2.5.8 Zero-Energy Doors for Refrigerated Cases Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-RF-ZE

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Refrigeration

Applicable Building Types: Any commercial retail facility such as supermarkets, grocery stores, hotels, restaurants and convenience stores

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This document presents the deemed savings methodology for the installation of zero-energy doors for refrigerated cases. These new zero-energy door designs eliminate the need for anti-sweat heaters to prevent the formation of condensation on the glass surface by incorporating heat reflective coatings on the glass, gas inserted between the panes, non-metallic spacers to separate glass panes, and/or non-metallic frames.

Eligibility Criteria

The efficient equipment must be a standard refrigerated case door with design to eliminate the anti-sweat heaters. This measure cannot be used in conjunction with anti-sweat heat (ASH) controls. ~~It is not eligible to be installed on cases above 0ºF.~~

Baseline Condition

The baseline efficiency case is a standard vertical reach-in refrigerated case with anti-sweat heaters on the glass surface of the doors.

High-Efficiency Condition

Eligible high-efficiency equipment is the installation of special doors that eliminate the need for anti-sweat heaters, for low-temperature cases only (below 0 ºF). Doors must have either heat-reflective treated glass, be gas-filled, or both.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

The energy savings from the installation of zero energy doors are a result of eliminating the heater (kWh_{ASH}) and the reduction in load on the refrigeration (kWh_{refrig}). These savings are calculated using the following procedures.

The baseline assumes door heaters are running on an 8,760-hour operating schedule. In the post-retrofit case, it is assumed that the door heaters will be all off (duty cycle of 0 percent).

The instantaneous door heater power (kW_{ASH}) as a resistive load remains constant is per linear horizontal foot of door heater at an assumed 2.5 linear horizontal feet of door:

For medium temperature:

$$kW_{ASH} \quad = \quad 0.109 \text{ per door or 0.0436 per linear foot of door}[424]$$

For low temperature:

$$kW_{ASH} \quad = \quad 0.191 \text{ per door or 0.0764 per linear foot of door}[425]$$

Door heater energy consumption for each hour of the year is a product of power and run-time:

$$kWh_{ASH-Hourly} = kW_{ASH} \times Door\ Heater\ ON\% \times 1Hour$$

Equation 175

$$kWh_{ASH} = \sum kWh_{ASH-Hourly}$$

Equation 176

To calculate energy savings from the reduced refrigeration load using average system efficiency and assuming that 35 percent of the anti-sweat heat becomes a load on the refrigeration system,[426] the cooling load contribution from door heaters can be given by:

---

[424] Here, "medium temperature" is equivalent to the categorization "coolers".
(Pennsylvania TRM) State of Wisconsin, Public Service Commission of Wisconsin, Focus on Energy Evaluation, Business Programs Deemed Savings Manual. Table 4-75. March 22, 2010. https://focusonenergy.com/sites/default/files/bpdeemedsavingsmanuav10_evaluationreport.pdf. This prior source appears to have furthermore been sourced from the Pennsylvania TRM, June 2016, which states that "Three door heater configurations are presented: standard, low-heat, and no-heat. The standard configuration was chosen on the assumption that low-heat and no-heat door cases will be screened from participation."
Pennsylvania TRM, "3.5.6 Controls: Anti-Sweat Heater Controls". page 383, June 2016. https://www.puc.pa.gov/Electric/pdf/Act129/Act129_TRM-2016_Redlined-Final.pdfhttp://www.puc.pa.gov/pcdocs/1350348.docx. Accessed 08/2020..

[425] Ibid. Here, "low temperature" is equivalent to the categorization "freezers".

[426] *A Study of Energy Efficient Solutions for Anti-Sweat Heaters.* Southern California Edison RTTC. December 1999.

$$Q_{ASH}(ton - hrs) = 0.35 \times kW_{ASH} \times \frac{3{,}412\frac{Btu}{hr}}{12{,}000\frac{Btu}{ton}} \times Door\ Heater\ ON\%$$

<div align="right">**Equation 177**</div>

The compressor power requirements are based on calculated cooling load and energy-efficiency ratios obtained from manufacturers' data. The compressor analysis is limited to the cooling load imposed by the door heaters, not the total cooling load of the refrigeration system.

For medium temperature refrigerated cases, the saturated condensing temperature (SCT) is calculated as the design dry-bulb temperature plus 15 degrees. For low temperature refrigerated cases, the SCT is the design dry-bulb temperature plus 10 degrees. The EER for both medium- and low-temperature applications is a function of SCT and part load ratio (PLR) of the compressor. PLR is the ratio of total cooling load to compressor capacity and is assumed to be a constant or 1/1.15 or approximately 0.87.[427]

For medium temperature compressors, the following equation is used to determine the EER_{MT} [Btu/hr/watts]. These values are shown in ~~Error! Reference source not found.~~Table 190.

$$EER_{MT} = a + (b \times SCT) + (c \times PLR) + (d \times SCT^2) + (e \times PLR^2) + (f \times SCT \times PLR)$$
$$+ (g \times SCT^3) + (h \times PLR^3) + (i \times SCT \times PLR^2) + (j \times SCT^2 \times PLR)$$

<div align="right">**Equation 178**[428]</div>

Where:

a	=	*3.75346018700468*
b	=	*-0.049642253137389*
c	=	*29.4589834935596*
d	=	*0.000342066982768282*
e	=	*-11.7705583766926*
f	=	*-0.212941092717051*
g	=	*-1.46606221890819 x 10^{-6}*
h	=	*6.80170133906075*
I	=	*-0.020187240339536*
j	=	*0.000657941213335828*

---

[427] *Work Paper PGEREF108: Anti-Sweat Heat (ASH) Controls.* Pacific Gas and Electric Company. May 29, 2009. Assumes 15% oversizing.

[428] San Diego Gas & Electric, Work Paper WPSDGENRRN0009: Anti-Sweat Heat (ASH) Controls, "Energy Savings Estimation Methodologies". page 4, Figure 2. August 2012. https://www.sdge.com/sites/default/files/WPSDGENRRN0009%2520Rev%25200%2520Anti-Sweat%2520Heat%2520%2528ASH%2529%2520Controls%2520_0.doc.

| PLR | = | 0.87 |
| SCT | = | T_{DB} + 15 |

For low temperature compressors, the following equation is used to determine the EER_{LT} [Btu/hr/watts]:

$$EER_{LT} = a + (b \times SCT) + (c \times PLR) + (d \times SCT^2) + (e \times PLR^2) + (f \times SCT \times PLR) + (g \times SCT^3) + (h \times PLR^3) + (i \times SCT \times PLR^2) + (j \times SCT^2 \times PLR)$$

Equation 179[429]

Where:

a	=	9.86650982829017
b	=	-0.230356886617629
c	=	22.905553824974
d	=	0.00218892905109218
e	=	-2.4886737934442
f	=	-0.248051519588758
g	=	-7.57495453950879 x 10^{-6}
h	=	2.03606248623924
i	=	-0.0214774331896676
j	=	0.000938305518020252
PLR	=	0.87
SCT	=	T_{DB} + 10

Table 190. Coefficients by Climate Zone

Climate zone	T_{DB}[430]	SCT_{MT}	SCT_{LT}	EER_{MT}	EER_{LT}
Zone 1: Amarillo	98.6	113.6	108.6	6.18	4.74
Zone 2: Dallas	101.4	116.4	111.4	5.91	4.56
Zone 3: Houston	97.5	112.5	107.5	6.29	4.86
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	96.8	111.8	106.8	6.36	4.91
Zone 5: El Paso	101.1	116.1	111.1	5.94	4.58

Where:

---

[429] Ibid.
[430] 2017 ASHRAE Handbook: Fundamentals, 0.4% summer design dry-bulb temperatures. http://ashrae-meteo.info/v2.0/.

T_{DB} =   *Dry Bulb Temperature*

~~T_{d-out} =   *Outdoor Dew Point*~~

Energy used by the compressor to remove heat imposed by the door heaters for each hourly reading is determined based on calculated cooling load and EER, as outlined below:

$$kWh_{refrig-hourly} = Q_{ASH} \times \frac{12}{EER}$$

Equation 180

$$kWh_{refrig} = \sum kWh_{refrig-Hourly}$$

Equation 181

Total annual energy consumption (direct door heaters and indirect refrigeration) is the sum of all hourly reading values:

$$kWh_{total} = kWh_{refrig} + kWh_{ASH}$$

Equation 182

Total energy savings is a result of the baseline and post-Retrofit case:

$$Annual\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh] = kWh_{total-baseline} - kWh_{total-post}$$

Equation 183

While there might be instantaneous demand savings because of the cycling of the door heaters, peak demand savings will only be due to the reduced refrigeration load. Peak demand savings is calculated by the following equation:

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings = \frac{kWh_{refrig-baseline} - kWh_{refrig-post}}{8,760}$$

Equation 184

336

Nonresidential: Refrigeration   *Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3*
Zero-Energy Doors for Refrigerated Cases   *November 2021*

Table 191. Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Values by Climate Zone and Refrigeration Temperature

Climate zone	Medium temperature		Low temperature	
	Annual energy savings (kWh/Rdoor)	Peak demand savings (kW/Rdoor)	Annual energy savings (kWh/Rdoor)	Peak demand savings (kW/Rdoor)
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,139	0.130	2,092	0.239
Zone 2: Dallas	1,148	0.131	2,111	0.241
Zone 3: Houston	1,136	0.130	2,084	0.238
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1,134	0.129	2,080	0.237
Zone 5: El Paso	1,147	0.131	2,109	0.241

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 12 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID GrocDisp-ZeroHtDrs.[431]

~~The EUL has been defined for this measure as 12 years per the PUCT approved Texas EUL filing (Docket No. 36779) and the DEER 2014 EUL update (EUL ID—GrocDisp-ZeroHtDrs).[432]~~

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

- Refrigeration temperature range

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- PUCT Docket 40669 provides energy and demand savings and measure specifications
- PUCT Docket 36779 provides EUL values for Zero Energy Doors

---

[431] DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi.
[432] ~~Database for Energy Efficient Resources, EUL Table Update, February 5, 2014. Accessed 08/2020. http://www.deeresources.com/files/DEER2013codeUpdate/download/DEER2014-EUL-table-update-2014-02-05.xlsx.~~

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- DEER 2014 EUL update

Document Revision History

Table 192. Nonresidential Zero-Energy Doors for Refrigerated Cases Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.
v2.0	04/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. No revisions.
v3.0	04/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revisions.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. Updated savings methodology to be consistent with the door heater controls measure.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revisions.
v6.0	10/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revisions.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revisions.
v8.0	10/20220	TRM v8.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Clarified energy and demand savings are in kW/door rather than kW/feet. Updated EUL reference.

2.5.9 Door Gaskets for Walk-In and Reach-In Coolers and Freezers Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-RF-DG

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Refrigeration

Applicable Building Types: Any commercial retail facility such as supermarkets, convenience stores, restaurants, and refrigerated warehouses

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: M&V, engineering algorithms, and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to the installation of door gaskets on walk-in and reach-in coolers and freezers to reduce the refrigeration load associated with the infiltration of non-refrigerated air into the refrigerated space. Additionally, the reduction in moisture entering the refrigerated space also helps prevent frost on the cooling coils. Frost build-up adversely impacts the coil's heat transfer effectiveness, reduces air passage (lowering heat transfer efficiency), and increases energy use during the defrost cycle. Therefore, replacing defective door gaskets reduces compressor run time, reducing energy consumption and improving the overall effectiveness of heat removal from a refrigerated cabinet.

Eligibility Criteria

Door gaskets must be installed on walk-in and reach-in coolers or freezers. The most common applications for this measure are refrigerated coolers or freezers in supermarkets, convenience stores, restaurants, and refrigerated warehouses.

Baseline Condition

The baseline standard for this measure is a walk-in or reach-in cooler or freezer with worn-out, defective door gaskets with at least six inches of damage for reach-in units and at least two feet of damage for walk-in units.[433] An average baseline gasket efficacy[434] of 90 percent is assumed for this measure.

---

[433] Musgrave, Dwight. Emerson Design Services Network. "Study of Typical Gasket Deterioration", Feb 27, 2008. Slide 24. https://slideplayer.com/slide/4525301/.

[434] Gasket efficacy is defined as the ratio of the gasket length that was removed by the installers to the gasket length that was replaced. A 90 percent gasket efficacy translates to an average of 10 percent of missing, badly damaged or ineffective gasket by length replaced.

High-Efficiency Condition

The efficient condition for this measure is a new, better-fitting gasket. Tight fitting gaskets inhibit infiltration of warm, moist air into the cold refrigerated space, reducing the cooling load. A decrease in moisture entering the refrigerated space also prevents frost on cooling coils.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

The energy savings assumptions are based on DEER 2005 analysis performed by Southern California Edison (SCE) and an evaluation of a Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) direct install refrigeration measures for program year 2006-2008.[435,436] The results from the PG&E evaluation were used as the foundation for establishing the energy savings for the refrigeration gasket measures. The energy savings achievable for new gaskets replacing baseline gaskets were found during this study to be dependent almost entirely on the leakage through the baseline gaskets. Therefore, the energy savings attributable to door gaskets were derived for various scenarios regarding baseline gasket efficacies and are shown in Table 193Table 193 below.

Table 193. Energy Savings Achievable for New Gaskets Replacing Baseline Gaskets of Various Efficacies (per Linear Foot of Installed Door Gasket)[437]

Refrigerator type	Baseline 0% efficacy (kWh/ft)	Baseline 50% efficacy (kWh/ft)	Baseline 90% efficacy (kWh/ft)	Baseline 100% efficacy (kWh/ft)
Cooler	30	15	3	0
Freezer	228	114	23	0

As the PG&E analysis was performed in California with different climate zones as compared to those in Texas, an analysis was conducted to develop an adjustment factor to associate the savings in the table above to Texas anticipated results. The PG&E study could not be used to determine these effects, as insufficient climate zones were researched. Therefore, the SCE study was utilized as savings in this study were determined for each of the 16 climate zones in California and were similar[438] to those assessed within the PG&E results at 90 percent efficacy. A comparison was completed between the SCE energy savings and the typical meteorological year

---

[435] Southern California Edison (SCE). WPSCNRRN0013—Door Gaskets for Glass Doors of Medium and Low Temperature Reach-in Display Cases and Solid Doors of Reach-in Coolers and Freezers. 2007.

[436] Commercial Facilities Contract Group (ComFac), 2006-2008 Direct Impact Evaluation Study ID: PUC0016.01. February 18, 2010. http://www.calmac.org/publications/comfac_evaluation_v1_final_report_02-18-2010.pdfhttps://energy.mo.gov/sites/energy/files/comfac_evaluation_v1_final_report_02-18-2010.pdf.

[437] Ibid., Table 5-3.

[438] The SCE ex-ante savings as reported in the PG&E report were 10.2 and 21.7 kWh/linear foot for coolers and freezers respectively.
Commercial Facilities Contract Group (ComFac), 2006-2008 Direct Impact Evaluation Study ID: PUC0016.01. February 18, 2010. Table 5-3. http://www.calmac.org/publications/comfac_evaluation_v1_final_report_02-18-2010.pdfhttps://energy.mo.gov/sites/energy/files/comfac_evaluation_v1_final_report_02-18-2010.pdf.
Modeled savings as reported in the SEC report for climate zone 4 were approximately 6 and 15 kWh/linear foot for coolers and freezers respectively.

3 (TMY3) data[439] to establish a cooling degree day (CDD) correlation across the 16 California climate zones. Figure 3 provides a summary comparison for coolers and Figure 4 for freezers. The resulting correlations are strong, with an R^2 of 0.85 for coolers and an R^2 of 0.88 for freezers, respectively.

Figure 3. Comparison of Projected Annual Energy Savings to Cooling Degree Days for All 16 California Climate Zones for Reach-In Display Cases (Coolers)

Coolers

$$y = -2E\text{-}07x^2 + 0.0014x + 5.0853$$
$$R^2 = 0.8451$$

Figure 4. Comparison of Projected Annual Energy Savings to Cooling Degree Days for All 16 California Climate Zones for Reach-In Display Cases (Freezers)

---

[439] http://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savingshttps://gds-files.nrelcloud.org/rredc/1991-2005.zip

$$y = -3E{-}07x^2 + 0.0033x + 13.391$$
$$R^2 = 0.8759$$

These correlations were used to adjust the energy savings and TMY3 CDDs in California to TMY3 CDDs in Texas to determine an average energy savings of 7.4 and 20.0 kWh/linear feet for coolers and freezers in Texas. Comparing the average energy savings between California and Texas, the CDD adjustment results in a 113 percent adjustment factor for coolers and a 117 percent adjustment factor for freezers. For simplicity, an average adjustment factor of 115 percent (the midpoint of 113% and 117% TX vs. CA Energy Savings values) was applied to the PG&E results at 90 percent efficacy (as shown in Table 193Table 193 above), resulting in Texas-based annual energy savings values for coolers of 3.5 kWh/linear feet and freezers of 26.5 kWh/linear feet. These results are summarized in Table 194Table 194 below.

Table 194. Energy Savings Achievable for New Gaskets Replacing Baseline Gaskets of Various Efficacies (per Linear Foot of Installed Door Gasket)

Refrigerator type	CA CZ1-CZ16 average savings (kWh/ft)	CA average savings normalized to TX by CDD (kWh/ft)	TX vs. CA energy savings	Average CDD adjustment factor	PG&E baseline 90% efficacy (kWh/ft)	TX baseline 90% efficacy (kWh/ft)
Cooler	6.5	7.4	113%	115%	3	3.5
Freezer	17.1	20.0	117%		23	26.5

Because the walk-in or reach-in cooler or freezer is kept at a constant temperature, the demand savings are estimated as the total energy savings divided evenly over the full year (8,760 hours).

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

The energy and demand algorithms and associated input variables are listed below:

$$Energy\ Savings\ [kWh] = \frac{\Delta kWh}{ft} \times L$$

<div align="right">**Equation 185**</div>

$$Demand\ Savings\ [kW] = \frac{kWh_{Savings}}{8760} \times L$$

<div align="right">**Equation 186**</div>

Where:

    $\Delta kWh/ft$ =   *Annual energy savings per linear foot of gasket (see ~~Table 195~~Table 195)*

    L   =   *Total gasket length (ft)*

> **Formatted:** Font: Italic

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Table 195. Deemed Energy and Demand Savings per Linear Foot of Installed Door Gasket

Refrigerator type	ΔkW/ft	ΔkWh/ft
Walk-in or Reach-in Cooler	0.0004	3.5
Walk-in or Reach-in Freezer	0.0030	26.5

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Because the walk-in or reach-in cooler or freezer is kept at a constant temperature, the demand savings are estimated as the total energy savings divided evenly over the full year (8,760 hours).

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 3 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID GrocDisp-FixtDrGask.[440]

~~The EUL for this measure is 4 years, according to the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (EUL ID – GrocDisp-FixtDrGask).[441]~~

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

---

[440] DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi.
~~[441] Database for Energy Efficient Resources, EUL Table Update, February 5, 2014. Accessed 08/2020. http://www.deeresources.com/files/DEER2013codeUpdate/download/DEER2014-EUL-table-update-2014-02-05.xlsx.~~

343

Nonresidential: Refrigeration   Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3
Door Gaskets for Walk-In and Reach-In Coolers and Freezers   November 2021

- Building type (convenience store, supermarket, restaurant, refrigerated warehouse)

- Refrigerator type (walk-in or reach-in cooler or freezer)

- Length of ineffective gasket (ft.)

- Primary reason for ineffectiveness (missing, torn through both sides, rotted/dry, poor fit/shrink, or other)

- Total length of installed gasket (ft.)

- Presence of existing gasket (yes/no)

- ~~Optional (if applicable): length of ineffective baseline gasket (feet), general description of baseline gasket condition (e.g., good, moderate, poor, non-existent), and primary reason for baseline gasket ineffectiveness (partial tear, torn and dislocated, rotted/dry, poor fit/shrink, missing, or other)~~

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- Docket No. 48265. Petition of AEP Texas Inc., CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, El Paso Electric Company, Entergy Texas, Inc., Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC, Southwestern Electric Power Company, Southwestern Public Service Company, and Texas-New Mexico Power Company. *Petition to Approve Deemed Savings for New Nonresidential Door Air Infiltration, Nonresidential Door Gaskets, And Residential ENERGY STAR® Connected Thermostats.* Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- TMY3 Hourly Weather Data by Climate Zone[442]

~~Not applicable.~~

Document Revision History

Table 196. Nonresidential Door Gaskets for Walk-In and Reach-In Coolers and Freezers Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v6.0	10/2018	TRM v6.0 origin.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revisions.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. General reference checks and text edits. Updated EUL reference.

---

[442] http://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings

2.5.10 High Speed Doors for Cold Storage Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-RF-HS

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Refrigeration

Applicable Building Types: Commercial

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Algorithms

Savings Methodology: Algorithms

Measure Description

This measure presents deemed savings for installation of high speed doors for cold storage facilities. High speed automatic doors differ from regular automatic doors by increasing their closing speed. High speed doors can save energy over regular automatic and manual doors by shortening the duration that the door to the cold storage area is open.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligible equipment includes high speed doors with a minimum opening rate of 32 inches per second, a minimum closing rate of 24 inches per second, and a means to automatically reclose the door, as defined by the Door and Access Systems Manufacturers' Association, International (DASMA).[443] The high speed doors must be installed for access to a cold storage area either from exterior conditions, such as a loading dock, or from a conditioned area, such as a non-refrigerated warehouse.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a manual or non-high speed automatic door installed for access to a cold storage area.

High-Efficiency Condition

The efficient condition is a high speed door installed for access to a cold storage area.

---

[443] DASMA Standard Specification for High Speed Doors and Grilles, definition 2.6 for High Speed Door. https://www.dasma.com/wp-content/uploads/pubs/Standards/DASMA403.pdf https://www.dasma.com/PDF/Publications/standards/DASMA403.pdf. Accessed August 2020.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings are calculated based on a reduction in heat gain from airflow across the door opening area. The algorithms below are modeled after equations 14 and 16 in Chapter 24: Refrigerated-Facility Loads of the 2018 ASHRAE Handbook—Refrigeration to calculate heat load associated with infiltration air exchange. This measure does not account for associated motor load or efficiencies; if the new high-speed door includes an efficient motor, reference the motor measure for savings.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

$$\text{kWh savings} = \frac{w \times h^{1.5} \times energy\ factor}{COP \times 3,412}$$

Equation 187

$$energy\ factor = hours \times 3,790 \times \frac{q_s}{A} \times \frac{1}{R_s} \times \Delta D_t \times D_f \times \Delta E$$

Equation 188

$$\text{kW savings} = \frac{w \times h^{1.5} \times demand\ factor}{COP \times 3,412}$$

Equation 189

$$demand\ factor = 3,790 \times \frac{q_s}{A} \times \frac{1}{R_s} \times \Delta D_t \times D_f \times \Delta E$$

Equation 190

Where:

w	=	*width of the door opening, ft*
h	=	*height of the door opening, ft*
$energy\ factor$	=	*the outcome of Equation 188Equation 188Equation 209 based on climate zone and cold storage application, see Table 197Table 197, and Table 198Table 198*
$demand\ factor$	=	*the outcome of Equation 190Equation 190Equation 211 based on climate zone and cold storage application, see Table 199Table 199, Table 200Table 200, and Table 201Table 201*
$hours$	=	*operating hours, 3,798[444]*

| Formatted: Font: Arial, 11 pt, Not Bold |
| Formatted: Font: Arial |
| Formatted: Font: Arial |
| Formatted: Font: Arial, 11 pt, Not Bold |
| Formatted: Font: Arial |
| Formatted: Font: Arial |
| Formatted: Font: Arial |

---

[444] Operating hours taken from TRM Volume 3, Table 8, hours for refrigerated warehouse.

3,790 = constant[445]

$\frac{q_s}{A}$ = sensible heat load of infiltration air per square foot of door opening, ton/ft², see Table 202 ~~Table 202~~

R_s = Sensible heat ratio of the infiltration air heat gain, see Table 203 ~~Table 203~~

ΔD_t = change in percent of time the doorway is open, 0.33[446]

D_f = Doorway flow factor, varies based on Temperature delta between cold room and infiltration air, 0.8 for delta $T \geq$ 20°F, 1.1 for delta $T <$ 20°F[447]

ΔE = change in door effectiveness, 0.2[448]

COP = coefficient of performance, assume 2.8 COP[449]

---

[445] From ASHRAE 2018 Refrigeration Handbook, Chapter 24-4, equation 16.

[446] From ASHRAE 2018 Refrigeration Handbook, Chapter 24-4, simplification of equation 17 notes; assume baseline door open-close time is 15 seconds, and high speed door open-close time is 10 seconds, for a difference in percent of time the door is open of (15-10)/15 = 0.33.

[447] ASHRAE 2018 Refrigeration Handbook, Chapter 24-4, equation 17 notes.

[448] ASHRAE 2018 Refrigeration Handbook, Chapter 24-4, simplification of equation 17 notes. ASHRAE provides a range of doorway effectiveness, stating 0.95 for newly installed doors though that may quickly decrease to 0.8 or 0.85 depending on door use frequency and maintenance. Air curtain effectiveness ranges from very poor to more than 0.7. The input assumptions for this measure are conservatively estimated for baseline door effectiveness of 0.7 and high speed door effectiveness of 0.9.

[449] Air cooled chiller efficiency from IECC 2009.

3,412   = *conversion factors*

Table 197. High Speed Doors—Energy Factors for Door to Unconditioned Area

Cold room temperature	-20°F	0°F	20°F	40°F
Zone 1: Amarillo	849,911	76,602	324,007	122,795
Zone 2: Dallas	1,025,489	719,712	432,092	209,695
Zone 3: Houston	1,179,743	837,151	562,418	420,336
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	1,240,984	887,904	603,598	464,913
Zone 5: El Paso	902,050	614,930	343,300	142,285

Table 198. High Speed Doors—Energy Factors for Door to Conditioned Area

Cold room temperature	-20°F	0°F	20°F	40°F
All Climate Zones	783,056	518,199	322,435	230,311

Table 199. High Speed Doors—Summer and Winter Demand Factors for Door to Conditioned Area

Cold room temperature	All temperatures
All Climate Zones	1.0

Table 200. High Speed Doors—Summer Demand Factors for Door to Unconditioned Area

Cold room temperature	-20°F	0°F	20°F	40°F
Zone 1: Amarillo	278.94	208.20	141.49	90.96
Zone 2: Dallas	293.09	218.30	153.62	101.07
Zone 3: Houston	293.09	218.30	153.62	101.07
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	264.79	192.03	131.39	76.81
Zone 5: El Paso	278.94	208.20	141.49	90.96

Table 201. High Speed Doors—Winter Demand Factors for Door to Unconditioned Area

Cold room temperature	-20°F	0°F	20°F	40°F
Zone 1: Amarillo	40.43	-	-	-
Zone 2: Dallas	40.43	-	-	-
Zone 3: Houston	80.85	36.38	22.23	-
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	80.85	36.38	22.23	-
Zone 5: El Paso	80.85	36.38	-	-

Table 202. High Speed Doors— $\frac{q_s}{A}$, Sensible Heat Load of Infiltration Air[450]

	Applicable climate zones							
	Z1-2, winter peak	Z3-5, winter peak	Z1, annual	Z2, Z5, annual	Z3-4, annual	Z4, summer peak	Z1, Z5, summer peak	Z2-3, summer peak
	Infiltration air temperature							
Cold room temperature	15°F	30°F	63°F	70°F	75°F	96°F	99°F	103°F
-20°F	0.2	0.40	0.85	0.94	1.02	1.31	1.38	1.45
0°F	-	0.18	0.55	0.62	0.68	0.95	1.03	1.08
20°F	-	0.08	0.30	0.35	0.42	0.65	0.70	0.76
40°F	-	-	0.13	0.17	0.30	0.38	0.45	0.50

---

[450] From ASHRAE 2018 Refrigeration Handbook, Chapter 24-4, figure 9. Values in table are summarized to reflect average annual and summer and winter peak infiltration air Temperatures. Where infiltration air Temperatures are not shown on ASHRAE figure 9, $\frac{q_s}{A}$ is estimated by extrapolation. Values for infiltration air temperature of 75°F are used to calculate energy and demand factors for doorways between cold room and conditioned space.

Table 203. High Speed Doors—R_s, Sensible Heat Ratio of Infiltration Air[451]

Applicable climate zones	For energy factor, unconditioned space				For energy factor, conditioned space	For demand factor, conditioned and unconditioned space		
	Cold room temperature							
	-20°F	0°F	20°F	40°F	All temps	Summer, all temps	Winter, all temps
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.77	0.73	0.71	0.81	1.0	1.0	1.0
Zone 2: Dallas	0.70	0.66	0.62	0.62			
Zone 3: Houston	0.66	0.62	0.57	0.55			
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.63	0.58	0.53	0.50			
Zone 5: El Paso	0.80	0.77	0.78	0.92			

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

There are no deemed savings tables for this measure. Please refer to the savings algorithms above.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

The utilization of the High Speed Doors coincident with the peak demand period is uncertain, an average of the total savings over the operating hours per facility type is used (the absence of $hours$ in Equation 190~~Equation 190~~ implies Equation 187~~Equation 187~~ can be divided by $hours$ to yield $kW\ savings$.

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for this measure is 5 years based on published manufacturer warranty duration.

---

[451] Sensible heat ratio determined from psychrometric chart, using values for the air properties of dry bulb Temperature and relative humidity. Relative humidity of the cold room is estimated at 90% based on ASHRAE 2018 Refrigeration Handbook, Chapter 24-4, Table 9. Energy factor values for unconditioned space are the average annual values between the expected operating hours of 8am – 6pm using TMY3 data. Demand factor values for unconditioned space are taken using the highest probability Temperatures from TRM Volume 1 and their associated relative humidity from TMY3 data. Energy and demand factor values for conditioned space assume conditioned air temperature of 75°F and 45% RH.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

- Climate zone
- Cold room temperature
- Doorway opening location —(conditioned or unconditioned)
- Door quantity
- Width and height of door(s)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Not applicable.

Document Revision History

Table 204. Nonresidential High Speed Doors for Cold Storage Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 origin.
v9.0	10/20221	TRM v9.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.

2.6 NONRESIDENTIAL: WATER HEATING

~~2.5.11~~2.6.1 Central Domestic Hot Water ~~(DHW)~~ Controls Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-~~WH~~MS-DC

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: ~~Miscellaneous~~Water Heating

Applicable Building Types: Multifamily, lodging, nursing homes, dormitories, prisons, offices, and education

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

Central domestic hot water (DHW) systems with recirculation pumps distribute hot water continuously throughout the building to the end-users. DHW pump controls save energy by reducing the operating hours of the circulation pumps and reducing thermal losses throughout the distribution system.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to commercial and lodging applications with a central DHW system that includes a pump to circulate hot water through the distribution loop. To be eligible for these deemed savings, the control strategy must include operating the pump only when the hot water circulation loop temperature drops below a specific value, and there is hot water demand called by an end-user.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a new or existing central DHW system with a circulation pump that operates continuously.

High-Efficiency Condition

The measure requires the installation of a pump controller with a combination temperature and demand control method.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings for central DHW controls come from circulation pump controller runtime reduction and thermal distribution loss reduction. Pump runtime savings apply to all projects, while thermal distribution loss reduction applies only to lodging sites with an electrically fueled water heater.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Circulation Pump Savings Algorithm

$$Annual\ Pump\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh]$$
$$= kW_{pump} \times \left(Pump_{\%On\_base}^{\ \ \ \ Pump\%On\_base} - Pump_{\%On\_eff}^{\ \ \ \ Pump\%On\_eff}\right) \times Hours$$

Equation 191

$$Pump\ Demand\ Savings\ [kW] = Annual\ Pump\ Energy\ Savings\ \times PLS$$

Equation 192

Where:

kW_{pump}	=	*The demand used by the circulation pump, obtained from the project site. If unknown, assume 0.075 kW.*
$Pump_{\%On\_base}$	=	*Baseline pump operation as percentage of time, 100%.*
$Pump_{\%On\_eff}$	=	*Efficient pump operation as percentage of time, 7%[452].*
$Hours$	=	*Hours per year = 8,760.*
PLS	=	*Probability weighted peak load share, ~~Table 205~~Table 205.*

Table 205. Central DHW Controls—Probability Weighted Peak Load Share[453]

Building type	Commercial		Lodging[454]	
Climate zone	Summer peak	Winter peak	Summer peak	Winter peak
Zone 1	0.00016	0.00011	0.00012	0.00015
Zone 2	0.00017	0.00011	0.00012	0.00014

---

[452] A 93% pump runtime reduction is assumed based on the average runtime reduction of field studies conducted at multiple sites: "Evaluation of New DHW System Controls in Hospitality and Commercial Buildings," Minnesota Department of Commerce, average reduction of 87%; and "Energy-Efficiency Controls for Multifamily Domestic Hot Water Systems," New York State Energy Research and Development Authority, average reduction of 99%.

[453] Probability weighted peak load factors are calculated according to the method in Section 4 of the Texas TRM Vol 1 using data from the EPRI Load Shape Library 6.0. ERCOT regional End Use Load Shapes for Water and Process Heating. Peak Season, Peak Weekday values used for summer calculations. Off Peak Season, Peak Weekday values used for winter calculations. http://loadshape.epri.com/enduse. ~~Accessed July 2020.~~

[454] For the purposes of this measure, the lodging building type applies to all buildings where lodging takes place, including multifamily, hotels, nursing homes, dormitories, prisons, and similar.

Building type	Commercial		Lodging[454]	
Climate zone	Summer peak	Winter peak	Summer peak	Winter peak
Zone 3	0.00016	0.00011	0.00012	0.00015
Zone 4	0.00016	0.00011	0.00012	0.00015
Zone 5	0.00018	0.00011	0.00012	0.00014

Thermal Distribution Savings Algorithm

$$Annual\ Thermal\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh] = \#\ Units\ \times kWh_{reference}\ \times HDD\ Adjustment$$

Equation 193

$$Thermal\ Demand\ Savings\ [kW] = Annual\ Thermal\ Energy\ Savings\ \times PLS$$

Equation 194

Where:

# Units	=	*The number of dwelling units at the project site.*
$kWh_{reference}$	=	*Annual kWh energy savings from reference study, Table 206Table 206.*
HDD Adjustment	=	*Climate adjustment for Texas heating degree days, Table 207Table 207.*
PLS	=	*Probability weighted peak load share, Table 205Table 205.*

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

Table 206. Central DHW Controls—Reference kWh by Water Heater and Building Type[455]

Water heater type	Electric resistance		Heat pump	
Building type	Low rise	High rise	Low rise	High rise
kWh reference	539	332	211	130

Table 207. Central DHW Controls—HDD Adjustment Factors[456]

Climate zone	HDD adjustment
Zone 1	1.9
Zone 2	1.1
Zone 3	0.7
Zone 4	0.5
Zone 5	1.1

---

[455] Reference kWh are the annual energy savings per dwelling unit from the Southern California Edison Company Work Paper SCE13WP002, Demand Control for Centralized Water Heater Recirculation Pump for California Climate Zone 13.

[456] HDD Adjustment factors for DHW controls are derived by dividing the HDD for each Texas climate zone by the HDD from the reference climate zone (California Climate Zone 13).

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

Table 208 presents the energy savings (kWh) for a range of pump sizes for all climate zones. The deemed savings are provided for convenience, but the algorithm may be used for pump sizes that differ from the assumed wattage listed in the tables.

Table 208. Central DHW Controls—Annual kWh Circulation Pump Savings

Pump size (watts)	Assumed wattage	Annual pump kWh savings
≤ 50	50	407
50 < watts < 100	75	611
100 ≤ watts < 150	125	1,018
≥ 150	150	1,222

Table 209 presents the thermal energy savings (kWh) per dwelling unit for all climate zones. Thermal energy savings only apply to lodging building types where lodging takes place (multifamily, hotels, nursing homes, dormitories, prisons, and similar). For commercial applications, please follow a custom approach.

Table 209. Central DHW Controls—Annual kWh Thermal Distribution Savings per Dwelling Unit

Climate zone	Electric resistance		Heat pump	
	Low rise	High rise	Low rise	High rise
Zone 1	1,007	620	395	243
Zone 2	566	349	222	137
Zone 3	372	229	146	90
Zone 4	249	153	98	60
Zone 5	590	364	231	143

Deemed Summer and Winter Demand Savings Tables

The following tables present the peak demand impacts for all climate zones.

Table 210. Central DHW Controls—Peak Demand kW Circulation Pump Savings

Pump size	Climate zone	Commercial		Lodging	
		Summer peak kW	Winter peak kW	Summer peak kW	Winter peak kW
≤ 50	Zone 1	0.065	0.045	0.049	0.061
	Zone 2	0.069	0.045	0.049	0.057
	Zone 3	0.065	0.045	0.049	0.061
	Zone 4	0.065	0.045	0.049	0.061
	Zone 5	0.073	0.045	0.049	0.057

Pump size	Climate zone	Commercial		Lodging	
		Summer peak kW	Winter peak kW	Summer peak kW	Winter peak kW
50 < watts < 100	Zone 1	0.098	0.067	0.073	0.092
	Zone 2	0.104	0.067	0.073	0.086
	Zone 3	0.098	0.067	0.073	0.092
	Zone 4	0.098	0.067	0.073	0.092
	Zone 5	0.110	0.067	0.073	0.086
100 ≤ watts < 150	Zone 1	0.163	0.112	0.122	0.153
	Zone 2	0.173	0.112	0.122	0.143
	Zone 3	0.163	0.112	0.122	0.153
	Zone 4	0.163	0.112	0.122	0.153
	Zone 5	0.183	0.112	0.122	0.143
≥ 150	Zone 1	0.196	0.134	0.147	0.183
	Zone 2	0.208	0.134	0.147	0.171
	Zone 3	0.196	0.134	0.147	0.183
	Zone 4	0.196	0.134	0.147	0.183
	Zone 5	0.220	0.134	0.147	0.171

Table 211. Central DHW Controls—Peak Demand kW Thermal Savings per Dwelling Unit

Climate zone	Summer peak				Winter peak			
	Electric resistance		Heat pump		Electric resistance		Heat pump	
	Low rise	High rise	Low rise	High rise	Low rise	High rise	Low rise	High rise
Zone 1	0.12	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.15	0.09	0.06	0.04
Zone 2	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.02
Zone 3	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.03	0.02	0.01
Zone 4	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01
Zone 5	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.02

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 15 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-Timeclock.[457]

~~The estimated useful life (EUL) for central DHW controls is 15 years.[458]~~

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

It is required that the following list of primary inputs and contextual data be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone
- Circulation pump wattage
- Building type: commercial or lodging
- Building size: Low rise or high rise
- Water heater type: electric resistance or heat pump
- If lodging, number of lodging units at project site

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- DEER 2014 EUL update.

Document Revision History

Table 212. Nonresidential Central DHW Controls Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated EUL reference.

---

[457] DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi.
~~[458] DEER 2014.~~

2.5.122.6.2   Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves
Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MSWH-SV

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: MiscellaneousWater Heating

Applicable Building Types: Lodging

Fuels Affected: Electricity, gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure consists of installing a temperature sensitive restrictor valve (TSRV)[459] between the existing shower arm and showerhead. The valve restricts hot water flow through the showerhead once the water reaches a set temperature (generally 95°F) to prevent water from going down the drain prior to the user entering the shower, thereby eliminating behavioral waste.

Eligibility Criteria

These deemed savings are for temperature sensitive restrictor valves installed in new construction or as a retrofit measure in commercial lodging applications. Buildings must have electrically fueled hot water to be eligible for this measure.To use deemed savings, the fuel type of the water heater must be electricity or gas.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is the commercial lodging shower arm and standard (2.5 gpm) showerhead without a temperature sensitive restrictor valve installed.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a temperature sensitive restrictor valve installed on a commercial lodging shower arm and showerhead with either a standard (2.5 gpm) or low-flow (2.0, 1.75, or 1.5 gpm) showerhead.

---

[459] A temperature sensitive restrictor valve is any device that uses water temperature to regulate water flow in showers.

358

Nonresidential Measures: Water Heating   *Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3*
Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves   *November 2021*

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Estimated Hot Water Usage Reduction

To determine gallons of behavioral waste (defined as hot water that goes down the drain before the user enters the shower) per year, the following formula was used:

$$Annual\ Showerhead\ Behavioral\ Waste = SHFR \times BW \times n_S \times 365\ \frac{days}{year} \times \frac{OCC}{n_{SH}}$$

Equation 195

Where:

SHFR	=	*Showerhead flow rate, gallons per minute (gpm) (see Table 213Table 213)*
BW	=	*Behavioral waste, minutes per shower (see Table 213Table 213)*
n_S	=	*Number of showers per occupied room per day (see Table 213Table 213)*
365	=	*Constant to convert days to years (see Table 213Table 213)*
OCCoccₚ	=	*Occupancy rate (see Table 213Table 213)*
n_{SH}	=	*Number of showerheads per room (see Table 213Table 213)*

Applying the formula to the values used for Texas from Table 213Table 213 returns the following values for baseline behavioral waste in gallons per showerhead per year:

$$Showerhead\ (2.5\ GPM): 2.5 \times 1.742 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0} = 1{,}838\ gal$$

$$Showerhead\ (2.0\ GPM): 2.0 \times 1.742 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0} = 1{,}471\ gal$$

$$Showerhead\ (1.75\ GPM): 1.75 \times 1.742 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0} = 1{,}287\ gal$$

$$Showerhead\ (1.5\ GPM): 1.5 \times 1.742 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0} = 1{,}103\ gal$$

Gallons of hot water saved per year can be found by multiplying the baseline behavioral waste gallons per year by the percent of hot water from Table 213~~Table 213~~.

$$Gallons\ of\ hot\ water\ saved\ per\ year\ =\ Annual\ Behavioral\ Waste \times HW\%$$

Equation 196

Where:

HW% = Hot water percentage (see Table 213~~Table 213~~)

Table 213. Showerhead TSRVs—Hot Water Usage Reduction

Description	2.5 gpm	2.0 gpm	1.75 gpm	1.5 gpm
Average behavioral waste (minutes per shower)[460]				1.742
Showers/occupied room/day[461]				1.756
Occupancy rate[462]				65.9%
Showerheads/room[463]				1.0
Behavioral waste/showerhead/year (gal)	1,838	1,471	1,287	1,103
Percent hot water[464]	80-85%, or 82.5% on average			
Hot water saved/year (gal)	1,516	1,213	1,062	910

---

[460] Shower Stream 2019 pilot study based on 747 metered shower events with an average duration of 104.51 seconds. This represents a subset of the total data set, as this value was not recorded for the entire data set. This assumption will be updated in future years to reflect additional pilot study data.

[461] Shower Stream 2019 pilot study based on 2,406 metered shower events. Weighted average calculated by dividing total shower events by total number of devices. This assumption will be updated in future years to reflect additional pilot study data.

[462] 2001-2019 U.S. hotel occupancy rates from Statista. https://www.statista.com/statistics/200161/us-annual-accomodation-and-lodging-occupancy-rate/. Used average of last 5 years (2015-2019).

[463] Assuming industry standard for standard one-bathroom rooms.

[464] Average percent hot water from (Lutz 2004) Feasibility Study and Roadmap to Improve Residential Hot Water Distribution Systems and (Sherman 2015) Calculating Savings For: Auto-Diverting Tub Spout System with ShowerStart TSV.

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure are calculated as follows:

$$Energy\ Savings\ per\ TSRV = \frac{\rho \times C_P \times V \times (T_{SetPoint} - T_{SupplyAverage})}{RE \times \text{Conversion Factor}3,412}$$

Equation 197

Where:

ρ	=	*Water density, 8.33 lbs/gallon*
C_P	=	*Specific heat of water, 1 Btu/lb°F*
V	=	*Gallons of hot water saved per year per showerhead (see Table 213~~Table 213~~)*
$T_{SetPoint}$	=	*Water heater setpoint: 120°F [465]*
T_{Supply}	=	*Average supply water temperature (see Table 214 ~~Table 214~~)*
RE	=	*Recovery Efficiency (or in the case of heat pump water heaters, COP). If unknown, use 0.98 as a default for electric resistance water heaters, or 2.2 for heat pump water heaters~~, or 0.8 for gas hot water heaters.~~[466]*
~~Conversion Factor~~3,412	=	*~~3,412 Btu/kWh for electric or 100,000 Btu/therm for gas~~Constant to convert from Btu to kWh*

Demand Savings Algorithms

Demand savings are calculated by substituting the average supply temperature for the average seasonal temperature, multiplying by a coincidence factor equivalent to the daily fraction hot water use during the weighted peak hour for each climate zone (see Volume 1, Section 4), and dividing by 365 days/year.

$$Demand\ Savings\ per\ TSRV = \frac{\rho \times C_P \times V \times (T_{SetPoint} - T_{SupplySeasonal})}{RE \times \text{Conversion Factor}3,412 \times 365} \times CF$$

---

[465] 120°F represents the assumed water heater setpoint. New York Department of Public Service recommends using water heater setpoint as a default value, see "New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs" October 2010, page 99.

Data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team's Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015), also supports a default value of 120°F.

[466] Default values based on median recovery efficiency of residential water heaters by fuel type in the AHRI database. https://www.ahridirectory.org/.

<div align="right">**Equation 198**</div>

Where:

$T_{SupplySeasonal}$ = *Seasonal supply water temperature (see Table 214 ~~Table 214~~)*

CF = *Peak coincidence factor (see Table 215~~Table 215~~)*

Table 214. Showerhead TSRVs—Water Mains Temperatures

Climate zone	Water mains temperature (°F)[467]		
	$T_{SupplyAverage}$	$T_{SupplySeasonal}$	
		Summer	Winter
Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	62.9	73.8	53.7
Climate Zone 2: Dallas	71.8	84.0	60.6
Climate Zone 3: Houston	74.7	84.5	65.5
Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	77.2	86.1	68.5
Climate Zone 5: El Paso	70.4	81.5	60.4

Table 215. Showerhead TSRVs—Peak Coincidence Factors

Climate zones	Summer	Winter
Climate Zone 1: Amarillo	0.039	0.073
Climate Zone 2: Dallas	0.035	0.075
Climate Zone 3: Houston	0.038	0.080
Climate Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.038	0.068
Climate Zone 5: El Paso	0.028	0.069

---

[467] Based on typical meteorological year (TMY) dataset for TMY3: https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/about/tmy.html.

362

Nonresidential Measures: Water Heating　　　　　*Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3*
Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves　　　　　*November 2021*

Figure 5. Showerhead TSRVs – Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile[468]

Deemed Energy Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Summer Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Deemed Winter Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

---

[468] Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-WH-Shrhd.[469] ~~The estimated useful life (EUL) for this measure is established at 10 years.~~

This value is consistent with the EUL reported for a low-flow showerhead in the 2014 California Database for Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER).[470]

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone
- Flow rate in gallons per minute (gpm) of showerhead installed
- Water heater type (~~e.g.,~~ heat pump, electric resistance)
- DHW recovery efficiency (RE) or COP, if available

Document Revision History

Table 216. Nonresidential Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 origin.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Restricted measure to electricity savings and removed gas savings coefficients. Updated EUL reference.

---

[469] DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi.
[470] 2014 California Database for Energy Efficiency Resources. http://www.deeresources.com/.

2.5.132.6.3　Tub Spout and Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MSWH-TV

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: MiscellaneousWater Heating

Applicable Building Types: Lodging

Fuels Affected: Electricity, gas

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure consists of replacing existing tub spouts and showerheads with an automatically diverting tub spout and showerhead system with a temperature sensitive restrictor valve (TSRV)[471] between the existing shower arm and showerhead. The tub spout will contain temperature sensitive restrictor technology that will cause the tub spout to automatically engage the anti-leak diverter once the water reaches a set temperature (generally 95°F). The water will divert to a showerhead with a normally closed valve that will prevent the hot water from going down the drain prior to the user entering the shower, thereby eliminating behavioral waste and tub spout leakage waste.

Eligibility Criteria

These deemed savings are for tub spout and showerhead systems with temperature sensitive restrictor technology installed in new construction or as a retrofit measure in commercial lodging applications. Buildings must have electrically fueled hot water to be eligible for this measure.To use these deemed savings, the fuel type of the water heater must be electricity or gas.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is the commercial lodging tub spout with a standard diverter and a standard (2.5 gpm) showerhead.

---

[471] A temperature sensitive restrictor valve is any device that uses water temperature to regulate water flow in showers.

365

Nonresidential: Water Heating　　　　　　　　　　　　　　*Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3*
Tub Spout and Showerhead Temperature Sensitive Restrictor Valves　　　　　　*November 2021*

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is an anti-leak, automatically diverting tub spout system with temperature sensitive restrictor technology installed on a commercial lodging shower arm and showerhead with a standard (2.5 gpm) or low-flow (2.0, 1.75, or 1.5 gpm) showerhead.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Estimated Hot Water Usage Reduction

This system provides savings in two parts: elimination of behavioral waste (hot water that goes down the drain prior to the user entering the shower) and elimination of tub spout diverter leakage.

Part 1: To determine baseline gallons of behavioral waste per year, the following formula was used:

$$Annual\ Showerhead\ Behavioral\ Waste = \%WUE_{SH} \times SHFR \times BW \times n_S \times 365\ \frac{days}{year} \times \frac{OCC}{n_{SH}}$$

Equation 199

$$Annual\ Tub\ Spout\ Behavioral\ Waste = \%WUE_{TS} \times TSFR \times BW \times n_S \times 365\ \frac{days}{year} \times \frac{OCC}{n_{SH}}$$

Equation 200

Where:

$\%WUE_{SH}$	=	Showerhead percentage of warm-up events (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)
$\%WUE_{TS}$	=	Tub spout percentage of warm-up events (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)
$SHFR$	=	Showerhead flow rate, gallons per minute (gpm) (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)
$TSFR$	=	Tub spout flow rate, gallons per minute (gpm) (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)
BW	=	Behavioral waste, minutes per shower (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)
n_S	=	Number of showers per occupied room per day (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)
365	=	Constant to convert days to years (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)
OCC	=	Occupancy rate (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)

n_{SH} $=$ *Number of showerheads per room (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)*

[Formatted: Font: Italic]

Applying the formula to the values from Table 217~~Table 217~~ returns the following values:

$$Showerhead\ (1.5\ GPM)\!: 0.6 \times \left(1.5 \times 1.742 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0}\right) = 662$$

$$Showerhead\ (1.75\ GPM)\!: 0.6 \times \left(1.75 \times 1.742 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0}\right) = 772$$

$$Showerhead\ (2.0\ GPM)\!: 0.6 \times \left(2.0 \times 1.742 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0}\right) = 882$$

$$Showerhead\ (2.5\ GPM)\!: 0.6 \times \left(2.5 \times 1.742 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0}\right) = 1,103$$

$$Tub\ Spout\ (5.0\ GPM)\!: 0.4 \times \left(5.0 \times 1.742 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0}\right) = 1,471$$

Part 2: To determine baseline gallons of diverter leakage per year, the following formula was used:

$$Annual\ Diverter\ Waste = DLR \times t_S \times n_S \times 365\ \frac{days}{year} \times \frac{OCC}{n_{SH}}$$

Equation 201

Where:

DLR $=$ *Diverter leakage rate (gpm) (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)*

[Formatted: Font: Italic]

t_S $=$ *Shower time (min/shower) (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)*

[Formatted: Font: Italic]

Applying the formula to the values used for Texas from Table 217~~Table 217~~ returns the following values:

$$Diverter\ (0.8\ GPM)\!: 0.8 \times 7.8 \times 1.756 \times 365 \times \frac{0.659}{1.0} = 2,634$$

Part 3: To determine gallons of water saved per year can be found by multiplying the total waste by the percent of hot water from Table 217~~Table 217~~.

$$Gallons\ of\ hot\ water\ saved = (SHBW + TSBW) \times HW\%_{SH,TS} + DW \times HW\%_D$$

Equation 202

Where:

SHBW $=$ *Showerhead behavioral waste (gal)*

TSBW $=$ *Tub spout behavioral waste (gal)*

DW $=$ *Diverter waste (gal)*

$HW\%_{SH,TS}$ = *Showerheads and tub spout hot water percentage (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)*

$HW\%_{D}$ = *Diverter hot water percentage (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)*

Applying the formula to the values from Table 217~~Table 217~~ returns the following values:

$$Total\ Annual\ Waste\ (1.5\ gpm): (662 + 1{,}471) \times 0.825 + 2{,}634 \times 0.737 = 3{,}700$$

$$Total\ Annual\ Waste\ (1.75\ gpm): (772 + 1{,}471) \times 0.825 + 2{,}634 \times 0.737 = 3{,}791$$

$$Total\ Annual\ Waste\ (2.0\ gpm): (882 + 1{,}471) \times 0.825 + 2{,}634 \times 0.737 = 3{,}882$$

$$Total\ Annual\ Waste\ (2.5\ gpm): (1{,}103 + 1{,}471) \times 0.825 + 2{,}634 \times 0.737 = 4{,}064$$

Table 217. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Hot Water Usage Reduction

Description	Part 1- behavioral waste		Part 2— diverter leakage	Part 3— total
	Showerhead warm-up	Tub spout warm-up		
Baseline showerhead flow rate (gpm)	1.5, 1.75, 2.0, or 2.5			N/A
Tub spout flow rate (gpm)[472]	N/A	5.0		N/A
Percent of warm-up events[473]	60%	40%		N/A
Average behavioral waste (minutes per shower)[474]		1.742		N/A
Average diverter leakage rate (gpm)[475]		N/A	0.80	N/A
Average shower time (minutes)[476]		N/A	7.8	N/A
Showers/occupied room/day[477]				1.756
Occupancy rate[478]				65.9%
Showerheads/room[479]				1.0

---

[472] Assumption from (Sherman 2015) Calculating Savings For: Auto-Diverting Tub Spout System with ShowerStart TSV.

[473] Percent of warm-up events from (Sherman 2014) Disaggregating Residential Shower Warm-Up Waste (Appendix B, Question 8).

[474] Shower Stream 2019 pilot study based on 747 metered shower events with an average duration of 104.51 seconds. This represents a subset of the total data set, as this value was not recorded for the entire data set. This assumption will be updated in future years to reflect additional pilot study data.

[475] Average diverter leak rate from (Taitem 2011) Taitem Tech Tip – Leaking Shower Diverters.

[476] Cadmus and Opinion Dynamics Evaluation Team, "Memorandum: Showerhead and Faucet Aerator Meter Study". Prepared for Michigan Evaluation Working Group.

[477] Shower Stream 2019 pilot study based on 2,406 metered shower events. Weighted average calculated by dividing total shower events by total number of devices. This assumption will be updated in future years to reflect additional pilot study data.

[478] 2001-2019 U.S. hotel occupancy rates from Statista. https://www.statista.com/statistics/200161/us-annual-accomodation-and-lodging-occupancy-rate/. Used average of last 5 years (2015-2019).

[479] Assuming industry standard for standard one-bathroom rooms.

Description	Part 1- behavioral waste		Part 2—diverter leakage	Part 3—total
	Showerhead warm-up	Tub spout warm-up		
Gallons behavioral waste per tub spout/showerhead per year (1.5 gpm)	662	1,471	2,634	4,766
Gallons behavioral waste per tub spout/showerhead per year (1.75 gpm)	772			4,877
Gallons behavioral waste per tub spout/showerhead per year (2.0 gpm)	882			4,987
Gallons behavioral waste per tub spout/showerhead per year (2.5 gpm)	1,103			5,207
Percent hot water[480]	80-85%, or 82.5% average		73.7%	N/A
Gallons hot water saved per year (1.5 gpm)			N/A	3,700
Gallons hot water saved per year (1.75 gpm)			N/A	3,791
Gallons hot water saved per year (2.0 gpm)			N/A	3,882
Gallons hot water saved per year (2.5 gpm)			N/A	4,064

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure are calculated as follows:

$$Energy\ Savings\ per\ TS\ System = \frac{\rho \times C_P \times V \times (T_{SetPoint} - T_{SupplyAverage})}{RE \times \cancel{Conversion\ Factor}3{,}412}$$

Equation 203

Where:

ρ	=	*Water density, 8.33 lbs/gallon*
C_P	=	*Specific heat of water, 1 Btu/lb°F*
V	=	*Gallons of hot water saved per year per showerhead (see Table 217~~Table 217~~)*
$T_{SetPoint}$	=	*Water heater setpoint: 120°F [481]*

> Formatted: Font: Arial

---

[480] Average percent hot water for warm up events from (Lutz 2004) Feasibility Study and Roadmap to Improve Residential Hot Water Distribution Systems and (Sherman 2015) Calculating Savings For: Auto-Diverting Tub Spout System with ShowerStart TSV.

[481] 120°F represents the assumed water heater setpoint. New York Department of Public Service recommends using water heater setpoint as a default value, see "New York Standard Approach for Estimating Energy Savings from Energy Efficiency Programs" October 2010, page 99.
Data collection discussed in Appendix D of the EM&V team's Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014-Volume 1, Project Number 40891 (August 2015), also supports a default value of 120°F.

T_{Supply}	=	Average supply water temperature (see *Table 218*~~Table 218~~)	
RE	=	Recovery Efficiency (or in the case of heat pump water heaters, COP). If unknown, use 0.98 as a default for electric resistance water heaters, *or 2.2 for heat pump water heaters,* ~~or 0.8 for gas hot water heaters.~~[482]	
~~ConversionFactor~~*3,412*	=	~~3,412 Btu/kWh for electric or 100,000 Btu/therm for gas~~*Constant to convert from Btu to kWh*	

Demand Savings Algorithms

Demand savings are calculated by substituting the average supply temperature for the average seasonal temperature, multiplying by a coincidence factor equivalent to the daily fraction hot water use during the weighted peak hour for each climate zone (see Volume 1, Section 4), and dividing by 365 days/year.

$$Demand\ Savings\ per\ TS\ System = \frac{\rho \times C_P \times V \times (T_{SetPoint} - T_{SupplySeasonal})}{RE \times \sim\sim Conversion\ Factor\sim\sim 3,412 \times 365} \times CF$$

Equation 204

Where:

| $T_{SupplySeasonal}$ | = | Seasonal supply water temperature (see *Table 218*~~Table 218~~) | |
| CF | = | Peak coincidence factor (see *Table 219*~~Table 219~~) | |

Table 218. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Water Mains Temperatures

| Climate zone	Water mains temperature (°F)[483]		
	$T_{SupplyAverage}$	$T_{SupplySeasonal}$	
		Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	62.9	73.8	53.7
Zone 2: Dallas	71.8	84.0	60.6
Zone 3: Houston	74.7	84.5	65.5
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	77.2	86.1	68.5
Zone 5: El Paso	70.4	81.5	60.4

---

[482] Default values based on median recovery efficiency of residential water heaters by fuel type in the AHRI database. https://www.ahridirectory.org/.

[483] Based on typical meteorological year (TMY) dataset for TMY3: https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/about/tmy.html.

Table 219. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Peak Coincidence Factors

Climate zones	Summer	Winter
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.039	0.073
Zone 2: Dallas	0.035	0.075
Zone 3: Houston	0.038	0.080
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.038	0.068
Zone 5: El Paso	0.028	0.069

Figure 6. Tub Spout/Showerhead TSRVs—Shower, Bath, and Sink Hot Water Use Profile[484]

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

There are no lookup tables available for this measure. See engineering algorithms in the previous section for calculating energy and demand savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

---

[484] Building America Performance Analysis Procedures for Existing Homes.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID WtrHt-WH-Shrhd.[485]The estimated useful life (EUL) for this measure is established at 10 years.

This value is consistent with the EUL reported for a low-flow showerhead in the 2014 California Database for Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER).[486]

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Climate zone

- Flow rate in gallons per minute (GPM) of showerhead installed

- Water heater type (e.g.,heat pump, electric resistance)

- DHW recovery efficiency (RE) or COP, if available

Document Revision History

Table 220. Nonresidential Tub Sprout and Showerhead Temperature Sensitive
Restrictor Valves Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 origin.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Restricted measure to electricity savings and removed gas savings coefficients. Updated EUL reference.

---

[485] DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi.
[486] 2014 California Database for Energy Efficiency Resources. http://www.deeresources.com/.

2.62.7 NONRESIDENTIAL: MISCELLANEOUS

2.6.12.7.1   Vending Machine Controls Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-VC

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Miscellaneous

Applicable Building Types: All building types applicable

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: M&V

Measure Description

This ~~section presents the deemed savings methodology~~measure is for the installation of vending machine controls to reduce energy usage during periods of inactivity. These controls reduce energy usage by powering down the refrigeration and lighting systems when the control device signals that there is no human activity near the machine. If no activity or sale is detected over the manufacturer's programmed time duration, the device safely de-energizes the compressor, condenser fan, evaporator fan, and any lighting. For refrigerated machines, it will power up occasionally to maintain cooling to meet the machine's thermostat set point. When activity is detected, the system returns to full power. The energy and demand savings are determined on a per-vending machine basis.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to refrigerated beverage vending machines manufactured and purchased prior to August 31, 2012. Refrigerated beverage vending machines manufactured after this date must already comply with current federal standard maximum daily energy consumption requirements.

All non-refrigerated snack machines are eligible if control are installed on equipment consistent with the Baseline Condition below. Display lighting must not have been permanently installed.

~~Not applicable.~~

Baseline Condition

~~Eligible~~ The baseline ~~equipment~~ condition is a 120-volt single phase refrigerated beverage or non-refrigerated snack vending machine without any controls. ~~Refrigerated beverage vending machines manufactured and purchased prior to August 31, 2012. Refrigerated beverage vending machines manufactured after this date must already comply with federal standard maximum daily energy consumption requirements. The current federal standard further reduced these maximum consumption values, effective January 8, 2019.[487]~~

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a 120-volt single phase refrigerated beverage or non-refrigerated snack vending machine with occupancy controls and compliant with the current federal standard, effective January 8, 2019.[488]

~~Eligible equipment is a refrigerated vending machine or non-refrigerated snack machine (including warm beverage machines) without any controls. It is assumed that the display lighting has not been permanently disabled.~~

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy savings are deemed based on a metering study completed by Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E). Delta load shapes for this measure are taken from a Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) metering study. Demand savings for refrigerated cold drink units are calculated based on a probability weighted analysis of hourly consumption impacts, and demand savings for other unit types are adjusted proportionally based on differences in rated product wattage.

~~Not applicable.~~

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Energy and demand savings are specified by unit type and climate zone ~~for different sized vending machines are deemed values, pieced together from different sources and studies, outlined~~ in the following tables.

Table 221. Vending Machine Controls—Refrigerated Cold Drink Unit Deemed Savings[489]

Climate zone	kWh savings	Summer	Winter kW savings

---

[487] ~~Appliance Standards for Refrigerated Beverage Vending Machines. https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=29#current_standards.~~

[488] Appliance Standards for Refrigerated Beverage Vending Machines. https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=29#current_standards.

[489] Pacific Gas and Electric, Work Paper VMCold, Revision 3, August 2009, Measure Code R97.

		kW savings[490]	
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,612	0.023	0.060
Zone 2: Dallas		0.021	0.063
Zone 3: Houston		0.022	0.060
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.022	0.064
Zone 5: El Paso		0.015	0.068

Table 222. Vending Machine Controls—Refrigerated Reach-In Unit Deemed Savings[491]

Climate zone	kWh savings	Summer kW savings	Winter kW savings
Zone 1: Amarillo	1,086	0.026	0.069
Zone 2: Dallas		0.024	0.073
Zone 3: Houston		0.026	0.068
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.026	0.074
Zone 5: El Paso		0.017	0.078

Table 223. Vending Machine Controls—Non-Refrigerated Snack Unit Deemed Savings[492]

Climate zone	kWh savings	Summer kW savings	Winter kW savings
Zone 1: Amarillo	387	0.005	0.013
Zone 2: Dallas		0.004	0.013
Zone 3: Houston		0.005	0.013
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.005	0.014
Zone 5: El Paso		0.003	0.014

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

---

[490] Chappell, C., Hanzawi, E., Bos, W., Brost, M., and Peet, R. (2002). "Does It Keep the Drinks Cold and Reduce Peak Demand? An Evaluation of a Vending Machine Control Program," 2002 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings Proceedings, pp. 10.47-10.56. https://www.eceee.org/static/media/uploads/site-2/library/conference_proceedings/ACEEE_buildings/2002/Panel_10/p10_5/paper.pdf.

[491] Pacific Gas and Electric, Work Paper VMReach, Revision 3, August 2009, Measure Code R143.

[492] Pacific Gas and Electric, Work Paper VMSnack, Revision 3, August 2009, Measure Code R98.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 5 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID Plug-VendCtrler.[493]

~~The EUL has been defined for this measure as 5 years per the PUCT approved Texas EUL filing (Docket No. 36779) and the DEER 2014 EUL update (EUL ID—Plug-VendCtrler).~~[494]

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

- Vending machine type - refrigerated cold drink unit, refrigerated reach-in unit, or non-refrigerated snack unit with lighting

- Vending machine manufacture date

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- PUCT Docket 40669—Provides energy and demand savings and measure specifications. Appendix A: https://interchange.puc.texas.gov/Documents/40669_3_735684.PDF. ~~Accessed 11/14/2019.~~

- PUCT Docket 36779—Provides EUL for Vending Machine Controls.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- Chappell, C., Hanzawi, E., Bos, W., Brost, M., and Peet, R. (2002). "Does It Keep the Drinks Cold and Reduce Peak Demand? An Evaluation of a Vending Machine Control Program," 2002 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings Proceedings, pp. 10.47-10.56. https://aceee.org/files/proceedings/2002/data/papers/SS02_Panel10_Paper05.pdf. ~~Accessed 11/14/2019.~~

- DEER 2014 EUL update.

Document Revision History

Table 224. Nonresidential Vending Machine Controls Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v1.0	11/25/2013	TRM v1.0 origin.

---

[493] DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi.
[494] ~~Database for Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER), http://www.deeresources.com/.~~

v2.0	04/18/2014	TRM v2.0 update. No revisions.
v3.0	04/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revisions.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revisions.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revisions.
v6.0	10/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revisions.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revisions.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. Clarified baseline condition and updated demand savings for compliance with current peak definition. General reference checks and text edits.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. General text edits.

~~2.6.2~~2.7.2   Lodging Guest Room Occupancy Sensor Controls
Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-LC

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: HVAC, Indoor Lighting

Applicable Building Types: Hotel/motel guestrooms, schools/colleges (dormitory)

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Energy modeling

Measure Description

This measure, commonly referred to as a guest room energy management (GREM) system, captures the potential energy and demand savings resulting from occupancy sensor control of HVAC and lighting in unoccupied hotel/motel guest rooms. Hotel and motel guest room occupancy schedules are highly variable, and guests often leave HVAC equipment and lighting on when they leave the room. Installation of occupancy controls can reduce the unnecessary energy consumption in unoccupied guest rooms. Savings have also been developed for the use of this measure in college dormitories.[495]

Eligibility Criteria

To be eligible for HVAC savings, controls must be capable of either a 5°F or 10°F temperature offset. To be eligible for lighting savings, at least 50 percent of all the lighting fixtures in a guest room—both hardwired and plug-load lighting—must be actively controlled.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a guest room or dorm room without occupancy controls.

---

[495] The original petition also includes savings for HVAC-only control in master-metered multifamily individual dwelling units. These values are not reported here because the permanent occupation of a residential unit is significantly different from the transitory occupation of hotel/motels and even dormitories. This measure is not currently being implemented and is not likely to be used in the future, but it can be added to a future TRM if warranted.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a guest room or dorm room with occupancy controls. The occupancy sensors can control either the HVAC equipment only or the HVAC equipment and the interior lighting (including plug-in lighting).

The occupancy-based control system must include, but not be limited to, infrared sensors, ultrasonic sensors, door magnetic strip sensors, and/or card-key sensors. The controls must be able to either completely shut-off the HVAC equipment serving the space and/or place it into an unoccupied temperature setback/setup mode.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Energy and demand savings are deemed values based on energy simulation runs performed using EnergyPro Version 5. Building prototype models were developed for a hotel, motel, and dormitory building types. The base case for each prototype model assumed a uniform temperature setting and was calibrated to a baseline energy use. Occupancy patterns based on both documented field studies[496] and prototypical ASHRAE 90.1-1999 occupancy schedules were used in the energy simulation runs to create realistic vacancy schedules. The prototype models were then adjusted to simulate an occupancy control system, which was compared to the baseline models.[497]

Savings Algorithms and Inputs

A building simulation approach was used to produce savings estimates.

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Energy and demand savings are provided by region, for HVAC-only, HVAC + lighting control configurations, and for three facility types: motel guest rooms, hotel guest rooms, and dormitory rooms.

---

[496] HVAC occupancy rates appear to be based on a single HVAC study of three hotels, but not dorms or multifamily buildings. For the lighting study, a typical guest room layout was used as the basis for the savings analysis. Hotel guest rooms are quite different from either dorms or multifamily units.
[497] A more detailed description of the modeling assumptions can be found in Docket 40668 Attachment A, pages A-46 through A-58.

Table 225. Deemed Energy and Demand Savings for Motel per Guest Room, by Region

Climate zone[498]	Heat pump				Electric resistance heat			
	HVAC-Only		HVAC and Lighting		HVAC-only		HVAC and lighting	
	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh
5-degree setup/setback offset								
Amarillo (Panhandle)	0.059	267	0.075	380	0.059	341	0.075	441
Dallas (North)	0.076	315	0.091	443	0.076	365	0.091	485
Houston (South)	0.082	324	0.097	461	0.082	351	0.097	484
McAllen (Valley)	0.086	354	0.103	500	0.086	369	0.103	513
El Paso (West)	0.063	251	0.078	379	0.063	283	0.078	406
10-degree setup/setback offset								
Amarillo (Panhandle)	0.111	486	0.126	598	0.111	627	0.126	726
Dallas (North)	0.146	559	0.161	686	0.146	640	0.161	761
Houston (South)	0.151	559	0.166	695	0.151	602	0.166	735
McAllen (Valley)	0.163	617	0.179	761	0.163	650	0.179	792
El Paso (West)	0.118	432	0.133	561	0.118	482	0.133	607

Table 226. Deemed Energy and Demand Savings for Hotel per Guest Room, by Region

Climate zone	Heat pump				Electric heat			
	HVAC-only		HVAC and lighting		HVAC-only		HVAC and lighting	
	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh
5-degree setup/setback offset								
Amarillo (Panhandle)	0.053	232	0.072	439	0.053	303	0.072	530
Dallas (North)	0.073	258	0.093	452	0.073	303	0.093	505
Houston (South)	0.074	242	0.094	430	0.074	260	0.094	450
McAllen (Valley)	0.081	260	0.102	451	0.081	267	0.102	459
El Paso (West)	0.056	178	0.075	360	0.056	196	0.075	380
10-degree setup/setback offset								
Amarillo (Panhandle)	0.102	426	0.121	568	0.102	557	0.121	684
Dallas (North)	0.134	452	0.154	617	0.134	517	0.154	676
Houston (South)	0.136	423	0.156	599	0.136	446	0.156	621
McAllen (Valley)	0.149	467	0.169	652	0.149	483	0.169	667
El Paso (West)	0.106	312	0.126	479	0.106	338	0.126	501

---

[498] Regions used in the original petition were mapped to current TRM representative weather stations and regions as follows: Amarillo (Panhandle) was "Panhandle", Dallas-Ft Worth (North) was "North", Houston (South) was "South Central", El Paso (West) was "Big Bend", and McAllen (Valley) was "Rio Grande Valley".

Table 227. Deemed Energy and Demand Savings for Dormitories per Room, by Region

Climate zone	Heat pump				Electric heat			
	HVAC-only		HVAC and lighting		HVAC-only		HVAC and lighting	
	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh
5-degree setup/setback offset								
Amarillo (Panhandle)	0.034	136	0.061	319	0.034	152	0.061	316
Dallas (North)	0.048	214	0.076	425	0.048	223	0.076	428
Houston (South)	0.051	242	0.078	461	0.051	244	0.078	462
McAllen (Valley)	0.053	265	0.081	492	0.053	266	0.081	492
El Paso (West)	0.031	110	0.059	327	0.031	110	0.059	326
10-degree setup/setback offset								
Amarillo (Panhandle)	0.073	261	0.084	404	0.073	289	0.084	417
Dallas (North)	0.078	293	0.105	505	0.078	304	0.105	511
Houston (South)	0.081	326	0.108	543	0.081	328	0.108	545
McAllen (Valley)	0.088	368	0.114	591	0.088	370	0.114	593
El Paso (West)	0.045	151	0.060	448	0.045	153	0.060	450

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

~~Estimated~~ The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years based on the value for retrofit energy management system (EMS) HVAC control from the Massachusetts Joint Utility Measure Life Study[499]. This value is also consistent with the EUL for lighting control and HVAC control measures in PUCT Docket Nos. 36779 and 40668.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

- Climate zone

- HVAC system and equipment type

- Heating type (heat pump, electric resistance)

- Temperature offset category (5 or 10° F)

---

[499] Energy and Resource Solutions (2005). *Measure Life Study.* Prepared for the Massachusetts Joint Utilities; Table 1-1, Prescriptive Common Measure Life Recommendations, Large C&I retrofit, HVAC Controls, EMS.

- Control type (HVAC-only, HVAC and lighting)

- Building type (hotel, motel, dormitory)

- Number of rooms

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- PUCT Docket 40668—Provides deemed energy and demand savings values under "Guestroom, Dormitory and Multi-family Occupancy Controls for HVAC and Lighting Systems," page 25 and Attachment pages A-46 through A-58.

- PUCT Docket 36779—Provides EULs for commercial measures.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- ASHRAE Standard 90.1-1999

- Measure Life Study. Prepared for The Massachusetts Joint Utilities by ERS. November 17, 2005.

- Codes and Standards Enhancement Initiative (CASE): Guest Room Occupancy Controls, 2013 California Building Energy Efficiency Standards. October 2011.

Document Revision History

Table 228. Nonresidential Lodging Guest Room Occupancy Sensor Controls Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v2.0	04/18/2014	TRM v2.0 origin.
v3.0	04/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revisions.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revisions.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revisions.
v6.0	10/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revisions.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revisions.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. No revisions.

2.6.32.7.3   Pump-Off Controllers Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-PC

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Controls

Applicable Building Types: Industrial

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Field study, engineering algorithms, and estimates

Measure Description

Pump-off controllers (POC) are micro-processor-based devices that continuously monitor pump down conditions (i.e., when the fluid in the well bore is insufficient to warrant continued pumping). These controllers are used to shut down the pump when the fluid falls below a certain level and "fluid pounding"[500] occurs. POCs save energy by optimizing the pump run-times to match the flow conditions of the well.

Eligibility Criteria

The POC measure is only available as a retrofit measure for existing wells (wells with an existing API number[501] prior to September 11th, 2014) with rod pumps using 15 hp or larger motors operating on time clock controls or less efficient devices. These cannot be integrated with a variable frequency drive and only apply to POCs using load cells, which measure the weight on the rod string for greater precision. Additionally, the POC must control a *conventional* well (above ground or vertical, with a standard induction motor of 480V or less).

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is an existing conventional well (with an API number prior to September 11, 2014) with rod pumps operating on time clock controls or less efficient control devices.

---

[500] Fluid pounding occurs when the downhole pump rate exceeds the production rate of the formation. The pump strikes the top of the fluid column on the down stroke causing extreme shock loading of the components which can result in premature equipment failure.

[501] The API number is a unique, permanent identifier assigned by the American Petroleum Institute. The API number should correspond to a well that was in existence prior to the date of PUCT Docket 42551.

High-Efficiency Condition

The efficient condition is the same well, retrofitted with a pump-off controller.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Two main sources were referenced to develop the savings methods for the POC measure: *Electrical Savings in Oil Production*[502] (SPE 16363), which identified a relationship between volumetric efficiency and pump run times and the *2006-2008 Evaluation Report for PG&E Fabrication, Process, and Manufacturing Contract Group,*[503] which showed a reduction in savings from the SPE 16363 paper. These two methods were the basis of the current savings calculations and deemed inputs listed below. To develop Texas-specific stipulated values, field and metering data will be collected when there is sufficient uptake in the measure and used to calibrate and update the savings calculation methods and input variables for a future version of the TRM.[504]

Savings Algorithms and Inputs

The energy and demand algorithms and associated input variables are listed below:

$$Energy\ Savings\ [kWh] = kW_{avg} * (TimeClock\%On - POC\%On) * 8760$$

Equation 205

$$Demand\ Savings\ [kW] = \frac{EnergySavings}{8760}$$

Equation 206[505]

The inputs for the energy and peak coincident demand savings are listed below:

$$kW_{avg} = HP \times 0.746 \times \frac{\frac{LF}{ME}}{SME}$$

Equation 207

$$POC\%On = \frac{Run_{Constant} + Run_{Coefficient} \times VolumetricEfficiency\% \times TimeClock\%On \times 100}{100}$$

Equation 208[506]

---

[502] Bullock, J.E. "SPE 16363 *Electrical Savings in Oil Production*", (paper presented at the Society of Petroleum Engineers California Regional Meeting held in Ventura, California, April 8-10, 1987).
[503] *2006-2008 Evaluation Report for PG&E Fabrication, Process and Manufacturing Contract Group.* CALMAC Study ID: CPU0017.01. Itron, Inc. Submitted to California Public Utilities Commission. February 3, 2010.
[504] The EM&V Team will work with SPS/Xcel Energy in developing the sample plan for the field data collection effort.
[505] The equations in the petition for peak demand simplify to the equation shown.
[506] This equation from the petition deviates from that in SPE 16363 but will provide conservative savings estimates. The equation will be updated and made consistent when this measure is updated with field

Where:

kW_{avg}	=	*The demand used by each rod pump*
HP	=	*Rated pump motor horsepower*
0.746	=	*Conversion factor from hp to kW*
LF	=	*Motor load factor—ratio of average demand to maximum demand, see Table 229Table 229*
ME	=	*Motor efficiency, based on NEMA Standard Efficiency Motor, see Table 230Table 230*
SME	=	*Mechanical efficiency of sucker rod pump, see Table 229Table 229*
TimeClock%On	=	*Stipulated baseline timeclock setting, see Table 229Table 229*
$Run_{constant,}$ $Run_{coefficient}$	=	*8.336, 0.956. Derived from SPE 16363* [507]
VolumetricEfficiency%	=	*Average well gross production divided by theoretical production (provided on rebate application)*

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Table 229. Deemed Variables for Energy and Demand Savings Calculations

Variable	Stipulated/deemed values
LF (Load Factor)	25%[508]
ME (motor efficiency)	See Table 2-137
SME (pump mechanical efficiency)	95%[509]
Timeclock%On	65%[510]

data. The correct equation term is ($Run_{contstant}$ + $Run_{coefficient}$ * VolumetricEfficiency%) with the volumetric efficiency expressed as percent value not a fraction (i.e., 25 not 0.25 for 25%).

[507] Bullock, J.E. "SPE 16363 *Electrical Savings in Oil Production,* (paper presented at the Society of Petroleum Engineers California Regional Meeting held in Ventura, California, April 8-10, 1987).

[508] *Comprehensive Process and Impact Evaluation of the (Xcel Energy) Colorado Motor and Drive Efficiency Program, FINAL.* Tetra Tech. March 28, 2011. Adjusted based on Field Measurements provided by ADM Associates, based on 2010 custom projects.

[509] Engineering estimate for standard gearbox efficiency.

[510] A TimeClock%On of 80% is typical from observations in other jurisdictions, but that was adjusted to 65% for a conservative estimate. This value will be reevaluated once Texas field data is available.

Table 230. NEMA Premium Efficiency Motor Efficiencies[511]

Motor horsepower	Nominal full load efficiency					
	Open motors (ODP)			Enclosed motors (TEFC)		
	6 poles	4 poles	2 poles	6 poles	4 poles	2 poles
	1200 rpm	1800 rpm	3600 rpm	1200 rpm	1800 rpm	3600 rpm
15	91.7%	93.0%	90.2%	91.7%	92.4%	91.0%
20	92.4%	93.0%	91.0%	91.7%	93.0%	91.0%
25	93.0%	93.6%	91.7%	93.0%	93.6%	91.7%
30	93.6%	94.1%	91.7%	93.0%	93.6%	91.7%
40	94.1%	94.1%	92.4%	94.1%	94.1%	92.4%
50	94.1%	94.5%	93.0%	94.1%	94.5%	93.0%
60	94.5%	95.0%	93.6%	94.5%	95.0%	93.6%
75	94.5%	95.0%	93.6%	94.5%	95.4%	93.6%
100	95.0%	95.4%	93.6%	95.0%	95.4%	94.1%
125	95.0%	95.4%	94.1%	95.0%	95.4%	95.0%
150	95.4%	95.8%	94.1%	95.8%	95.8%	95.0%
200	95.4%	95.8%	95.0%	95.8%	96.2%	95.4%

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Because the operation of the POC coincident with the peak demand period is uncertain, a simple average of the total savings over the full year (8,760 hours) is used, as shown in Equation 206Equation 206.

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for this measure is 15 years.[512]

---

[511] DOE Final Rule regarding energy conservation standards for electric motors. 79 FR 30933. Full-load Efficiencies for General Purpose Electric Motors [Subtype I] https://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/standards.aspx?productid=6&action=viewlive.

[512] CPUC 2006-2008 Industrial Impact Evaluation "SCIA_06-08_Final_Report_Appendix_D-5": An EUL of 15 years was used for the ex-post savings, consistent with the SPC—Custom Measures and System Controls categories in the CPUC Energy Efficiency Policy Manual (Version 2) and with DEER values for an energy management control system.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

- Motor manufacturer

- Motor model number

- Rated motor horsepower

- Motor type (TEFC or ODP)

- Rated motor RPM

- Baseline control type and timeclock percent on time (or actual on-time schedule)

- Volumetric efficiency

- Field data on actual energy use and post-run times[513]

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- PUCT Docket 42551—Provides energy and demand savings calculations and EUL

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- Bullock, J.E. "SPE 16363 Electrical Savings in Oil Production", (paper presented at the Society of Petroleum Engineers California Regional Meeting held in Ventura, California, April 8-10, 1987).

- 79 FR 30933. Full-load Efficiencies for General Purpose Electric Motors [Subtype I]

- 2006-2008 Evaluation Report for PG&E Fabrication, Process and Manufacturing Contract Group. CALMAC Study ID: CPU0017.01. Itron, Inc. Submitted to California Public Utilities Commission. February 3, 2010.

- Comprehensive Process and Impact Evaluation of the (Xcel Energy) Colorado Motor and Drive Efficiency Program, FINAL. Tetra Tech. March 28, 2011.

---

[513] Per PUCT Docket 42551, Southwestern Public Service Company (SPS)/Xcel Energy has agreed to collect field data in 2015 on post-run times for a sample of wells to improve the accuracy of POC saving estimates.

Document Revision History

Table 231. Nonresidential Pump-Off Controllers Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v2.1	01/30/2015	TRM v2.1 origin.
v3.0	04/10/2015	TRM v3.0 update. No revisions.
v4.0	10/10/2016	TRM v4.0 update. No revisions.
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 update. No revisions.
v6.0	10/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revisions.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. No revisions.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.

~~2.6.4~~2.7.4   ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-PP

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Appliances

Applicable Building Types: Commercial

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type(s): Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type(s): Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure involves the replacement of a single-speed pool pump with an ENERGY STAR® certified variable speed pool pump.

Eligibility Criteria

This measure applies to all commercial applications, indoor or outdoor, with a pump size up to 3 hp; larger sizes should be implemented through a custom program. Motor-only retrofits are not eligible. Ineligible pump products include waterfall, integral cartridge filter, integral sand filter, storable electric spa, and rigid electric spa[514].

Multi-speed pool pumps are not permitted. The multi-speed pump uses an induction motor that functions as two motors in one, with full-speed and half-speed options. Multi-speed pumps may enable significant energy savings. However, if the half-speed motor is unable to complete the required water circulation task, the larger motor will operate exclusively. Having only two speed-choices limits the ability of the pump motor to fine-tune the flow rates required for maximum energy savings.[515] The default pump curves provided in the ENERGY STAR® Pool Pump Savings Calculator indicate that the motor operating at half-speed will be unable to meet the minimum turnover requirements for commercial pool operation as mandated by Texas Administrative Code.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a 1 to 3 horsepower (hp) standard efficiency single-speed pool pump.

---

[514] These pump products are ineligible for ENERGY STAR® v3.0 certification:
https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Final%20Version%203.0%20Pool%20Pumps%20Specification.pdf

[515] Hunt, A. and Easley, S., *"Measure Guideline: Replacing Single-Speed Pool Pumps with Variable Speed Pumps for Energy Savings."* Building America Retrofit Alliance (BARA), U.S. U.S. DOE. May 2012. http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy12osti/54242.pdf.

389

Nonresidential Measures: Miscellaneous   *Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3*
ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps   *November 2021*

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is a 1 to 3 hp ENERGY STAR® certified variable speed pool pump.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings for this measure are based on methods and input assumptions from the ENERGY STAR® Pool Pump Savings Calculator.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Energy Savings Algorithms

Energy savings for this measure were derived using the ENERGY STAR® Pool Pump Savings Calculator with Texas selected as the applicable location, so Texas-specific assumptions were used.[516]

$$kWh_{Savings} = kWh_{conv} - kWh_{ES}$$

Equation 209

Where:

kWh_{conv} =   *Conventional single-speed pool pump energy (kWh)*

kWh_{ES} =   *ENERGY STAR® variable speed pool pump energy (kWh)*

Algorithms to calculate the above parameters are defined as:

$$kWh_{conv} = \frac{PFR_{conv} \times 60 \times hours \times days}{EF_{conv} \times 1000}$$

Equation 210

$$kWh_{ES} = \frac{gal \times turn_{day} \times days}{EF_{ES} \times 1000}$$

Equation 211

---

[516] The ENERGY STAR® Pool Pump Savings Calculator, updated February 2013, can be found on the ENERGY STAR® website at: https://www.energystar.gov/products/certified-products/detail/pool-pumps.

Where:

hours	=	*Conventional single-speed pump daily operating hours (Table 232~~Table 232~~)*
days	=	*Operating days per year = Year-Round Operation: 365 days; Seasonal Operation: 7 months x 30.4 days/month = 212.8 days (default)*
PFR_{conv}	=	*Conventional single-speed pump flow rate [gal/min] (Table 232~~Table 232~~)*
EF_{conv}	=	*Conventional single-speed pump energy factor [gal/W·hr] (Table 232~~Table 232~~)*
gal	=	*The volume of the pool in gallons, (Table 233~~Table 233~~)*
$turn_{day}$	=	*Turnovers per day, number of times the volume of the pool is run through the pump per day, (Table 207)*
EF_{ES}	=	*ENERGY STAR® weighted energy factor [gal/W·hr] (Table 207)*
60	=	*Constant to convert between minutes and hours*
1,000	=	*Constant to convert from kilowatts to watts*

Table 232. Conventional Pool Pumps Assumptions[517]

New rated pump HP	Hours, limited hours[518]	Hours, 24/7 Operation	PFR$_{conv}$ (gal/min)	EF$_{conv}$ (gal/W·h)
≤ 1.25	12	24	75.5000	2.5131
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75			78.1429	2.2677
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25			88.6667	2.2990
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75			93.0910	2.1812
2.75 < hp ≤ 3			101.6667	1.9987

---

[517] Conventional pump PFR and EF values are taken from pump curves found in the ENERGY STAR® Pool Pump Savings Calculator.

[518] Limited Hours assumes that pump operating hours are 12 hours per day, based on 2016 Commercial pool pump program data reviewed by the Texas Evaluation Contractor.

391

Nonresidential Measures: Miscellaneous   *Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3*
ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps   *November 2021*

Table 233. ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps Assumptions[519]

New rated pump HP	Turnovers/day limited hours	Turnovers/day 24/7 Operation	Gallons	EF_ES (gal/W·h)
≤ 1.25	~~5.4~~2.7	5.4	20,000	8.7
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	~~5.6~~2.8	5.6	20,000	8.9
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	~~5.8~~2.9	5.8	22,000	9.3
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	~~5.4~~2.7	5.4	25,000	7.4
2.75 < hp ≤ 3	~~5.2~~2.6	5.2	28,000	7.1

Demand Savings Algorithms

$$kW_{Savings} = \frac{kWh_{conv} - kWh_{ES}}{hours} \times \frac{DF}{days}$$

Equation 212

Where:

hours	=	Conventional single-speed pump daily operating hours (*Table 232*~~Table 232~~)
days	=	Operating days per year = Year-Round Operation: 365 days; Seasonal Operation: 7 months x 30.4 days/month = 212.8 days (default)
DF	=	Demand Factor from *Table 234*~~Table 234~~

> **Formatted:** Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

> **Formatted:** Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

Table 234. Demand Factors[520]

Operation	Summer DF	Winter DF
24/7 Operation	1.0	1.0
Seasonal/Limited Hours	1.0	0.5

---

[519] ENERGY STAR® ~~PFR~~ turnover and EF values are taken from pump curves found in the ENERGY STAR® Pool Pump Savings Calculator.

[520] Based on 2016 Commercial pool pump program data reviewed by the Texas Evaluation Contractor.

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Table 235. ENERGY STAR® Variable Speed Pool Pump Energy Savings[521]

| New rated pump HP | Year-round operation | | Seasonal operation (7 months) |
	24/7 operation	Limited hours	
	kWh savings	kWh savings	kWh savings
≤ 1.25	11,259	5,630	3,282
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	13,518	6,759	3,941
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	15,263	7,632	4,449
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	15,773	7,887	4,598
2.75 < hp ≤ 3	19,250	9,625	5,612

Table 236. ENERGY STAR® Variable Speed Pool Pump Summer Demand Savings

New rated pump (HP)	24/7 operation or year-round limited hours demand savings (kW)	Seasonal operation demand savings (kW)
≤ 1.25	1.285	0.749
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	1.543	0.900
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	1.742	1.016
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	1.801	1.050
2.75 < hp ≤ 3	2.198	1.281

Table 237. ENERGY STAR® Variable Speed Pool Pump Winter Demand Savings

New rated pump HP	24/7 operation demand savings (kW)	Year-round limited hours demand savings (kW)	Season operation demand savings (kW)
≤ 1.25	1.285	0.643	0.375
1.25 < hp ≤ 1.75	1.543	0.772	0.450
1.75 < hp ≤ 2.25	1.742	0.871	0.508
2.25 < hp ≤ 2.75	1.801	0.900	0.525
2.75 < hp ≤ 3	2.198	1.099	0.641

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

---

[521] The results in this table may vary slightly from results produced by the ENERGY STAR® calculator because of rounding of default savings coefficients throughout the measure and pool volume.

Additional Calculators and Tools

ENERGY STAR® Pool Pump Savings Calculator, updated May 2020, can be found on the ENERGY STAR® website at https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/downloads/Pool_Pump_Calculator_2020.05.05_FINAL.xlsx.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 10 years, as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool for EUL ID OutD-PoolPump.[522]

~~According to DEER 2014, the estimated useful life for this measure is 10 years.[523]~~

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- For all projects
  - Climate zone
  - Pool pump rated horsepower
  - Proof of purchase including quantity, make, and model information
  - Copy of ENERGY STAR® certification
  - Facility operation type: 24/7, year-round limited hours, seasonal
- For a significant sample of projects where attainable (e.g., those projects that are selected for inspection, not midstream or retail programs)
  - Items listed above for all projects
  - Decision/action type: early retirement, replace-on-burnout, or new construction
  - Rated horsepower of existing pool pump
  - Existing and new pump operating hours

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- PUCT Docket 47612—Provides deemed savings for ENERGY STAR® pool pumps

---

[522] DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi.
~~[523] Database for Energy Efficient Resources (2014). http://www.deeresources.com/.~~

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- The applicable version of the ENERGY STAR® specifications and requirements for pool pumps

Document Revision History

Table 238. Nonresidential ENERGY STAR® Pool Pumps Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v5.0	10/2017	TRM v5.0 origin.
v6.0	10/2018	TRM v6.0 update. No revisions.
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 update. Added ineligible products list. Program tracking requirements updated.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. General text edits. Corrected turnovers/day values in the assumptions table.

~~2.6.5~~2.7.5   Computer Power Management Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-CP

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Miscellaneous

Applicable Building Types: All building types applicable

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed value (per machine)

Savings Methodology: Algorithms

Measure Description

This measure presents deemed savings for implementation of computer power management strategies. Computer power management includes the use of operational settings that automate the power management features of computer equipment, including automatically placing equipment into a low power mode during periods of inactivity. This may be done either with built-in features integral to the computer operating system or through an add-on software program. Typically, this measure is implemented across an entire network of computers.

Eligibility Criteria

To be eligible for this measure, computers must not have any automatic sleep or other low power setting in place. Both conventional and ENERGY STAR® computer equipment are eligible for this measure. Applicable building types include offices and schools.

Baseline Condition

The baseline conditions are the estimated number of hours that the computer spends in active, sleep, and off modes before the power settings are actively managed. Operating hours may be estimated from metering, or the default hours provided in the calculation of deemed savings may be used. The default baseline hours are taken from the ENERGY STAR® modeling study assumptions contained in the Low Carbon IT Savings Calculator[524], and assume baseline computer settings never enter sleep mode, and 60% of computers are turned off each night.[525]

---

[524] ENERGY STAR® Low Carbon IT Calculator available for download at:
https://www.energystar.gov/products/low_carbon_it_campaign/put_your_computers_sleep.
[525] Based on 2015 custom project metering from El Paso Electric.

High-Efficiency Condition

The efficient conditions are the estimated number of hours that the computer spends in active, sleep, and off modes after the power settings are actively managed. Operating hours may be estimated from metering, or the default hours provided in the calculation of deemed savings may be used. The default efficient hours are taken from the ENERGY STAR® modeling study assumptions contained in the Low Carbon IT Savings Calculator and assume managed computer settings enter sleep mode after 15 minutes of inactivity, and 80% of computers are turned off each night.[526]

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

$$kWh_{savings}$$
$$= \frac{W_{active}\left(hrs_{active_{pre}} - hrs_{active_{post}}\right) + W_{sleep}\left(hrs_{sleep_{pre}} - hrs_{sleep_{post}}\right) + W_{off}\left(hrs_{off_{pre}} - hrs_{off_{post}}\right)}{1,000}$$

Equation 213

$$Summer\ kW_{savings} = \left(W_{active} - W_{sleep}\right) \times CF_{inactive}$$

$$\cancel{kW_{savings} = kWh_{savings} \times PWPLS}$$

Equation 214

$$Winter\ kW_{savings} = 0$$

Equation 215

Where:

W_{active}	=	total wattage of the equipment, including computer and monitor, in active/idle mode; see Table 239~~Table 239~~
$hrs_{active_{pre}}$	=	annual number of hours the computer is in active/idle mode before computer management software is installed; see Table 240~~Table 240~~
$hrs_{active_{post}}$	=	annual number of hours the computer is in active/idle mode after computer management software is installed; see Table 240~~Table 240~~
W_{sleep}	=	total wattage of the equipment, including computer and monitor, in sleep mode; see Table 239~~Table 239~~

---

[526] Based on 2015 custom project metering from El Paso Electric.

$hrs_{sleep_{pre}}$ = annual number of hours the computer is in sleep mode before computer management software is installed; see *Table 240*~~Table 240~~

$hrs_{sleep_{post}}$ = annual number of hours the computer is in sleep mode after computer management software is installed; see *Table 240*~~Table 240~~

W_{off} = total wattage of the equipment, including computer and monitor, in off mode; see *Table 239*~~Table 239~~

$hrs_{off_{pre}}$ = annual number of hours the computer is in off mode before computer management software is installed; see *Table 240*~~Table 240~~

$hrs_{off_{post}}$ = annual number of hours the computer is in off mode after computer management software is installed; see *Table 240*~~Table 240~~

1,000 = Conversion factor: 1 kW / 1,000 W

~~*PWPLS*~~*CF* = ~~*Probability weighted peak load share*~~*Coincidence factor*; see *Table 241*~~Table 241~~

Table 239. Computer Power Management—Equipment Wattages[527]

Equipment	W_{active}	W_{sleep}	W_{off}
Conventional Monitor[528]	18.3	0.30	0.30
Conventional Computer	48.11	2.31	0.96
Conventional Notebook (including display)	14.82	1.21	0.61
ENERGY STAR® Monitor	15.0	0.26	0.26
ENERGY STAR® Computer	27.11	1.80	0.81
ENERGY STAR® Notebook (including display)	8.61	0.89	0.46

Table 240. Computer Power Management—Operating Hours[529]

Building activity type	$hrs_{active_{pre}}$	$hrs_{active_{post}}$	$hrs_{sleep_{pre}}$	$hrs_{sleep_{post}}$	$hrs_{off_{pre}}$	$hrs_{off_{post}}$

---

[527] Equipment wattages taken from the ENERGY STAR® Office Equipment Calculator, updated October 2016. Available for download at https://www.energystar.gov/buildings/facility-owners-and-managers/existing-buildings/save-energy/purchase-energy-saving-products.

[528] Average of 17.0-24.9 inches monitor sizes taken from the ENERGY STAR® Office Equipment Calculator.

[529] Hours taken from assumptions in the ENERGY STAR® calculator. Hours_pre assume baseline computer settings never enter sleep mode, and 36% of computers are turned off each night. Hours_post assume managed computer settings enter sleep mode after 15 minutes of inactivity, and 80% of computers are turned off each night.

Typical office (8 hours/day, 5 days/week, 22 non-workdays/year)	4,650	1,175	0	2,105	4,110	5,480
Typical school (8 hours/day, 5 days/week, 113 non-school days/year)	4,213	727	0	1,970	4,547	6,063

Table 241. Computer Power Management—~~Probability Weighted Peak Load Share~~Coincidence Factors,
All Activity Types

Climate Zone		Summer CF		Winter CF	
	~~Active~~Summer PWPLS	Inactive	Winter PWPLS~~Active~~	Inactive
1	0.65~~0.108~~	0.35	0.11~~0.018~~	0.89
2	0.62~~0.104~~	0.38	0.12~~0.020~~	0.88
3	0.66~~0.110~~	0.34	0.12~~0.020~~	0.88
4	0.62~~0.103~~	0.38	0.14~~0.023~~	0.86
5	0.75~~0.125~~	0.25	0.28~~0.047~~	0.72

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Energy and demand savings are deemed values for conventional and ENERGY STAR® equipment, based on the input assumptions listed in Table 239, Table 240~~Table 240~~, and Table 241~~Table 241~~. The following tables provide these deemed values.

Table 242. Computer Power Management—Deemed Energy Savings Values, All Climate Zones

Equipment	Office or school kWh
Conventional LCD Monitor	62.6
Conventional Computer	161.4
Conventional Notebook	48.2
ENERGY STAR® Monitor	51.3
ENERGY STAR® Computer	89.5
ENERGY STAR® Notebook	27.5

Table 243. Computer Power Management—Deemed Demand Savings Values, Office, or School

Equipment	Zone 1 Summer (kW)	Zone 1 Winter (kW)	Zone 2 Summer (kW)	Zone 2 Winter (kW)	Zone 3 Summer (kW)	Zone 3 Winter (kW)	Zone 4 Summer (kW)	Zone 4 Winter (kW)	Zone 5 Summer (kW)	Zone 5 Winter (kW)
Conventional LCD Monitor	0.006 ~~0.007~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.007 ~~0.006~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.006 ~~0.007~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.007 ~~0.006~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.004 ~~0.008~~	0.000 ~~0.003~~
Conventional Computer	0.016 ~~0.017~~	0.000 ~~0.003~~	0.017 ~~0.017~~	0.000 ~~0.003~~	0.015 ~~0.018~~	0.000 ~~0.003~~	0.017 ~~0.017~~	0.000 ~~0.004~~	0.011 ~~0.020~~	0.000 ~~0.008~~
Conventional Notebook	0.005 ~~0.005~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.005 ~~0.005~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.005 ~~0.005~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.005 ~~0.005~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.003 ~~0.006~~	0.000 ~~0.002~~
ENERGY STAR® Monitor	0.005 ~~0.006~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.006 ~~0.005~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.005 ~~0.006~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.006 ~~0.005~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.004 ~~0.006~~	0.000 ~~0.002~~
ENERGY STAR® Computer	0.009 ~~0.010~~	0.000 ~~0.002~~	0.010 ~~0.009~~	0.000 ~~0.002~~	0.009 ~~0.010~~	0.000 ~~0.002~~	0.010 ~~0.009~~	0.000 ~~0.002~~	0.006 ~~0.011~~	0.000 ~~0.004~~
ENERGY STAR® Notebook	0.003 ~~0.003~~	0.000 ~~0.000~~	0.003 ~~0.003~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.003 ~~0.003~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.003 ~~0.003~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~	0.002 ~~0.003~~	0.000 ~~0.001~~

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Winter demand savings are not specified for this measure based on an assumption that the reduced operating hours are not achieved during the winter peak period.

[Formatted: Normal]

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) of this measure is 3 years, based on the useful life of the computer equipment being controlled.[530]

~~The EUL of this measure is based on the useful life of the computer equipment which is being controlled, 3 years.[531]~~

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

- ~~Type of equipment~~Equipment type
  - Conventional or ENERGY STAR®

---

[530] Internal Revenue Service, 1.35.6.10, Property and Equipment Capitalization, Useful life for Laptop and Desktop Equipment. July 2016. https://www.irs.gov/irm/part1/irm_01-035-006.
~~[531] Internal Revenue Service, 1.35.6.10, Property and Equipment Capitalization, Useful life for Laptop and Desktop Equipment. July, 2016. https://www.irs.gov/irm/part1/irm_01-035-006.~~

          o   Monitor, computer, or notebook

- Application type (office, school)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

Not applicable.

Document Revision History

Table 244. Nonresidential Computer Power Management Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. General reference checks and text edits. Incorporated version 2 baseline adjustments and revised savings.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. Updated peak demand savings coefficients and deemed savings. Added application type to documentation requirements. Eliminated winter demand savings.

2.6.62.7.6   Premium Efficiency Motors Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-PM

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Miscellaneous

Applicable Building Types: Commercial

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, early retirement, new construction

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

Currently a wide variety of NEMA premium efficiency motors from 1 to 500 horsepower (hp) are available. Deemed saving values for demand and energy savings associated with this measure must be for electric motors with an equivalent operating period (hours x load factor) over 1,000 hours.

Eligibility Criteria

To qualify for early retirement, the premium efficiency unit must replace an existing, full-size unit with a maximum age of 16 years. To determine the remaining useful life of an existing unit, see Table 249. To receive early retirement savings, the unit to be replaced must be functioning at the time of removal.

Baseline and High-Efficiency Conditions

New Construction or Replace-on-Burnout

EISA 2007 Sec 313 adopted new federal standards for motors manufactured in the United States from December 19, 2010 to before June 1, 2016, with increased efficiency requirements for 250-500 hp motors as of June 1, 2016. These standards replace legislation commonly referred to as EP Act 1992 (the Federal Energy Policy Act of 1992). The standards can also be found in section 431.25 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR Part 431).[532]

With these changes, motors ranging from one to 500 hp bearing the "NEMA Premium" trademark will align with national energy efficiency standards and legislation. The Federal

---

[532] Federal Standards for Electric Motors, Table 1: Nominal Full-load Efficiencies of General Purpose Electric Motors (Subtype I), Except Fire Pump Electric Motors, https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?n=pt10.3.431#sp10.3.431.b. Accessed July 2020.

Energy Management Program (FEMP) adopted NEMA MG 1-2006 Revision 1 2007 in its Designated Product List for federal customers.

Additionally, NEMA premium standards include general purpose electric motors, subtype II (i.e., motors ranging from 1-200 hp and 200-500 hp) including:

- U-frame motors
- Design C motors
- Close-coupled pump motors
- Footless motors
- Vertical solid shaft normal thrust (tested in a horizontal configuration)
- 8-pole motors
- All poly-phase motors up to 600 volts (minus 230/460 volts, covered EPAct-92)

Under these legislative changes, 200-500 hp and subtype II motor baselines will be based on the minimum efficiency allowed under the Federal Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct)[533] (see Table 248) and are thus no longer equivalent to pre-1992/pre-EPAct defaults.

Early Retirement

The baseline for early retirement projects is the nameplate efficiency of the existing motor to be replaced, if known. If the nameplate is illegible and the in-situ efficiency cannot be determined, then the baseline should be based on the minimum efficiency allowed under the Federal Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct)[534], as listed in Table 250.

NEMA premium efficiency motor levels continue to be industry standard for minimum-efficiency levels. The savings calculations assume that the minimum motor efficiency for replacement motors for both replace-on-burnout and early retirement projects exceeds that listed in Table 248.

For early retirement, the maximum age of eligible equipment is capped at the expected 75 percent of the equipment failure (17 years). ROB savings should be applied when age of the unit exceeds 75 percent failure age. This cap prevents early retirement savings from being applied to projects where the age of the equipment greatly exceeds the estimated useful life of the measure. 1-200 hp motors manufactured as of December 19, 2010 and 250-500 hp motors manufactured as of June 1, 2016 are not eligible for early retirement.

---

[533] Federal Standards for Electric Motors, Table 4: Nominal Full-load Efficiencies of NEMA Design B General Purpose Electric Motors (Subtype I and II), Except Fire Pump Electric Motors, https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?n=pt10.3.431#sp10.3.431.b. Accessed July 2020.
[534] Federal Standards for Electric Motors, Tables 3 (≤ 200 hp), and 4 (> 200hp), https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?n=pt10.3.431#sp10.3.431.b. Accessed July 2020.

403

Nonresidential Measures: Miscellaneous   *Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3*
Premium Efficiency Motors   *November 2021*

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Actual motor operating hours are expected to be used to calculate savings. Short and/or long-term metering can be used to verify estimates. If metering is not possible, interviews with facility operators and review of operations logs should be conducted to obtain an estimate of actual operating hours. If there is not sufficient information to accurately estimate operating hours, then the annual operating hours in Table 245 or Table 246 can be used.

New Construction or Replace-on-Burnout

Energy Savings Algorithms

$$kWh_{savings,ROB} = hp \times 0.746 \times LF \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{baseline,ROB}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{post}} \right) \times Hrs$$

Equation 216

Demand Savings Algorithms

HVAC Applications:

$$kW_{savings,ROB} = \left(\frac{kWh_{savings,ROB}}{Hrs} \right) \times CF$$

Equation 217

Industrial Applications[535]:

$$kW_{savings,ROB} = \left(\frac{kWh_{savings,ROB}}{8,760 \ hours} \right)$$

Equation 218

Where:

hp	=	Nameplate horsepower data of the motor
0.746	=	hp-to-kWh conversion Factor (kW/hp)[536]
LF	=	Estimated load factor (if unknown, see Table 245Table 245 or

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

---

[535] Assumes 3-shift operating schedule
[536] U.S. DOE, Technical Support Document, "Energy Efficiency Program for Commercial Equipment: Energy Conservation Standards for Electric Motors, 10.2.2.1 Motor Capacity". Download TSD at: https://www.mercatus.org/system/files/1904-AC28-TSD-Electric-Motors.pdf. Accessed July 2020.

$\eta_{baseline,ROB}$ = *Assumed original motor efficiency [%] (Table 248Table 248)*[537]

η_{post} = *Efficiency of the newly installed motor [%]*

Hrs = *Estimated annual operating hours (if unknown, see Table 245Table 245 or Table 246Table 246)*

CF = *Coincidence Factor (see Table 245Table 245)*

$kWh_{savings,ROB}$ = *Total energy savings for a new construction or ROB project*

$kW_{savings,ROB}$ = *Total demand savings for a new construction or ROB project*

Table 245. Premium Efficiency Motors—HVAC Assumptions by Building Type

Building type	Load factor[538]	CF[539]	HVAC fan hours[540]
Hospital	0.75	1.00	8,760
Large Office (>30k SqFt)			4,424
Small Office (≤30k SqFt)			4,006
K-12 School			4,173
College			4,590
Retail			5,548
Restaurant (Fast Food)			6,716
Restaurant (Sit-Down)			5,256

---

[537] In the case of rewound motors, in-situ efficiency may be reduced by a percentage as found in Table 247Table 221.

[538] Itron 2004-2005 DEER Update Study, Dec 2005; Table 3-25. Accessed September 2019 http://deeresources.com/files/deer2005/downloads/DEER2005UpdateFinalReport_ItronVersion.pdf

[539] Commercial Prototype Building Models HVAC operating schedules for hours ending 15-18. U.S. Department of Energy. https://www.energycodes.gov/development/commercial/prototype_models

[540] Factors are equivalent to Table 85Table 80 Yearly Motor Operation Hours by Building Type for HVAC Frequency Drives

405

Nonresidential Measures: Miscellaneous   *Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3*
Premium Efficiency Motors   *November 2021*

Table 246. Premium Efficiency Motors—Industrial Assumptions by Building Type

Industrial processing	Load factor[541]	Hours[542]					
		Chem	Paper	Metals	Petroleum refinery	Food production	Other
1-5 hp	0.54	4,082	3,997	4,377	1,582	3,829	2,283
6-20 hp	0.51	4,910	4,634	4,140	1,944	3,949	3,043
21-50 hp	0.60	4,873	5,481	4,854	3,025	4,927	3,530
51-100 hp	0.54	5,853	6,741	6,698	3,763	5,524	4,732
101-200 hp	0.75	5,868	6,669	7,362	4,170	5,055	4,174
201-500 hp	0.58	5,474	6,975	7,114	5,311	3,711	5,396
501-1,000 hp		7,495	7,255	7,750	5,934	5,260	8,157
> 1,000 hp		7,693	8,294	7,198	6,859	6,240	2,601

Table 247. Rewound Motor Efficiency Reduction Factors[543]

Motor horsepower	Efficiency reduction factor
< 40	0.010
≥ 40	0.005

Table 248. Premium Efficiency Motors—New Construction and Replace-on-Burnout Baseline Efficiencies by Motor Size (%)[532,536]

hp	Open motors: $\eta_{baseline, ROB}$			Closed motors: $\eta_{baseline, ROB}$		
	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole
1	82.5	85.5	77.0	82.5	85.5	77.0
1.5	86.5	86.5	84.0	87.5	86.5	84.0
2	87.5	86.5	85.5	88.5	86.5	85.5
3	88.5	89.5	85.5	89.5	89.5	86.5
5	89.5	89.5	86.5	89.5	89.5	88.5
7.5	90.2	91.0	88.5	91.0	91.7	89.5

---

[541] United States Industrial Electric Motor Systems Market Opportunities Assessment, Dec 2002; Table 1-19. ~~Accessed 07/2020.~~ https://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/tech_assistance/pdfs/mtrmkt.pdf

[542] United States Industrial Electric Motor Systems Market Opportunities Assessment, Dec 2002; Table 1-15. ~~Accessed 07/2020.~~ https://www1.eere.energy.gov/manufacturing/tech_assistance/pdfs/mtrmkt.pdf

[543] U.S. DOE, Technical Support Document, "Energy Efficiency Program for Commercial Equipment: Energy Conservation Standards for Electric Motors, 8.2.2.1 Annual Energy Consumption". Download TSD at: https://www.mercatus.org/system/files/1904-AC28-TSD-Electric-Motors.pdf. ~~Accessed July 20220.~~

	Open motors: $\eta_{baseline, ROB}$			Closed motors: $\eta_{baseline, ROB}$		
hp	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole
10	91.7	91.7	89.5	91.0	91.7	90.2
15	91.7	93.0	90.2	91.7	92.4	91.0
20	92.4	93.0	91.0	91.7	93.0	91.0
25	93.0	93.6	91.7	93.0	93.6	91.7
30	93.6	94.1	91.7	93.0	93.6	91.7
40	94.1	94.1	92.4	94.1	94.1	92.4
50	94.1	94.5	93.0	94.1	94.5	93.0
60	94.5	95.0	93.6	94.5	95.0	93.6
75	94.5	95.0	93.6	94.5	95.4	93.6
100	95.0	95.4	93.6	95.0	95.4	94.1
125	95.0	95.4	94.1	95.0	95.4	95.0
150	95.4	95.8	94.1	95.8	95.8	95.0
200	95.4	95.8	95.0	95.8	96.2	95.4
250	95.8	95.8	94.0	95.8	96.2	95.8
300	95.8	95.8	95.4	95.8	96.2	95.8
350	95.8	95.8	95.4	95.8	96.2	95.8
400	N/A	95.8	95.8	N/A	96.2	95.8
450	N/A	96.2	96.2	N/A	96.2	95.8
500	N/A	96.2	96.22	N/A	96.22	95.8

Early Retirement

Annual energy (kWh) and peak demand (kW) savings must be calculated separately for two time periods:

1. The estimated remaining life of the equipment that is being removed, designated the remaining useful life (RUL), and

2. The remaining time in the EUL period (EUL – RUL)

Annual energy and peak demand savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

Where:

RUL   =   *Remaining useful life (see Table 249Table 249); if unknown, assume the age of the replaced unit is equal to the EUL resulting in a default RUL of 2.0 years.*

EUL   =   *Estimated useful life = 15 years*

Table 249. Remaining Useful Life (RUL) of Replaced Motor[544]

| Age of replaced Motor (years)	RUL (years)	Age of replaced Motor (years)	RUL (years)
1	13.9	10	5.0
2	12.9	11	4.2
3	11.9	12	3.6
4	10.9	13	3.0
5	9.9	14	2.5
6	8.9	15	2.0
7	7.9	16	1.0
8	6.9	17[545]	0.0
9	5.9		

Derivation of RULs

Premium Efficiency Motors have an estimated useful life of 15 years. This estimate is consistent with the age at which approximately 50 percent of the motors installed in a given year will no longer be in service, as described by the survival function for a general fan or air compressor application in Figure 7.

---

[544] Current federal standard effective date is 12/19/2010. Existing systems manufactured after this date are not eligible to use the early retirement baseline and should use the ROB baseline instead.

[545] RULs are capped at the 75th percentile of equipment age, 17 years, as determined based on DOE survival curves (see Figure 7Figure 5). Systems older than 17 years should use the ROB baseline. See the January 2015 memo, "Considerations for early replacement of residential equipment," for further detail.

408

Nonresidential Measures: Miscellaneous   *Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 3*
Premium Efficiency Motors   *November 2021*

Figure 7. Survival Function for Premium Efficiency Motors[546]

The method to estimate the remaining useful life (RUL) of a replaced system uses the age of the existing system to re-estimate the projected unit lifetime based on the survival function shown in Figure 7. The age of the motor being replaced is found on the horizontal axis, and the corresponding percentage of surviving motors is determined from the chart. The surviving percentage value is then divided in half, creating a new estimated useful lifetime applicable to the current unit age. Then, the age (year) that corresponds to this new percentage is read from the chart. RUL is estimated as the difference between that age and the current age of the system being replaced.

For example, assume a motor being replaced is 15 years old (the estimated useful life). The corresponding percent surviving value is approximately 50 percent. Half of 50 percent is 25 percent. The age corresponding to 25 percent on the chart is approximately 17 years. Therefore, the RUL of the motor being replaced is (17 − 15) = 2 years.

Energy Savings Algorithms

For the RUL time period:

$$kWh_{savings,RUL} = hp \times 0.746 \times LF \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{baseline,ER}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{post}} \right) \times Hrs$$

Equation 219

---

[546] Department of Energy, Federal Register, 76 Final Rule 57516, Technical Support Document: 8.2.3.1 Estimated Survival Function. September 15, 2011. ~~Accessed July 2020.~~ http://www1.eere.energy.gov/buildings/appliance_standards/pdfs/refrig_finalrule_tsd.pdf.

For the remaining time in the EUL period, calculate annual savings as you would for a replace-on-burnout project.

$$kWh_{savings,EUL} = hp \times 0.746 \times LF \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{baseline,ROB}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{post}} \right) \times Hrs$$

<div align="right">Equation 220</div>

It follows that total lifetime energy savings for early retirement projects are then determined by adding the savings calculated under the two preceding equations:

$$kWh_{savings,ER} = kWh_{savings,RUL} \times RUL + kWh_{savings,EUL} \times (EUL - RUL)$$

<div align="right">Equation 221</div>

Demand Savings Algorithms

To calculate demand savings for the early retirement of a motor, a similar methodology is used as for replace-on-burnout installations, with separate savings calculated for the remaining useful life of the unit, and the remainder of the EUL as outlined in the section above.

For the RUL time period:

HVAC Applications

$$kW_{savings,RUL} = \frac{kWh_{savings,RUL}}{Hrs} \times CF$$

<div align="right">Equation 222</div>

Industrial Applications

$$kW_{savings,RUL} = \frac{kWh_{savings,RUL}}{8,760 \ hours}$$

<div align="right">Equation 223</div>

For the remaining time in the EUL period., calculate annual savings as you would for a replace-on-burnout project:

HVAC Applications

$$kW_{savings,EUL} = \frac{kWh_{savings,EUL}}{Hrs} \times CF$$

<div align="right">Equation 224</div>

Industrial Applications

$$kW_{savings,EUL} = \frac{kWh_{savings,EUL}}{8,760 \ hours}$$

<div align="right">Equation 225</div>

Annual deemed peak demand savings are calculated by weighting the early retirement and replace-on-burnout savings by the RUL of the unit and the remainder of the EUL period, as outlined in the Volume 3 appendices.

$$kW_{savings,ER} = kW_{savings,RUL} \times RUL + kW_{savings,EUL} \times (EUL - RUL)$$

Equation 226

Where:

$\eta_{baseline,ER}$	=	Assumed original motor efficiency for remaining EUL time period (Table 250Table 250 or)[547]
$kWh_{savings,RUL}$	=	Energy savings for RUL time period in an ER project
$kWh_{savings,EUL}$	=	Energy savings for remaining EUL time period in an ER project
$kW_{savings,RUL}$	=	Demand savings for RUL time period in an ER project
$kW_{savings,EUL}$	=	Demand savings for remaining EUL time period in an ER project
$kWh_{savings,ER}$	=	Total energy savings for an ER project
$kW_{savings,ER}$	=	Total demand savings for an ER project

Table 250. Premium Efficiency Motors—Early Retirement Baseline Efficiencies by Motor Size (%)[534]

hp	Open motors: $\eta_{baseline,ER}$			Closed motors: $\eta_{baseline,ER}$		
	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole
1	80.0	82.5	75.5	80.0	82.5	75.5
1.5	84.0	84.0	82.5	85.5	84.0	82.5
2	85.5	84.0	84.0	86.5	84.0	84.0
3	86.5	86.5	84.0	87.5	87.5	85.5
5	87.5	87.5	85.5	87.5	87.5	87.5
7.5	88.5	88.5	87.5	89.5	89.5	88.5
10	90.2	89.5	88.5	89.5	89.5	89.5
15	90.2	91.0	89.5	90.2	91.0	90.2
20	91.0	91.0	90.2	90.2	91.0	90.2
25	91.7	91.7	91.0	91.7	92.4	91.0
30	92.4	92.4	91.0	91.7	92.4	91.0

---

[547] Ibid.

	Open motors: $\eta_{baseline, ER}$			Closed motors: $\eta_{baseline, ER}$		
hp	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole
40	93.0	93.0	91.7	93.0	93.0	91.7
50	93.0	93.0	92.4	93.0	93.0	92.4
60	93.6	93.6	93.0	93.6	93.6	93.0
75	93.6	94.1	93.0	93.6	94.1	93.0
100	94.1	94.1	93.0	94.1	94.5	93.6
125	94.1	94.5	93.6	94.1	94.5	94.5
150	94.5	95.0	93.6	95.0	95.0	94.5
200	94.5	95.0	94.5	95.0	95.0	95.0
250	95.4	95.4	94.5	95.0	95.0	95.4
300	95.4	95.4	95.0	95.0	95.4	95.4
350	95.4	95.4	95.0	95.0	95.4	95.4
400	N/A	95.4	95.4	N/A	95.4	95.4
450	N/A	95.8	95.8	N/A	95.4	95.4
500	N/A	95.8	95.8	N/A	95.8	95.4

Table 251. Premium Efficiency Motors—Early Retirement Baseline Efficiencies by Motor Size for 250-500 hp Motors Manufactured Prior to June 1, 2016 (%)[548]

	Open motors: $\eta_{baseline, ER}$			Closed motors: $\eta_{baseline, ER}$		
hp	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole	6-pole	4-pole	2-pole
250	95.4	95.4	94.5	95.0	95.0	95.4
300	95.4	95.4	95.0	95.0	95.4	95.4
350	95.4	95.4	95.0	95.0	95.4	95.4
400	N/A	95.4	95.4	N/A	95.4	95.4
450	N/A	95.8	95.8	N/A	95.4	95.4
500	N/A	95.8	95.8	N/A	95.8	95.4

---

[548] Federal Standards for Electric Motors, Table 4,

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Not applicable

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The ~~median~~ estimated useful life (EUL) ~~for premium efficiency motors~~ is 15 years.[549]

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

Primary inputs and contextual data that should be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly are:

- Number of units installed
- The project type of the installation (new construction, replace-on-burnout, or early retirement)
- Horsepower
- Estimated annual operating hours and estimated load factor
- Number of poles in and horsepower of original motor
- Newly installed motor efficiency (%)
- Description of motor service application
- Photograph demonstrating functionality of existing equipment and/or customer responses to survey questionnaire documenting the condition of the replaced unit and their motivation for measure replacement for early retirement eligibility determination (early retirement only)

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable

---

[549] U.S. DOE, Technical Support Document, "Energy Efficiency Program for Commercial Equipment: Energy Conservation Standards for Electric Motors", Median of "Table 8.2.23 Average Application Lifetime". Download TSD at: https://www.mercatus.org/system/files/1904-AC28-TSD-Electric-Motors.pdf

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

- Federal Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPAct)

  - Defaults prior to EPAct 1992 from the DOE's *MotorMaster+* database (circa 1992)

- 2007 Energy Independence and Security Act (EISA)

- The applicable version of the Technical Support Document for electric motors

Document Revision History

Table 252. Nonresidential Premium Efficiency Motors Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v7.0	10/2019	TRM v7.0 origin.
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 update. General reference checks and text edits. Replace-on-burnout and Early Retirement clarifications.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.

2.6.72.7.7   ENERGY STAR® Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE) Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-EV

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: ApplianceMiscellaneous

Applicable Business Types: All

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, new construction

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure applies to the installation of electric vehicle supply equipment (EVSE) meeting the specifications of ENERGY STAR® Level 2 at a commercial site. EVSE is the infrastructure that enables plug-in electric vehicles (PEV) to charge onboard batteries. Level 2 EVSE require 240-volt electrical service. This measure provides deemed savings for the energy efficiency improvement of an ENERGY STAR® EVSE over a standard or non-ENERGY STAR® EVSE.

Eligibility Criteria

Eligible equipment includes ENERGY STAR® compliant Level 2 EVSE installed in a commercial application, which includes public, multifamily, workplace, and fleet locations. Public locations are sites where an EVSE is intended to be used by the public or visitors to the site. This includes locations such as retail, education, municipal, hospitality, and other similar locations. For the purposes of this measure, multifamily sites are public locations. Workplace locations include sites where an EVSE is intended to be used by employees to charge their personal vehicles when reporting to the workplace site. Fleet locations include sites where an EVSE is intended to be used to charge a fleet of company vehicles. The EVSE may be installed for use on either an all-battery electric vehicle (BEV) or a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle (PHEV). Savings estimates for this measure are based on studies of light duty vehicles; EVSE for charging heavy duty vehicles should pursue custom M&V.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a non-ENERGY STAR® compliant Level 2 EVSE.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is an ENERGY STAR® compliant Level 2 EVSE.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings for EVSE come from efficiency gains of the ENERGY STAR® equipment during operating modes when the vehicle is plugged in but not charging and when not plugged in. Deemed savings are calculated according to the following algorithms.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

$$ENERGY\ STAR\ Idle\ Consumption\ [kWh]$$
$$= \frac{\left(hrs_{plug} \times W_{plug} + hrs_{unplug\_C} \times W_{unplug}\right) \times days_C + hrs_{unplug\_NC} \times W_{unplug} \times days_{NC}}{1000}$$

Equation 227

$$Baseline\ Idle\ Consumption\ [kWh] = \frac{ENERGY\ STAR\ Idle\ Consumption}{0.6}$$

Equation 228

$$Annual\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh]$$
$$= Baseline\ Idle\ Consumption - ENERGY\ STAR\ Idle\ Consumption$$

Equation 229

$$Demand\ Savings\ [kW] = \frac{Annual\ Energy\ Savings\ (kWh)}{hrs_{unplug\_C} \times days_C + hrs_{unplug\_NC} \times days_{NC}} \times PDPF$$

Equation 230

Where:

hrs_{plug}	=	*Hours per day the vehicle is plugged into the EVSE and not charging, 2.8 hrs.*[550]
W_{plug}	=	*Wattage of the EVSE when the vehicle is plugged into the EVSE but not charging, 6.9 W.*[551]
hrs_{unplug_C}	=	*Hours per day the vehicle is not plugged into the EVSE on a charging day, 19.0 hrs.*[552]

---

[550] National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), February 2018, "Charging Electric Vehicles in Smart Cities: An EVI-Pro Analysis of Columbus Ohio," page 26, Table 8: Charging Statistics by Location Type and Level, ChargePoint Data. Average across all location types, dwell time minus charging duration.
[551] Average Idle Mode Input Power from ENERGY STAR® certified EVSE product list as of July 13, 2020.
[552] NREL "Charging Electric Vehicles in Smart Cities: An EVI-Pro Analysis of Columbus Ohio," page 26, Table 8; 24 hours per day minus average dwell time.

hrs_{unplug_NC}	=	Hours per day the vehicle is not plugged into the EVSE on a non-charge day, 24 hrs.
W_{unplug}	=	Wattage of the EVSE when the vehicle is not plugged into the EVSE, 3.3 W.[553]
$days_C$	=	Number of charging days per year, 204 days.[554]
$days_{NC}$	=	Number of non-charging days per year, 161 days.
1000	=	conversion from Wh to kWh
0.6	=	Efficiency adjustment factor[555]
PDPF	=	Peak demand probability factor, Table 253~~Table 253~~

Table 253. EVSE Peak Demand Probability Factors[556]

Location type	Public		Workplace		Fleet	
Climate zone	Summer PDPF	Winter PDPF	Summer PDPF	Winter PDPF	Summer PDPF	Winter PDPF
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.46526	0.46032	0.87484	0.75271	0.27206	0.44421
Zone 2: Dallas	0.45808	0.47380	0.86213	0.75558	0.22867	0.42040
Zone 3: Houston	0.46134	0.42544	0.87173	0.68222	0.26507	0.34306
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.46892	0.49816	0.87553	0.77324	0.25862	0.50077
Zone 5: El Paso	0.42680	0.51324	0.80969	0.92091	0.15042	0.57715

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Table 254~~Table 254~~ presents the deemed annual energy savings per EVSE.

Table 254. EVSE Annual Energy Savings

Annual energy savings (kWh) (all location types)
19.7

---

[553] Average No Vehicle Mode Input Power from ENERGY STAR® certified EVSE product list.

[554] NREL "Charging Electric Vehicles in Smart Cities: An EVI-Pro Analysis of Columbus Ohio," page 25; 0.56 charging sessions per day per plug in Austin, Texas. 365 x 0.56 = 204.

[555] ENERGY STAR® Electric Vehicle Chargers Buying Guidance: "ENERGY STAR® certified EV charger… on average use 40% less energy than a standard EV charger when the charger is in standby mode (i.e., not actively charging a vehicle)." https://www.energystar.gov/products/other/evse. ~~Accessed July 2020.~~

[556] Probability weighted peak load factors are calculated according to the method in Section 4 of the Texas TRM Vol 1 using data from NREL "Charging Electric Vehicles in Smart Cities: An EVI-Pro Analysis of Columbus Ohio," page 27, Figure 21: Daily distribution of ChargePoint charging events by EVSE type and day of the week.

Table 255 presents the deemed summer and winter peak kW savings per EVSE.

Table 255. EVSE Peak Demand Savings

Location type	Public		Workplace		Fleet	
Climate zone	Summer peak kW	Winter peak kW	Summer peak kW	Winter peak kW	Summer peak kW	Winter peak kW
Zone 1: Amarillo	0.0012	0.0012	0.0022	0.0019	0.0008	0.0012
Zone 2: Dallas	0.0012	0.0012	0.0022	0.0019	0.0006	0.0012
Zone 3: Houston	0.0012	0.0011	0.0022	0.0017	0.0007	0.0010
Zone 4: Corpus Christi	0.0012	0.0013	0.0022	0.0020	0.0007	0.0014
Zone 5: El Paso	0.0011	0.0013	0.0021	0.0023	0.0004	0.0016

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Additional Calculators and Tools

Not applicable.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for an EVSE is assumed to be 10 years.[557]

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

It is required that the following list of primary inputs and contextual data be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Quantity

- Climate zone

- Location Type (public, workplace, or fleet)[558]

- EVSE make manufacturer and model number

---

[557] U.S. Department of Energy Vehicle Technologies Office, November 2015, "Costs Associated with Non-Residential Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment" p. 21.
https://afdc.energy.gov/files/u/publication/evse_cost_report_2015.pdf, Accessed July 2020.
[558] Refer to Eligibility Criteria section for location type definitions.

- ~~Vehicle year, make, and model~~

- ~~Estimated number of miles driven per day~~

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- This section not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

The applicable version of the ENERGY STAR® specifications and requirements for electric vehicle supply equipment.

Document Revision History

Table 256. Nonresidential Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment Revision History

TRM version	Date	Description of change
v8.0	10/2020	TRM v8.0 origin.
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 update. General reference checks and text edits.

2.7.8 Variable Frequency Drives for Water Pumping Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-WP

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Miscellaneous

Applicable Business Types: All

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

This measure involves the installation of a variable frequency drive (VFD) in a water pumping application such as for domestic water supply, wastewater treatment, and conveyance.

Eligibility Criteria

Water pumps must be less than or equal to 100 hp. New construction systems are ineligible. Equipment used for irrigation or process loads are ineligible.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a water pump with no variable speed control ability.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is the installation of a VFD on a water pump.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Demand Savings are calculated for each hour over the course of the year:

Step 1: Determine the percent flow rate for each of the year (*i*)

Baseline Technology[559]:

$$\%power_{base} = 2.5294 \times \%GPM_i^3 - 4.7443 \times \%GPM_i^2 + 3.2485 \times \%GPM_i + 0$$

Equation 231

Where:

%GPM	=	*The percent flow rate, see Table 257~~Table 257~~.*
i	=	*Each hour of the year.*

Table 257. VFD for Water Pumping - Water Demand Profile[560]

Hour ending	Percent flow rate
1	0.078
2	0.039
3	0.010
4	0.010
5	0.039
6	0.275
7	0.941
8	1.000
9	0.961
10	0.843
11	0.765
12	0.608
13	0.529
14	0.471
15	0.412
16	0.471
17	0.549
18	0.725
19	0.863
20	0.824

---

[559] PNNL, ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90.1-2016 Performance Rating Method Reference Manual, Table 87 Default Part-load CIRC-PUMP-FPLR Coefficients – Constant Speed, no VSD.
[560] NREL, Development of Standardized Domestic Hot Water Event Schedules for Residential Buildings, Fig. 2 Combined domestic hot water use profile for the Benchmark, representing average use. https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy08osti/40874.pdf.

Hour ending	Percent flow rate
21	0.745
22	0.608
23	0.529
24	0.294

VFD Technology[561]:

$$\%power_{VFD} = 0.7347 \times \%GPM_i^3 - 0.301 \times \%GPM_i^2 + 0.5726 \times \%GPM_i + 0$$

Equation 232

Step 3 - Calculate kW_full using the hp from the motor nameplate, load factor and the applicable motor efficiency. Use that result and the %power results to determine power consumption at each hour:

$$kW_{full} = 0.746 \times HP \times \frac{LF}{\eta}$$

Equation 233

$$kW_i = kW_{full} \times \%power_i$$

Equation 234

Where:

%power_i	=	*Percentage of full load pump power needed at the ith hour calculated by an equation based on the control type.*
kW_full	=	*Fan motor demand operating at the pump typical design point.*
kW_i	=	*Pump real-time power at the ith hour of the year.*
HP	=	*Rated horsepower of the motor.*
LF	=	*Load factor – ratio of the operating load to the nameplate rating of the motor; default assumption is 75%.*
0.746	=	*HP to kW conversion factor.*
η	=	*Motor efficiency of a standard efficiency motor, see Table 258Table 258.*

> **Formatted:** Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

---

[561] PNNL, ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90.1-2016 Performance Rating Method Reference Manual, Table 87 Default Part-load CIRC-PUMP-FPLR Coefficients – Default (VSD, No Reset).

Table 258. Motor Efficiencies[562]

Motor horsepower	Full load efficiency
1	0.855
2	0.865
3	0.895
5	0.895
7.5	0.910
10	0.917
15	0.930
20	0.930
25	0.936
30	0.941
40	0.941
50	0.945
60	0.950
75	0.950
100	0.954

Step 4 - Calculate the kW savings for each of the top 20 hours within the applicable peak probability analysis for the building's climate zone from Volume 1.

Hourly and Peak Demand Savings Calculations

$$kW_{i,Saved} = kW_{i,Baseline} - kW_{i,VFD}$$

Equation 235

$$kW_{PDPF,Saved} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{20}(kW_{i,Saved} * PDPF_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{20}(PDPF_i)}$$

Equation 236

Where:

> *PDPF = Winter peak demand probability factor from the applicable climate zone table in Volume 1. There are no summer demand savings for this measure.*

---

[562] Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Chapter II, Subchapter D, Part 431.25 Table 1, Nominal Full-Load efficiencies of General Purpose Electric Motors (Subtype 1), 4 pole motors. https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/retrieveECFR?n=pt10.3.431#se10.3.431_125.

Energy Savings are calculated in the following manner:

Step 1 – For both the baseline and new technology, calculate the sum of individual kWh consumption in each hour of the year:

$$Annual\ kWh = \sum_{i=1}^{8760} (kW_i)$$

<div align="right">

Equation 237

</div>

Where:

    8760   =   *Total number of hours in a year.*

Step 2 - Subtract Annual kWh$_{new}$ from Annual kWh$_{baseline}$ to get the Annual Energy Savings:

$$Annual\ Energy\ Savings\ [kWh] = kWh_{baseline} - kWh_{new}$$

<div align="right">

Equation 238

</div>

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Table 255 presents the deemed summer and winter peak kW savings per EVSE.

Table 259. Water Pump VFD Savings per Motor HP

Climate zone	Annual kWh savings per motor HP	Winter Peak Demand kW savings per motor HP
Zone 1: Amarillo		0.097
Zone 2: Dallas		0.069
Zone 3: Houston	1,389	0.067
Zone 4: Corpus Christi		0.138
Zone 5: El Paso		0.106

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 12.5 years, which is the average EUL for pump VSD applications as specified in the California Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) READI tool.[563]

---

[563] DEER READI (Remote Ex-Ante Database Interface). http://www.deeresources.com/index.php/readi.

Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

The list below of primary inputs and contextual data is recommended to be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

- Quantity
- Climate zone
- Motor horsepower

References and Efficiency Standards

Petitions and Rulings

- This section not applicable.

Relevant Standards and Reference Sources

None

Document Revision History

Table 260. Nonresidential Water Pumping VFD Revision History

TRM Version	Date	Description of Change
v9.0	10/2021	TRM v9.0 origin.

2.7.9 Steam Trap Repair and Replacement Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-ST

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Miscellaneous

Applicable Business Types: All

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Retrofit

Program Delivery Type: Prescriptive

Deemed Savings Type: Look-up tables

Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

Measure Description

Faulty steam traps that allow steam to leak require makeup water to re-generate the lost steam. This measure applies to the replacement or repair of faulty mechanical (thermostatic, thermodynamic, bucket, or fixed orifice) steam traps in industrial and commercial facilities. The measure also covers annual maintenance of venturi steam traps after their deemed 20-year measure life.

Eligibility Criteria

The measure is applicable to failed steam traps in commercial and industrial applications less than 300 psig. Residential, multifamily, and heating radiator applications are not eligible to claim savings under the methods in this measure.

Baseline Condition

The baseline condition is a faulty (blocked, leaking, or blow-through) mechanical steam trap in need of replacement or repair.

High-Efficiency Condition

The high-efficiency condition is the repair of a faulty steam trap, replacement with a venturi steam trap installed in compliance with ASME PTC 39-2005, or annual maintenance of a venturi steam trap.

A venturi steam trap removes condensate from steam systems by utilizing the thermodynamic pressure properties of water passing through a fixed venturi orifice rather than by the moving parts found in traditional steam traps. There are numerous steam system parameters that influence operating pressure, system load, and system operations. Venturi steam traps are an engineering solution that must be designed and sized by a qualified professional based on specific site conditions.

Annual maintenance of a venturi steam trap after exhausting its deemed 20-year measure life with savings awarded on a year-to-year basis includes the removal, cleaning, and replacement of the trap strainer. Some traps may contain an integrated strainer blowdown valve for improved maintenance.

Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

Electrical energy savings for this measure are calculated based on the energy associated with makeup required to replace water lost due to steam leaks. Savings are presented per trap.

Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

$$Annual\ Energy\ Savings\ (kWh) = \Delta Water\ (gallons)/1{,}000{,}000 \times E_{water\ supply}$$

Equation 239

$$\Delta Water = \frac{S_L\ (lb/hr)}{8.33\ (lbs/gal)} \times Hours \times L$$

Equation 240

$$S_L = 24.24 \times P_{ia} \times D^2 \times A \times FF$$

Equation 241

$$Peak\ Demand\ Savings\ (kW) = \frac{Annual\ Energy\ Savings\ (kWh)}{Hours} \times DF$$

Equation 242

Where:

$E_{water\ supply}$	=	Water supply energy factor: 2,300 kWh/million gallons
S_L	=	Average steam loss per trap (lb/hr), see Table 261~~Table 261~~
Hours	=	Annual hours when steam system is operational, equal to heating degree days by climate zone, see Table 262~~Table 262~~
L	=	Percent leakage, 1 per each leaking trap with a system audit to document leaks. For full system replacement without a system audit, use default values from Table 261~~Table 261~~
24.24	=	Constant lb/(hr-psia-in2)
P_{ia}	=	Average steam trap inlet pressure, absolute (psia), P_{ig} + P_{atm}
P_{ig}	=	Average steam trap inlet pressure, gauge (psig), see Table 261~~Table 261~~
P_{atm}	=	Atmospheric pressure, 14.7 psia

427

D = Diameter of orifice (inches), use actual if possible, or defaults in Table 261~~Table 261~~

A = Adjustment factor: 50% for all steam systems ; this factor is to account for reducing the maximum theoretical steam flow to the average steam flow (the Enbridge factor).

FF = Flow Factor for medium and high-pressure steam systems to address industrial float and thermodynamic style traps where additional blockage is possible

DF = Demand Factor, Assume value of 1 for industrial and process steam applications. For Commercial heating applications, see Table 32~~Table 32~~ through Table 36~~Table 36~~ in Section 2.2.2. For commercial dry cleaners, use DF for stand-alone retail.

Table 261. Steam Traps – Default Inputs[564]

Steam system	Psig	Diameter of orifice (inches)	Flow factor	Average steam loss, S, (lb/hr/trap)	Hours	L
Commercial dry cleaners	82.8	0.125	100%	18.5	2,425	0.27
Industrial or process low pressure < 15 psig	-	-		6.9	8,282	0.16
Industrial or process medium pressure > 15 and < 30 psig	16	0.1875	50%	6.5	8,282	0.16
Industrial or process medium pressure > 30 and < 75 psig	47	0.2500		23.4	8,282	0.16
Industrial or process high pressure > 75 and < 125 psig	101			43.8	8,282	0.16
Industrial or process high pressure > 125 and < 175 psig	146			60.9	8,282	0.16
Industrial or process high pressure > 175 and < 250 psig	202			82.1	8,282	0.16
Industrial or process high pressure > 250 and < 300 psig	263			105.2	8,282	0.16
Commercial Heating LPS	-	-	100%	6.9	Table 262~~Table 262~~	0.27

---

[564] Default inputs for the steam trap measure are sourced from the Illinois TRM version 9.0, measure 4.4.16 Steam Trap Replacement or Repair. https://ilsag.s3.amazonaws.com/IL-TRM_Effective_010121_v9.0_Vol_2_C_and_I_09252020_Final.pdf

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 11 pt, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: 10 pt

Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables

Table 262. Steam Trap - Hours

Climate Zone	Hours (HRS)[565]
1	4,565
2	2,567
3	1,686
4	1,129
5	2,677

Table 263. Steam Trap – Annual Energy Savings

Steam system	Climate zone	Annual kWh savings (per trap, without audit)	Annual kWh savings (per trap, with audit)
Commercial dry cleaners	All	3.3	12.4
Industrial or process low pressure < 15 psig	All	2.5	15.8
Industrial or process medium pressure > 15 and < 30 psig	All	2.4	15.0
Industrial or process medium pressure > 30 and < 75 psig	All	8.6	53.4
Industrial or process high pressure > 75 and < 125 psig	All	16.0	100.2
Industrial or process high pressure > 125 and < 175 psig	All	22.3	139.2
Industrial or process high pressure > 175 and < 250 psig	All	30.0	187.7
Industrial or process high pressure > 250 and < 300 psig	All	38.5	240.5
Commercial heating LPS	1 Amarillo	2.3	8.7
	2 DFW	1.3	4.9
	3 Houston	0.9	3.2
	4 Corpus	0.6	2.2
	5 El Paso	1.4	5.1

[565] Heating Degree Days are calculated from TMY3 Hourly Weather Data by Climate Zone, available at http://texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings.

Claimed Peak Demand Savings

Table 264. Steam Trap – Peak Demand Savings, Without Audit

Steam type	Building type	Principal building activity	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5
Commercial dry cleaners	Mercantile	Stand-alone retail	1.36E-03	7.57E-04	5.92E-04	3.03E-04	3.58E-04
Low pressure ≤ 15 psig	All	Industrial or process	3.05E-04	3.05E-04	3.05E-04	3.05E-04	3.05E-04
Medium pressure > 15 and < 30 psig	All	Industrial or process	2.89E-04	2.89E-04	2.89E-04	2.89E-04	2.89E-04
Medium pressure ≥ 30 and < 75 psig	All	Industrial or process	1.03E-03	1.03E-03	1.03E-03	1.03E-03	1.03E-03
High pressure ≥ 75 and < 125 psig	All	Industrial or process	1.94E-03	1.94E-03	1.94E-03	1.94E-03	1.94E-03
High pressure ≥ 125 and < 175 psig	All	Industrial or process	2.69E-03	2.69E-03	2.69E-03	2.69E-03	2.69E-03
High pressure ≥ 175 and < 250 psig	All	Industrial or process	3.63E-03	3.63E-03	3.63E-03	3.63E-03	3.63E-03
High pressure ≥ 250 and < 300 psig	All	Industrial or process	4.65E-03	4.65E-03	4.65E-03	4.65E-03	4.65E-03
Commercial heating LPS	Data center	Data center	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Education	College/ university	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
		Primary school	2.21E-04	3.39E-04	2.57E-04	1.54E-04	1.90E-04
		Secondary school	2.21E-04	3.03E-04	2.78E-04	1.80E-04	2.21E-04
	Food sales	Convenience	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
		Supermarket	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Food service	Full-service restaurant	2.21E-04	2.57E-04	2.26E-04	1.80E-04	1.44E-04
		24-hour full-service	2.21E-04	2.52E-04	2.26E-04	1.85E-04	1.39E-04
		Quick-service restaurant	2.47E-04	3.14E-04	2.62E-04	1.75E-04	1.34E-04
		24-hour quick-service	2.47E-04	3.09E-04	2.57E-04	1.75E-04	1.34E-04

Steam type	Building type	Principal building activity	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5
	Healthcare	Hospital	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
		Outpatient healthcare	1.39E-04	1.44E-04	1.49E-04	4.12E-05	2.06E-05
	Large multifamily	Midrise apartment	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Lodging	Large hotel	4.42E-04	4.22E-04	1.70E-04	1.08E-04	1.08E-04
		Nursing home	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
		Small hotel/motel	1.85E-04	2.16E-04	9.77E-05	5.14E-05	3.09E-05
	Retail	Stand-alone retail	5.09E-04	2.83E-04	2.21E-04	1.13E-04	1.34E-04
		24-hour stand-alone retail	2.21E-04	2.93E-04	2.11E-04	1.29E-04	1.44E-04
		Strip mall	2.01E-04	2.83E-04	2.16E-04	1.08E-04	1.39E-04
	Office	Large office	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
		Medium office	3.70E-04	3.39E-04	2.16E-04	1.23E-04	1.39E-04
		Small office	1.49E-04	2.06E-04	1.44E-04	7.20E-05	7.72E-05
	Public assembly	Public assembly	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Religious worship	Religious worship	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Service	Service	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Warehouse	Warehouse	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
	Other	Other	1.39E-04	1.44E-04	9.77E-05	4.12E-05	2.06E-05