peak demand savings are only applicable to heat pumps.

Where:

DF_C = Cooling coincidence factor (see Table 17)

DF_H = Heating coincidence factor (see Table 17)

Table 17. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Coincidence Factors by Climate Zone⁶²

Season	Climate zone 1: Amarillo	Climate zone 2: Dallas	Climate zone 3: Houston	Climate zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.644	0.707	0.633	0.577	0.784
Winter	0.399	0.310	0.341	0.293	0.444

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Applying the above algorithms results in the deemed energy savings per ton in Table 18. Heating savings are only applicable for heat pumps.

Table 18. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Deemed Energy Savings per Ton

Climate zone	Cooling kWh saved per ton	Heating kWh saved per ton
Climate zone 1: Panhandle	64.4	154.2
Climate zone 2: North	108.6	110.2
Climate zone 3: South	124.6	92.4
Climate zone 4: Valley	166.8	63.7
Climate zone 5: West	85.9	127.9

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Applying the above algorithms results in the deemed summer demand savings per ton in Table 19.

Table 19. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Deemed Summer Demand Savings per Ton

Climate zone	Summer peak demand kW savings per Ton
Climate zone 1: Panhandle	0.036
Climate zone 2: North	0.040

⁶² See Volume 1, Section 4.

Climate zone	Summer peak demand kW savings per Ton
Climate zone 3: South	0.036
Climate zone 4: Valley	0.033
Climate zone 5: West	0.044

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Applying the above algorithms results in the deemed winter demand savings per ton in Table 20. Winter peak demand savings are only applicable for heat pumps.

Table 20. AC/HP Tune-Ups—Deemed Winter Demand Savings per Ton

Climate zone	Winter peak demand kW savings per ton
Climate zone 1: Panhandle	0.033
Climate zone 2: North	0.025
Climate zone 3: South	0.028
Climate zone 4: Valley	0.024
Climate zone 5: West	0.036

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for a tune-up is five years.⁶³

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone or county
- Manufacturer

⁶³ GDS Associates, Inc. (2007). Measure Life Report: Residential and Commercial/Industrial Lighting and HVAC Measures. Prepared for The New England State Program Working Group; Page 1-3, Table 1. https://library.cee1.org/sites/default/files/library/8842/CEE_Eval_MeasureLifeStudyLights&HVACGDS_1Jun2007.pdf.

- Model Number
- Cooling capacity of the installed unit (tons)
- Heating capacity of the installed unit (algorithm approach only)
- Type of unit (air conditioner, air source heat pump)
- Serial number
- Refrigerant type
- Target superheat or subcooling
- Post tune-up superheat or subcooling
- Amount of refrigerant added or removed
- Static pressures before and after tune-up
- Return and supply dry bulb and wet bulb temperatures
- Before and after tune-up pictures of components illustrating condition change due to cleanings (Note: pictures that include well-placed familiar objects like hand tools often provide a sense of scale and a reference for color/shading comparisons. Pictures of equipment name plates are useful).

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Not applicable.

Document Revision History

Table 21. Residential Air Conditioner or Heat Pump Tune-Ups Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v4.0	10/10/2015	TRM v4.0 origin.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revision.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revision.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated coincidence factors.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.

2.2.2 Duct Sealing Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-DS

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity and gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Building simulation modeling

Measure Description

This measure involves sealing leaks in supply and return ducts of the HVAC distribution systems in homes or converted residences with central air conditioning. The standard approach to estimate savings in this measure is based on the results obtained via pre- and post-leakage testing as defined in this measure. In lieu of leakage testing, savings for eligible duct sealing projects may be claimed using the alternate approach specified in this measure.

Eligibility Criteria

All single-family customers with ducted central refrigerated air conditioning or evaporative cooling are eligible to claim cooling savings for this measure. Customers must have ducted central heating with either a furnace (gas or electric resistance) or a heat pump to claim heating savings. The specified deemed savings are not applicable to multifamily customers or to residences with space (non-central or ducted) air conditioning or heating.

For the standard approach with leakage testing, duct leakage should be assessed following the Building Performance Institute (BPI) standards. Duct leakage testing should not be conducted in homes where either evidence of asbestos or mold is present or suspected due to the age of the home.⁶⁴

⁶⁴ "Technical Standards for the Building Analyst Professional", Building Performance Institute (BPI), v1/4/12, Page 1 of 17, states:
"Health and Safety: Where the presence of asbestos, lead, mold and/or other potentially hazardous material is known or suspected, all relevant state and federal (EPA) guidelines must be followed to ensure technician and occupant safety. Blower door depressurization tests may not be performed in homes *where there is a risk of asbestos becoming airborne and being drawn into the dwelling.*"
<http://www.bpi.org/sites/default/files/Technical%20Standards%20for%20the%20Building%20Analyst%20Professional.pdf>.

Utility program manuals should be consulted for health and safety considerations related to the implementation of duct efficiency measures and/or testing procedures.

Duct sealing is a residential retrofit measure only and does not apply to new construction.

Baseline Condition

The savings calculation methods for this measure (when implemented with duct leakage testing) are valid up to a maximum pre-installation leakage rate of 35 percent of total fan flow.⁶⁵ For homes with an initial leakage rate greater than 35 percent of total fan flow, savings will be awarded with respect to this cap rather than the initial leakage. Data from nearly 28,000 single-family and mobile home duct blaster tests conducted for duct efficiency improvements in Texas between 2003 and 2006 show that more than 70 percent of all pre-retrofit leakage rates fall below 38 percent total leakage.⁶⁶

Engineering calculations show that the interior temperature in those settings that exceed 38 percent total leakage would be above the thermally acceptable comfort levels published by ASHRAE in its 2009 Fundamentals publication. The proposed pre-installation leakage limits will help ensure that the deemed savings are an accurate reflection of the program's impacts and that the program focuses its efforts on scenarios where leakage conditions are likely to persist if unaddressed for several years.

Low-income customers⁶⁷ are exempt from the cap limiting the maximum pre-installation leakage rate to 35 percent of total fan flow.

While these baseline criteria were applied in deriving the deemed savings for the alternate approach (without duct leakage testing), it is not necessary to determine the pre-installation leakage rate for projects claiming the alternate deemed savings.

High-Efficiency Condition

Materials used should be long-lasting materials, such as mastics, UL 181A or UL 181B approved foil tape or aerosol-based sealants. Fabric-based duct tape is not allowed.

The selected methodology for estimating duct sealing deemed savings according to the standard approach requires duct leakage-to-outside testing using a combination duct pressurization and house pressurization.

⁶⁵ $Total\ Fan\ Flow = Cooling\ Capacity\ (tons) \times 400\ cfm/ton$

⁶⁶ Based on data collected by Frontier Energy for investor-owned utilities in Texas.

⁶⁷ Low-income customers are income-eligible customers served through a targeted low-income energy efficiency program as described in 25.181(r). This may also apply to income-eligible customers served through a hard-to-reach program that is also delivered following the guidelines in 25.181(r).
<https://www.puc.texas.gov/agency/ruleslaws/subrules/electric/25.181/25.181.pdf>.

Duct Leakage Testing (Standard Approach)

Measurements to determine pre-installation and post-installation leakage rates must be performed in accordance with utility-approved procedures. For this measure, leakage-to-outside must be directly measured. The project sponsor shall use the Combination Duct Blaster™ (or equivalent) and blower door method. Prior to beginning any installations, the project sponsor must submit the intended method(s) and may be required to provide the utility with evidence of competency, such as Home Energy Rating System (HERS) or North American Technician Excellence (NATE) certification. Leakage rates must be measured and reported at the average air distribution system operating pressure (25 Pa).⁶⁸

Categorizing Achieved Duct Leakage Reduction (Absent Leakage Testing)

Participating energy efficiency service providers (EESPs) electing not to perform leakage testing should nevertheless provide an estimate of the expected outcome of the leakage reduction work performed: projects should be characterized according to contractor estimation of whether the work required should result in a **low**, **average**, or **high reduction** in duct system leakage. EESPs should take the following considerations into account in assessing the likely leakage reduction achieved in a given project:

- The number and size of repaired leaks
- Leak location: a leak in an attic joint will cause more energy loss than a joint that leaks to conditioned space
- Supply/return: supply-side leaks, particularly in the return air plenum and near the air handling unit can be especially problematic, as they tend to draw additional unconditioned air into the system.

Systems that were not initially very leaky and in which few joints and supply vents were sealed should be characterized as low reduction. Jobs with a typical number of supply vents and joints sealed, and in which the supply air return or the return air plenum were sealed, should be characterized as average reduction. Jobs requiring significant interventions to eliminate large or numerous leaks should be considered high reduction.

The following table provides a guideline for selecting an appropriate leakage category. How the category is determined may fluctuate on a per-home basis.

⁶⁸ See RESNET Technical Committee, Proposed Amendment: Chapter 8 RESNET Standards, 800 RESNET Standard for Performance Testing and Work Scope: Enclosure and Air Distribution Leakage Testing; Section 803.2 and Table 803.1. <https://www.resnet.us/wp-content/uploads/Chapter-Eight-22RESNET-Standard-for-Performance-Testing-and-Work-Scope-Enclosure-and-Air-Distribution-Leakage-Testing22.pdf>.

Table 22. Duct Sealing—Leakage Categorization Guide⁶⁹

Category	Duct location	Duct insulation value	Leakage characteristics ⁷⁰	
Low	> 90 percent conditioned	> R7	Some observable leaks	
			Substantial leaks	
		R4 - R7	Some observable leaks	
			Substantial leaks	
		< R4	Some observable leaks	
			Substantial leaks	
	50-90 percent conditioned	> R7	Some observable leaks	
		R4 - R7	Some observable leaks	
		< R4	Some observable leaks	
Average	> 90 percent conditioned	> R7	Catastrophic leaks	
		R4 - R7	Catastrophic leaks	
		< R4	Catastrophic leaks	
	50-90 percent conditioned	> R7	Substantial leaks	
			Catastrophic leaks	
		R4 - R7	Substantial leaks	
	< R4	Substantial leaks		
	< 50 percent conditioned	> R7	Some observable leaks	
		R4 - R7	Some observable leaks	
		< R4	Some observable leaks	
	High	50-90 percent conditioned	R4 - R7	Catastrophic leaks
			< R4	Catastrophic leaks
< 50 percent conditioned		R4 - R7	Substantial leaks	
		> R7	Catastrophic leaks	
		R4 - R7	Substantial leaks	
			Catastrophic leaks	
		< R4	Substantial leaks	
			Catastrophic leaks	

⁶⁹ Based on typical distribution efficiency assumptions from the Building Performance Institute (BPI) Technical Standards for the Heating Professional, November 20, 2007, page 7. <http://www.bpi.org/sites/default/files/Technical%20Standards%20for%20the%20Heating%20Professional.pdf>.

⁷⁰ Catastrophic leaks are defined by BPI as disconnected ducts, missing end-caps, and other catastrophic holes.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings may be claimed according to either the standard approach (with duct leakage testing) or the alternate approach, according to the following sections.

Standard Approach (with Duct Leakage Testing)

The annual energy and summer and winter peak demand savings to be claimed according to the standard approach for this measure shall be calculated as a function of the reduction in duct leakage achieved, using the energy and demand savings coefficients from Table 23 through Table 25 for the climate zone in which the project was implemented and the type of heating equipment in the project home.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Calibrated simulation modeling was used to develop these deemed savings, which are expressed as linear functions of the reduction in duct leakage achieved (in CFM₂₅). Specifically, these deemed savings estimates were developed using BEopt 2.6, running EnergyPlus 8.4 as the underlying simulation engine. To model this measure, the prototype home models for each climate zone were modified as follows: the base case duct leakage rate was set to 8 CFM₂₅ per 100 square feet. Results from running the base case model provide estimated hourly energy use for the prototypical home prior to treatment. Post-treatment conditions were simulated by setting the leakage rate to 6 CFM₂₅ per 100 square feet. Results from running the change case model provide estimated hourly energy use for the prototypical home after treatment. A comparison of these two runs provides the deemed savings estimates.

Deemed savings are presented as a function of the CFM₂₅ reduction achieved, as demonstrated by leakage to outside testing using the Combination Duct Blaster™ (or equivalent) and Blower Door method. The kWh and kW per CFM₅₀ values represented by the V_E , V_S , and V_W coefficients are derived by taking the difference between annual energy use and summer and winter peak demand, as estimated by the two model runs and normalizing to the CFM₂₅ reduction achieved.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 23 presents the annual energy savings per CFM₂₅ reduction for a residential duct sealing project. The following formula shall be used to calculate annual energy savings for duct leakage reduction:

$$\text{Deemed Energy Savings (kWh)} = (DL_{pre} - DL_{post}) \times V_E$$

Equation 19

Where:

DL_{pre}	=	Pre-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
DL_{post}	=	Post-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
$V_{E,C}$	=	Cooling Energy Savings Coefficient in Table 23
$V_{E,H}$	=	Heating Energy Savings Coefficient in Table 23

Table 23. Duct Sealing—Energy Savings V_E per CFM₂₅ Reduction

Region	$V_{E,C}$: Cooling savings		$V_{E,H}$: Heating savings		
	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Zone 1: Panhandle	0.82	0.21	0.07	2.75	0.71
Zone 2: North	1.05	N/A	0.03	1.19	0.31
Zone 3: South	1.23	N/A	0.02	0.85	0.26
Zone 4: Valley	1.46	N/A	0.01	0.61	0.19
Zone 5: West	1.20	0.38	0.03	1.44	0.37

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 24 presents the summer peak demand savings per CFM₂₅ reduction for a residential duct sealing project. The following formula shall be used to calculate deemed summer demand savings for duct leakage reduction:

$$\text{Deemed Summer Demand Savings (kW)} = (DL_{pre} - DL_{post}) \times V_S$$

Equation 20

Where:

- DL_{pre} = Pre-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
 DL_{post} = Post-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
 V_S = Summer Demand Savings Coefficient in Table 24

Table 24. Duct Sealing—Summer Demand Savings V_S per CFM₂₅ Reduction

Region	Summer kW impact per CFM ₂₅ reduction	
	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Climate zone 1: Panhandle	9.28E-04	2.29E-04
Climate zone 2: North	8.47E-04	N/A
Climate zone 3: South	1.06E-03	N/A
Climate zone 4: Valley	6.72E-04	N/A
Climate zone 5: West	7.66E-04	1.86E-04

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

Table 25 presents the winter peak demand savings per CFM₂₅ reduction for a residential duct sealing project. The following formula shall be used to calculate deemed winter demand savings for duct leakage reduction:

$$\text{Deemed Winter Demand Savings (kW)} = (DL_{pre} - DL_{post}) \times V_W$$

Equation 21

Where:

DL_{pre}	=	Pre-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
DL_{post}	=	Post-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
V_W	=	Winter Demand Savings Coefficient in Table 25

Table 25. Duct Sealing—Winter Demand Savings V_W per CFM₂₅ Reduction

Region	kWh impact per CFM ₂₅ reduction		
	Gas	Resistance	Heat pump
Climate zone 1: Panhandle	4.38E-06	8.49E-04	1.46E-04
Climate zone 2: North	1.22E-06	9.96E-04	6.98E-04
Climate zone 3: South	8.60E-06	8.61E-04	5.02E-04
Climate zone 4: Valley	1.18E-05	6.71E-04	4.06E-04
Climate zone 5: West	6.68E-06	2.81E-04	6.69E-05

Alternate Approach (No Duct Leakage Testing)

The following savings tables are provided for projects implemented without performing leakage testing, accounting for the application of pre-retrofit leakage caps to not hard-to-reach (HTR) projects. The annual energy and summer and winter peak demand savings to be claimed according to the alternate approach for this measure shall be taken from Table 23 through Table 25 for the climate zone in which the project was implemented and the type of heating equipment in the project home.

While savings for multiple duct systems are additive for the standard approach, the following savings are specified per home when using the alternate approach and should not be multiplied by the number of treated duct systems.

NOTE: This approach is only available to programs with an incentive structure that varies by leakage category. Additionally, energy efficiency service providers (EESPs) should not alternate between the standard and alternative approaches during the same program year. Utilities should either restrict all participants within an individual program to one approach or the other, or they should restrict individual EESPs to one approach or the other across all program types.

Hard-to-Reach (HTR) and Targeted Low-Income Programs
Deemed Energy Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region

Table 26. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	204	52	17	685	177
2	Average	323	83	28	1,083	280
3	High	514	132	44	1,725	445

Climate Zone 2: North Region

Table 27. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	262	N/A	7	297	77
2	Average	413	N/A	12	468	122
3	High	659	N/A	19	746	194

Climate Zone 3: South Region

Table 28. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	307	N/A	5	212	65
2	Average	484	N/A	8	335	102
3	High	771	N/A	13	533	163

Climate Zone 4: Valley Region

Table 29. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	364	N/A	2	152	47
2	Average	575	N/A	4	240	75
3	High	916	N/A	6	383	119

Climate Zone 5: West Region

Table 30. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	299	95	7	359	92
2	Average	472	150	12	567	146
3	High	753	238	19	903	232

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region

Table 31. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.23	0.06
Average	0.37	0.09
High	0.58	0.14

Climate Zone 2: North Region

Table 32. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.21	N/A
Average	0.33	N/A
High	0.53	N/A

Climate Zone 3: South Region

Table 33. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.26	N/A
Average	0.42	N/A
High	0.66	N/A

Climate Zone 4: Valley Region

Table 34. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.17	N/A
Average	0.26	N/A
High	0.42	N/A

Climate Zone 5: West Region

Table 35. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.19	0.05
Average	0.30	0.07
High	0.48	0.12

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region

Table 36. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.21	0.04
Average	0.00	0.33	0.06
High	0.00	0.53	0.09

Climate Zone 2: North Region

Table 37. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.25	0.17
Average	0.00	0.39	0.27
High	0.00	0.62	0.44

Climate Zone 3: South Region

Table 38. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.21	0.13
Average	0.00	0.34	0.20
High	0.01	0.54	0.31

Climate Zone 4: Valley Region

Table 39. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.17	0.10
Average	0.00	0.26	0.16
High	0.01	0.42	0.25

Climate Zone 5: West Region

Table 40. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, HTR Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.07	0.02
Average	0.00	0.11	0.03
High	0.00	0.18	0.04

All Other Programs

Deemed Energy Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region

Table 41. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	187	48	16	628	162
2	Average	300	77	26	1,005	259
3	High	428	110	37	1,437	371

Climate Zone 2: North Region

Table 42. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	240	N/A	7	272	71
2	Average	384	N/A	11	435	113
3	High	549	N/A	16	622	162

Climate Zone 3: South Region

Table 43. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	281	N/A	5	194	59
2	Average	449	N/A	7	310	95
3	High	643	N/A	10	444	136

Climate Zone 4: Valley Region

Table 44. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings, Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	333	N/A	2	139	43
2	Average	533	N/A	4	223	69
3	High	763	N/A	5	319	99

Climate Zone 5: West Region

Table 45. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Annual Energy Savings , Alternate Approach (kWh)

Category	Assessed leakiness	Cooling savings		Heating savings		
		Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
1	Low	274	87	7	329	84
2	Average	438	139	11	526	135
3	High	627	199	16	752	193

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region

Table 46. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.21	0.05
Average	0.34	0.08
High	0.48	0.12

Climate Zone 2: North Region

Table 47. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings , Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.19	N/A
Average	0.31	N/A
High	0.44	N/A

Climate Zone 3: South Region

Table 48. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings , Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.24	N/A
Average	0.39	N/A
High	0.55	N/A

Climate Zone 4: Valley Region

Table 49. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.15	N/A
Average	0.25	N/A
High	0.35	N/A

Climate Zone 5: West Region

Table 50. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Summer Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Refrigerated air	Evaporative cooling
Low	0.17	0.04
Average	0.28	0.07
High	0.40	0.10

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables (Alternate Approach)

Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region

Table 51. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 1: Panhandle Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings , Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.19	0.03
Average	0.00	0.31	0.05
High	0.00	0.44	0.08

Climate Zone 2: North Region

Table 52. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 2: North Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.23	0.16
Average	0.00	0.36	0.25
High	0.00	0.52	0.36

Climate Zone 3: South Region

Table 53. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 3: South Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings, Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.20	0.11
Average	0.00	0.31	0.18
High	0.00	0.45	0.26

Climate Zone 4: Valley Region

Table 54. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 4: Valley Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings , Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.15	0.09
Average	0.00	0.25	0.15
High	0.01	0.35	0.21

Climate Zone 5: West Region

Table 55. Duct Sealing—Climate Zone 5: West Region—Deemed Winter Demand Savings , Alternate Approach (kW)

Category	Heating system type		
	Gas	Electric resistance	Heat pump
Low	0.00	0.06	0.02
Average	0.00	0.10	0.02
High	0.00	0.15	0.03

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Example Deemed Savings Calculation

Example 1. Using the **standard approach**, a 1,700 square foot home with a 3.5-ton central air conditioner and a gas furnace in Climate Zone 3 is found to have a pre-retrofit duct leakage rate of 600 CFM₂₅. After sealing leaks, duct leakage is estimated at 100 CFM₂₅. The project is completed in a non-HTR program.

$$\text{Max Initial Leakage Rate} = \left(400 \frac{\text{CFM}}{\text{ton}} \times 3.5 \text{ tons}\right) \times 35\% = 490 \text{ CFM}_{25}$$

$$\text{Reported Initial Leakage} = \text{Min}(600, 490) = 490 \text{ CFM}_{25}$$

$$DL_{pre} - DL_{post} = (490 - 100) = 390 \text{ CFM}_{25}$$

$$\text{kWh savings} = (1.23 + 0.02) \times 390 = 488 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer kW savings} = 1.06 \times 10^{-3} \times 390 = 0.41 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter kW savings} = 8.60 \times 10^{-6} \times 390 = 0.003 \text{ kW}$$

Example 2. Using the **alternate approach**, a duct sealing project is completed on a home of any square footage with a central heat pump of any tonnage in Climate Zone 3. The duct system is categorized as 50-90 percent in conditioned space with an existing duct insulation value of R4-R7 and substantial leaks. Therefore, that home is categorized as an average leakage home. No leakage testing is performed. The project is completed in an HTR program. All savings are taken directly from deemed savings lookup tables.

$$\text{kWh savings} = 484 + 102 = 586 \text{ kWh}$$

$$\text{Summer kW savings} = 0.42 \text{ kW}$$

$$\text{Winter kW savings} = 0.20 \text{ kW}$$

Additional Calculators and Tools

There is a calculator to estimate the energy and demand savings associated with this measure using the algorithms described in the previous subsection.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for a duct sealing measure is 18 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID HV-DuctSeal-BW.⁷¹

⁷¹ DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). <http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi>.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone
- Cooling Type (central refrigerated, evaporative cooling, none)
- Heating type (central gas furnace, central electric resistance furnace, heat pump, none)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach)
- Cooling capacity of home HVAC units (tons)

EESPs claiming savings according to duct leakage testing:

- Pre-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
- Post-improvement duct leakage at 25 Pa (cu. ft./min)
- Pre- and post-photos of leakage test readings

EESPs claiming savings without performing leakage testing should provide:

- Description of the leakage severity in the home (low, average, or high)
- Description of location and condition of ducts:
 - Duct location (>90 percent conditioned, 50-90 percent conditioned, <50 percent conditioned)
 - Existing duct insulation value (>R7, R4-R7, <R4)
- Leakage characteristics (some observable leaks, substantial leaks, catastrophic leaks)
- Other relevant details that may assist with validating claimed leakage category (recommended)
- Description and photos of interventions taken (both pre and post condition), such as newly sealed joints, supply vents, and other relevant leaks sealed
- Incentive rate structure: incentive should be paid per home and should not vary by leakage category to avoid providing an incentive to overstate the existing leakage category.

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 41722. Petition of AEP Texas Central Company, AEP Texas North Company, CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Sharyland Utilities, L.P., Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company to Approve Revisions to Residential Deemed Savings to Incorporate Winter Peak Demand Impacts and Update Certain Existing Deemed Savings Values. Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Not applicable.

Document Revision History

Table 56. Residential Duct Efficiency Improvements Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Minor formatting changes, and language introduced to provide further direction for low-income customers and testing procedure. Contractors now required to track cooling capacity of HVAC equipment. Language added to reflect updates to federal standards for central heat pumps and central air conditioners.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. Addition of language referring contractors to program manuals for information regarding health and safety precautions.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Update of reference sources for air temperatures and densities, heating degree-days. Cooling demand savings required to be claimed.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Approach changed from algorithm-based to deemed savings coefficients estimated using building simulation models. Updated energy and demand savings. Added separate savings for homes with evaporative cooling. Updated measure description to eliminate eligibility for homes without a central AC, but with a ducted heating system.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Remove PY 2017 option to use energy and demand adjustment factors in combination with algorithm methodology from TRM v3.1. Added alternative approach to bypass the need to complete leakage testing in guidance memo to follow.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Added alternative approach to bypass the need to complete leakage testing in guidance memo to follow.

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v8.0 update. Added clarifying language on incentive rate per home.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated eligibility and documentation requirements for electric resistance heat.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.

2.2.3 ENERGY STAR® Ground Source Heat Pumps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-GH

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Replace-on-burnout, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure requires the installation of a ground-source heat pump (GSHP) meeting the minimum requirements of ENERGY STAR® geothermal heat pump key product criteria. The deemed savings are dependent upon the energy efficiency rating (EER) and coefficient of performance (COP) of the installed equipment. Savings calculations are presented for systems with and without desuperheaters.

Eligibility Criteria

The deemed savings apply to units with a capacity of $\leq 65,000$ Btu/hour.

Energy savings for desuperheaters only apply if the desuperheater is attached to an electric storage water heater. The electric storage water heating cannot replace a gas water heater in a retrofit installation.

Baseline Condition

The baseline unit is assumed to be an air-source heat pump (ASHP) for new construction, and either an ASHP or an air conditioner with an electric resistance furnace for replace-on-burnout projects. New construction baseline efficiency values for ASHPs are compliant with the current federal minimum standard,⁷² effective January 1, 2015.

⁷² DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential air conditioners/heat pumps.
<https://www.energy.gov/eere/femp/incorporate-minimum-efficiency-requirements-heating-and-cooling-products-federal>.

For replace-on-burnout (ROB) projects, the cooling baseline is reduced to 13.08 SEER. This value incorporates an adjustment to the baseline SEER value to reflect the percentage of current replacements that do not include the installation of an AHRI-matched system.⁷³ The heating baseline for replace-on-burnout projects is dependent on the heating type of the baseline equipment.

Table 57. GSHP Baseline Efficiencies

Project type	Cooling mode ⁷⁴	Heating mode ⁷⁵
New Construction	11.8 EER (14 SEER)	2.4 COP (8.2 HSPF)
ROB—Air Source Heat Pump Baseline	11.2 EER (13.08 SEER)	2.4 COP (8.2 HSPF)
ROB— Air Conditioner with Electric Resistance Furnace Baseline		1 COP (3.412 HSPF)

High-Efficiency Condition

Table 58 displays the ENERGY STAR® requirements for eligible GSHPs as of January 1, 2012. Energy efficiency service providers are expected to comply with the latest ENERGY STAR® requirements.

Table 58. Ground Source Heat Pump ENERGY STAR® Requirements

Product type	Cooling mode (EER)	Heating mode (COP)
Closed Loop Water-to-Air	17.1	3.6
Open Loop Water-to-Air	21.1	4.1
Closed Loop Water-to-Water	16.1	3.1
Open Loop Water-to-Water	20.1	3.5
Direct Geexchange (DGX)	16.0	3.6

⁷³ Frontier Energy on behalf of the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). "Petition to revise Existing Commission-Approved Deemed Savings Values for Central Air Conditioning and Heat Pump Systems: Docket No. 36780." Public Utility Commission of Texas. Approved August 27, 2009. <https://interchange.puc.texas.gov/>. Adapted for new 14 SEER baseline.

⁷⁴ Code specified EER value converted to SEER using $EER = -0.02 \times SEER^2 + 1.12 \times SEER$. National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). "Building America House Simulation Protocols." U.S. Department of Energy. Revised October 2010. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy11osti/49246.pdf>.

⁷⁵ Code specified HSPF value converted to COP using $COP = HSPF \times 1,055 \text{ J/Btu} \div 3,600 \text{ J/W-h} = HSPF \div 3.412$.

The specifications in the charts above apply to single-stage models. Multi-stage models may be qualified based on:⁷⁶

$$EER = (\text{highest rated capacity EER} + \text{lowest rated capacity EER}) \div 2$$

Equation 22

$$COP = (\text{highest rated capacity COP} + \text{lowest rated capacity COP}) \div 2$$

Equation 23

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Peak demand and annual energy savings for GSHP systems should be calculated, as shown below. Where a desuperheater is also installed, please see the Deemed Energy Savings Tables section for additional energy savings and the Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables for additional demand savings.

Energy and demand savings for desuperheaters were adapted from a 2001 study conducted by Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) on GSHPs in Texas.⁷⁷ Desuperheater savings were calculated for each climate zone by taking the difference in savings between GSHPs with and without desuperheaters and averaging the savings between low and high-efficiency units. Savings for GSHP systems with desuperheaters should be calculated using the algorithms below with an additional energy credit based on the system capacity and efficiency.

The ORNL study draws from a 1998 analysis based on a study conducted at the Fort Polk Joint Readiness Training Center in Leesville, Louisiana. The Fort Polk study used calibrated simulations of 200 multifamily residences in the complex to estimate energy savings attributable to the replacement of air source heat pumps with GSHPs. These estimates were found to be within 5 percent of actual post-retrofit savings. Building models were developed using TRNSYS.⁷⁸

Using the Fort Polk models, the ORNL study assumed a baseline of a 1.5-ton, 10-SEER air source heat pump. Simulations of low-, medium-, and high-efficiency GSHPs with and without desuperheaters were compared against the baseline unit. The models were run using TMY-2 weather profiles for climate zones 1-4. Energy and demand differences between the pre- and post-retrofit models were used to estimate average savings per ton of cooling capacity.

In the 1998 analysis, low-efficiency GSHPs were assumed to be units with an EER of 12.4 and capacity of 19 kBtuh, while medium-efficiency units had an EER of 16.8 and capacity of 21 kBtuh. High-efficiency units had an EER of 18.3, with a capacity of 22 kBtuh.

⁷⁶ Geothermal Heat Pumps Key Product Criteria, <https://www.energy.gov/products/heating-cooling/heat-pumps-geothermal/key-product-criteria>.

⁷⁷ Shonder, J. A., Hughes, P., and Thornton, J. Development of Deemed Energy and Demand Savings for Residential Ground Source Heat Pump Retrofits in the State of Texas. Transactions-American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers. 108, no. 1: 953-961, 2001.

⁷⁸ Klein, S. A. TRNSYS Manual: A Transient Simulation Program. Solar Engineering Laboratory, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Version 14.2 for Windows, September 1996.

These models were used to derive the energy and demand savings associated with installation of a desuperheater along with a GSHP, as shown in Table 61 and Table 62, respectively.

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$kWh_{Savings} = kWh_{Savings,C} + kWh_{Savings,H} + kWh_{desuperheater}$$

Equation 24

$$kWh_{Savings,C} = CAP_C \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}} \times EFLH_C \times \left(\frac{1}{SEER_{Base}} - \frac{1}{EER_{GSHP}} \right)$$

Equation 25

$$kWh_{Savings,H} = CAP_H \times \frac{1 \text{ kWh}}{3,412 \text{ Btu}} \times EFLH_H \times \left(\frac{1}{COP_{Base}} - \frac{1}{COP_{GSHP}} \right)$$

Equation 26

Where:

$kWh_{desuperheater}$ = Energy savings (kWh) associated with installation of a desuperheater (see Table 61); these savings should only be added if a desuperheater is installed

CAP_C = Rated equipment cooling capacity of the installed GSHP (Btu/hr)

CAP_H = Rated equipment heating capacity of the installed GSHP (Btu/hr)

$EFLH_C$ = Equivalent full load hours for cooling)

$EFLH_H$ = Equivalent full load hours for heating (Table 59)

EER_{Base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of the baseline cooling equipment (Table 57)

EER_{GSHP} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of the installed GSHP

COP_{Base} = Coefficient of Performance of the baseline heating equipment (Table 57)

COP_{GSHP} = Coefficient of Performance of the installed GSHP

Table 59. Equivalent Full Load Cooling/Heating Hours⁷⁹

Climate Zone	EFLH _C	EFLH _H
Climate zone 1: Panhandle	1,142	1,880
Climate zone 2: North	1,926	1,343
Climate zone 3: South	2,209	1,127
Climate zone 4: Valley	2,958	776
Climate zone 5: West	1,524	1,559

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$kW_{Savings,Summer} = CAP_C \times \frac{1 \text{ kW}}{1,000 \text{ W}} \times \left(\frac{1}{EER_{Base}} - \frac{1}{EER_{GSHP}} \right) \times CF_C + kW_{desuperheater}$$

Equation 27

$$kW_{Savings,Winter} = CAP_H \times \frac{1 \text{ kWh}}{3,412 \text{ Btu}} \times \left(\frac{1}{COP_{Base}} - \frac{1}{COP_{GSHP}} \right) \times CF_H$$

Equation 28

Where:

- CAP_C = Rated equipment cooling capacity of the installed GSHP (Btu/hr)
- CAP_H = Rated equipment heating capacity of the installed GSHP (Btu/hr)
- EER_{Base} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of the baseline cooling equipment (Table 57)
- EER_{GSHP} = Energy Efficiency Ratio of the installed GSHP
- COP_{Base} = Coefficient of Performance of the baseline heating equipment (Table 57)
- COP_{GSHP} = Coefficient of Performance of the installed GSHP
- CF_C = Coincidence Factor = (Table 60)
- CF_H = Coincidence Factor = (Table 60)
- $kW_{desuperheater}$ = Summer demand savings (kW) associated with installation of a desuperheater (see Table 62); these savings should only be added if a desuperheater is installed

⁷⁹ ENERGY STAR® Central AC/HP Savings Calculator.

Table 60. GSHP Coincidence Factors⁸⁰

Season	Climate zone 1: Amarillo	Climate zone 2: Dallas	Climate zone 3: Houston	Climate zone 4: Corpus Christi	Climate zone 5: El Paso
Summer	0.634	0.677	0.626	0.583	0.725
Winter	0.549	0.478	0.515	0.453	0.437

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 61. Energy Savings for Desuperheaters per Cooling Tonnage

Climate zone	kWh/ton
Climate zone 1: Panhandle	612
Climate zone 2: North	791
Climate zone 3: South	802
Climate zone 4: Valley	847
Climate zone 5: West	791

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

Table 62. Summer Peak Demand Savings for Desuperheaters per Cooling Tonnage

Climate zone	kW/ton
Climate zone 1: Panhandle	0.440
Climate zone 2: North	0.405
Climate zone 3: South	0.405
Climate zone 4: Valley	0.410
Climate zone 5: West	0.405

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on winter peak demand savings and methodology.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

⁸⁰ See Volume 1

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) of a GSHP unit is 20 years.

This value is consistent with the minimum life expectancy reported in the Department of Energy GSHP guide.⁸¹

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

It is required that the following list of primary inputs and contextual data be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone
- Decision/action type (new construction, replace-on-burnout)
- Replaced unit heating type (heat pump, electric resistance furnace)
 - Additional documentation is required to validate electric resistance heat (e.g., nameplate photo, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach); sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes
- Installed GSHP type (closed loop water-to-air, open loop water-to-air, closed loop water-to-water, open loop water-to-water, direct geoechange)
- Rated cooling and heating capacity of the new unit (Btu/hr)
- Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of the new unit
- Coefficient of Performance (COP) of the new unit
- Whether a desuperheater was also installed or present
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or other pre-approved method of installation verification
- Manufacturer, model, and serial number
 - AHRI certificate matching model number

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

⁸¹ Department of Energy. "Guide to Geothermal Heat Pumps. February 2011.
http://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/guide_to_geothermal_heat_pumps.pdf.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- ISO/AHRI 13256-1
- Shonder, J. A., Hughes, P., and Thornton, J. Development of Deemed Energy and Demand Savings for Residential Ground Source Heat Pump Retrofits in the State of Texas. Transactions-American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air Conditioning Engineers. 108, no. 1: 953-961, 2001.
- The applicable version of ENERGY STAR®'s specifications and requirements addressing residential GSHPs.

Document Revision History

Table 63. Residential GSHPs Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards and alternative methodology.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revision.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. No revision.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revision.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Updated peak coincidence factors for compliance with current Texas peak definition. Single coincidence factor replaced with individual factors for each climate zone.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revision.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Updates to tracking requirements.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Updated algorithms to make units consistent.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Added clarifying language and updated algorithm units.

2.2.4 Central Air Conditioner and Heat Pumps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-HV-CH

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: HVAC

Applicable Building Types: Single-family, multifamily, manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Replace-on-burnout, early retirement, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive, direct install (early retirement)

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering spreadsheets and estimates

Measure Description

Residential replacement of existing heating and cooling equipment with a new central air-source air conditioner (AC) or heat pump (HP) in an existing building, or the installation of a new central AC or HP in a new residential construction. Downsized systems that are right-sized per heat load calculation are also eligible. A new central system includes an entire packaged unit or a split system consisting of an indoor unit with a matching remote condensing unit. This measure also applies to the installation of dual-fuel HPs that meet all existing measure eligibility criteria.

Eligibility Criteria

Newly installed units must have a cooling capacity of less than 65,000 Btu/hour (5.4 tons) to be eligible for these deemed savings. Gas furnaces are not eligible to be awarded savings for replacement through this measure.

Equipment shall be properly sized to dwelling based on ASHRAE or ACCA Manual J standards. Manufacturer datasheets for installed equipment or documentation of AHRI or DOE CCMS certification must be provided^{82,83}. Savings should be calculated using rated capacities whenever possible. Reported system capacities and efficiencies should always match those verified by AHRI or DOE as tested under AHRI operating conditions for a specific combination of equipment, including condenser, coil, and furnace (or condenser only for packaged units). Savings should never be calculated using efficiency ratings for individual system components.

Customers should be advised against using the emergency heat (EM HEAT) setting on heat pump thermostats. This setting is meant only for use in emergency situations when the heat pump is damaged or malfunctioning. Supplemental heating automatically kicks on below freezing conditions using the regular HEAT setting. Contractors installing a new heat pump thermostat with equipment install shall advise customer of correct thermostat usage.

⁸² Air-Conditioning, Heating, and Refrigeration Institute (AHRI) Directory: <https://www.ahridirectory.org/>.

⁸³ Department of Energy Compliance Certification Management System (DOE CCMS): <https://www.regulations.doe.gov/certification-data/>.

For early retirement or rightsizing projects, attempt to determine the rated capacity of the existing unit. The rated capacity may be found on the manufacturer specification sheet for the existing unit if the new system is not available on the AHRI or DOE CCMS directories. If the model number of the existing unit is unobtainable or if the manufacturer specification sheet cannot be found, use nominal tonnage for both the existing and new unit. Never use nominal tonnage for the existing unit in combination with rated tonnage for the new unit, which can lead to overstated savings. Additionally, never use nominal tonnage to determine savings for projects where no early retirement or rightsizing has occurred.

For early retirement projects, to receive savings, the unit to be replaced must be functioning at the time of removal with a maximum age of 24 years for ACs and 20 years for HPs. Otherwise, claim savings for a replace-on-burnout project.

The replacement of an evaporative cooler with a central system is eligible where the decision to change equipment types predates or is independent of the decision to install efficient equipment and should be claimed against the new construction baseline.

The replacement of a room AC with a central AC or HP is eligible and should be claimed against the new construction baseline. Refer to the Replace-on-burnout or Early Retirement of an Electric Resistance Furnace section for guidance about the appropriate heating baseline for residences with electric resistance heat. Under this scenario, no savings should be awarded for rightsizing.

New construction projects are not eligible to receive deemed savings for system rightsizing.⁸⁴ For system upsizing, savings should generally be claimed against the new construction baseline. However, when upsizing while going from a single larger capacity system to multiple smaller capacity systems, savings may be claimed against the applicable replace-on-burnout or early retirement baseline if the total pre and post tonnage are within ½ ton.⁸⁵ For this scenario, savings must be looked up using the lower pre-tonnage. If the multiple installed units do not share the same efficiency value, savings should be looked up using the most conservative efficiency value.

Additionally, low-income or hard-to-reach programs may use the electric resistance baseline for the following two scenarios. The electric resistance baseline may be used for systems upsized by no more than a half-ton in lieu of the new construction baseline. Under this scenario, cooling savings should be claimed against the new construction baseline using the installed (higher) capacity. Heating savings should be claimed against the electric resistance baseline using the existing (lower) capacity. Documentation should be aligned with the rightsizing and electric resistance baseline requirements outlined in this measure. The second scenario is for a major multifamily renovation when a centralized system, such as a boiler, is replaced with individual heat pumps. For this scenario, the electric resistance baseline may be claimed in lieu of new construction only if the building owner can document intent to install electric resistance furnaces without program intervention. The cooling savings should still be claimed against the new construction baseline. Documentation should follow early retirement and electric resistance baseline requirements.

⁸⁴ For projects using a custom baseline see TRM Volume 4.

⁸⁵ This exception is allowed to account for efficiency improvements due to zoning that are not reflected in the current savings methodology.

When replacing a single unit with multiple units where the capacity is the same or has been downsized, savings should be looked up using the total system pre and post capacities. Again, if the multiple installed units do not share the same efficiency value, savings should be looked up using the most conservative efficiency value.

Baseline Condition

New Construction, Replace-on-Burnout, or Early Retirement of an Air-Source AC or HP

New construction baseline efficiency values for ACs or HPs are compliant with the current federal minimum standard,⁸⁶ effective January 1, 2015. The baseline is assumed to be a new system with an AHRI-listed SEER rating of 14.0. This baseline is also applicable to central HP installations replacing ACs with central gas heat, evaporative coolers with central, space, or no heating, or room/window ACs with central, space, or no heating.

For replace-on-burnout (ROB) projects, the cooling baseline is reduced to 13.08 SEER. This value incorporates an adjustment to the baseline SEER value to reflect the percentage of current replacements that do not include the installation of an AHRI-matched system.⁸⁷

For early retirement (ER) projects, the cooling baseline is reduced to 10 SEER for systems installed before January 23, 2006. For systems installed on or after January 23, 2006, the ER baseline increases to 12.44 SEER. Systems manufactured as of January 1, 2015 are not eligible for early retirement.

For ROB projects, heating baseline efficiency values for HPs are compliant with the current federal minimum standard, effective January 1, 2015. These standards specify an HSPF of 8.2 for split systems and 8.0 for packaged systems. This baseline reflects updates to federal standards that take effect on January 1, 2015, as defined in the Department of Energy (DOE) energy efficiency standards (10 CFR Part 430).⁸⁸ For ER projects where the existing system was installed on or after January 23, 2006, the heating baseline efficiency is assumed to be an HSPF of 7.7 based on the federal minimum standard in effect from January 23, 2006, through December 31, 2014.⁸⁹ For ER projects where the existing system was installed before January 23, 2006, the heating baseline efficiency is reduced to 6.8 HSPF based on the federal minimum standard in effect prior to January 23, 2006.

⁸⁶ DOE minimum efficiency standard for residential air conditioners/heat pumps.
https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=48&action=viewlive.

⁸⁷ Frontier Energy on behalf of the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). "Petition to revise Existing Commission-Approved Deemed Savings Values for Central Air Conditioning and Heat Pump Systems: Docket No. 36780." Public Utility Commission of Texas. Approved August 27, 2009.
<https://interchange.puc.texas.gov/>. Adapted for new 14 SEER baseline.

⁸⁸ 10 CFR Part 430.32(c)2. *Energy Conservation Program: Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Water Heaters, Direct Heating Equipment, and Pool Heaters; Final Rule*. Online. Available: <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2012-title10-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title10-vol3-sec430-32.pdf>.

⁸⁹ Ibid.

Replace-on-Burnout or Early Retirement of an Electric Resistance Furnace

Electric resistance heating baselines may refer to residences heated by a centralized forced-air furnace or by individual space heaters.⁹⁰ Space heating primarily refers to electric baseboard zonal heaters controlled by thermostats or to portable plug-load heaters.⁹¹ Electric resistance heat controlled by a wall thermostat is eligible to claim the deemed savings presented in this measure. Homes with portable space heaters should calculate savings using a HP baseline.

By the nature of the technology, all electric resistance furnaces have the same efficiency with HSPF = 3.41.⁹² Projects in which an electric resistance furnace is replaced, either in replace-on-burnout or early retirement scenarios, use this baseline for heating-side savings.

For ROB projects, cooling savings are the same as for new construction and ROB of an air-source HP. For early retirement (ER) projects, the cooling baseline is reduced to 10 SEER for systems installed before January 23, 2006. For systems installed on or after January 23, 2006, the ER baseline increases to 12.44 SEER.

Table 64. Central AC/HPs – Baseline Efficiencies

Project type	Cooling mode	Heating mode
New construction	14 SEER	8.2 HSPF
Replace-on-burnout, heat pump	13.08 SEER	8.2 HSPF
Replace-on-burnout, electric resistance furnace		3.41 HSPF
Early retirement, heat pump (manufactured as of 1/23/2006)	12.44 SEER	7.7 HSPF
Early retirement, electric resistance furnace (manufactured as of 1/23/2006 through 12/31/2014)		3.41 HSPF
Early retirement, heat pump (manufactured before 1/23/2006)	10 SEER	6.8 HSPF
Early retirement, electric resistance furnace (manufactured before 1/23/2006)		3.41 HSPF

High-Efficiency Condition

Table 65 displays the Consortium for Energy Efficiency (CEE) requirements for eligible Tier 0 HPs as of January 1, 2015. Energy efficiency service providers are expected to at least comply with the latest CEE Tier 0 requirements.

Since there is no full-load efficiency requirement specified in the current federal standard, systems that comply with SEER and HSPF requirements but do not comply with the EER requirements outlined in Table 65 may still be eligible to claim savings. Systems with qualifying SEER and HSPF energy ratings are permitted to claim cooling energy savings, heating energy savings, and winter demand savings for systems, but not summer demand savings where the EER does not comply with the below requirement.

⁹⁰ Electric Resistance Heating: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/electric-resistance-heating>.

⁹¹ Portable Heaters: <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/home-heating-systems/portable-heaters>.

⁹² COP = HSPF × 1,055 J/BTU / 3,600 J/W-hr. For Electric Resistance, heating efficiency is 1 COP. Therefore, HSPF = 1 × 3,600 / 1,055 = 3.41.

Table 65. Central AC/HPs—CEE Tier 0 Requirements⁹³

SEER	EER	HSPF
14.5	12.0	8.5

Split system efficiencies are driven primarily by the efficiency of the condenser unit. If the paired outdoor and indoor units are not listed on the AHRI certification listing and only provide DOE CCMS testing results, then the capacity and efficiency of the high efficiency condition shall not exceed the average of the AHRI certification listing pairing for the matching condenser. The DOE CCMS listing provides documentation of the results that are on the AHRI certification listing and can be downloaded and filtered based on listing using a similar condenser and various indoor units.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Replace-on-Burnout or New Construction

Energy, summer demand, and winter demand savings were estimated using AC and HP performance curves developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory⁹⁴ for typical units in each of the following SEER ranges:

- Baseline units
- 14.5–14.9
- 15.0–15.9
- 16.0–16.9
- 17.0–17.9
- 18.0–20.9
- 21.0 and above

14.5–16.9 SEER units were assumed to be single stage. 17.0 and above SEER units were assumed to be multi-stage cooling units.

These performance curves provide the capacity and efficiency of the ACs and HPs operating in cooling mode across a wide range of outside air temperatures. Unit loading was estimated as a function of outside air temperature, and hours of cooling mode operation under different loadings were estimated using bin weather data for each weather zone. In heating mode, predicted HVAC operation was limited to meeting 77 percent of load, using a factor applied in Manual J to correlate design load hours to equivalent full load hours under actual operating

⁹³ CEE Residential High Efficiency Central Air Conditioners and Air Source Heat Pumps Specification, January 1, 2015. <https://library.cee1.org/content/cee-residential-high-efficiency-central-air-conditioners-and-air-source-heat-pumps-specifica>.

⁹⁴ D. Cutler et al. Improved Modeling of Residential Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps for Energy Calculations. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-5500-56354. January 2013. Tables 12 and 13. <http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy13osti/56354.pdf>.

conditions. This approach accounts for the observation that heating systems are not always operated even when outdoor conditions indicate they should.

Summer and winter demand savings were estimated according to the expected unit performance under design conditions. For all weather zones, it is assumed that typical HVAC systems are sized to 115 percent of their design cooling load (oversized by 15 percent). Heating mode capacity was related to rated cooling capacity using the rated capacity in the cooling and heating mode of the residential market HP products of four major manufacturers according to data exported from AHRI. Data were exported from the AHRI directory, and the average ratio for each equipment size of heating capacity to cooling capacity was multiplied by the rated (cooling side) capacity to estimate the HP capacity. HP system output was then compared to its loading under design conditions.

The model used the following set of normalized performance curves to scale the rated performance values as a function of outdoor dry-bulb temperature ranging from 65 to 115 degrees Fahrenheit. The total capacity and Energy Input Ratio (EIR = 1/COP) curves are a function of entering wet-bulb temperature (EWB) and outdoor dry-bulb temperature (ODB) and are both quadratic curve fits of the form:

$$y = a + b \times T_{EWB} + c \times T_{EWB}^2 + d \times T_{ODB} + e \times T_{ODB}^2 + f \times T_{EWB} \times T_{ODB}$$

Equation 29

Table 66. AC and HP Capacity Curve Coefficients⁹⁵

Coeff.	Cooling			Heating		
	Single stage	Multi-stage/speed		Single sage	Multi-stage/speed	
		Low	High		Low	High
a	3.670270705	3.940185508	3.109456535	0.566333415	0.335690634	0.306358843
b	-0.098652414	-0.104723455	-0.085520461	-0.000744164	0.002405123	0.005376987
c	0.000955906	0.001019298	0.000863238	-0.0000103	-0.0000464	-0.0000579
d	0.006552414	0.006471171	0.00863049	0.009414634	0.013498735	0.011645092
e	-0.0000156	-0.00000953	-0.000021	0.0000506	0.0000499	0.0000591
f	-0.000131877	-0.000161658	-0.000140186	-0.00000675	-0.00000725	-0.0000203


⁹⁵ Using air conditioner capacity curve coefficients for heat pump cooling savings.

Table 67. AC and HP EIR Curve Coefficients⁹⁶

Coeff.	Cooling			Heating		
	Single stage	Multi-stage/speed		Single sage	Multi-stage/speed	
		Low	High		Low	High
a	-3.302695861	-3.87752688	-1.990708931	0.718398423	0.36338171	0.981100941
b	0.137871531	0.164566276	0.093969249	0.003498178	0.013523725	-0.005158493
c	-0.001056996	-0.001272755	-0.00073335	0.000142202	0.000258872	0.000243416
d	-0.012573945	-0.019956043	-0.009062553	-0.005724331	-0.009450269	-0.005274352
e	0.000214638	0.000256512	0.000165099	0.00014085	0.000439519	0.000230742
f	-0.000145054	-0.000133539	-0.0000997	-0.000215321	-0.000653723	-0.000336954

To estimate the baseline SEER value for retrofit installations, Texas A&M’s Energy Systems Laboratory (ESL) surveyed dealers across the State to determine installation practices. The research found that in the event of a compressor failure out of warranty, dealers replaced the compressor 11.7 percent of the time, and replaced the condensing unit 88.3 percent of the time. Further, the condensing unit replacements consist of condensing unit-only replacements, replacements with mismatched evaporator coils, and replacements with matching evaporator coils. The percentages for these installations are as follows:

Figure 1. Central AC/HPs—Unit Replacement Percentages upon Compressor Failure



Source: Docket No. 36780

⁹⁶ Using air conditioner capacity EIR coefficients for heat pump cooling savings.

To calculate a weighted average SEER for these installations, ESL assumed that a compressor-only replacement resulted in no increase in SEER and that the SEER of a condensing unit installed without a matching coil would be 85 percent of the SEER value for a matched system. The ESL estimate of the baseline SEER for replacement AC units is given by the following equation:

$$SEER_{Base} = (SEER_{Compressor\ Replacement}) \times (Actual\ \% Compressor\ Replacement) + (SEER_{Condenser\ Replacement}) \times (Actual\ \% Condenser\ Replacement) + (SEER_{System\ Replacement}) \times (Actual\ \% System\ Replacement)$$

Equation 30

Substituting ESL SEER estimates and survey data provides the following baseline SEER estimate:

$$SEER_{Base} = (9.5) \times (11.7\%) + (11.05) \times (24.1\%) + (13.5) \times (64.2\%) = 12.44$$

Adjusting for the increased 14 SEER baseline:

$$SEER_{Base} = (10.5) \times (11.7\%) + (11.9) \times (24.1\%) + (14) \times (64.2\%) = 13.08$$

In new construction, there is no possibility of a partial system (e.g., condensing unit-only) change out, so the 13.08 baseline would not be appropriate. Therefore, the baseline for new construction installations is set at the federal government's minimum efficiency standard of 14 SEER.

Early Retirement

Annual energy (kWh) and summer peak demand (kW) savings must be calculated separately for two time periods:

1. The estimated remaining life of the equipment that is being removed, designated the remaining useful life (RUL), and
2. The remaining time in the EUL period (EUL—RUL)

Annual energy and summer peak demand savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

Where:

RUL = Remaining Useful Life (see Table 68 or Table 69) or if unknown, assume the age of the replaced unit is equal to the EUL resulting in a default RUL of 7.0 (ACs) or 6.0 years (HPs). If individual system components were installed at separate times, use the condenser age as a proxy for the entire system. Default RUL may be used exclusively if applied consistently for all projects. Otherwise, the default should only be used when a project is reported and documented as having a nameplate that is illegible. For HPs replacing an AC with an electric resistance furnace, use the RUL table from the Central AC measure instead.

EUL = Estimated Useful Life = 18 years (AC); 15 years (HP)

Table 68. Central AC/HPs—Remaining Useful Life of Replaced AC⁹⁷

Age of replaced unit (years)	Remaining useful life (years)	Age of replaced unit (years)	Remaining useful life (years)
1	16.8	14	8.6
2	15.8	15	8.2
3	14.9	16	7.9
4	14.1	17	7.6
5	13.3	18	7.0
6	12.6	19	6.0
7	11.9	20	5.0
8	11.3	21	4.0
9	10.8	22	3.0
10	10.3	23	2.0
11	9.8	24	1.0
12	9.4	25 ^{98,99}	0.0
13	9.0		

⁹⁷ Current federal standard effective date is 1/1/2015. Existing systems manufactured after this date are not eligible to use the early retirement baseline and should use the ROB baseline instead.

⁹⁸ RULs are capped at the 75th percentile of equipment age, 25 years, as determined based on DOE survival curves (see Figure 2). Systems older than 25 years should use the ROB baseline. See the January 2015 memo, “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment,” for further detail.

⁹⁹ Ward, B., Bodington, N., Farah, H., Reeves, S., and Lee, L. “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment.” Prepared by the Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification (EM&V) team for the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). January 2015. This document has been made available to Texas investor-owned utilities through the EM&V team’s SharePoint.

Table 69. Central AC/HPs—Remaining Useful Life of Replaced HP¹⁰⁰

Age of replaced unit (years)	Remaining useful life (years)	Age of replaced unit (years)	Remaining useful life (years)
1	13.7	12	7.9
2	12.7	13	7.6
3	12.0	14	7.0
4	11.3	15	6.0
5	10.7	16	5.0
6	10.2	17	4.0
7	9.7	18	3.0
8	9.3	19	2.0
9	8.9	20	1.0
10	8.5	21 ^{101,102}	0.0
11	8.2		

Derivation of RULs

Central ACs have an estimated useful life of 18 years, and central HPs have an estimated useful life of 15 years. This estimate is consistent with the age at which approximately 50 percent of the central ACs and HPs installed in a given year will no longer be in service, as described by the survival function Figure 2 and Figure 3.

¹⁰⁰ Current federal standard effective date is 1/1/2015. Existing systems manufactured after this date are not eligible to use the early retirement baseline and should use the ROB baseline instead.

¹⁰¹ RULs are capped at the 75th percentile of equipment age, 21 years, as determined based on DOE survival curves (Figure 3). Systems older than 21 years should use the ROB baseline. See the January 2015 memo, “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment,” for further detail.

¹⁰² Ward, B., Bodington, N., Farah, H., Reeves, S., and Lee, L. “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment.” Prepared by the Evaluation, Measurement, and Verification (EM&V) team for the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT). January 2015. This document has been made available to Texas investor-owned utilities through the EM&V team’s SharePoint.

Figure 2. Central AC/HPs—AC Survival Function¹⁰³





Figure 3. Central AC/HPs—HP Survival Function¹⁰⁴



¹⁰³ Department of Energy, Federal Register, 76 FR 37408, Technical Support Document: 8.2.3.5 Lifetime. June 2011. http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75. Download TSD at: <http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EERE-2011-BT-STD-0011-0012>.

¹⁰⁴ Ibid.

The method for estimating the remaining useful life (RUL) of a replaced system uses the age of the existing system to re-estimate the projected unit lifetime based on the survival function shown in Figure 3. The age of the system being replaced is found on the horizontal axis, and the corresponding percentage of surviving system is determined from the chart. The surviving percentage value is then divided in half, creating a new estimated useful lifetime applicable to the current unit age. The age (year) that corresponds to this new percentage is read from the chart. RUL is estimated as the difference between that age and the current age of the system being replaced.

Deemed Energy Savings Tables¹⁰⁵

Due to the high volume of tables associated with this measure, deemed savings tables are provided in an appendix at the end of this volume.¹⁰⁶ Both cooling and heating savings are specified according to AHRI-rated cooling capacity.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables¹⁰⁷

Due to the high volume of tables associated with this measure, deemed savings tables are provided in an appendix at the end of this volume.¹⁰⁸ Summer demand savings are specified according to AHRI-rated cooling capacity.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables¹⁰⁹

Due to the high volume of tables associated with this measure, deemed savings tables are provided in an appendix at the end of this volume.¹¹⁰ Winter demand savings are specified according to AHRI-rated cooling capacity.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

¹⁰⁵ Rated capacity ranges are specified based on normal rounding convention between capacity categories (values at and above the midpoint round up, while values below the midpoint round down).

¹⁰⁶ Savings tables are also provided in Excel format at the Texas Efficiency website.
<http://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

¹⁰⁷ Rated capacity ranges are specified based on normal rounding convention between capacity categories (values at and above the midpoint round up, while values below the midpoint round down).

¹⁰⁸ Savings tables are also provided in Excel format at the Texas Efficiency website.
<http://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

¹⁰⁹ Rated capacity ranges are specified with a 5 percent tolerance in accordance with AHRI Standard 210/240 to account for systems that are rated slightly below the applicable nominal capacity. AHRI Standard 210/240, Table J1.
http://www.ahrinet.org/App_Content/ahri/files/STANDARDS/AHRI/AHRI_Standard_210-240_2017.pdf.

¹¹⁰ Savings tables are also provided in Excel format at the Texas Efficiency website.
<http://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings>.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 18 years for a central AC and 15 years for a central HP unit based on the current DOE Final Rule standards for central ACs and HPs.¹¹¹

This value is consistent with the EUL reported in the Department of Energy 76 Final Rule 37408 Technical Support Document for Energy Conservation Standards for Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps.¹¹²

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone
- Decision/action type (early retirement, replace-on-burnout, new construction)
- Cooling capacity of the newly installed unit (Btuh)
- Seasonal Energy Efficiency Ratio (SEER) and Energy Efficiency Ratio (EER) of the newly installed unit
- Heating Seasonal Performance Factor (HSPF) of the newly installed unit (HPs only)
- Type of unit replaced (AC with gas furnace, AC with electric resistance furnace, air source HP)
 - Baseline equipment used for savings (if different from unit replaced)
- Type of unit installed (central AC, central HP, dual-fuel HP)
- Age of the replaced unit (early retirement only unless default EUL is applied consistently across the program)
- Retired or replaced heating unit model number, serial number, manufacturer, and heating capacity (electric resistance only)
 - Photograph of retired heating unit nameplate, utility inspection, or other evaluator-approved approach. Sampling is allowed for multifamily complexes.
- Retired cooling unit model number, serial number, manufacturer, and cooling capacity (rightsizing or early retirement unless default EUL is applied consistently across the program)

¹¹¹ Final Rule: Standards, Federal Register, 76 FR 37408 (June 27, 2011) and associated Technical Support Document.

http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/product.aspx/productid/75. Download TSD at: <http://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=EERE-2011-BT-STD-0011-0012>.

¹¹² Department of Energy, Federal Register, 76 FR 37408, Technical Support Document: 8.2.3.5 Lifetime. June 2011.

- Photograph of retired cooling unit nameplate (rightsizing or early retirement unless default EUL is applied consistently across the program)
 - If a photograph of the retired unit nameplate is unavailable or not legible, provide a photo and/or description documenting the reason why the nameplate photo was unobtainable (early retirement only)
 - If a photograph of the retired unit nameplate is unavailable or not legible, provide estimated square footage of conditioned area served by the retired unit (rightsizing only)
- Photograph demonstrating functionality of existing equipment and/or customer responses to survey questionnaire documenting the condition of the replaced unit and their motivation for measure replacement for early retirement eligibility determination (early retirement only)
- If replacing an evaporative cooler, application should include a statement that the customer decision to change equipment types predates or is independent of the decision to install efficient equipment
- Proof of purchase – with date of purchase and quantity
 - Alternative: photo of unit installed or other pre-approved method of installation verification
- Manufacturer, model, and serial number of newly installed unit
 - AHRI/DOE CCMS certificate or reference number matching manufacturer and model number

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- ASHRAE 90.1-1999 (Residential Buildings)
- ACCA Manual J Residential Load Calculation (8th Edition) ¹¹³.

¹¹³ Air Conditioning Contractors of America (ACCA) online store.
<https://www.acca.org/store#/productDetail/DB68FDFC-BB20-E511-80F5-C4346BAC9A78/>.

Document Revision History

Table 70. Residential Central AC/HPs Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	4/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. Low-income and hard-to-reach Market Transformation section merged with main measure as “early retirement” option. Updated by Frontier Energy, March 2014, based on new federal standards.
v2.1	1/30/2015	TRM v2.1 update. No revision.
v3.0	4/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. early retirement savings may be claimed through any appropriately designed program in accordance with EM&V team’s memo, “Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment.” Remaining useful lifetimes updated.
v3.1	11/05/2015	TRM v3.1 update. Revision of cooling savings to reflect heat-pump-specific performance curves. Extension of early retirement cooling savings tables to higher SEER values. Clarification around summer demand savings for single-stage and two-stage units.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Added RUL value for units with an age of one year. Added a default RUL value for when the age of the unit is unknown. Eliminated the eligibility requirement of the existing unit to have a minimum age of five years. Updated savings for 15.0-15.9 SEER range.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. Switched to air conditioner capacity and EIR curve coefficients for estimated heat pump cooling savings. Updated energy savings to use TMY3 temperature bin hours. Updated demand savings for compliance with current peak definition. Added 12.44 SEER and 6.8 HSPF baseline savings tables previously referencing earlier version of TRM. Updated baseline to include replacing air conditioners with gas heat.
v6.0	11/2018	TRM v6.0 update. Updated baseline and eligibility requirements. Added rightsizing savings for replace on burnout in winter demand tables. Added language clarifying use of rated capacity vs nominal and updated the deemed savings tables to show rated Btuh. Clarified required documentation for early retirement.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Consolidated central air conditioner and heat pump measures. Moved deemed savings tables to Appendix A. Updated eligibility for low-income and hard-to-reach.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Clarified early retirement age eligibility. Updated electric resistance baseline documentation.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated early retirement age eligibility. Clarified eligibility for systems where EER does not meet CEE Tier 0 requirements.