Describe non-routine events and adjustments across the measurement periods. The COVID-19 pandemic<sup>53</sup> altered many commercial and industrial (C&I) customer operations in multiple ways, and each significant adjustment in operation should be described, such as full shutdown periods, partial operation periods, and full operation periods.

M&V energy savings should be normalized to climatological and other features, such as production volume or occupancy. The weather-dependent factors are normalized to fixed Typical Meteorological Year 3 (TMY3) weather data files organized by Climate Zone 2. This normalized file should be used with both the pre-installation and post-installation consumption energy model from the regression analysis.

# M&V Plan and M&V Report

Preparation of an M&V plan and report is required to determine savings. An M&V plan ensures that collected data and information necessary to determine savings will be available after implementation of the behavioral change(s). The M&V plan and report should follow the template in the IPMVP Core Concept 2022 Section 13, excluding the budget section. Documentation of assumptions and modeling should be complete, readily available, clearly organized, and easy to understand. It is critical that the behavioral M&V plans and reports detail the individual actions, measurement boundary, and the multi-year measurement and savings analysis protocols in the plan and update in the report.

Changes to required documentation may be possible if a viable comparison group can be used. The EM&V team will review M&V plans that include the make–up and selection of the comparison group in lieu of required documentation.

# Normalized Energy Model Fit Metrics

The model should be designed to develop the most accurate normalized metered energy consumption using a replicable method. The models used for the baseline and performance periods should be the simplest model available with the best R<sup>2</sup> and CV (RMSE)<sup>54</sup>. It is required that selected variables are reasonably understood to impact consumption levels and not coincidental during a measurement period. The least-squares regression method is most common and should be completed separately for electric consumption (kWh) and electric demand (kW). Other methods are acceptable if the least squares method is not sufficient. The model shall attempt to meet the following model fitness metric requirements:

- Energy savings is greater than ten percent of baseline consumption
- R<sup>2</sup> value greater than or equal to 0.75

Advanced models may develop alternative fit metrics or error levels at specific confidence levels as described in Section 12.6 of the Core Concepts 2022.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Starting March 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Coefficient of Variation Root Mean Squared Error.

The electric demand model based on one-hour interval consumption data will lead to the best model to determine peak demand savings. The model shall be evaluated to determine if the peak demand is accurately represented during the peak conditions as described in TRM Volume 1. An alternate regression model for the peak demand is required when the measured peak demand varies from the modeled peak demand at the high and low measured temperature period by greater than 20 percent. If the one-hour interval consumption data is unavailable, the evaluation team must approve the M&V plan before implementation.

# **Baseline Data and Model**

The participants baseline data shall be used to create a baseline model equation. The M&V plan should document the data used to determine the baseline completely and accurately, including the selection of constants and independent variables. The baseline and independent variables shall be derived based on the historical electric consumption 12 months immediately prior to the engagement, the nearest actual weather data file, and other relevant variables, such as floor area or operating profile<sup>55</sup>. Historic electricity consumption is expected to be an hour interval to support the development of the peak demand savings detailed in Volume 1.

Baseline energy models can be used for multiple years for long-term behavior engagements. A baseline normalized energy model can be used for a maximum five years from the start of the baseline period to the start of the performance period. Although the baseline period may be reset earlier if non-routine adjustments are unable to be identified or quantified.

# **Reporting Period Data and Model**

The participants' consumption data starts immediately after commissioning all project components to create a performance period model equation. The M&V plan should document the data used to determine the consumption completely and accurately, including the selection of constants and independent variables. The independent variables shall be derived based on the historical electric consumption of 12 months, the actual weather data file from the same source as the baseline, the TMY3 weather data file specified for the climate zone, and other relevant variables. Actual electricity consumption is expected to be an hour interval to support the development of the peak demand savings detailed in Volume 1, Section 4.

# **Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables**

Not applicable.

# **Claimed Peak Demand Savings**

The methodology used to determine peak demand savings should be consistent with the methodology of energy savings. The calculation of peak demand savings should include the weather-dependent peak demand probability factors, as outlined in Volume 1, Section 4. The methodology should be documented clearly in the M&V plan and report. Because models are developed for a normalized year, the factors outside the date, time, and temperature should be assumed to be the maximum for the date and time combination, such as considering the date a weekday operation day for an office building.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> CaITRACK 2.0 provides a compliance checklist that can be used as best practices during model development. <u>https://www.caltrack.org/caltrack-compliance.html</u>.

# Additional Calculators and Tools

Regression software used for estimating annual energy use and demand should be clearly specified in the M&V plan and report.

## Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is one year.

#### **Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements**

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Decision/action type: Operations and maintenance
- Building type

The following inputs and data should be documented and available for evaluation review:

- Baseline equipment types affected by behavior change
- Baseline equipment capacities
- Baseline equipment efficiency ratings
- Baseline number of units
- Baseline operating practice
- Efficient operating practice
- Actual one-hour interval consumption data
- Actual weather data file
- Actual alternate operations or other variable documentation
- Model development inputs and outputs for baseline and post-install analysis
- Normalized energy consumption and peak demand reduction estimates
- Normalized energy consumption data file based on TMY3 and other variables

#### **References and Efficiency Standards**

Not applicable.

#### Petitions and Rulings

 Behavioral programs are allowed energy efficiency programs as specified in the Energy Efficiency Rule (16 TC 25.181 (c)(12))

#### **Relevant Standards and Reference Sources**

- International Performance and Measurement Verification Protocol Core Concepts
  2022 <u>https://evo-world.org/en/products-services-mainmenu-en/protocols/ipmvp</u>
- CalTRACK 2.0 Technical Appendix: <u>http://docs.caltrack.org/en/latest/technical-appendix.html</u>
- Standard and references unique to each project and to be documented in the M&V plan and report

# **Document Revision History**

| TRM version | Date       | Description of change  |
|-------------|------------|--|
| v3.1        | 11/05/2015 | TRM v3.1 origin.   |
| v4.0        | 10/10/2016 | Updated documentation of methodology and measure life.   |
| v5.0        | 10/10/2017 | No revision.   |
| v6.0        | 10/2018    | No revision.   |
| v7.0        | 10/2019    | Transferred relevant guidance language from Vol. 5.  |
| v8.0        | 10/2020    | Added hourly interval data as a requirement, added CaITRACK2.0 technical appendix as a guide to normalize consumption models, and clarified guidance on normalized energy model fitness, baseline development, and reporting period. |
| v9.0        | 10/2021    | Updated model requirements to account for pandemic and other non-routine events.   |
| v10.0       | 10/2022    | Updated to comply with IPMVP Core Concepts 2022.   |
| v11.0       | 10/2023    | No revision.   |

#### Table 52. M&V Behavioral Revision History

## 2.5.2 Air Compressors Less than 75 hp Measure Overview

#### TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-CA

Market Sector: Commercial

Measure Category: Miscellaneous

Applicable Building Types: Commercial

Fuels Affected: Electricity

**Decision/Action Types:** Early retirement (ER), new construction (NC), and replace-onburnout (ROB)

Program Delivery Type: Custom

Deemed Savings Type: Not applicable

Savings Methodology: EM&V and whole facility measurement

This protocol is used to estimate savings for compressed air system controls measures for systems with less than 75 horsepower in total compressor power. The compressed air methodology is a framework to provide high quality verified savings for smaller compressed air projects. This measure uses site collected data, follows savings methodologies as outlined by the Ohio Technical Reference Manual, and uses research on compressed air systems conducted by the Long Island Power Authority.

## **Measure Description**

This measure requires the installation of flow controls on existing compressed air systems with a total compressor power of less than 75 hp. This methodology limits the amount of savings that can be claimed to 20 kW and 100,000 kWh for a project. For projects that are expected to exceed 20 kW or 100,000 kWh savings, full M&V is recommended.

Applicable controls measure types include:

- Load/unload controls: allow the motor to run continuously at a constant speed but unloads the compressor when adequate pressure has been achieved. Efficient load/unload controls use storage tank(s) to increase the available compressor air capacity without requiring compressor operation during all load periods. This protocol provides estimated savings for systems that exceed 3 gal/CFM or 5 gal/CFM in storage capacity.
- Modulating inlet controls: restricts inlet air to the compressor to progressively reduce compressor output to meet the flow requirements of the system. Also referred to as throttling or capacity control. The amount of capacity reduction is limited by the potential for surge and minimum throttling capacity.
- Variable displacement systems: have compressors that operate in two or more
  partially loaded conditions. Since the compressor can operate efficiently at multiple
  output points, it can more closely align with the load of the system.

 Variable speed with unloading: controls the compressor motor to match the load of the system, offering the highest efficiency gains. During periods of low demand, the compressor is unloaded and operates at the minimum variable speed until the flow and pressure demand exceeds the minimum output of the compressor.

# **Eligibility Criteria**

This measure applies to retrofitting an existing compressed air system with new, higher efficiency flow controls or the installation of a new compressed air system with eligible flow controls.

## **Baseline Condition**

*Existing System Retrofit:* The baseline for existing system retrofit shall be the applicable control type from the pre-existing system, from Table 53.

**Replace-on-Burnout (ROB) and New Construction (NC):** The baseline for ROB and NC projects is assumed to be a modulating air compressor with blow down (a standard industry practice). The baseline efficiency is given from the Modulation category in Table 53.

# **High-Efficiency Condition**

High-efficiency conditions for compressed air system are in Table 53.

| Control type                  | ACEF         | Source                   |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Modulation                    | 89.0 percent | LIPA Clean Energy        |
| Load/No Load with 3 gal/CFM   | 83.1 percent | Initiative <sup>36</sup> |
| Load/No Load with 5 gal/CFM   | 80.6 percent |                          |
| Variable Displacement         | 76.9 percent |                          |
| Variable Speed with Unloading | 67.5 percent |                          |

## **Energy and Demand Savings Methodology**

# Whole Facility EM&V Methodology (Used to Estimate FINAL Savings Potential)

Standard IPMVP Option A procedures will be used to compare stipulated values to actual site conditions to confirm or adjust values found in the Ohio TRM, Long Island Power Authority's Clean Energy Initiative, Arkansas C&I program, and Texas Pilot program. Savings are determined by comparing measured energy use before and after implementation of a project, with adjustments for changes in conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Data obtained from Long Island Power Authority's Clean Energy Initiative, See ACEF Development section for more details.

## **Option Type and Measurement Boundary**

The M&V plan will follow the guidelines of the 2012 International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol (IPMVP) Option A—Retrofit Isolation: Key Parameter Measurement. This method calculates energy savings using key energy consumption parameters before the equipment retrofit begins and after the retrofit is completed. The Option A guidelines are described in the latest version of the IPMVP Core Concepts EVO 10000-1:2022.

The key parameter being measured is interval true power (kW).

## **Baseline and Reporting Period**

Two weeks of logging data before and two weeks of logging data after the controls upgrade.

#### Savings Methodology—Measured Data Analysis

The following equations will be used to calculate energy and demand saving estimates:

Peak Demand Savings  $(kW) = kW_{PDPF,existing} - kW_{PDPF,new}$ 

Equation 45

Annual Energy Savings  $(kWh) = (kW_{avg op, existing} - kW_{avg op, new}) * Hours$ 

Equation 46

Where:

| kW <sub>PDPF</sub> | = | Compressor motor kW from metered data corresponding to PDPF period as outlined in TRM Volume 1 <sup>57</sup> |
|--------------------|---|--|
| kWavg, op          | = | Average compressor motor kW from metered data during the<br>operating hours                                  |
| Hours              | = | Compressor total hours of operation per year; assumed to be the facility-posted annual operating hours       |

## Savings Methodology—Stipulated Analysis

The following equations will be used to calculate energy and demand saving estimates:

 $Peak Demand Savings (kW) = (kW_{full \ load, existing} * ACEF_{existing} - kW_{full \ load, new} * ACEF_{new}) * CF_{PDPF}$ 

Equation 47

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> TRM Volume 1, Section 4.7 provides a basis for estimating peak coincident demand reductions attributable to the implementation of energy efficiency measures in Texas. This is based on measure-specific load during the identified peak hours according to Section 4.2.2.

Annual Energy Savings (kWh)

 $= (kW_{full \ load.existing} * ACEF_{existing} - kW_{full \ load.new} * ACEF_{new}) * Hours$ 

Equation 48

$$kW_{full \ load, existing} = \frac{0.7456 * Motor \ Nominal \ HP_{existing} \ * \ LF_{rated}}{Motor \ Nominal \ Efficiency_{existing}}$$

Equation 49

Where:

| kW <sub>full load</sub> | = | Compressor motor full-load kW from CAGI data sheet; if baseline CAGI data isn't available, use Equation 49   |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Hours                   | = | Compressor total hours of operation per year; assumed to be the facility posted annual operating hours   |
| ACEF                    | = | Air compressor energy factor from Table 53   |
| LF <sub>rated</sub>     | = | Total annual energy consumption as reported in utility meter data for the post-retrofit measurement year   |
| CF <sub>PDPF</sub>      | = | Coincident factor determined from peak demand probability factors; for projects whose business hours encompass the entire PDPF period for the building's climate zone, the factor is 1.058 |

## Deemed Energy and Demand Savings

There are no deemed energy or demand savings for this measure.

## Claimed Peak Demand Savings

A summer peak period value is used for this measure. Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

# Additional Calculators and Tools

The regression software used for estimating annual energy use and demand should be clearly specified within the M&V plan and report.

## ACEF Development

As part of the Long Island Power Authority (LIPA) Clean Energy Initiative, a study of air compressors was conducted with collected data on the operating capacity of the compressed air systems. LIPA provided data from this study, which was used as the basis for the ACEF development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> TRM Volume 1, Section 4.2 provides a basis for estimating peak coincident demand reductions attributable to the implementation of energy efficiency measures in Texas. This is based on measurespecific load during the identified peak hours according to Section 4.2.2.

The capacity data was divided into percent of full-load capacity bins to determine average system loading across the population. This data was weighted by the brake horsepower of each compressor in the population. For each capacity bin, the percent power was determined for the control schemes from the Department of Energy air compressor savings calculator (no longer publicly available). The percent power curves were used with the load profile (from the study data) to develop average compressor energy factors for each control scheme for this measure.

#### Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for commercial air compressors is 10 years, pending further research.

## **Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements**

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly.

- Climate zone or county
- Decision/action type: ER, ROB, NC, system type conversion
- Building type
- Baseline equipment type
- Baseline equipment controls
- Baseline number of units
- Baseline compressor CAGI data sheets
- For ER only: Baseline age and method of determination (e.g., nameplate, blueprints, customer reported, not available)
- Installed equipment type
- Installed equipment controls
- Installed equipment make and model
- Installed number of units
- Installed compressor CAGI data sheets
- A description of the actual building type, the primary business activity, the business hours, and the operating schedule

#### **Petitions and Rulings**

Not applicable.

#### **Relevant Standards and Reference Sources**

 State of Ohio Energy Efficiency Technical Reference Manual, <u>https://focusonenergy.com/sites/default/files/Focus%20on%20Energy%20TRM%20-%20PY2017\_1%28Archive%29.pdf#page=52</u>

# **Document Revision History**

#### Table 54. Air Compressors Less than 75 HP Revision History

| TRM version | Date       | Description of change |
|-------------|------------|-----------------------|
| v5.0        | 10/10/2017 | TRM v5.0 origin.      |
| v6.0        | 10/2018    | No revision.          |
| v7.0        | 10/2019    | No revision.          |
| v8.0        | 10/2020    | No revision.          |
| v9.0        | 10/2021    | No revision.          |
| v10.0       | 10/2022    | No revision.          |
| v11.0       | 10/2023    | No revision.          |

## 2.5.3 Nonresidential Custom

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-CS Market Sector: Commercial Measure Category: Miscellaneous Applicable Building Types: All commercial and industrial Fuels Affected: Electricity, natural gas Decision/Action Types: Operational/maintenance and retrofit Program Delivery Type: Custom retrofit Deemed Savings Type: Not applicable Savings Methodology: Engineering calculations

This protocol guides the custom calculation of energy savings for projects that do not require whole building or sub-system monitoring.

# **Measure Description**

A custom project is an energy efficiency improvement where the energy savings impact is calculated with engineering-based equations and not described as a measure in Volume 3. It can be used on any commercial and industrial building on any project type. Projects that require measurement and verification (M&V) protocols should follow the associated measures.

# **Eligibility Criteria**

Custom projects are eligible if the scope of impact can be identified and quantified based on documented assumptions or site monitoring. The EM&V team may provide pre-approval for calculation methods and data collection requirements.

# **Baseline Condition**

The baseline condition is the existing equipment or system energy use before implementing the energy conservation activities.

# **High-Efficiency Condition**

The high-efficiency condition is the equipment or system energy use after implementing energy conservation equipment or other energy conservation activities. A start-up or commissioning period after implementation is not considered part of the high-efficiency condition.

# **Energy and Demand Savings Methodology**

# **Calculation Methodology**

The calculation methodology should identify the engineering equations and concepts to determine energy savings. The calculation should be capable of determining annual energy consumption and peak demand in accordance with Volume 1, Section 4 (PDPF method). The assumptions, operating conditions, and documented measurements necessary to complete the proposed methodology should be documented and supported. The calculation should use documented measurements or operating set points whenever possible to eliminate the use of assumptions. Measurements may be spot measurements or short-term monitoring.

Custom energy savings calculations should be normalized to the expected normalized operation. This may mean incorporating production volume, occupancy, or Typical Meteorological Year 3 (TMY3) weather data files to adjust operating conditions. The production volume and occupancy should adjust to the expected immediate steady state based on past operations. Projects that require projection should identify the expected steady state operation 90 days post-installation for the calculation. Calculations must also incorporate the interactive effects between the implemented improvements, assuming conservative energy efficiency improvements when the interactive effects are unknown.

# **Custom Calculation Report**

A prepared report is not necessary for custom-calculated energy savings. However, the calculation sheet documentation of the calculation methodology, baseline and improved operating characteristics, measured results, and assumptions should be complete, clearly organized, and easily understood in the calculator.

# **Deemed Energy and Demand Savings**

There are no deemed energy or demand savings for this measure. Prescriptive savings for individual measures calculated following other commercial measures in TRM Volumes 3 and 4 should be claimed by the project, and the custom calculation should identify the remaining savings associated with the components outside the prescriptive savings.

# **Claimed Peak Demand Savings**

The methodology used to determine peak demand savings should be consistent with the methodology of energy savings. The calculation of peak demand savings should include the weather-dependent peak demand probability factors, as outlined in TRM Volume 1, Section 4. The methodology should be documented clearly in the custom calculations, and the operating characteristics and assumptions for the PDPF hours should be documented per hour.

# Additional Calculators and Tools

Third-party software used for estimating annual energy use and demand is acceptable, provided that the tool is available to the EM&V team or the calculation methodology of the software is documented.

#### Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for M&V projects varies based on the ECM or equipment installed. The EUL from similar equipment in Volume 3 of the TRM should guide EUL determination. The following ECMs are not defined in the TRM:

- Custom project equipment: 10 years or similar EUL to equipment in Volume 3
- Setpoint adjustments on existing controls: 5 years
- New advanced controls and sensors: 10 years

#### **Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements**

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Decision/action type: Custom, operations and maintenance, other
- Building type

The following inputs and data should be documented and available for evaluation review:

- Custom calculations
- Equipment, sensor, and control system specifications
- Photos of pre-installation and post-installation equipment and control screens
- Actual operating characteristic data files (weather data, control settings, production volumes, etc.)
- Operating conditions of interactive effects
- Alternate operations or other variable measurements
- Calculation inputs and outputs for baseline and post-installation energy consumption
- · Calculation inputs and outputs for baseline and post-installation peak demand
- Standard and references unique to each project
- Other relevant documentation relevant to the custom calculation

#### **References and Efficiency Standards**

Not applicable.

#### **Petitions and Rulings**

Not applicable.

#### **Relevant Standards and Reference Sources**

Not applicable.

# **Document Revision History**

| Table 55. Nonresidential Custom Revision History |
|--|
|--|

| TRM version | Date    | Description of change |
|-------------|---------|-----------------------|
| v11.0       | 10/2023 | TRM v11.0 origin.     |

# 2.5.4 Nonresidential Measurement and Verification

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-MV Market Sector: Commercial Measure Category: Miscellaneous Applicable Building Types: All commercial Fuels Affected: Electricity, natural gas Decision/Action Types: Operational/maintenance and Retrofit Program Delivery Type: Custom Retrofit and Retro-commissioning Deemed Savings Type: Not applicable Savings Methodology: M&V and whole facility measurement

This protocol estimates savings for projects that require custom development of energy savings, such as retro-commissioning (RCx) and advanced control equipment projects. This protocol provides a framework to calculate savings involving whole building or sub-system monitoring.

# **Measure Description**

The measurement and verification (M&V) protocol can be used on any project where energy calculations require assumptions about operations, maintenance, controls, interactive effects or other unique components of the project. The process usually begins with a review of the preinstallation condition, an inventory of energy-use equipment, and the development of energy conservation measures (ECM).

Individual ECMs will vary but may include:

- Optimization strategy development for existing systems, including correcting air balancing issues, control reductions of simultaneous heating/cooling operations, and incorrect control sequences.
- Implement control system strategies or optimize existing strategies, including economizer setpoint control, demand-controlled ventilation, HVAC occupancy schedules, hot water reset, chilled water reset, and system lockout temperatures.
- Upgraded control sequencing, sensors, or equipment to create more efficient operations.
- Replace aged equipment or develop a plan for future replacement of equipment.
- Remove unnecessary equipment by disconnecting<sup>59</sup> from the electric grid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Tag-out/lock-out of the electric breaker is acceptable to confirm disconnection from the electric grid,

# **Eligibility Criteria**

Comprehensive projects must comply with IPMVP Option C. Limited scope projects may be compliant with Options A, B, or C. Projects shall meet the model fit metrics based on one year of pre-installation and one year of post-installation hourly consumption data. Alternate methodologies or data availability of less than hourly increments will be considered on a case-by-case basis with prior approval from the evaluation team.

For projects with smaller savings typically (< 20 kW) where standard M&V efforts may be cost prohibitive, the simplified M&V energy and demand savings may be used with prior EM&V team approval.

## **Baseline Condition**

The baseline condition is the existing building energy use before implementing the ECM or initiating other energy conservation activities.

## **High-Efficiency Condition**

The high-efficiency condition is the building or system energy use after implementing ECMs and other energy conservation activities. A start-up or commissioning period after implementation is not considered part of the high-efficiency condition.

#### **Energy and Demand Savings Methodology**

#### M&V Methodology

The M&V methodology presents a plan to determine (i.e., calculate and verify) energy savings. The whole-facility methodology follows Option C, and ECM-specific methodology follows Option A or B found in IPMVP Core Concepts EVO 10000-1:2022.

An M&V plan and M&V report should document the selected methodology and include assumptions and details regarding model development, testing, handling of errors, and information to validate regression model(s).

Model documentation should be transparent and allow for repeatable modeling steps and results, including any adjustments made outside the primary modeling method. Procedures and their results should be documented and may include:

- Describe how modeling outliers were identified and addressed.
- Describe how missing data errors were addressed and document what changed from the original model. Any data removed or changed should be annotated with a cause.

 Describe non-routine events and adjustments across the measurement periods. The COVID-19 pandemic<sup>60</sup> altered many commercial and industrial (C&I) customer operations in multiple ways, and each significant adjustment in operation should be described, such as full shutdown periods, partial operation periods, and full operation periods.

M&V energy savings should be normalized to climatological and other features, such as production volume or occupancy. The weather-dependent factors are normalized to fixed Typical Meteorological Year 3 (TMY3) weather data files organized by climate zone<sup>61</sup>. This normalized file should be used with both the pre-installation and post-installation consumption energy model from the regression analysis.

## M&V Plan and M&V Report

Preparation of an M&V plan and report is required to determine savings. An M&V plan ensures that collected data and information necessary to determine savings will be available after implementing the ECM. The M&V plan and report should follow the IPMVP Core Concept 2022 Section 13 template, excluding the budget section. Documentation of assumptions and modeling should be complete, readily available, clearly organized, and easy to understand.

# Normalized Energy Model Fit Metrics

The model should be designed to develop the most accurate normalized metered energy consumption using a replicable method. The model should be the simplest model available with the best R<sup>2</sup> and CV(RMSE)<sup>62</sup>. The most common is the least-squares regression method completed separately for electric consumption (kWh) and demand (kW). The model shall attempt to meet the following model fitness metric requirements:

- Energy Savings is greater than 10 percent of baseline consumption.
- R<sup>2</sup> value greater than or equal to 0.75

Advanced models may develop alternative fit metrics or error levels at specific confidence levels, as Section 12.6 of the Core Concepts 2022 describes.

The electric demand model based on one-hour interval consumption data will lead to the best model to best determine peak demand savings. The model shall be evaluated to determine if the peak demand is accurately represented during the PDPF peak conditions described in TRM Volume 1. An alternate regression model for the peak demand is required when the measured peak demand varies from the modeled peak demand at the high and low measured temperature period by greater than 20 percent. If the one-hour interval consumption data is unavailable, the evaluation team must approve the M&V plan before implementation.

<sup>60</sup> Starting March 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> TMY3 files for climate zones: <u>http://www.texasefficiency.com/index.php/regulatory-filings/deemed-savings</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Coefficient of variation root mean squared error.

#### **Baseline Data and Model**

The participant baseline data should be used to create a baseline model equation. The M&V plan should document the data used to determine the baseline completely and accurately, including selecting constants and independent variables. The baseline and independent variables shall be derived based on the historical electric consumption 12 months immediately before the capital project, the nearest actual weather data file, and other relevant variables, such as floor area or operating profile.<sup>63</sup> Historic electricity consumption is expected to be an hour interval to support the development of the peak demand savings detailed in Volume 1.

# Performance Period Data and Model

The participant's consumption data starts immediately after commissioning all project components to create a performance period model equation. The M&V plan should document the data used to determine consumption completely and accurately, including the selection of constants and independent variables. Independent variables shall be derived based on the historical electric consumption of 12 months, the actual weather data file from the same source as the baseline, a TMY3 weather data file specified for the climate zone, and other relevant variables. Actual electricity consumption is expected to be an hour interval to support the development of the peak demand savings detailed in Volume 1, Section 4.

# Rounding

Data rounding to the nearest whole number should only occur at the annual consumption of the baseline or performance period. The hourly or daily results should not be rounded in calculations.

## Savings Methodology—Measured Data Analysis

The following equations will be used to calculate energy and demand saving estimates:

Peak Demand Savings  $(kW) = kW_{PDPF,existing} - kW_{PDPF,new} \pm kW_{adjustments} - kW_{other mees}$ 

Equation 50

Energy Savings  $(kWh) = kWh_{existing} - kWh_{new} \pm kWh_{adjustments} - kWh_{other mees}$ 

Equation 51

Where:

| kWPDPF, existing | = | Building or system level kW for the existing building/system                 |
|------------------|---|--|
| KWPDPF, new      | = | Building or system level kW for the post retro-commissioning building/system |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> CaITRACK 2.0 provides a compliance checklist that can be used as best practices during model development, <u>https://www.caltrack.org/caltrack-compliance.html</u>.

| kWh <sub>existing</sub>       | = | Building or system level kWh normalized for the existing building/system from metered data  |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| kWh <sub>new</sub>            | = | Building or system level kWh normalized for the post retro-<br>commissioning building/system from metered data  |
| kW/kWh <sub>adjustments</sub> | = | Adjustments to the kW and kWh building/system metered data<br>results that account for operational changes which are not<br>attributable to the project       |
| kW/kWh <sub>other</sub> meas  | = | Adjustments to the kW and kWh building/system metered data<br>results that account for prescriptive and custom measures<br>which are calculated independently |

#### **Deemed Energy and Demand Savings**

There are no deemed energy or demand savings for this measure. Prescriptive savings for individual measures calculated following commercial measures in TRM Volumes 3 and 4 should be claimed first. When complete, the M&V determined savings will true-up the project-claimed savings (both positive and negative). Projects may claim up to 40 percent of the estimated energy savings from the proposed ECMs prior to the completion of the M&V protocol.

#### Simplified M&V Energy and Demand Savings

For smaller-scale RCx projects resulting in smaller savings (typically <20 kW), a simplified M&V approach may be used, pending EM&V team pre-approval. Simplified project approaches are considered on a case-by-case basis.

The simplified M&V approach can provide custom calculations incorporating all required data collection, spot measurements, and weather data to create detailed energy savings estimates. Calculations must determine the demand at the specific hour and temperature detailed in the peak demand savings methodology. Calculations must also incorporate the interactive effects between the implemented improvements, assuming conservative energy efficiency improvements when the interactive effects are unknown.

A description of the baseline and efficient condition in the Simplified M&V plan is required. It is required that improvements and assumptions are documented to support the calculations.

## **Claimed Peak Demand Savings**

The methodology used to determine peak demand savings should be consistent with the methodology of energy savings. The calculation of peak demand savings should include the weather-dependent peak demand probability factors, as outlined in TRM Volume 1, Section 4. The methodology should be documented clearly in the M&V plan and report. Because models are developed for a normalized year, the factors outside the date, time, and temperature should be assumed to be the maximum for the date and time combination, such as considering the date a weekday operation day for an office building.

# Additional Calculators and Tools

Third-party software used for estimating annual energy use and demand is acceptable, provided that the tool is available to the EM&V team or the calculation methodology of the software is clearly documented in the M&V plan and M&V report.

# Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for M&V projects varies based on the ECM or equipment installed. The EUL from similar equipment in Volume 3 of the TRM should guide EUL determination. The following ECMs are not defined in the TRM:

- Custom project Equipment: 10 years or similar EUL to equipment in Volume 3
- RCx: 5 years, pending further research for O&M measures<sup>64</sup>
- Advanced Controls and Sensors: 10 years

# **Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements**

The following primary inputs and contextual data should be specified and tracked within the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Climate zone or county
- Decision/action type: Custom, operations and maintenance, other
- Building type

The following inputs and data should be documented and available for evaluation review:

- Actual one-hour interval consumption data
- Actual weather data file
- Actual alternate operations or other variable documentation
- Model development inputs and outputs for baseline and post-install analysis.
- Normalized energy consumption and peak demand reduction estimates
- Normalized energy consumption data file based on TMY3 and other variables
- Standard and references unique to each project

## **References and Efficiency Standards**

Not applicable.

## **Petitions and Rulings**

Not applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Kolwey, Neil. SWEEP Industrial Re-commissioning: Not Just a Building Tune-up. February 2017. <u>https://www.swenergy.org/data/sites/1/media/documents/publications/documents/SWEEP%20Industrial %20Recommissioning%20Feb%202017.pdf</u>.

#### **Relevant Standards and Reference Sources**

- International Performance and Measurement Verification Protocol Core Concepts
   2022: <u>https://evo-world.org/en/products-services-mainmenu-en/protocols/ipmvp</u>
- CalTRACK 2.0 Technical Appendix: <u>http://docs.caltrack.org/en/latest/technical-appendix.html</u>
- Standard and references unique to each project should be documented in the M&V plan and report

# **Document Revision History**

#### Table 56. Nonresidential Retro-Commissioning Revision History

| TRM version | Date    | Description of change  |
|-------------|---------|--|
| √6.0        | 10/2018 | TRM v6.0 origin.   |
| v7.0        | 10/2019 | TRM v7.0 update. Clarifications for small project exemptions and proper use of IPMVP Option C. Correction for erroneous eligibility criteria in v6.0.  |
| v8.0        | 10/2020 | Updated model fitness requirements, added CalTRACK2.0 technical appendix as a guide to normalize consumption models, and clarified guidance on normalized energy model fitness, baseline development, and reporting period.  |
| v9.0        | 10/2021 | Updated model requirements to account for pandemic and other non-routine events. Added alternate calculation method.   |
| v10.0       | 10/2022 | Updated measure to apply to M&V beyond RCx. Added reference<br>to IPMVP Core Concepts 2022. Added evaluator preapproval for<br>projects without one-hour incremental data or less than one year<br>pre- and post-measurement data. Added a 40 percent pre-analysis<br>energy savings claim option. |
| v11.0       | 10/2023 | Minor clarifications.  |

# 2.5.5 Energy Storage Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-ES Market Sector: Commercial Measure Category: Miscellaneous Applicable Building Types: Commercial Fuels Affected: Electricity, natural gas Decision/Action Types: Retrofit (RET), new constriction (NC) Program Delivery Type: Custom Deemed Savings Type: Not applicable Savings Methodology: EM&V

This protocol is used to estimate savings for energy storage (ES) projects. ES projects are systems that use various methods to store energy on-site that will offset energy consumption during on-peak hours or critical seasonal periods. ES systems often have non-energy benefits (economic, equipment sizing, etc.) while having negligible, or even negative, energy savings and can not be used for demand response or load management.

# **Measure Description**

Energy storage systems represent a wide range of available technologies. Potential ES systems under this protocol include, but are not limited to, solar energy storage, compressed air storage, molten-salt technologies, ice-based technologies, or general heat storage in any technology.

# **Eligibility Criteria**

ES projects must be compliant with IPMVP Option A, B, or C. For Option C, the project should save more than 10 percent of peak demand with 30-minute (or more frequent) interval data. For Option B, full M&V of the energy storage system and affected systems is expected. For Option A, the assumptions that support monitoring of only key data points should be discussed with the EM&V team prior to M&V plan development. An M&V plan should be developed when using Options A or B and approved by the EM&V team prior to the conducting of any metering for the project.

Systems that are designed for on-call load curtailment and participation in load management programs do not meet the efficiency conditions of this measure.

# **Baseline Condition**

The baseline condition is the existing building energy use systems (retrofit) or minimal codecompliant systems (new construction).

## **High-Efficiency Condition**

The high-efficiency condition is the building with the energy storage system that is not participating in load management programs.

## **Energy and Demand Savings Methodology**

#### Whole Facility EM&V Methodology

IPMVP Option C can be used as the basis of analysis for energy storage systems. If the energy storage system is expected to have daily cycling, metering intervals must be 30 minutes or less (preferably 15 minutes) for all affected fuel types. Options A or B can be used when interval data is not available. Further, all hours defined in Volume 1 PDPF tables for the project's climate zone must be directly metered, as well as representative weather periods must be observed during the monitoring period. For TES systems with seasonality cycling, the monitoring interval can be increased and must be approved by the M&V team on a case-by-case basis.

The other parameters and acceptance criteria for the M&V plan and results should follow Measure 2.5.4 Nonresidential Measurement and Verification.

## **Baseline and Reporting Period**

The baseline and reporting periods for TES systems will be approved on a case-by-case basis by the EM&V team.

## Savings Methodology

The following equations will be used to calculate energy and demand saving estimates. Energy consumption savings may be negative because of the energy storage efficiency loss; the negative savings must be claimed in association with the peak demand reduction. If the energy storage system is part of a larger project, the other measures should claim energy savings available without the ES system, and the efficiency loss should be attributed to the ES system.

Peak Demand Savings  $(kW) = kW_{PDPF,existing} - kW_{PDPF,new} \pm kW_{adjustments} - kW_{other mees}$ 

Equation 52

Energy Savings  $(kWh) = kWh_{existing} - kWh_{new} \pm kWh_{adjustments} - kWh_{other mees}$ 

Equation 53

Where:

kW<sub>PDPF</sub>, existing = Building or system level kW for the existing building/system from metered data corresponding to the PDPF period as outlined in TRM volume 1<sup>65</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> TRM volume 1, section 4.2 provides a basis for estimating peak coincident demand reductions attributable to the implementation of energy efficiency measures in Texas. This is based on measurespecific load during the identified peak hours according to section 4.2.2.

| KWPDPF, new                   | = | Building or system level kW for the post-ES building/system<br>from metered data corresponding to PDPF period as outlined<br>in TRM volume 1 <sup>65</sup>  |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| kWh <sub>existing</sub>       | = | Building or system level kWh for the existing building/system from metered data   |
| kWh <sub>new</sub>            | = | Building or system level kWh for the post-ES building/system from metered data  |
| kW/kWh <sub>adjustments</sub> | = | Adjustments to the kW and kWh building/system metered<br>data results that account for operational changes, which are<br>not attributable to the ES project |
| kW/kWhother meas              | = | Adjustments to the kW and kWh building/system metered data results that account for prescriptive and custom measures, which are calculated independently    |

# **Deemed Energy and Demand Savings**

There are no deemed energy or demand savings for this measure.

## **Claimed Peak Demand Savings**

The methodology used to determine peak demand savings should be consistent with the methodology of the energy savings. Furthermore, the calculation of peak demand savings should into account the weather dependent peak demand probability factors, as outlined in Volume 1, Section 4. The methodology should be documented clearly in the M&V plan and M&V report.

## Additional Calculators and Tools

Any regression software used for estimating annual energy use and demand should be clearly specified within the M&V plan and report.

## Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for energy storage (ES) projects is 15 years, pending further research for specific ES measures.

The EUL for M&V projects varies based on the ECM or equipment installed. The EUL from similar equipment in Volume 3 of the TRM should guide EUL determination. The following ECMs are not defined in the TRM:

- Custom project equipment: 10 years or similar EUL to equipment in Volume 3
- Advanced controls and sensors: 10 years

## **Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements**

The documentation in the M&V plan and M&V report should match the Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements in Measure 2.5.4 Nonresidential Measurement and Verification.

# **Petitions and Rulings**

Not applicable.

## **Relevant Standards and Reference Sources**

- International Performance and Measurement Verification Protocol Core Concepts 2022 <u>https://evo-world.org/en/products-services-mainmenu-en/protocols/ipmvp</u>
- Standard and references unique to each project should be documented in the M&V plan and report

#### **Document Revision History**

| TRM version | Date    | Description of change  |
|-------------|---------|--|
| v7.0        | 10/2019 | TRM v7.0 origin.   |
| v8.0        | 10/2020 | Added 30-minute interval data as a requirement when using IPMVP Option C   |
| v9.0        | 10/2021 | No revision.   |
| v10.0       | 10/2022 | No revision.   |
| v11.0       | 10/2023 | Revised measure to be for energy storage, not just thermal energy storage. |

#### Table 57. Thermal Energy Storage Revision History

# 2.5.6 ENERGY STAR<sup>®</sup> Uninterruptible Power Supply Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-MS-UP Market Sector: Commercial Measure Category: Miscellaneous Applicable Building Types: Telecommunications or other continuous operation Fuels Affected: Electricity Decision/Action Type: Retrofit, new construction Program Delivery Type: Custom Deemed Savings Type: Deemed savings calculation Savings Methodology: Engineering algorithms and estimates

# **Measure Description**

This measure is for the installation of an ENERGY STAR uninterruptible power supply (UPS) in a telecommunications application or similar facilities with continuous operation.<sup>66</sup> UPS equipment protects vital connected equipment from power outages, providing backup power and constantly drawing power to keep their batteries charged.

Note: This measure has the potential to yield negative savings, including for ENERGY STARcertified equipment. This measure will be reconsidered on an annual basis until the negative savings scenario is reconciled. If sufficient M&V data is provided, this measure may be incorporated into Volume 3 as a fully-deemed measure.

# **Eligibility Criteria**

Eligible UPS equipment must be compliant with the current ENERGY STAR v2.0 specification, effective January 1, 2019.<sup>67</sup> For single-normal mode UPSs, the installed system must meet or exceed the average loading-adjusted efficiency values required by ENERGY STAR.

# **Baseline Condition**

The current Department of Energy (DOE) federal minimum efficiency standard is only applicable to consumer UPSs.<sup>68</sup>

<sup>66</sup> ENERGY STAR UPS qualified product listing.

https://www.energystar.gov/productfinder/product/certified-uninterruptible-power-supplies/results. <sup>67</sup> ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for UPS. Version 2.0.

https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Uninterruptible%20Power%20Supplies%20Final%20Version%202.0%20Specification\_2.pdf.

<sup>68</sup> DOE 10 CFR Part 430. https://www.regulations.gov/document/EERE-2016-BT-STD-0022-0035.

The baseline condition for retrofit and new construction applications is the minimum efficiency requirement specified in the current ENERGY STAR v2.0 specification in Table 58. If available, the actual efficiency of the replaced UPS may be used as the applicable baseline efficiency.

| UPS product class | Rated output<br>power (W) | Efficiency requirement69               |
|-------------------|---------------------------|--|
| VFD               | 0 < P ≤ 350               | $5.71 \times 10^{-5} \times P + 0.962$ |
|                   | 350 < P ≤ 1,500           | 0.982                                  |
|                   | 1,500 < P ≤ 10,000        | 0.981 — E <sub>MOD</sub>               |
|                   | P > 10,000                | 0.970                                  |
| VI                | 0 < P ≤ 350               | $5.71 \times 10^{-5} \times P + 0.964$ |
|                   | 350 < P ≤ 1,500           | 0.984                                  |
|                   | 1,500 < P ≤ 10,000        | 0.980 — E <sub>mod</sub>               |
|                   | P > 10,000                | 0.940                                  |
| VFI               | 0 < P ≤ 350               | $0.011 \times \ln(D) + 0.024$          |
|                   | 350 < P ≤ 1,500           | $0.011 \times m(P) + 0.824$            |
|                   | 1,500 < P ≤ 10,000        | $0.0145 \times \ln(P) + 0.8 - E_{MOD}$ |
|                   | P > 10,000                | $0.0058 \times \ln(P) + 0.886$         |

Table 58. UPS—ENERGY STAR Minimum Efficiency Requirements

## **High-Efficiency Condition**

The high-efficiency condition is the ENERGY STAR-rated efficiency.

#### Energy and Demand Savings Methodology

## Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

The deemed savings values are calculated using the following algorithms:

Energy Savings 
$$[kWh_{savings}] = \frac{P}{1,000} \times \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{base}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{UPS}}\right) \times EFLH$$

Equation 54

Equation 55

Summer Peak Demand Savings 
$$[kW_{savings}] = \frac{kWh_{savings}}{hours} \times CF_S$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> E<sub>MOD</sub> represents a 0.004 allowance for modular UPS applicable in the commercial 1,500-10,000 W range. On the ENERGY STAR certificate, modular UPS are identified as "Multiple-normal-mode" for number of normal modes. Non-modular UPS are identified as "Single-normal-mode".

Winter Peak Demand Savings 
$$[kW_{savings}] = \frac{kWh_{savings}}{hours} \times CF_W$$

Equation 56

Where:

| Р                 | = | UPS-rated output power, W  |
|-------------------|---|--|
| 1,000             | = | Constant to convert from W to kW   |
| $\eta_{base}$     | = | ENERGY STAR minimum efficiency requirement or actual rated efficiency (see Table 58) <sup>70</sup> |
| η <sub>UPS</sub>  | = | ENERGY STAR-rated efficiency   |
| EFLH              | = | Equivalent full-load hours accounting for ENERGY STAR loading<br>assumptions (see Table 59)        |
| CF <sub>S/W</sub> | = | Seasonal peak coincidence factor = 1 based on continuous<br>operation                              |

| UPS<br>product<br>class | Rated output<br>power (W) | % Load |     |      |      |       |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-----|------|------|-------|
|                         |                           | 25%    | 50% | 75%  | 100% | EFLH  |
| VFD                     | 0 < P ≤ 1,500             | 0.2    | 0.2 | 0.3  | 0.3  | 5,913 |
| VI or VFI               |                           | 0.0    | 0.3 | 0.4  | 0.3  | 6,570 |
| All                     | 1,500 < P ≤ 10,000        | 0.0    | 0.3 | 0.4  | 0.3  | 6,570 |
| All                     | P > 10,000                | 0.25   | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.0  | 4,380 |

#### Table 59. UPS—Loading Assumptions and Equivalent Full-Load Hours<sup>71</sup>

## **Deemed Energy Savings Tables**

There are no deemed energy savings tables for this measure.

## **Deemed Summer and Winter Demand Savings Tables**

There are no deemed demand savings tables for this measure.

## **Claimed Peak Demand Savings**

Refer to Volume 1, Section 4 for further details on peak demand savings and methodology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> If available, the actual efficiency of the replaced UPS may be used as the applicable baseline efficiency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for UPS. Version 2.0. Table 1. <u>https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/ENERGY%20STAR%20Uninterruptible%20Power%20Supplies%20Final%20Version%202.0%20Specification\_2.pdf</u>.

## Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) for UPS equipment is 10 years.72

#### Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements

It is required that the following list of primary inputs and contextual data be specified and tracked by the program database to inform the evaluation and apply the savings properly:

- Facility/application type
- UPS quantity
- UPS manufacturer and model number
- Copy of ENERGY STAR certificate matching manufacturer and model number
- UPS product class (VFD, VI, VFI)
- UPS number of modes (single, multiple)73
- UPS rated power (W)
- UPS rated efficiency
- Proof of purchase: invoice showing model number; a photo of the model number on product packaging and/or installed product; OR an evaluator pre-approved inspection approach

#### **Document Revision History**

#### Table 60. Uninterruptible Power Supply Revision History

| TRM version | Date    | Description of change |
|-------------|---------|-----------------------|
| v11.0       | 10/2023 | TRM v11.0 origin.     |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> California Municipal Utilities Association. Savings Estimation Technical Reference Manual 2017, Third Edition. Section 8.12. Page 8-15. <u>https://www.cmua.org/files/CMUA-POU-TRM\_2017\_FINAL\_12-5-</u> <u>2017%20-%20Copy.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Used to identify whether a UPS is modular. On the ENERGY STAR certificate, modular UPS are identified as "Multiple-normal-mode" for number of normal modes. Non-modular UPS are identified as "Single-normal-mode".

# 2.6 M&V: LOAD MANAGEMENT

## 2.6.1 Residential Load Curtailment Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: R-LM-LM

Market Sector: Residential

Measure Category: Load management

Applicable Building Types: Single family, multifamily, and manufactured

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Types: Operation and maintenance (O&M)

Program Delivery Type: Custom

Deemed Savings Type: Not applicable

Savings Methodology: EM&V

Utilities operate residential load management programs to obtain demand savings: energy savings are estimated as a function of the estimated demand savings.<sup>74</sup> Demand savings calculations are performed using utility customer interval energy demand data from IDRs or advanced meters. Measured and verified demand savings for the curtailment period is presented here.

# **Measure Description**

This document presents the M&V savings methodology to participate in a load management program that involves the curtailment of an interruptible load during the summer peak period. Measures participating in a residential load management program may be air-conditioners, heat pumps, swimming pool pumps, or other electricity loads as specified by utility programs. Specific methods of load management for this measure are not defined and are determined by individual programs. The savings reflect the cumulative effect of all participant actions to reduce residence-wide demand during a load management event.

# Eligibility Criteria

A project will be eligible for incentives and reporting demand and energy savings if the following criteria are met:

- Participants are homes and reduce their demand during curtailment events throughout the summer peak demand period outlined in Table 61.
- Each meter has a continuous demand interval recording capability (30-minute intervals or less)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Some utilities may determine energy savings associated with load management events, which would be calculated as the difference between the baseline and curtailment kW values times the length of the event(s).

Sufficient interval data exists to measure and verify sufficient comparison-days to
establish demand baselines and interval demands during load management events.

| Table | e 61. | Peak | Demand   | Period   |
|-------|-------|------|----------|----------|
|       |       |      | Donnania | 1 0110 0 |

| Hours               | Months                        | Exceptions                 |  |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| 1:00 p.m.—7:00 p.m. | June, July, August, September | Weekends, federal holidays |  |

#### **Baseline Condition**

The baseline condition is an individual participants' load that would have occurred had the load management event and subsequent load management activities not taken place.<sup>75</sup>

#### **High-Efficiency Condition**

Not applicable.

#### **Energy and Demand Savings Methodology**

Not applicable.

#### **Savings Algorithms and Input Variables**

Verified Demand Savings = Baseline Period kW - Curtailment kW

Equation 57

Where:

| Baseline Period kW | = | Baseline average demand calculated according to the<br>High 3 of 5 Baseline Method |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Curtailment kW     | = | Average demand measured during the curtailment period                              |

#### High 3 of 5 Baseline with Day-of Adjustment

A high X of Y baseline considers the Y most recent days preceding an event and uses the data from the X days with the highest load within those Y days to calculate the baseline. Dayof adjustments are used to scale the baseline load estimate to the load conditions on the day of the event using data from the two hours prior to the time on the event day when participants were notified of the pending call for curtailment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Some utilities may determine energy savings associated with load management events, which would be calculated as the difference between the baseline and curtailment kW values times the length of the event(s).

Applying this concept to the residential load management measure, the *High 3 of 5* baseline for a given curtailment event is estimated by first identifying the five non-holiday weekdays immediately preceding the event in which no prior program curtailment events were called, and calculating each participant's average demand during the same hours as the hours for which the curtailment event was implemented on each of those five days. The three highest of these five average-like day demand values are then averaged to estimate the "unadjusted high three baseline."

The day-of baseline adjustment is estimated by comparing participants' average demand for electricity on the day of the event during the two hours prior to notification of the pending event (the "adjustment period") to participants' average demand for electricity on the "high three" days during those same two hours. In the situation where notification may not be given, the two hours preceding one hour before the event begins on the event day and baseline days will be used as the adjustment period. The average load of the adjustment periods from the baseline days. The difference (positive or negative) between day-of demand and high three baseline day demand in the adjustment period is the uncapped additive adjustment. To apply the adjustment period to the unadjusted baseline, one of two options are selected in the following steps:

- Step 1. Calculate an uncapped additive adjustment. The uncapped additive adjustment is the difference of the adjustment period hours' load of the event day subtracted from the baseline days' average adjustment period load. For example, if the baseline days have an adjustment period average load of 3.20 kW and the event day has an adjustment period load of 3.80 kW, the uncapped additive adjustment is 3.80 kW (-) 3.20 kW = 0.60 kW.
- Step 2. Calculate an adjustment cap. The adjustment cap is 80 percent of the baseline days' average load during the event hours. For example, if a participant has a load of 4.00 kW during the baseline days' event hours, the adjustment cap is 4.00 kW (x) 0.80 = 3.2 kW.
- Step 3. Select the lowest of the adjustment cap and the absolute value of the uncapped additive adjustment to be the additive adjustment. Using the examples of the preceding two steps, the uncapped additive adjustment (0.60 kW) has the lowest magnitude between the two numbers and is selected as the additive adjustment.
- Step 4. Add the additive adjustment to the unadjusted *High 3 of 5* baseline to calculate the final baseline used for calculating changes to consumption for the load management event.

Following the calculation of the baseline using the *High 3 of 5* method, the following steps are taken to arrive at an event's total savings and program savings for the year:

• Step 1. For an individual meter, the change in consumption is calculated by subtracting the baseline from the average load recorded during the event. If the result is positive, the meter exhibits savings, whereas a negative result indicates an increase in consumption during the event.

- Step 2. For a given load management event, sum the change in consumption of all
  participating meters. If documented, those meters enrolled in the program that optout of an event may be removed from the summation. If opt-out meters are not
  documented, an enrolled meter will be considered to have participated in the event.
  The sum represents the event's total change in consumption, presumed to be
  positive and representing savings.
- Step 3. With each event's savings results, average the event-level savings. The average of the events' savings represents the program year savings.

An example below illustrates the entirety of applying the *High 3 of 5* method to calculate load management savings for a single residential participant.

# Example Calculation

Table 62 illustrates the steps of the *High 3 of 5* baseline calculation method. Specific participant's results may vary.

| Event day and potential baseline days | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 5 | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 4 | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 3 | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 2 | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 1 | Load<br>mgmt.<br>event date |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Event hours                           | 1500-1600                      | 1500-1600                      | 1500-1600                      | 1500-1600                      | 1500-1600                      | 1500-1600                   |
| Average kW during event hours         | 5.67                           | 5.96                           | 4.95                           | 4.58                           | 6.01                           | 5.12                        |
| Notification hour                     |                                |                                |                                |                                |                                | 1400                        |
| Adjustment period hours               | 1200-1400                      | 1200-1400                      | 1200-1400                      | 1200-1400                      | 1200-1400                      | 1200-1400                   |
| Adjustment period<br>average kW       | 5.54                           | 5.87                           | 4.86                           | 4.44                           | 5.89                           | 6.03                        |

#### Table 62. High 3 of 5 Example Load Management Event Data

Calculation Steps:

 Step 1. Unadjusted High Three Baseline = Average kW during event times in three highest days of five prior to event day (kW)

Unadjusted High Three Baseline = (5.67+5.96+6.01)/3 = 5.88 kW

 Step 2. Uncapped Additive Adjustment = Average kW during adjustment time on event day (kW)—Average kW during adjustment time in the same three highest days of five prior to event day

Uncapped Additive Adjustment = 6.03 - (5.54+5.87+5.89)/3 = 0.26 kW

• Step 3. Adjustment Cap = 80% of Unadjusted High Three Baseline (kW)

Adjustment Cap = 0.8 \* 5.88 = 4.7 kW

 Step 4. Choose Additive Adjustment = Minimum {Absolute value of Uncapped Additive Adjustment, Adjustment Cap} (kW)

Additive Adjustment = Minimum {0.26, 4.7} = 0.26 kW

 Step 5. Final Baseline = Additive Adjustment + Unadjusted High Three Baseline (kW)

Final Baseline = 0.26 + 5.88 = 6.14 kW

Step 6. kW Savings = Final Baseline—Curtailment kW (kW)
 kW Savings = 6.14—5.12 = 1.02 kW

#### Additional Calculation Considerations

In the case that individual meters fail to record data sufficient for applying the *High 3 of 5* calculation method, savings may still be calculated under the following conditions and method<sup>76</sup>:

- Less than two percent of participating residential customers experience meter recording failures
- The customer can be confirmed as having participated via the practices of the sponsor operating the program or lack of opt-out notification
- The EM&V team is engaged to discuss applying the average savings and any program participation segmentation, and the specific cases are documented
- Savings for the residential segment will be calculated using the average savings of the segment as calculated via the *High 3 of 5* method for the balance of the program or segment.

When selecting baseline days in the *High 3 of 5* method, in some cases it is possible that some days have the same load for an individual participant, potentially leading to more than three days that could be selected for the baseline days. If four or more days could be selected as baseline days based on their loads during event hours, the days with the highest loads and closest to the event should be picked for the baseline.

Program year kW load management event savings will be calculated as the average savings of all events.

#### Rounding

Data rounding to the nearest whole number should only occur at the event and program levels for residential load management programs (NOT at the customer level). Utilities that prefer not to round the savings should document that in their calculations and inform the EM&V team (see Volume 5, Section 3.1 for more details).

#### <u>Meters</u>

Utilities are responsible for calling a test event each program year for the load management programs. The test event has several purposes, including assuring the proper functioning of program meters. Utilities are responsible for maintaining working program meters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> The EM&V team is working with the utilities on clarifying the methodology for addressing individual meters with limited or no data.

If there are random, non-systematic errors in smart meter data for less than two percent of total participants, the average savings from a similar group of participants (e.g., single-family, multifamily) may be used for claimed savings if: 1) the control event technology and intervention are the same, and 2) the control event intervention can be confirmed based on standard program practices for event confirmation. Utilities should notify the EM&V team in these circumstances to discuss the approach for determining and applying average savings for those customers with incomplete meter data.

# **Deemed Energy and Demand Savings Tables**

Not applicable.

#### **Claimed Peak Demand Savings**

A summer peak period value is used for this measure, based on calculation methodology described for this measure.

## **Additional Calculators and Tools**

Not applicable.

#### Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is 1 year.

#### **Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements**

The following data and information shall be tracked and provided to the EM&V team to enable savings verification:

- For each participant for which savings are being claimed, kWh consumption at intervals no greater than 30-minutes for each event day and for no less than five non-holiday and non-weekend days prior to each event day. Interval data shall be time-stamped with the date and no less than the time period ending the interval.
- Documentation describing the time stamp and whether the time stamp reflects the forward-looking period or period preceding the time stamp
- A list of all load management events affecting residential participants, describing their date, the time the event started, and the time the event ended.
- A list of all participants and addresses with a variable linking to the load or energy consumption interval data and that describes their enrollment date, load management control commissioning date, and any events in which the participant did not participate due to enrollment or equipment installation timing, equipment failures, or other factors known to the implementer or utility.
- Tools, calculators or other datasets that may be useful to the EM&V team, based on discussion between the EM&V team, utilities, and/or program implementer. The process for calculating kW and kWh savings should be provided in the program documentation, including any summation and rounding practices.

• Memos, reports, or results of any equipment test or metering data that provides perspectives, calculations, or metrics related to failure rates of load control receivers, thermostats or similar devices used to control participant loads during events.

The EM&V team may conduct participant-level independent metering studies to inform the verification of load management program savings.

#### **References and Efficiency Standards**

Not applicable.

#### **Petitions and Rulings**

Not applicable.

#### **Relevant Standards and Reference Sources**

- Oncor: Residential Load Management Program Manual can be found under Residential Load Management at <u>https://eepm.oncor.com/Residential.aspx</u>
- CenterPoint: Residential Load Management Program Guidelines
   <u>https://cnprlm.programprocessing.com</u>

#### **Document Revision History**

| TRM version | Date                        | Description of change   |  |  |  |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| v2.1        | 3/31/2015<br>revised 6/2015 | Memo to PUCT staff initiating and establishing <i>High 3 of 5</i> baseline with day-of adjustment.  |  |  |  |
| v3.1        | 11/05/2015                  | TRM v3.1 Volume 4 origin.   |  |  |  |
| v4.0        | 10/10/2016                  | Clarified language related to applying the adjustment factor to the <i>High 3 of 5</i> baseline and additional data provision details                               |  |  |  |
| v5.0        | 10/10/2017                  | Further clarified the baseline calculation using the <i>High</i> 3 of 5 method.   |  |  |  |
| v6.0        | 10/2018                     | No revision.  |  |  |  |
| v7.0        | 10/2019                     | Transferred metering and rounding guidance from Vol. 5.   |  |  |  |
| v8.0        | 10/2020                     | Added guidance on rounding, ensuring meters are functioning prior<br>to an event, and changing the error threshold from one to two<br>percent of total participants |  |  |  |
| v9.0        | 10/2021                     | Added peak demand period by utility. Added links to program manuals.  |  |  |  |
| v10.0       | 10/2022                     | Added footnote for Additional Calculation Considerations section.<br>Updated Reference Sources section.   |  |  |  |
| v11.0       | 10/2023                     | No revision.  |  |  |  |

Table 63. M&V Residential Load Curtailment History
# 2.6.2 Nonresidential Load Curtailment Measure Overview

TRM Measure ID: NR-LM-LM

Market Sector: Nonresidential

Measure Category: Load management

Applicable Building Types: Any building that meets minimum facility demand requirements

Fuels Affected: Electricity

Decision/Action Type: Operation and maintenance (O&M)

Program Delivery Type: Custom

Deemed Savings Type: Not applicable

Savings Methodology: EM&V

Utilities operate nonresidential load management programs to obtain demand savings. Energy savings are estimated as a function of the estimated demand savings.<sup>77</sup> Demand savings calculations are performed using utility customer interval energy demand data from IDRs or advanced meters. Measured and verified demand savings for the curtailment period is presented here.

# **Measure Description**

This document presents the M&V savings methodology for participation in a load management program that involves the curtailment of an interruptible load during the summer or winter peak periods. Project sponsors, who have agreed to deliver demand savings to the utility from the utility's customer, must commit to an availability of curtailed load throughout the summer or winter peak demand periods. These project sponsors may include national or local energy efficiency service providers (EESPs), retail electricity providers (REPs), or individual customers. Different utilities offer different details on their programs, but they all have similar eligibility criteria, listed below:

# **Eligibility Criteria**

A project will be eligible for incentives under the load management standard offer program (SOP) if the following criteria are met:

• Each meter included in a project must include a total potential demand savings of a specified minimum kW (varies by utility, as seen in Table 64) during the peak demand periods outlined in Table 65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Some utilities may determine energy savings associated with load management events, which would be calculated as the difference between the baseline and curtailment kW values times the length of the event(s).

#### Table 64. Minimum Facility Demand Savings by Utility

| Utility                                 | Minimum<br>demand savings (kW) |  |  |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|
| AEP SWEPCO                              | 50                             |  |  |
| AEP Texas <sup>78</sup> (summer/winter) | 5 / 100                        |  |  |
| CenterPoint (summer/winter)             | 100                            |  |  |
| El Paso Electric                        | 100                            |  |  |
| Entergy                                 | 250                            |  |  |
| Oncor (summer/winter)                   | 100                            |  |  |
| TNMP79 (summer/winter)                  | 40 / 100                       |  |  |
| Xcel <sup>80</sup>                      | 100                            |  |  |

| Table 65. Peak D | emand Periods |
|------------------|---------------|
|------------------|---------------|

| Hours <sup>81</sup>                            | Months                           | Exceptions                             |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| 1:00 p.m.—7:00 p.m.                            | June, July, August,<br>September | Weekends, federal holidays             |
| 6:00 a.m.—10:00 a.m.,<br>6:00 p.m. —10:00 p.m. | December, January,<br>February   | No exceptions, 24/7 curtailment period |

- A single project may involve identifying curtailable load at more than one customer facility, provided the curtailment demand savings at the facilities are recorded using a single interval data recorder (IDR).
- The project sponsor agrees to verify that the curtailable load that is being used in its application will not be used and counted in any other curtailable load or load management program during the duration of the customer contract. The project sponsor will notify the utility company within 15 business days of any change in the status of the curtailable load or its inclusion in another load management program.
- Curtailable load must produce demand savings through a curtailment of electrical consumption during the performance period.

<sup>78</sup> AEP Central and AEP North

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> TNMP prefers that project sponsors be capable of providing at least 40 kW (summer) or 100 kW (winter) of peak demand reduction at each site for which load reduction is offered; however, TNMP may accept applications including sites providing less than 40 kW (summer) or 100 kW (winter) of peak demand reduction in the interest of meeting its peak load reduction targets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> The utility prefers that project sponsors be capable of providing at least 100 kW of peak demand reduction at each site for which load reduction is offered; however, the utility may accept applications including sites providing less than 100 kW of peak demand reduction in the interest of meeting its peak load reduction targets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Xcel's period hours are 12:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Note that although Xcel starts and ends events outside the 1:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. period, Xcel only claims savings for deliveries during the rule-defined 1:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m. peak period.

- Project sponsors must commit to making the curtailable load available during the summer or winter peak periods for the program.
- Be served by an interval data recorder (IDR) and/or smart meter that is monitored by the utility. A sponsor owned meter may be substituted in the event of a non-systemic utility-owned IDR meter failure. When using a sponsor-owned meter, all data must otherwise conform to the High 5 of 10 method and be used for both the baseline and event-day calculations. Documentation of the case must be provided along with all supporting meter data.
- Customer agrees to respond to at least one event (scheduled or unscheduled) per year for the purpose of verifying the load reduction is available for potential calls. Scheduled events are used to provide an estimate of the load reduction in the event that no unscheduled interruptions occur during the season.
- For sponsors on a curtailment tariff, if the event or baseline periods include a tariffbased curtailment, the event day performance for the load management program will be net of firm delivery under the tariff. Documentation must be provided to describe the overlap of load management and tariff-based curtailments along with supporting firm delivery contract amounts.

The following loads are excluded from consideration:

- A customer who has load contracted with a REP where that contract prevents the load from participating in a curtailment.
- · Loads where curtailment would result in negative environmental or health effects.
- Curtailable load that receives an incentive through any other energy efficiency program.
- Curtailable load that takes electric service at transmission voltage and that serves a for-profit end-use customer.
- A customer that is categorized as a critical load customer (an exception may be if the customer has backup generation and can still curtail when requested).

# **Baseline Condition**

Standard facility operation.

# **High-Efficiency Condition**

Load management customers are required to participate in a number of unscheduled interruptions. Programs will provide a minimum of 30 minutes advanced notice, allowing facility managers time to use non-automated approaches. Another option is for facilities to install a load-control device on specific end-uses, equipment, or circuit loads.

#### Additional Utility Program Details

Each utility in Texas provides slightly different guidelines for its load management program. These details differ in the length of the unscheduled interruptions (also called curtailments), the maximum number or maximum number of hours of unscheduled interruptions, and the length of notification provided to the project sponsor. Table 66 highlights these differences.

Each utility states that participants will be willing to participate in a maximum number of unscheduled interruptions or a maximum number of scheduled (test) interruption hours. In addition to these, all utilities require that a scheduled interruption be performed. The purpose of this is to ensure that the project sponsor will be able to curtail the requested kW within the required notification time and to provide an estimate of the load reduction in the event that no unscheduled interruptions occur during the season. Additionally, some of the utilities offer different baseline methods or options for their customers to choose from.<sup>82</sup> These options are shown in Table 66 through Table 69.

| Utility                        | Options<br>available | Scheduled<br>interruption<br>length | Maximum<br>length     | Notification<br>required | Maximum<br>unscheduled<br>interruptions  |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| AEP SWEPCO                     | See Table<br>68      | 1 hour                              | 2 hours or<br>4 hours | 1 hour                   | 4 or 12 interruptions                    |
| AEP Texas<br>(summer)          | See Table<br>67      | 1 hour                              | 2 hours or<br>4 hours | 30 minutes               | 4, 8, or 12 interruptions                |
| AEP Texas<br>(winter)          | No                   | 1 hour                              | 4 hours               | 30 minutes               | 4 interruptions                          |
| CenterPoint<br>(summer/winter) | No                   | 1-3 hours                           | 4 hours               | 30 minutes               | 4 interruptions                          |
| El Paso Electric               | No                   | 1-5 hours                           | 5 hours               | 1 hour                   | 4 interruptions;<br>20 hours             |
| Entergy                        | No                   | 1 hour                              | 4 hours               |                          | 4 interruptions                          |
| Oncor (summer)                 | No                   | 3 hours                             | 4 hours               | At least 30 minutes      | 25 hours                                 |
| Oncor (winter)                 | No                   | 3 hours                             | 12 hours              | 30 minutes               | 25 hours                                 |
| TNMP (summer)                  | No                   | 1-2 hours                           | 4 hours               | 30 minutes               | 4 interruptions;<br>18 hours             |
| TNMP (winter)                  | No                   | 1-4 hours                           | 4 hours               | 30 minutes               | 4 interruptions;<br>16 hours             |
| Xcel <sup>83</sup>             | See<br>Table 69      |                                     | 4 hours               | 1 hour                   | 6 or 12 interruptions;<br>24 or 48 hours |

#### Table 66. Utility Program Details Overview

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> More details about the utility programs can be found in the program manuals (see Relevant Standards and Reference Sources).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> At the discretion of the program manager, Xcel may also choose to execute a one-hour test event during the performance period, either in lieu of or in addition to unscheduled interruptions.

| Option | Maximum number of<br>unscheduled interruptions | Minimum length<br>(hours) | Maximum length<br>(hours) |
|--------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| А      | 4  | 1                         | 4                         |
| В      | 12   | 1                         | 4                         |
| С      | 12   | 1                         | 2                         |
| D      | 8  | 1                         | 4                         |
| E      | 8  | 1                         | 2                         |

#### Table 67. AEP Texas (Summer) Interruption Options

#### Table 68. AEP SWEPCO Interruption Options

| Option | Maximum number of<br>unscheduled interruptions | Minimum length<br>(hours) | Maximum length<br>(hours) |
|--------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| A      | 4  | 1                         | 4                         |
| в      | 12   | 1                         | 4                         |

#### Table 69. Xcel Interruption Options

| Option | Maximum number of<br>unscheduled interruptions | Maximum length<br>(hours) |  |
|--------|--|---------------------------|--|
| А      | 6  | 4                         |  |
| в      | 12   | 4                         |  |

# **Energy and Demand Savings Methodology**

Not applicable.

# Savings Algorithms and Input Variables

Utilities operate load management programs to obtain demand savings: to the extent energy savings are also estimated, they are estimated as a function of the estimated demand savings.<sup>84</sup> Demand savings calculations are performed using utility customer interval energy usage data from IDRs or advanced meters. The verified demand savings for the curtailment period uses the following algorithm:

Verified Demand Savings = Baseline Period kW - Curtailment kW

Equation 58

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Some utilities do determine energy savings, which would be calculated as the difference between the baseline and curtailment kW values times the length of the event(s).

Where:

| Baseline Period kW | = | Baseline average demand calculated according to the High<br>5 of 10 for summer or High 8 of 10 for winter baseline<br>method, detailed below |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Curtailment kW     | = | Average demand measured during the curtailment period  |

#### High X of Y method with day-of adjustment:

For summer peak periods, a High X of Y baseline considers the Y most recent days preceding an event and uses the data from the X days with the highest load within those Y days to calculate the baseline. For winter peak periods, to accommodate the greater variability in winter weather patterns, a High X of Y baseline considers the Y most recent days directly preceding and/or succeeding an event and uses the data from the X days with the highest load within those Y days to calculate the baseline. Day-of adjustments are used to scale the baseline load estimate to the load conditions on the day of the event using data from the hours prior to the time on the event day when participants were notified of the pending call for curtailment.

Applying this concept to the load management measure, the High 5 of 10 baseline (summer) or High 8 of 10 baseline (winter) for a given curtailment event is estimated by first identifying the 10 non-holiday weekdays immediately preceding or preceding/succeeding the event depending on summer or winter peak as described above in which no prior program curtailment events were called, and calculating each participant's average demand during the same hours as the hours for which the curtailment event was implemented on each of those 10 days. The five highest of these ten average demand values are then averaged to estimate the "unadjusted High 5 for summer or 8 for winter baseline".

The day-of baseline adjustment is estimated by comparing participants' average demand for electricity on the day of the event during the two hours prior to notification of the pending event (the "adjustment period") to participants' average demand for electricity on the "High 5 or 8" days during those same two hours. The difference (positive or negative) between day-of demand and "High 5 or 8" demand in the adjustment period is the "uncapped additive adjustment". In the situation where notification may not be given, the two hours preceding one hour before the event begins on the event day and baseline days will be used as the adjustment period. The average load of the adjustment period on the event day are compared to the average load of the adjustment periods from the baseline days. The difference (positive or negative) between day-of demand and "High 5 or 8" baseline day demand in the adjustment period is the uncapped additive adjustment. To apply the adjustment period to the unadjusted baseline, one of two options are selected in the following steps:

Step 1. Calculate an uncapped additive adjustment. The uncapped additive adjustment is the difference of the adjustment period hours' load of the event day subtracted from the baseline days' average adjustment period load. For example, if the baseline days have an adjustment period average load of 530.20 kW and the event day has an adjustment period load of 575.80 kW, the uncapped additive adjustment is 575.80 kW (-) 530.20 kW = 45.60 kW.

- Step 2. Calculate an adjustment cap. The adjustment cap is 50 percent of the baseline days' average load during the event hours. For example, if a participant has a load of 504.00 kW during the baseline days' event hours, the adjustment cap is 504.00 kW (x) 0.50 = 252.00 kW.
- Step 3. Select the lowest of the adjustment cap and the absolute value of the uncapped additive adjustment to be the additive adjustment. Using the examples of the preceding two steps, the uncapped additive adjustment (45.60 kW) has the lowest magnitude between the two numbers and is selected as the additive adjustment.
- Step 4. Add the additive adjustment to the unadjusted High 5 of 10 baseline (summer) or High 8 of 10 baseline (winter) to calculate the final baseline used for calculating savings.

An example below illustrates the entirety of applying the High 5 of 10 summer method to calculate load management savings for a single participant.

# **Example Calculation**

Table 70 serves to illustrate the steps of the High 5 of 10 summer baseline calculation method. Specific participant's results may vary. Numbers from the table in bold font represent data selected for the calculation.

| Event day and<br>potential baseline<br>days   | Load<br>mgmt.<br>event date  | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 1                                     | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 2                                      | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 3                                     | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 4                                       | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 5 |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Event hours   | 1500-1600  | 1500-1600  | 1500-1600   | 1500-1600  | 1500-1600  | 1500-1600                      |
| Average kW during event hours   | 1078.89  | 990.57   | 919.45  | 926.36   | 892.42   | 880.13                         |
| Notification hour   | 1400   |  |   |  |  |                                |
| Adjustment period hours   | 1200-1400  | 1200-1400  | 1200-1400   | 1200-1400  | 1200-1400  | 1200-1400                      |
| Adjustment period average kW  | 959.39   | 752.26   | 672.08  | 637.98   | 695.12   | 698.88                         |
|   |  |  |   |  |  |                                |
| Event day and<br>potential baseline<br>days   | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 6                                     | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 7                                     | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 8                                      | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 9                                     | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 10                                      |                                |
| Event day and<br>potential baseline<br>days<br>Event hours  | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 6<br>1500-1600                        | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 7<br>1500-1600                        | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 8<br>1500-1600                         | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 9<br>1500-1600                        | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 10<br>1500-1600                         |                                |
| Event day and<br>potential baseline<br>days<br>Event hours<br>Average kW during<br>event hours  | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 6<br>1500-1600<br>950.63              | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 7<br>1500-1600<br>842.19              | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 8<br>1500-1600<br>1008.69              | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 9<br>1500-1600<br>795.80              | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 10<br>1500-1600<br>1049.24              |                                |
| Event day and<br>potential baseline<br>days<br>Event hours<br>Average kW during<br>event hours<br>Notification hour                               | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 6<br>1500-1600<br>950.63              | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 7<br>1500-1600<br>842.19              | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 8<br>1500-1600<br>1008.69              | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 9<br>1500-1600<br>795.80              | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 10<br>1500-1600<br>1049.24              |                                |
| Event day and<br>potential baseline<br>days<br>Event hours<br>Average kW during<br>event hours<br>Notification hour<br>Adjustment period<br>hours | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 6<br>1500-1600<br>950.63<br>1200-1400 | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 7<br>1500-1600<br>842.19<br>1200-1400 | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 8<br>1500-1600<br>1008.69<br>1200-1400 | Potential<br>Baseline<br>day 9<br>1500-1600<br>795.80<br>1200-1400 | Potential<br>baseline<br>day 10<br>1500-1600<br>1049.24<br>1200-1400 |                                |

Table 70. High 5 of 10 Example Load Management Event Data

Calculation steps:

• Step 1. Unadjusted High 5 baseline = Average kW during event times in five highest days of ten prior in summer prior to event day (kW)

*Unadjusted High 5 baseline* = (990.57+926.36+950.63+1008.69+1049.24)/5 = 985.10 kW

• Step 2. Uncapped additive adjustment = Average kW during adjustment time on event day (kW)—Average kW during adjustment time in the same five highest days of ten prior to event day

Uncapped additive adjustment = 959.39— (752.26+637.98+657.64+801.02+850.18)/5 = 219.57 kW

• Step 3. Adjustment cap = 50% of Unadjusted High 5 baseline (kW)

Adjustment cap = 0.5 \* 985.10 = 492.55 kW

• Step 4. Choose Additive adjustment = Minimum {Absolute value of Uncapped additive adjustment, Adjustment cap} (kW)

Additive adjustment = Minimum {219.57, 492.55} = 219.57 kW

- Step 5. Final baseline = Additive adjustment + Unadjusted High 5 baseline (kW)
   Final baseline = 219.57 + 985.10 = 1204.67 kW
- Step 6. kW Savings = Final baseline—Curtailment kW (kW)
   kW Savings = 1204.67—1078.89 = 125.78 kW

# **Additional Calculation Considerations**

In the case that individual meters fail to record data sufficient for applying the High 5 or 8 of 10 calculation method, savings will not be calculated (see *Meters* for more details).

Program year kilowatt load management event savings will be calculated as the sum of each sponsor's average savings of all events in which the sponsor participated.

#### Tie between baseline days

When selecting baseline days in the High 5 or 8 of 10 method, it is possible that some days have the same load for an individual participant, potentially leading to more than five or eight days that could be selected for the baseline days. If more days could be selected as baseline days based on their loads during event hours, the days with the **highest loads and closest** to the event should be picked for the baseline.

#### Rounding

Data rounding to the nearest whole number should only occur at the customer and program levels for commercial load management programs. Without this standard practice, utilities should document when rounding is occurring in their calculations (e.g., no rounding or rounding at the event level) and inform the EM&V team (see Volume 5, Section 3.1 for more details). Utilities should round commercial load management impacts consistent with how incentives are awarded, which is at the customer-sponsor level for each event.

#### <u>Meters</u>

Utilities are responsible for calling a test event each program year for the load management programs. If a program has both a winter and summer peak component, a test event needs to be called in each applicable peak period. The test event has several purposes, including assuring the proper functioning of program meters. Utilities are responsible for maintaining working program meters.

Without complete interval meter data to calculate the baseline and event impacts, savings may not be claimed. However, if a customer has alternate interval meter data available, this can be used in lieu of program meter data to calculate claimed savings. Using customer meters for load management program savings requires that the data meet interval metering requirements presented in the version of the current TRM. In general, it is recommended that customerowned interval meters should only be used if utility interval meters fail. Data from each meter should not be combined for claiming savings for a specific event and must be able to cover both the event day data and baseline data.

Utilities should notify the EM&V team in these circumstances. All calculations and data stemming from the use of customer meters should be provided as part of the EM&V data request, similar to when program meter data is used. If requested by the utility, the EM&V team is available to review the use of customer meter data in advance of a program claiming savings from customer meters.

# Measure Life and Lifetime Savings

The estimated useful life (EUL) is one year.

# **Program Tracking Data and Evaluation Requirements**

- IDR or Advanced Meter data associated with the project will be provided by the project sponsor or retrieved by the utility following an event. Depending on the utility, the data will be provided in 30-minute increments (or smaller) to evaluate both baseline demand usage and demand usage during curtailment.
- Documentation describing the time stamp and whether the time stamp reflects the forward-looking period or period preceding the time stamp.
- Utilities should provide a description of their practices related to whether scheduled or test events are or are not included in their program year kW savings results. kWh savings will be calculated from all events.
- A list of all load management events affecting nonresidential participants within the program year, describing the date of each event, the time the event started, and the time the event ended.
- A list of all participants and addresses with a variable linking to the load or energy consumption interval data and that describes their enrollment date, load management control commissioning date, and any events in which the participant did not participate due to enrollment or equipment installation timing, equipment failures, or other factors known to the implementer or utility.

 Tools, calculators, or other datasets that may be useful to the EM&V team, based on discussion between the EM&V team, utilities, and/or program implementer. The process for calculating kW and kWh savings should be provided in the program documentation, including any summation and rounding practices.

# **References and Efficiency Standards**

# Petitions and Rulings

Not applicable.

# **Relevant Standards and Reference Sources**

- AEP SWEPCO: Manual not available online.
- AEP Texas: Commercial summer and winter load management program manuals can be found under Load Management at <u>https://aeptexasefficiency.com/#/commercial</u>
- CenterPoint: Commercial summer load management program information can be found under Load Management at <u>https://www.centerpointenergy.com/en-</u> us/business/services/commercial-industrial/efficiency-programs?sa=ho

or at https://cnpwlm.customerapplication.com/

- El Paso Electric: Commercial load management program manual can be found at <u>https://www.epelectric.com/business/save-money-and-energy/texas-load-management-program</u>
- Entergy: Commerical load management manuals can be found at
   <u>https://www.entergy-texas.com/your\_business/save\_money/ee/load-management/</u>
- Oncor: Commercial load management program manuals can be found under Commercial Load Management for the summer program and under Winter Emergency Load Management for the winter program at <u>https://eepm.oncor.com/Commercial.aspx</u>
- TNMP: Commercial summer and winter load management program manuals can be found under Resources at <u>https://tnmpefficiency.com/commercial.php#load-</u> <u>management</u>
- Xcel Energy: Commercial load management program manual can be found at <u>http://www.xcelenergyefficiency.com/TX/Business/LM/</u>

# **Document Revision History**

| TRM version | Date       | Description of change  |
|-------------|------------|--|
| v3.0        | 4/10/2015  | The baseline calculation methodology was modified to be the highest 5 of 10 prior days for all the programs. In addition, a new day-of adjustment factor was added with an adjustment cap. |
| v3.1        | 11/05/2015 | TRM v3.1 Volume 4 origin.  |

#### Table 71. M&V Nonresidential Load Curtailment History

| TRM version | Date       | Description of change  |
|-------------|------------|--|
| v4.0        | 10/10/2016 | Clarified language related to applying the adjustment factor to the High 5 of 10 baseline and additional data provision details.   |
| v5.0        | 10/10/2017 | Updated equation, figure, and table references.  |
| v6.0        | 10/2018    | No revision.   |
| v7.0        | 10/2019    | Transferred metering and rounding guidance from Vol 5.   |
| v8.0        | 10/2020    | Added guidance on rounding.  |
| v9.0        | 10/2021    | Added eligibility exclusion for critical load customers, updated links to program manuals and updated for winter peak.   |
| v10.0       | 10/2022    | Updated Utility Program Details Overview section (added one winter load management program). Updated Reference Sources section.  |
| v11.0       | 10/2023    | Updated utility program details (added three winter load<br>management programs). Added guidance on selecting baseline<br>days when there is a tie between the days used to calculate the<br>baseline. |

# APPENDIX A: M&V METERING SCHEDULE

#### 1.0 Arrive on site and meet customer

- 1.1 Turn unit on to stabilize and make sure the unit is in full cooling mode (Variable speed blowers are on high and all compressors in multi-compressor systems are operating).
- 1.2 Record customer information:
  - a. Address f. Utility account number (from utility bill)
  - b. City g. Altitude (ft)
  - c. Zip h. Residential program or commercial program
  - d. County

- i. Building type
- e. Email j. Phone number

# 2.0 Test In: Perform TI procedure to determine system's baseline cooling capacity and energy efficiency ratio (EER).

- 2.1 Record Unit Information
- 2.2 Measure and record airflow using 1 of the following methods:
  - a. Airflow Method 1: Handheld Anemometer
  - b. Airflow Method 2: Generic Fan Chart
  - c. Airflow Method 3: Manufacturer Fan Chart
- 2.3 Airflow Power Consumption
  - a. Determine the blower motor type as either "PSC" or "ECM."
  - b. Measure and record the blower voltage and current.
- 2.4 Condenser and Compressor Measurements
  - a. Compressor Type (Scroll or Reciprocating)
  - b. Refrigerant Type (R22 or R410)
  - c. Metering Device (Fixed Orifice, TXV or Capillary Tube)
  - d. Condenser Model Number
  - e. Condenser Serial Number
  - f. Compressor Phase (Single or Three)
  - g. Multiple Compressor System (Check box for participating utilities)
  - h. Measure and Record Compressor Volts
  - i. Measure and Record Compressor Current
  - j. Measure and Record Ambient Air Dry-Bulb Temperature
  - k Measure and Record Ambient Air Wet-Bulb Temperature if Required
- 2.5 Enter Information from Refrigerant Analyzer:
  - a. Suction Pressure (PSI)
  - b. Discharge Pressure (PSI)

c. Evaporator Temperature (°F)

- e. Vapor Line Temperature (VLT) (°F)
- f. Liquid Line Temperature (LLT) (°F)
- g. Superheat (°F)

h. Subcooling (°F)

- d. Condenser Temperature (°F)
- 2.6 Measure and Record Supply and Return Air Conditions:
  - a. Return Air Dry-Bulb Temperature (°F)
  - b. Return Air Wet-Bulb Temperature (°F)
  - c Supply Air Dry-Bulb Temperature (°F)
  - d. Supply Air Wet-Bulb Temperature (°F)
- 2.7 Review System Performance

#### 3.0 Perform Corrective Measures as Needed

- 3.1 Clean Condenser-required
- 3.2 Clean Evaporator-required
- 3.3 Clean Blower—required
- 3.4 Verify clean filter: change or clean as needed-required
- 3.5 Verify Airflow within range (+/- 15% of 400 cfm/ton)—required
- 3.6 Check refrigerant charge; adjust to Manufacturer's Spec's as needed

# 4.0 Test Out: The Test Out (TO) procedure requires measurements that are used to determine the performance characteristics of the cooling system after all corrective measures have been implemented.

- 4.1 Airflow-Use same method as Test In
- 4.2 Airflow Power Consumption
  - a. For ECMs, make sure it is operating in full cooling mode during the entire tuneup.
  - b. Measure and record the blower voltage and current.
- 4.3 Measure and record Supply and Return Air Conditions:
  - a. Return Air Dry-Bulb Temperature (°F)
  - b. Return Air Wet-Bulb Temperature (°F)
  - c. Supply Air Dry-Bulb Temperature (°F)
  - d. Supply Air Wet-Bulb Temperature (°F)
- 4.4 Condenser and Compressor Measurements
  - a Compressor Volts
  - b. Compressor Current
  - c. Ambient Air Dry-Bulb Temperature
  - d. Ambient Air Wet-Bulb Temperature
- 4.5 Information from Refrigerant Analyzer:
  - a. Suction Pressure (PSI)
  - b. Discharge Pressure (PSI)
  - c. Evaporator Temperature (°F)
  - d. Condenser Temperature (°F)
  - e. Vapor Line Temperature (VLT) (°F)
  - f. Liquid Line Temperature (LLT) (°F)
  - g. Superheat (°F)
  - h. Subcooling (°F)
- 4.6 Review System Performance

# 5.0 Generate invoice: A customer signed invoice is required for participation in the program. The following information must be shown on the invoice:

- 5.1 Customer Address
- 5.2 Contractor Name and Address
- 5.3 Project Number Listed on the DCVF
- 5.4 Corrective Measures Performed
- 5.5 Charge for Services Performed
- 5.6 Rebate Amount Applied to Charges

# APPENDIX B: COUNTIES BY WEATHER ZONE ASSIGNMENT

| County name | Zone | County name:  | ,Zone: | County name             | Zone | County name | Zone |
|-------------|------|---------------|--------|-------------------------|------|-------------|------|
| Anderson    | 2    | Brown         | 2      | Cooke                   | 2    | Falls       | 2    |
| Andrews     | 2    | Burleson      | 3      | Coryell                 | 2    | Fannin      | 2    |
| Angelina    | 2    | Burnet        | 2      | Cottle                  | 1    | Fayette     | 3    |
| Aransas     | 4    | Caldwell      | 3      | Crane                   | 2    | Fisher      | 2    |
| Archer      | 2    | Calhoun       | 4      | Crockett                | 2    | Floyd       | 1    |
| Armstrong   | 1    | Callahan      | 2      | Crosby                  | 1    | Foard       | 1    |
| Atascosa    | 3    | Cameron       | 4      | Culberson <sup>85</sup> | 2&5  | Fort Bend   | 3    |
| Austin      | 3    | Camp          | 2      | Dallam                  | 1    | Franklin    | 2    |
| Bailey      | 1    | Carson        | 1      | Dallas                  | 2    | Freestone   | 2    |
| Bandera     | 2    | Cass          | 2      | Dawson                  | 2    | Frio        | 3    |
| Bastrop     | 3    | Castro        | 1      | De Witt                 | 3    | Gaines      | 1    |
| Baylor      | 2    | Chambers      | 3      | Deaf Smith              | 1    | Galveston   | 3    |
| Вее         | 3    | Cherokee      | 2      | Delta                   | 2    | Garza       | 1    |
| Bell        | 2    | Childress     | 1      | Denton                  | 2    | Gillespie   | 2    |
| Bexar       | 3    | Clay          | 2      | Dickens                 | 1    | Glasscock   | 2    |
| Blanco      | 2    | Cochran       | 1      | Dimmit                  | 3    | Goliad      | 3    |
| Borden      | 2    | Coke          | 2      | Donley                  | 1    | Gonzales    | 3    |
| Bosque      | 2    | Coleman       | 2      | Duval                   | 4    | Gray        | 1    |
| Bowie       | 2    | Collin        | 2      | Eastland                | 2    | Grayson     | 2    |
| Brazoria    | 3    | Collingsworth | 1      | Ector                   | 2    | Gregg       | 2    |
| Brazos      | 3    | Colorado      | 3      | Edwards                 | 2    | Grimes      | 3    |
| Brewster    | 2    | Comal         | 3      | El Paso                 | 5    | Guadalupe   | 3    |
| Briscoe     | 1    | Comanche      | 2      | Ellis                   | 2    | Hale        | 1    |
| Brooks      | 4    | Concho        | 2      | Erath                   | 2    | Hall        | 1    |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> El Paso Electric may treat residents of Van Horn, TX in Culberson County as Climate zone 5 even though the rest of the county is classified as Climate zone 2.

| County name | Zone |
|-------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|
| Hamilton    | 2    | Jasper      | 2    | Leon        | 2    | Montague    | 2    |
| Hansford    | 1    | Jeff Davis  | 2    | Liberty     | 3    | Montgomery  | 3    |
| Hardeman    | 1    | Jefferson   | 3    | Limestone   | 2    | Moore       | 1    |
| Hardin      | 3    | Jim Hogg    | 4    | Lipscomb    | 1    | Morris      | 2    |
| Harris      | 3    | Jim Wells   | 4    | Live Oak    | 3    | Motley      | 1    |
| Harrison    | 2    | Johnson     | 2    | Llano       | 2    | Nacogdoches | 2    |
| Hartley     | 1    | Jones       | 2    | Loving      | 2    | Navarro     | 2    |
| Haskell     | 2    | Karnes      | 3    | Lubbock     | 1    | Newton      | 2    |
| Hays        | 2    | Kaufman     | 2    | Lynn        | 1    | Nolan       | 2    |
| Hemphill    | 1    | Kendall     | 2    | Madison     | 3    | Nueces      | 4    |
| Henderson   | 2    | Kenedy      | 4    | Marion      | 2    | Ochiltree   | 1    |
| Hidalgo     | 4    | Kent        | 1    | Martin      | 2    | Oldham      | 1    |
| Hill        | 2    | Kerr        | 2    | Mason       | 2    | Orange      | 3    |
| Hockley     | 1    | Kimble      | 2    | Matagorda   | 3    | Palo Pinto  | 2    |
| Hood        | 2    | King        | 1    | Maverick    | 3    | Panola      | 2    |
| Hopkins     | 2    | Kinney      | 3    | McCulloch   | 2    | Parker      | 2    |
| Houston     | 2    | Kleberg     | 4    | McLennan    | 2    | Parmer      | 1    |
| Howard      | 2    | Knox        | 1    | McMullen    | 3    | Pecos       | 2    |
| Hudspeth    | 5    | La Salle    | 3    | Medina      | 3    | Polk        | 3    |
| Hunt        | 2    | Lamar       | 2    | Menard      | 2    | Potter      | 1    |
| Hutchinson  | 1    | Lamb        | 1    | Midland     | 2    | Presidio    | 2    |
| Irion       | 2    | Lampasas    | 2    | Milam       | 3    | Rains       | 2    |
| Jack        | 2    | Lavaca      | 3    | Mills       | 2    | Randall     | 1    |
| Jackson     | 3    | Lee         | 3    | Mitchell    | 2    | Reagan      | 2    |

| County name   | Zone | County name  | Zone | County name | Zone | County name | Zone |
|---------------|------|--------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|
| Real          | 2    | Shackelford  | 2    | Titus       | 2    | Wharton     | 3    |
| Red River     | 2    | Shelby       | 2    | Tom Green   | 2    | Wheeler     | 1    |
| Reeves        | 2    | Sherman      | 1    | Travis      | 2    | Wichita     | 2    |
| Refugio       | 4    | Smith        | 2    | Trinity     | 3    | Wilbarger   | 1    |
| Roberts       | 1    | Somervell    | 2    | Tyler       | 3    | Willacy     | 4    |
| Robertson     | 2    | Starr        | 4    | Upshur      | 2    | Williamson  | 2    |
| Rockwall      | 2    | Stephens     | 2    | Upton       | 2    | Wilson      | 3    |
| Runnels       | 2    | Sterling     | 2    | Uvalde      | 3    | Winkler     | 2    |
| Rusk          | 2    | Stonewall    | 1    | Val Verde   | 3    | Wise        | 2    |
| Sabine        | 2    | Sutton       | 2    | Van Zandt   | 2    | Wood        | 2    |
| San Augustine | 2    | Swisher      | 1    | Victoria    | 3    | Yoakum      | 1    |
| San Jacinto   | 3    | Tarrant      | 2    | Walker      | 3    | Young       | 2    |
| San Patricio  | 4    | Taylor       | 2    | Waller      | 3    | Zapata      | 4    |
| San Saba      | 2    | Terrell      | 2    | Ward        | 2    | Zavala      | 3    |
| Schleicher    | 2    | Terry        | 1    | Washington  | 3    |             |      |
| Scurry        | 2    | Throckmorton | 2    | Webb        | 4    | ]           |      |

# Public Utility Commission of Texas

**Texas Technical Reference Manual** 

Version 11.0

**Volume 5: Implementation Guidance** 

Program Year 2024



# Public Utility Commission of Texas

**Texas Technical Reference Manual** 

Version 11.0

Volume 5: Implementation Guidance

Program Year 2024

Last Revision Date:

October 2023

# Table of Contents

| 1. | Intr | oduction   | 2   |
|----|------|--|-----|
| 2. | Cor  | nmercial   | 3   |
|    | 2.1  | Project Documentation  | 3   |
|    |      | 2.1.1 Background   | 3   |
|    |      | 2.1.2 Additional Documentation Guidance                                  | 3   |
|    | 2.2  | Incentives and Claimed Savings   | 7   |
|    |      | 2.2.1 Background   | 7   |
|    |      | 2.2.2 Considerations   | 7   |
|    |      | 2.2.3 Recommendations  | 8   |
|    | 2.3  | New Construction   | 10  |
|    |      | 2.3.1 Overview   | 10  |
|    |      | 2.3.2 Recommendation   | 10  |
| 3. | Lov  | v-Income   | 11  |
|    | 3.1  | Low-Income Income-Eligible Verification Forms                            | 11  |
|    |      | 3.1.1 Background   | 11  |
|    |      | 3.1.2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC)                          | 12  |
|    |      | 3.1.3 New Program Strategies   | 13  |
|    | 3.2  | Audit Lists for Low-Income Programs                                      | 14  |
| 4. | Cro  | ss-Sector  | 16  |
|    | 4.1  | Load Management Programs   | 16  |
|    |      | 4.1.1 Rounding   | 16  |
|    |      | 4.1.2 Winter Load Management Implementation                              | 17  |
|    | 4.2  | Commercial and Residential HVAC Split-Systems Without AHRI Certification | 19  |
|    |      | 4.2.1 Background   | 19  |
|    |      | 4.2.2 Guidance   | 19  |
|    | 4.3  | Data Model   | 24  |
| AP | PEN  | DIX A: Low-Income Income-Eligible Verification Forms                     | A-1 |

# List of Tables

| Table 1. Project File Organization Example                      | 4   |
|---|-----|
| Table 2. Project Verification Applications and Examples         | 5   |
| Table 3. PY2021 Commercial Statewide NTG Ratios by Program Type | 8   |
| Table 4. Load Management Savings Calculation Levels             | .16 |
| Table 5. Specification of an Example Split System               | .20 |
| Table 6. Example DOE CCMS Filter to Similar Equipment           | .20 |
| Table 7. Average Performance Metrics of Similar Certified Units | .22 |
| Table 8. TRM Calculation Performance Metrics Determination      | .23 |

# List of Figures

| Figure 1. Example Filter of DOE CCMS Database            | 21 |
|--|----|
| Figure 2. Sample Downloaded Spreadsheet with Calculation | 22 |

#### Acknowledgments

The Texas Technical Reference Manual (TRM) is maintained by the Public Utility Commission of Texas' (PUCT) independent evaluation, measurement, and verification (EM&V) contractor, Tetra Tech.

This version of the TRM was primarily developed from program documentation and measure savings calculators used by the Texas electric utilities and their energy efficiency services providers (EESPs) to support their energy efficiency efforts and original source material from petitions filed with the Public Utility Commission of Texas by the utilities, their consultants, and EESPs such as Frontier Associates (TXu 1-904-705), ICF, CLEAResult, and Nexant. Portions of the TRM are copyrighted 2001–2017 by the Electric Utility Marketing Managers of Texas (EUMMOT), while other portions are copyrighted 2001–2018 by Frontier Energy. Certain technical content and updates were added by the EM&V team to provide further explanation and direction as well as consistent structure and level of information.

### **TRM Technical Support**

Technical support and questions can be emailed to the EM&V team's project manager (lark.lee@tetratech.com) and PUCT staff (therese.harris@puct.texas.gov).

# 1. INTRODUCTION

This volume of the technical reference manual (TRM) contains evaluation, measurement, and verification (EM&V) team recommendations regarding program implementation that may affect claimed savings. The EM&V contractor drafts guidance memos for the electric utilities' energy efficiency programs to provide clear direction on calculating or claiming savings. Guidance memos are consistent with the Energy Efficiency Rule 16 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) § 25.181 and the TRM but address areas where additional direction is needed for consistency and transparency across utilities' claimed savings from the programs. This volume compiles the various guidance memos produced during the EM&V effort.

Implementation guidance contained in this volume is summarized by sector below:

#### **Commercial**

- Project documentation
- Additional savings
- New construction

#### **Residential**

- Low-Income Income-Eligible verification forms
- Audit list for Low-Income programs

#### Cross-Sector

- Load management programs
- Commercial and residential HVAC split-systems without AHRI certification
- Data model

# 2. COMMERCIAL

# 2.1 PROJECT DOCUMENTATION

This section summarizes the progress and current status of the evaluation, measurement, and verification (EM&V) team's assessment of the utilities' efforts to meet and conform to project documentation standards and provides additional guidance for areas still in need of improvement as part of the annual EM&V statewide report.

# 2.1.1 Background

For all energy efficiency programs, critical inputs and methodologies needed to replicate claimed savings calculations are captured in a combination of the TRM, program manuals, program tracking data systems, and individual project documentation. Project-level documentation is critical to the transparency of claimed savings and facilitates efficient third-party EM&V at the project, program, and portfolio levels. This section specifically addresses individual project documentation needs; individual project documentation includes all relevant site-specific details (e.g., audit reports, worksheets, program applications, invoices, project overviews and descriptions, photos, and installation reports).

We provide detail on documentation best practices currently incorporated into many Texas programs (based on information gathered during PY2022 evaluation activities) and recommendations for improvement. The objective is to support the utilities in achieving industry-standard degrees of documentation rigor, clarity, and efficacy; these standards are necessary to organize and manage such information to yield transparency and facilitate efficient and effective evaluation.

# 2.1.2 Additional Documentation Guidance

In this section, we provide guidance geared specifically to help improve CSOP program documentation scores. However, the guidance may also be used to support the continued improvement of program documentation for other programs.

#### Recommendation 1: Clearly organize project files.

Organized project files are critical for many reasons, including:

- · clear and transparent reporting of documentation used to support claimed savings,
- ease of identification of related program project files that may not have made the data transfer,
- backup support for information within tracking data systems,
- support custom parameter usage, and
- support deviation or enhancement of methodologies to gain greater accuracy.

An important part of organized project folders, files, and documents is clear naming conventions; this helps keep files organized and improves consistency in document placement and locating critical documents to support the EM&V efforts. Below are some examples of the difficulty the EM&V team has had with project-level folders and files received:

- The project folders often contained inconsistencies regarding file and document names, locations, and contents. Files with similar names often contained disparate information, while seemingly identical files contained dissimilar information.
- The project folders included multiple copies of project documents. Locating the final documents used to support the reported savings proved difficult for many projects. For example, when numerous photos are provided, locating those that support the key savings assumptions is difficult. Distinguishing between pre- and post-equipment photos was also, at times, difficult.
- Project folders contained documents labeled as verification reports when they were still
  actually measurement and verification (M&V) plans with no completed verification data.
  Such plans provided the methodology to verify project savings estimates yet did not
  document that project savings estimates were complete.

The project file organization example below provides a list of potential project subfolders and documents that would be ideal for collecting information to determine whether a pre- and post-inspection has been completed. Many documents listed are key elements necessary to support custom project assumptions and review.

| Stage                   | Retrofit and new construction  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Pre-project*            | <ul> <li>Pre-project calculator</li> <li>Plans (e.g., drawings, fixture list)</li> <li>Pre-project inspection photos</li> <li>Pre-project audit reports</li> <li>Project descriptions, sponsor agreements, etc.</li> </ul>   |
| Post-project            | <ul> <li>Post-project inspection calculator</li> <li>Post-inspection field notes</li> <li>Post-project inspection photos</li> <li>As-built plans</li> <li>Installation reports</li> </ul>  |
| Supporting<br>documents | <ul> <li>Calculators (old and archived)</li> <li>Spreadsheets or other backup documentation (especially those to support custom calculations)</li> <li>Specifications, cut sheets, certifications</li> <li>Check requests to utility</li> <li>Partner letters or savings summaries</li> <li>Material purchase orders and invoices</li> <li>Email communication</li> <li>M&amp;V plan for custom key input assumptions (e.g., operating hours) or custom savings methodologies</li> </ul> |

| Table 1 | . Project | File | Organization | Example |
|---------|-----------|------|--------------|---------|
|---------|-----------|------|--------------|---------|

| Stage                | Retrofit and new construction   |
|----------------------|---|
| Final<br>documents** | <ul> <li>Final calculator</li> <li>Final M&amp;V plan for custom projects</li> <li>Final verification documents for custom projects</li> <li>Final project notes</li> </ul> |

\* New construction projects may not necessarily include these documents.

\*\* These documents also support EM&V on-site minimum requirements for data collection needs.

#### Recommendation #2: Use photo verifications to support key measure assumptions.

When on-site fieldwork is complete—whether by trade allies, implementation staff, or utility staff—representative photos can help document and support key measure attributes and assumptions. Most programs include some form of photo documentation to support projects. Some programs in Texas even use tablets in the field whereby the project site and equipment photos are taken by trade allies and automatically uploaded to tracking systems and project folders. The table below outlines how photos can support project documentation for some of the most common commercial project types (i.e., lighting- and HVAC-based projects).

| Stage        | Lighting projects*   | HVAC projects  |
|--------------|--|--|
| Pre-project  | <ul> <li>Existing lighting system types<br/>(e.g., lamp, ballast, fixture)</li> <li>Existing lighting equipment quantities</li> <li>Existing control type</li> <li>Existing lighting equipment operability<br/>and inoperability</li> <li>Building type</li> <li>Air conditioning type</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Existing HVAC equipment types and sizes</li> <li>Existing HVAC equipment quantities</li> <li>Existing HVAC equipment operability and inoperability (e.g., setpoint, load display shots)</li> <li>Building type</li> </ul> |
| Post-project | <ul> <li>New lighting system types<br/>(e.g., lamp, ballast, fixture)</li> <li>New lighting equipment quantities</li> <li>New control type</li> <li>New control schedule automation<br/>(e.g., building and lighting automation<br/>system screenshots)</li> <li>New lighting equipment operability</li> <li>Building type</li> <li>Air conditioning type</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>New HVAC equipment types and sizes</li> <li>New HVAC equipment quantities</li> <li>New HVAC equipment operability<br/>(e.g., setpoint, load display shots)</li> <li>Building type</li> </ul>                              |

#### **Table 2. Project Verification Applications and Examples**

\* Note that some of these project parameters may not be possible to capture for all lighting quantities for large lighting projects. In these cases, alternative project documentation types may be preferred.

# Recommendation #3: Include clear descriptors of measure type as well as quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) inspections in the tracking system.

Different projects (e.g., retrofit versus new construction projects, inspected versus not inspected sites) have different documentation needs. Capturing participant descriptors can aid evaluation efforts immensely, keep cost burdens low, and facilitate transparency.

Many commercial programs continue to track and describe measure-level savings at the measure-category level (or savings calculator level) instead of the measure-specific level. For example, the tracking system will document the savings associated with a lighting project captured within a lighting calculator (e.g., Lighting Equipment Survey Form version 9.02). However, the calculator includes many different lighting fixture types, effective useful lives, and related savings. Tracking project data at the measure-specific level (e.g., integrated-ballast LED lamps, linear fluorescent, lighting controls) rather than the measure-category level will improve the data's transparency to readily assess measure types and individual claimed savings. This structure also supports ease for calculating cost-effectiveness.

As another example, new construction projects may not have pre-inspection forms or field notes. In contrast, retrofit projects may have many pre-project documentation types (e.g., pre-project calculator, pre-project plans, pre-inspection photos). Providing information regarding "greenfield" or complete demolition and rebuild projects as a differentiator from retrofits and small remodels upfront is a valuable population segmenting descriptor. When tracking systems use descriptors like these, they become a valuable screening tool; they can inform evaluators not to request certain documentation (that may not exist), which can misdirect time and resources. It also allows better budgeting and allocation of resources, improving overall efficacy. Another example is those sites or program participants that receive internal QA/QC versus those that do not. Some programs have modified their tracking systems to begin logging this data and provide a list as part of the EM&V data collection process; this list notifies the EM&V team that a site will not have specific project-level documentation because it was not site-inspected or verified, etc.

#### Recommendation #4: Complete M&V plans and reports needed for custom projects.

Utilities and their implementation contractors are encouraged to engage and collaborate with the EM&V team to discuss issues and options, obstacles, and possible solutions for custom calculations and M&V plans as new technologies or offerings become part of the Texas portfolios.

# 2.2 INCENTIVES AND CLAIMED SAVINGS

This section provides guidance on claiming savings when a financial incentive does not cover all project savings during the implementation of energy efficiency measures.<sup>1</sup>

# 2.2.1 Background

To meet various program objectives, it is common practice for utilities to set a ceiling or cap for the financial incentive any one energy efficiency service provider (EESP) or project can receive. These "individual incentive caps" are set as an overall percentage of the total incentive budget or as a dollar amount. The established caps vary by utility and are noted in their program manuals.

Individual incentive caps are different from a "set incentive." During the application phase, utilities calculate a project incentive based on pre-installation estimated savings; reserving incentive funds are at that time. Once the project is complete, there may be some variation in the initial agreed-upon savings estimates while setting the incentive and the actual post-installation savings. This variation is due to changes in efficiency levels, quantities, or equipment types that take place between the project planning phase and the project implementation phase.

# 2.2.2 Considerations

In the case of incentive caps, the EM&V team has some concerns regarding claiming all project savings when reaching an incentive cap. Since all project savings are not being incentivized at the project planning phase, claiming all project savings may result in increased free-ridership. A free rider is "a program participant who would have implemented the program measure or practice in the absence of the program." (16 TAC § 25.181 (c) (24)).<sup>2</sup>

In the case of set incentives, the EM&V team has some concerns that spillover could be claimed incorrectly during post-project inspections. Spillover is "reductions in energy consumption and demand caused by the presence of an energy efficiency program, beyond the program-related gross savings of the participants and without financial or technical assistance from the program." ((16 TAC § 25.181 (c) (53)). Spillover is a component of net savings, and claimed savings are based on gross savings. Therefore, spillover should not be included in claimed savings if found on-site during post-project inspections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This guidance does not apply to behavioral, code or other market transformation programs where the primary program strategy is technical assistance and/or education that results in behavioral or operational changes for energy and demand savings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In addition to the incentive caps or set incentives at the individual EESP or customer-level, utilities may also set caps on incentives a customer can receive at the measure level. For example, a utility may cap lighting incentives at 50 percent of the total project incentive. The EM&V team does not have the same concerns regarding free-ridership for measure-level caps and the recommendations in this memo do not apply to these situations.

# 2.2.3 Recommendations

Establish greater consistency in the treatment of projects where claimed savings exceed incentive amounts and most accurately represent the savings results from these projects. The EM&V team recommends utilities either only claim the savings from the incentivized measures or the utilities apply the most updated net-to-gross (NTG) research<sup>3</sup> to the total project savings for the claimed savings<sup>4</sup> as follows:

For projects where the *claimed savings are more than 10 percent higher than the "set incentive*," the NTG ratio inclusive of free-ridership and spillover should be applied to the total project savings. No NTG ratio should be applied for projects where the set incentive and claimed savings differ by 10 percent or less to allow for normal variation between project planning and implementation.

For projects where *claimed savings exceed the "incentive cap" savings up to 20 percent of incentivized savings*, the NTG ratio inclusive of free-ridership and spillover should be applied to the total project savings.

 $NTG \ ratio_{projects \ exceeding \ set \ incentive} = 1 - Free \ Ridership + Spillover$ 

#### Equation 1

For projects where total *claimed savings exceed the "incentive cap" by more than 20 percent of incentivized savings*, the NTG ratio only accounting for free-ridership should be applied to the total project savings. Applying the NTG ratio that is also inclusive of spillover to projects that exceed incentive amounts by a percentage of incentivized savings this large would likely result in double-counting spillover.

$$NTG \ ratio_{projects \ exceeding \ incentive \ cap} = 1 - Free \ Ridership$$

#### Equation 2

The PY2021 EM&V research updated NTG ratios for the commercial standard offer (CSOP) and market transformation programs (CMTP). The PY2021 NTG research accounts for free riders; spillover rates were derived from the PY2017 EM&V research. The CSOP NTG ratio is 100 percent for kWh and 99 percent for kW. The CMTP NTG ratio is 100 percent for kWh and kW.

| Program type/weighting | Free-ridership | Spillover | NTG  |
|------------------------|----------------|-----------|------|
| CSOP kWh               | 23%            | 24%       | 100% |
| CSOP kW                | 22%            | 21%       | 99%  |
| CMTP kWh               | 19%            | 22%       | 100% |
| CMTP kW                | 20%            | 32%       | 100% |

Table 3. PY2021 Commercial Statewide NTG Ratios by Program Type

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The use of a net to gross adjustment to account for free-riders is addressed in § 25.181 (e)(5)(B)(ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This recommendation does not apply to behavioral, code or other market transformation programs where the primary program strategy is technical assistance and education that results in behavioral or operational changes for energy and demand savings.

Projects might have multiple measures with different effective useful lives (EULs) that are taken into account when calculating lifetime savings; for these cases, the EM&V team provides the following additional guidance for adjusting claimed savings that exceed incentive levels:

- 1. Determine the total calculated savings by EUL.
- 2. Determine the percent of total project savings attributed to each EUL.
- 3. Adjust savings as recommended above.
- Distribute adjusted savings to various project EULs using the percentages calculated in Step 2.

The following is an example of a project with 50 kW and 50,000 kWh of calculated savings. An RTU HVAC project with a 15-year EUL attributes twenty percent of those savings, and a chiller project with a 25-year EUL attributes the remaining 80 percent. The adjusted savings are 40 kW and 40,000 kWh. Those adjusted savings would be attributed to each EUL as follows:

- 1. 40 kW x 20% = 8 kW and 40,000 kWh x 20% = 8,000 kWh attributed to the 15-year EUL
- 40 kW x 80% = 32 kW and 40,000 kWh x 80% = 32,000 kWh attributed to the 25-year EUL

# 2.3 NEW CONSTRUCTION

This section provides additional guidance to select the appropriate baseline for commercial new construction projects.

# 2.3.1 Overview

Utility programs include incentives for a variety of projects applicable to commercial new construction, such as lighting, HVAC, and roofs. To effectively implement new construction energy efficiency projects, utility programs need to reach decision-makers during the project design phase. However, it is common for several years to pass between the project design phase and project completion in commercial new construction. Since baselines change, this situation raises the question of what baseline utilities should use for commercial new construction projects to claim savings. For example, in PY2016, Texas' new construction baseline was IECC 2009 based on the state code in effect at that time. In PY2023, the baseline is now IECC 2015 based on the state code in effect.

# 2.3.2 Recommendation

For commercial new construction projects, utilities should use the building permit date to determine the applicable version of the Texas TRM and baseline to calculate savings.

# 3. LOW-INCOME

# 3.1 LOW-INCOME INCOME-ELIGIBLE VERIFICATION FORMS

This section provides implementation recommendations for the program year (PY) 2024 (PY2024) eligibility verification for low-income and hard-to-reach programs.

# 3.1.1 Background

Texas utilities provide energy efficiency services to low-income customers through a combination of hard-to-reach and low-income programs as specified in 16 Tex. Admin. Code (TAC) § 25.181, relating to the energy efficiency goal. All regulated Texas electric utilities are required to achieve no less than 5 percent of their total demand reduction goal through programs serving hard-to-reach customers (16 TAC § 25.181(e)(3)(F)). In addition, the ERCOT utilities are required to spend no less than 10% of each program year's energy efficiency budget on a targeted low-income efficiency program (16 TAC § 25.181(r)). The qualifying income level of 200% federal poverty level is the same for hard-to-reach and low-income programs though the programs are implemented differently.

. The forms differ by single-family and multi-family, but both include a way to qualify for the programs through other low-income programs and services (Category 1) as well as through self-reported income (Category 2). The PUCT has revised the income eligibility annually based on updated federal poverty level information, but the forms have not had major changes for over a decade. Due to the importance of these forms in determining program eligibility, PUCT Staff and the EM&V team agreed to incorporate the forms into Volume 5 of the Texas Technical Reference Manual (TRM) starting with program year (PY) 2022. Forms will be updated as part of the annual TRM update process. As part of integrating the eligibility certification forms into the TRM, PUCT Staff, and the EM&V team worked with the utilities to review the forms and certification processes in-depth. Appendix A contains the Single-Family and Multifamily Income Eligibility for Full-Incentive Energy Efficiency Services forms.<sup>5</sup>

The objectives of the in-depth process review were to "Revise low-income/hard-to-reach eligibility verification to increase the confidence program services are going to intended customers, improve program outreach and address participation barriers, and develop efficient administration processes," as presented at the March 2021 Energy Efficiency Implementation Project (EEIP) meeting. The PY2023 TRM forms expanded Category 1 options to support streamlined participation through an expanded list of qualifying programs and services (1A), direct social service or community action agency qualification (1B), and geographic qualification (1C). If a customer does not qualify through any of the three options, income information may be used to determine eligibility (Category 2). Both Category 1A and Category 2 require customers to submit supporting documentation. Because Category 2 requires income information, all parties recognize this information can be more sensitive for customers to provide and for service providers to store securely although all personal identifying information (PII) should be redacted, except name and address of customer. Given concerns about income information as a participation barrier, Category 1 is the preferred method to verify customer eligibility whenever possible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The most current Single-Family and Multifamily Eligibility for Full-Incentive Energy Efficiency Services forms are available on the PUCT website, <u>2023 Single-Family Combo With Fields E-Version.pdf</u> (texas.gov) and <u>2023 Single-Family Combo With Fields E-Version.pdf</u> (texas.gov).

# 3.1.2 Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC)

Utilities should audit a minimum of 10 percent of all program year projects submitted through each category (1A, 1B, 1C and 2) to ensure the processes are working correctly and the required documentation was submitted and verified to be correct. In the cases where utilities find an error in the process or documentation during their QA/QC processes, utilities should identify a solution to remedy the error. The EM&V team encourages utilities to integrate the program eligibility audit into their existing QA/QC practices to the extent possible to facilitate the most streamlined and effective implementation of this recommendation.

While utilities are not required to store customer documentation on their systems audited as part of the QA/QC process, they should provide contact information of the auditor who has verified the documentation through a visual inspection.

While audit processes can differ to best integrate with utilities' current QA/QC processes, the following are recommended practices by category:

- Category 1A: Verify form is completed and supporting program documentation was provided
- Category 1B: Verify form is completed and signed by social service or community action agency
- Category 1C: Verify address of serviced home is within one of the two qualifying geographic designations; forms are not required for geographical qualification under 1C as long as the relevant information is in the tracking data (service address, geographic qualifier)
- Category 2: Verify form is completed and supporting income information was submitted to service provider/landlord/property manager

Utilities can either conduct the audits themselves or hire a third-party to do so on their behalf. The EM&V team will request a summary of audit results at the end of each program year. The audit result summary should identify solutions to address any errors found during the audit.

#### A. Program Tracking and Documentation

Utilities should add a field(s) to their program tracking data to clearly track how a low-income and hard-to-reach participant was qualified for the program (Category 1A, 1B, 1C and 2). This will allow both the utility and the EM&V team to sample projects from each category for auditing purposes.

For Category 1A, 1B and 2, all completed forms and supporting documentation, if applicable, should be stored for all projects. Forms are not required for geographical qualification under 1C as long as the relevant information is in the tracking data (service address, geographic qualifier). Forms and supporting documentation should be maintained for a minimum of 24 months.

#### B. Claiming Master-Metered Savings

Because master-metered complexes are a commercial rate class, costs and savings should be claimed in the commercial sector. However, if the master-metered complex qualifies for hard-to-reach or low-income program services, these costs and savings may be counted toward the utilities' goals (5 percent of total demand reduction goal for hard-to-reach customers (16 TAC § 25.181( e)(3)(F)), and no less than 10% of each program year's energy efficiency budget on a targeted low-income efficiency program (16 TAC § 25.181( r)).). To avoid double-counting, master-metered projects counted toward the goal should be a separate line item.

# 3.1.3 New Program Strategies

Some utilities are working on partnerships to distribute energy efficiency measures to lowincome and hard-to-reach customers such as distributing LEDs at food banks. In these cases, utilities should meet with the EM&V team to agree on an approach for verifying customer eligibility and claiming savings, which will then be presented to Commission Staff. The goal of these discussions is to support the new strategies in keeping with the overall objective of the indepth process review stated above.

# 3.2 AUDIT LISTS FOR LOW-INCOME PROGRAMS

This section summarizes implementation guidance for program year (PY) 2024 for low-income programs. Specifically, it overviews and recommends use of the recently approved Department of Energy (DOE) audit lists as applicable. This recommendation directly addresses prior process evaluation findings that should allow more streamlined and cost-effective low-income program implementation.

#### Background

Households with incomes at or below 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Level are eligible to receive low-income weatherization assistance through the DOE Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP), administered through the Texas Department of Housing and Community Affairs (TDHCA). Local Community Action Agencies (also referred to as subrecipients by TDHCA) provide the weatherization services to qualifying households, including the initial audit.

In an effort to further help low-income electric customers improve the efficiency of their residences, the Texas legislature put forth that ERCOT utilities include a targeted energy efficiency program in their energy efficiency plans (PURA § 39.905(f)). Specifically, the ERCOT utilities are required to set aside a minimum of 10 percent of their energy efficiency budget for low-income programs.

Also outlined in PURA § 39.905(f)), the low-income programs are to coordinate with the federal weatherization program WAP, including complying with the same audit requirements. Therefore, all single-family homes served through the low-income programs to-date have been evaluated using the National Energy Audit Tool (NEAT). NEAT is designed to determine the most cost-effective retrofit measures for single-family and small multifamily buildings. NEAT uses each home's historic energy use data to prioritize measures for installation. Program and project cost-effectiveness is measured using the Savings-to-investment Ratio (SIR) consistent with DOE requirements.

The EM&V team conducted an in-depth process evaluation of the low-income programs in 2015,<sup>6</sup> which found a primary concern raised by utilities and community action agencies alike was the NEAT tool. As noted above, legislative statute requires that the program comply with the same audit requirements as the federal weatherization program. Process evaluation interviews found that, "the NEAT audit, as a modeling tool, is not transparent; therefore, agencies and implementers have difficulty understanding why certain measures do and do not qualify in various homes. Additionally, they reported it is a cumbersome tool to use and is administratively burdensome. Due to the NEAT audit requirement, an implementer (as well as several agencies) reported that training goes into working with agencies who do not work with the DOE program. Last, there was concern that equipment that should be replaced are not prioritized by the tool (e.g., central air conditioning). Several agencies speculated that this was because the tool is set up for colder climate regions and does not recognize the unique issues associated with warmer states such as Texas," (Tetra Tech, p.4-14). While Commission Staff and the EM&V team discussed the possibility of removing the NEAT audit requirement in response to this process evaluation finding, it was determined doing so could be out of keeping

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Annual Statewide Portfolio Report for Program Year 2014—Volume I, Section 4, Tetra Tech, October 16, 2015. <u>PY2014v1.pdf (texasefficiency.com)</u>

with PURA's requirement to coordinate with WAP. However, recent development of audit priority lists by DOE and adoption by THDCA now allow alternative starting with PY2023.

#### DOE and THDCA Priority Lists

Recognizing the need for a more streamlined audit approach for WAP than the NEAT audit, DOE developed weatherization audit priority lists in 2022. DOE approved these lists for implementation starting July 1, 2022. Please refer to the below DOE link for more information:

Weatherization Program Notice 22-8: Streamlining the Energy Audit Process–Optional Regional Weatherization Priority Lists | Department of Energy

In response, DHCA also approved priority audit lists for use in WAP in 2022. While the lists are not exhaustive in the types of homes for which they can be used, most major housing types are covered. Please refer to the below DHCA link for more information:

#### Community Services Program Guidance (state.tx.us)

#### Recommendations

The EM&V team fully supports utilities and low-income program service providers assessing and using the DOE audit priority lists available through DHCA in place of the NEAT audit. The use of the audit priority lists is to be determined as applicable to housing types and at the discretion of each utility as it makes sense for their implementation process.

# 4. CROSS-SECTOR

# 4.1 LOAD MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

This section summarizes additional guidance from the EM&V team on two load management topics: (1) data rounding practices for commercial and residential load management programs for PY2021 and after, and (2) implementation for the ERCOT utilities' 2023 winter load management (WLM) pilots.

# 4.1.1 Rounding

The EM&V team previously provided guidance on rounding practices to avoid minor discrepancies in savings calculations. While rounding differences create only minor discrepancies in calculations, the differences have the potential to sum to a level that creates confusion or doubt. Using a standard practice or documenting differences will reduce the burden on the utilities and EM&V team (as discrepancies are investigated after initial calculations are developed) and will improve the consistency and transparency of savings calculations going forward. As outlined in Table 4, rounding can occur at three different levels: customer, event, and program levels.

| Customer level            | Event level | Program level |  |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|--|
| Customer 1 Curtailment kW | Event 1     | Program       |  |
| Customer 2 Curtailment kW | kW savings  | kW savings    |  |
| Customer 3 Curtailment kW |             |               |  |
| Customer 4 Curtailment kW |             |               |  |
|                           |             |               |  |
| Customer 1 Curtailment kW | Event 2     |               |  |
| Customer 2 Curtailment kW | kW savings  |               |  |
| Customer 3 Curtailment kW |             |               |  |
| Customer 4 Curtailment kW |             |               |  |
|                           | ]           |               |  |

#### Table 4. Load Management Savings Calculation Levels

#### **Commercial Load Management**

Data rounding to the nearest whole number should only occur at the customer and program levels for commercial load management programs. Without this standard practice, utilities should document when rounding is occurring in their calculations (e.g., no rounding or rounding at the event level) and inform the EM&V team.
### **Residential Load Management**

Data rounding to the nearest whole number should only occur at the event and program levels for residential load management programs (NOT at the customer level). Residential programs have a very large number of participants, with the potential for rounding at the participant (customer) level driving substantial differences in savings at the event or program levels. By consistently rounding at the event level (summing individual participant savings), potential discrepancies between the EM&V team and utility calculations can be reduced. Utilities that prefer not to round the savings should document that in their calculations and inform the EM&V team.

### 4.1.2 Winter Load Management Implementation

This section presents implementation guidance for the ERCOT utilities' 2023 winter load management (WLM) pilots.

### Background

Texas electric IOUs have two channels to offer load management programs for nonresidential customers during winter months. One method is found in § 38.075(e) of the Public Utility Regulatory Act ("PURA"); i.e., Senate Bill 3. Specifically, PURA § 39.905(a)(2) states that it is the "goal of the legislature that all customers, in all customer classes, will have a choice of and access to energy efficiency alternatives and other choices from the market that allow each customer to reduce energy consumption, summer and winter peak demand, or energy costs."

The second is through their energy efficiency portfolios, governed by 16 Tex. Admin. Code § 25.181(§ 25 .181). 16 TAC § 25.181 ( c )( 36 ) defines load management as "[I]oad control activities that result in a reduction in peak demand, or a shifting of energy usage from a peak to an off-peak period or from high-price periods to lower price periods."

All four ERCOT utilities piloted winter load management (WLM) programs in 2022. CenterPoint Energy (CNP), American Electric Power (AEP) Texas and Texas New Mexico Power (TNMP) piloted programs as a regulatory asset under Senate Bill 3. Oncor Electric Delivery (Oncor) piloted a program as part of their energy efficiency program and filed the program template for comment in the Energy Efficiency Implementation Project No. 38578 in October 2021. Oncor then included a 2023 WLM pilot in their 2022 energy efficiency plan and report (EEPR) filed April 1, 2022. In November 2022, CNP, AEP Texas and TNMP also filed notification in Project No. 38578 that they would offer WLM pilots as part of their energy efficiency portfolios beginning with the 2023 winter peak period. In response to the filings and comments, PUCT Staff facilitated a coordination call with ERCOT, the IOUs and the EM&V team on December 12, 2022.

The EM&V team verifies all claimed energy savings and demand reductions for programs in the energy efficiency portfolio. The Texas Technical Reference Manual (TRM), updated annually by the EM&V team, includes the methodology for calculating energy savings and demand reductions for load management programs.

### Pilot guidance for calculated savings

The EM&V team applauds the utilities implementing 24/7 programs, which we believe increases the value of the load management programs during emergency levels when ERCOT would call curtailment events. Our reading of § 25.181 limits claimed savings to peak periods defined in the Rule, which are "the hours from one p.m. to seven p.m. during the months of June, July, August, and September, and the hours of six a.m. to ten a.m. and six p.m. to ten p.m. during the months of December, January, and February, excluding weekends and Federal holidays." Therefore, even if an unscheduled emergency event is called by ERCOT outside of peak periods, those demand reductions could not be claimed by IOUs under § 25.181. We fully recognize this would likely undercount pilot savings as emergency level reductions are likely to be larger than scheduled events called in peak periods. To recognize the value to the grid, the EM&V proposes that the IOUs can use all events, even those outside of the § 25.181 defined peak hours, to calculate savings for the purpose of calculating the pilots' cost-effectiveness. If a utility chooses to do this, the difference in claimed savings and savings in the cost-effectiveness testing should be clearly documented in EEPRs. This will facilitate utilities paying incentives to customers for events outside of peak hours while remaining in compliance with § 25.181.

Secondly, the EM&V team recognizes that business responses to winter weather events that would necessitate an ERCOT winter event may result in scenarios not previously encountered in summer load management programs. One possible scenario provided by a utility on the December 12 coordination call was if a participant decides not to open in response to an unscheduled event, but the baseline period also includes days the business was not open. Recognizing the need for flexibility to support full participation in unscheduled events, the EM&V team will work with utilities on a case-by-case basis to determine the best methodology to most accurately reflect demand reductions within the peak period.

### Stakeholder Working Groups

The EM&V team facilitated four topic-specific working groups in PY2023. One of the priority topics focused on demand response/load management programs. One of the objectives was to discuss changes to § 25.181 that would better support 24/7 programs. Results of the working group discussions can be found in Volume 1 of the PY2022 EMV Statewide Energy Efficiency Report.

### 4.2 COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL HVAC SPLIT-SYSTEMS WITHOUT AHRI CERTIFICATION

This section provides guidance in determining efficiency levels of eligible HVAC split systems that do not have AHRI certification. The methodology outlined in this memo can be used starting in PY2023.

Constructing AC and heat pump systems can be done using outdoor units and indoor units from different manufacturers; not all these combinations are certified by AHRI. Savings should be calculated and reported consistently across utilities and in agreement with industry-standard practice and the Energy Efficiency Rule 16 TAC § 25.181.

Projects in PY2020 were affected by changes in supply chains due to COVID-19, leading to project equipment and timeline adjustments; supply chain issues are expected to continue into PY2023. In addition to the AHRI certification, the process outlined in this guidance memo may guide HVAC project efficiency calculations impacted by supply chain issues. Coordination with the evaluation team for alternate applications of the process is recommended.

### 4.2.1 Background

Texas TRM 10.0 allows air conditioning and heat pump split systems to be either AHRI-certified or listed on the DOE Compliance Certification Management System (CCMS). Split systems consist of an outdoor unit and an indoor unit, which can be made by the same manufacturer or separate manufacturers. The system's efficiency and size are driven primarily by the outdoor unit, although various indoor units can slightly affect the system efficiency.

Texas TRM 10.0 clarifies the allowable efficiency levels for outdoor and indoor unit pairs listed in the DOE CCMS and not AHRI-certified. The TRM states that the claimed efficiency for these non-certified pairs should not exceed the AHRI-certified pairs' average. The guidance below provides an example to identify the not-to-exceed value.

### 4.2.2 Guidance

The following guidance should be applied if paired outdoor and indoor HVAC units are not in the AHRI certification list and only have DOE CCMS testing results. In that case, the high-efficient condition's capacity and efficiency shall not exceed the average of the AHRI-certified pair listing for the matching outdoor (condenser) unit. The DOE CCMS listing provides documentation of the results that are on the AHRI certification listing and can be downloaded and filtered based on listings that use a similar condenser and various indoor units.

The following is an example scenario designed to direct the user on interpreting the guidance in this memo.

Example: A split system is listed in DOE CCMS and is not AHRI certified.

<u>Analysis scenario</u>: A high-efficiency split-system heat pump is installed with a Goodman GSZ16 outdoor unit (condenser) and a third-party indoor unit (air handler). The specific pair is not listed in the AHRI database.

Step 1: Access the DOE CCMS<sup>7</sup> and select the appropriate measure category for the product pair. In this example, it is the *Air Conditioners and Heat Pump – Central* measure category.<sup>8</sup> Search for the critical component to the system's efficiency (the outdoor unit (condenser)), with model number GSZ160241B\*. The \* is added near the end of the model number to allow for different condenser unit variations.

Step 2: Identify the specific air handler match and record the specifications from the DOE CCMS. In this example, the Airmark GES244 indoor unit pairs with the Goodman GSZ160241B outdoor unit with the following specifications:

| Cooling capacity (Btu/h)       | 24,000   |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Heating capacity (Btu/h)       | 24,000   |
| SEER                           | 16       |
| EER                            | 13       |
| HSPF                           | 9        |
| Link to FTC Energy Guide label | (blank)* |

| em |
|----|
| ç  |

\*(blank) indicates the pair is not listed in the AHRI database.

The Link to FTC Energy Guide label column will identify other certifications obtained by this equipment pair. In the example, the column is blank, indicating it is not listed in the AHRI database.

Step 3: Filter the DOE CCMS database to match the specification of the installed pair. Filter the *product code description*, *cooling capacity*, and *Link to FTC Energy Guide Label* to find a representative sample of similar AHRI-listed units. Table 6 details the filter selected for the example. Figure 1 shows the filter on the CCMS database interface.

| Product code description       | Single-split-system-heat-pump |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cooling capacity               | 22,500 to 26,500              |
| Link to FTC Energy Guide Label | www.ahridirectory.org         |

| table et Enaliste e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | Table 6. | Example | DOE CCMS | Filter to | Similar | Equipmen |
|---|----------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|
|---|----------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|----------|

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> DOE Compliance Certification Database. <u>https://www.regulations.doe.gov/certification-data/#g=Product Group s%3A\*</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Note that the measure categories are based on technology and not use. The example is for a split system, but the category in the database is central system because the condenser technology meets that definition.



#### Figure 1. Example Filter of DOE CCMS Database

Implementation Guidance: Cross-Sector Commercial and Residential HVAC Split-Systems Without AHRI Certification Texas Technical Reference Manual, Vol. 5 November 2023 Step 4: Download the filtered database using the *download* button on the right side of the screen. A .csv spreadsheet will download. Project documentation should include a copy of the downloaded .csv file with the download date in the file name. Since the DOE CCMS is constantly updated, this file is the record of the DOE CCMS entries on the date of application review.

Figure 2 below shows the downloaded spreadsheet with three rows added above. Rows 2 and 3 identify the filters and the performance metric columns. Column C is the filter for the outdoor unit in Step 1. Columns G and Q (not shown) are the filters applied in Step 3.

Columns I, K, and M contain the performance metrics for the filtered products and represent the AHRI-certified performance metrics for similar split-system pairs with the matching outdoor unit (condenser).

|    | Autolene 🔍 👥 |             |            |                             |                |                 |                              |                        |          |            |                             |            |                 |            | i e at               |
|----|--------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|
| 1  | de Home      | insert      | Draw       | Page Layout                 | Formulas       | Cata Revie      | w View                       | Help                   | Namoe P  | OF Acro    | itiat Power P               | vet .      | P Search        |            |                      |
| 1  | A Cat        | . 6         | Calibri    | -11                         | - A' A' =      | == *-           | 12 Wrop Te                   | e: []                  | General  |            |                             |            | Normal          | lad        | Good                 |
| 1  | J format     | Painter     | 67.3       | 0                           | - <u>A</u> - E |                 | III Merge &                  | Center                 | \$ - %   |            | Conditional<br>Termatting - | Format as  | Calculation     | lieck Cell | Diplinitory          |
|    | Claboard     | 16          |            | Fort                        | - 10-          | Aligne          | iint.                        | -6                     | No       | nhei       | 6                           |            |                 | 3946       |                      |
| A  | 2            | L N.        | 2.5        |                             |                |                 |                              |                        |          |            |                             |            |                 |            |                      |
| 4  | A.           |             |            | c                           | D              | E               | 1 F -                        | G                      |          | 147        | 1                           | j.         | ×.              | L.         | M                    |
| 1  |              |             | -          |                             |                |                 |                              |                        |          | Average =  | 15.20918                    | Average    | 8.61169         | Average =  | 12.58307             |
| 2  |              |             | Filt       | er to Outdoor<br>Unit Model |                |                 |                              | Filter to app<br>Bands | POX Size | Pe         | eformance Metr              | ie i       | Performance Met | ic p       | erformance Metric    |
| 3  |              |             | Com        | densor Model                |                |                 |                              | Cooling Capa           | city     |            | SEER                        |            | HSPF            | -          | EER                  |
| 4  | Brand_Name_  | Product_G   | roup Basi  | c_Model_Num                 | Outdoor_Unit_  | cindoor_Unit_in | cAir_Move                    | Cooling_Cap            | acity_B  | Heating_Cr | Seasonal_Energ              | Note_s     | Heating_Seaso   | Average_Of | Energy_Efficients_th |
| 5  | DAIKIN       | Single-spli | t-syt GSZ  | 1602418*                    | 02165A02418*   | CSCF3036N6D*    | + D*80VC08                   |                        | 23000    | 23200      | 15                          | Valid only | 8.5             | 33         | 12.5 Blov            |
| 6  | DAIKIN       | Single-spli | t-syt GSZ  | 1602418*                    | DZ165A02418*   | CA*F3636*6D*    | D*BOVCOB                     |                        | 23000    | 23200      | 15                          | Valid only | ei 8.5          | 33         | 12.5 Blov            |
| 7  | DAIKIN       | Single-spli | t-sy1052   | 1602418*                    | DZ165A02418*   | CSCF3036N6D*    | + D*BOVCOB                   |                        | 23000    | 23000      | 14.5                        | Valid only | NI 8.2          | 33         | 12.2 Blov            |
| 8  | DAIKIN       | Single-spli | t-sy: 052  | 1602418*                    | DZ165A02418*   | CH#F363686C*    | + D*80VC08                   |                        | 23000    | 23400      | 15                          | Valid only | NI 8.5          | 33         | 12.5 Blov            |
| 9  | DAIKIN       | Single-spli | t-sy: 05Z  | 1602418*                    | DZ165A02418*   | CHPF363686C*    | <ul> <li>D*80VC06</li> </ul> |                        | 23000    | 23400      | 15                          | Valid only | ni 8.5          | 33         | 12.5 Blov            |
| 10 | DAIKIN       | Single-spli | t-sy1052   | 1602418*                    | DZ165A02418*   | CA*F3836*6D*    | "D*80VC06                    |                        | 23000    | 23200      | 15                          | Valid only | NI 8.5          | 33         | 12.5 Blov            |
| 11 | DAIKIN       | Single-spli | t-syn GSZ  | 1602418*                    | D2165A02418*   | CA*F3137*6A*    | D*80VC06                     |                        | 23400    | 23600      | 16                          | Valid only | ni 9            | 31         | 13 Blov              |
| 12 | GOODMAN      | Single-spli | it-syi GSZ | 1602418*                    | GSZ1602418*    | CA*F3137*6A*    | A*VM970                      |                        | 23400    | 23600      | 16                          | Valid only | wi 9            | 31         | 11 Blov              |
| 11 | FRANKLIN     | Single-spli | t-syr GSZ  | 1602418*                    | GSZ1602418*    | CA*F3636*6D*    | "A*VM970                     |                        | 23000    | 23200      | 15                          | Valid only | wi 11.5         | . 11       | 12.5 Elov            |
| 14 | ENERGI AIR   | Single-spli | t-sy1052   | 1002418*                    | G5Z1602418*    | CA*F3636*6D*    | *A*VM970                     |                        | 23000    | 23200      | 15                          | Valid only | ni 8.5          | 11         | 12.5 Elov            |

Figure 2. Sample Downloaded Spreadsheet with Calculation

Column I, K, and M are the DOE CCMS logged values of SEER, HSPF, and EER, respectively. Row 1 uses the =*Average()* function in Microsoft Excel to identify the average performance metrics from the data in the database. Record these values rounded to one decimal point.

| Table 7. Average       | Performance | Metrics of | Similar | Certified Unit | s |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------|----------------|---|
| i ante i i i i i e age |             |            |         |                | - |

| SEER (AHRI average) | 15.2 |
|---------------------|------|
| EER (AHRI average)  | 12.6 |
| HSPF (AHRI average) | 8.6  |

Step 5: Identify the performance metrics used for TRM energy efficiency calculations. The installed unit pair's performance metrics for the calculation shall not exceed the similarsized unit pair's performance metrics in the AHRI database.

| Performance metric | DOE CCMS<br>(actual) | AHRI certification<br>average | TRM calculation value <sup>9</sup> |
|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| SEER               | 16                   | 15.2                          | 15                                 |
| EER                | 13                   | 12.6                          | 12.5                               |
| HSPF               | 9                    | 8.6                           | 8.6                                |

Table 8. TRM Calculation Performance Metrics Determination

# Step 6: Complete the TRM energy savings calculation using the TRM calculation values determined in Table 8.

Include (1) the additional documentation of the original downloaded .csv file and (2) the average efficiency calculation spreadsheet file with the project documentation required in TRM Volume 2 and Volume 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> TRM calculation was determined using the rounding for EER and HSPF values to matched deemed tables. If the calculator can handle more detail, using the values rounded to the nearest tenth is acceptable.

## 4.3 DATA MODEL

With the goal of easing the interpretation of the TRM by database and tracking system developers, the EM&V team worked with EUMMOT and Texas eTRM providers (i.e., Frontier Energy, ANB Systems) to develop a standard data model that outlines common data collected for each prescriptive measure. The data model is for all residential measures in Volume 2 and a variety of commercial measures in Volume 3, which are not already utilizing savings calculators.

For example, the current data model for an ENERGY STAR® clothes dryer includes weather zone, unit type (front-loading, top-loading, compact), capacity (standard, compact), quantity installed, and date of purchase.

A benefit of a standard data model is to improve program and project analytics across service providers and implementers. A standard data model will also standardize project collection forms (e.g., on-site inspection forms) and reduce the time cleaning large data sets.

For more information, please contact an EUMMOT representative.

### APPENDIX A: LOW-INCOME INCOME-ELIGIBLE VERIFICATION FORMS

#### Single-Family (four or less units or owner-occupied) Income Eligibility for Full-Incentive Energy Efficiency Services

This statement is made to verify my household income eligibility. The Public Utility Commission of Texas has authorized energy efficiency programs to reduce the utility bills of income-eligible households. Contractors participating in the programs receive higher incentive payments when you are income-eligible. The purpose of the higher payment is to enable the contractor to provide the improvements at a very low cost or no cost to you. Participating in this program will not affect your eligibility for other program benefits listed below.

The information provided below will be used solely for the purpose of determining household eligibility and will be kept confidential by the investor-owned utility contractor or other representative and by the Public Utility Commission of Texas and their contractor. It will not be sold or provided to any other party.

| Steel Address               | Apartmen                   | t Number |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| Cay                         | State<br>TX                | Zip Code |
| Phone Number with Area Code | Number of Plemons in House | rhold    |

Category 1A: Eligible through other programs or services

At least one member of my household received benefits from one or more of the programs listed below (I check all that applies, digital or paper copy of proof of participation such as award letter required with this form):

| Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) General Assistance  | Section 8 Housing Voucher  |
|--|--|
| Federal Public Housing Assistance (FPHA)   | Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) (Food Stamps)                       |
| Food Distribution Program on Indian Reservations (FDPIR)   | Supplemental Security Income (SSI)   |
| Health Benefit Coverage under Child Health Plan (CHIP)   | Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)                                       |
| Low-Income Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP)     or Comprehensive Energy Assistance Program (CEAP)                          | Texas Lifeline Discount  |
| Medicaid (includes CHIP)   | Tribal Head Start<br>(only households that meet the income-qualifying standard)      |
| Medicare, Qualified Beneficiary     QMB (Qualified Medicare Beneficiary)     SI MB Specific Levelscome Medicare Beneficiary) | <ul> <li>Tribal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families<br/>(Tribal TANF)</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>QI (Qualified Individual Program)</li> <li>QDVVI (Qualified Disabled &amp; Working Individual Program)</li> </ul>   | Veterans Pension Benefit or Survivors Pension Benefit                                |
| National School Lunch Program—Free Lunch Program   | Veterans Pension or Survivors Benefit Programs                                       |
| Your signature is required on the last page of this form   | C  |
| Category 1B: Eligible through community action (<br>(COMPLETED BY UTILITY, COMMUNITY)  | or social service agency<br>/ ACTION, OR SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCY)                      |

I certify the named household participates in one of the programs in Category 1A or other low-income program service (such as Weatherization Assistance), which our agency qualifies participation.

|  | Agency Name   | Contact Name                                    | Costact Phone Number with Area Code ( ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Category 1C: Eligible through geographic location<br>(COMPLETED BY UTILITY OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVE OR PROVIDER) |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (In the utility's tracking data (service address, geographic qualifier)   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Housing and U   | Jrban Development (HUD) Low-Income Housing-Qual | fied Census Tract or Block—GEO ID:      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rev. 1/2023   |   | Page 1 of 2                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Single-Family (four or less units or owner-occupied) Income Eligibility for Full-Incentive Energy Efficiency Services

#### Category 2: Eligible through income verification (DO NOT COMPLETE IF 1A, 1B, OR 1C COMPLETED ABOVE)

To accurately determine your <u>household income</u>, you must include the income of all persons residing in your home from all sources. To determine the amount of income in each category, enter the amount(s) on the check or benefit statement. Supporting documentation must be provided (all personal identifying information may be redacted except name and address).

#### STEP 1: Fill out the Income Calculation table below.

Amounts listed are shown (Ccheck one): Annually Monthly Weekly

Income Calculation Table

| Source of income  | Amount (\$) |
|---|-------------|
| Wages from full- or part-time employment as shown on a paystub or W-2 form                            |             |
| Unemployment or worker's compensation   |             |
| Social security   |             |
| Retirement income   |             |
| Child support or alimony  |             |
| All other earnings  |             |
| Total household income<br>(add the amount entered on each line to figure your total household income) |             |

#### STEP 2: Compare your total household income per week, month, or year to the amount shown in the table below for the number of persons in your household.

If your total household income is equal to or less than the amount shown in the table, you are income eligible.

#### 200 Percent of Health and Human Services (HHS) Poverty Guidelines

| Size of family unit          | Annual income | Monthly income | Weekly income |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1                            | \$ 29,160     | \$ 2,430       | \$ 561        |
| 2                            | \$ 39,440     | \$ 3,287       | \$ 759        |
| 3                            | \$ 49,720     | \$ 4,143       | \$ 956        |
| 4                            | \$ 60,000     | \$ 5,000       | \$ 1,154      |
| 5                            | \$ 70,280     | \$ 5,857       | \$ 1,352      |
| 6                            | \$ 80,560     | \$ 6,713       | \$ 1,550      |
| 7                            | \$ 90,840     | \$ 7,570       | \$ 1,747      |
| 8                            | \$ 101,120    | \$ 8,427       | \$ 1,945      |
| Each additional person, add: | \$ 10,280     | \$857          | \$ 198        |

\* Notice: Income ceilings are for February 1, 2023-January 31, 2024.

Annual updates are posted on http://www.puc.texas.gov/industry/electric/forms/

(Electronic) By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing my Single-Family Income Eligibility for Full-Incentive Energy Efficiency Services Form.

(Non-Electronic) If filling out the delineation by hand, please provide your original signature and date

I understand that the information is subject to audit and investigation by the investor-owned utility or representative providing the program services.

A-2

| Applicant Signature  | Date |
|----------------------|------|
| Contractor Signature | Date |

Keep a copy of this form for your records.

Rev. 1/2023

Page 2 of 2

Multifamily Apartment Complex (five or more units) Income Eligibility for Full-Incentive Energy Efficiency Services

This form is to verify that at least 75 percent of the units are rented by income-eligible customers. The Public Utility Commission of Texas has authorized energy efficiency programs to reduce the utility bills of income-eligible tenant households. Contractors participating in the programs receive higher incentive payments when at least 75 percent of the tenants qualify as income-eligible. One form must be filled out for each qualifying multifamily apartment complex.

The information provided below will be used solely for the purpose of determining household eligibility and will be kept confidential by the investor-owned utility contractor or other representative and by the Public Utility Commission of Texas and their contractor. It will not be sold or provided to any other party.

| lame of Mubitamily Apartment Complex                 |                            | Number of I  | Inits in Complex       |
|--|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------|
| lame of Management Company                           |                            | Name of Cr   | -Site Property Manager |
| omplex Street Address                                |                            | Sute Numb    | é!                     |
| nj   |                            | State<br>TX  | Zip Code               |
| Toperty Owner or Agent's Phone Number with Area Code | Fax fourther w             | th Area Code |                        |
| Aanagement Company's Phone Number with Area Code     | Fair Number with Area Code |              |                        |

The multifamily apartment complex qualifies in one or more of the programs listed below (Increase that apply, digital or paper copy of proof of participation such as the land use restriction agreement required with this form):

| Affordable Housing Disposition Program                   | Project-Based Section 8                                  |
|--|--|
| HOME Rental Housing Development                          | Rural Rental Section 515 (FMHA)                          |
| Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Program                    | Section 811 Project Rental Assistance Program            |
| Multifamily Bond Program                                 | Texas Housing Trust Fund                                 |
| Public Housing Authority     (Texas Housing Association) | Other income-qualifying housing program<br>Program name: |

Your signature is required on the last page of this form.

#### Category 1B: Eligible through community action or social service agency (COMPLETED BY UTILITY, COMMUNITY ACTION, OR SOCIAL SERVICE AGENCY)

I certify the named multifamily complex or 75 percent or more of tenants participate in one of the programs in Category 1A or other low-income program service (such as LIHEAP/CEAP and Weatherization Assistance), which our agency qualifies participation.

| Agency Name  | Contact N   | larrse                                      | Contact Phone Numb<br>( ) | er with Area Code |
|--------------|---|---|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Category 1C: | Eligible through geographic<br>(COMPLETED BY UTILITY OR T | location<br>HEIR REPRESENTATIVE OR PROVIDER | R)                        |                   |

(In the utility's tracking data (service address, geographic qualifier).

A-3

Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Low-Income Housing-Qualified Census Tract or Block-GEO ID:

Rev. 1/2023

Page 1 of 2

Multifamily Apartment Complex (five or more units) Income Eligibility for Full-Incentive Energy Efficiency Services

Category 2: Eligible through income verification (DO NOT COMPLETE IF 1A, 1B, OR 1C COMPLETED ABOVE)

For an apartment complex to be eligible, at least 75 percent of the tenant household incomes before taxes are at or below 200 percent of the federal poverty guidelines.

#### STEP 1: Fill out the Apartment Complex Income Calculation Worksheet.

(Excel or hard copy must be included with this form)

To accurately determine tenant <u>household income</u>, you may use the tenant rental application showing the number of individuals residing in the unit and the household income dated from within the past 18 months. If the rental application does not show the required information or the information is over 18 months old, then the tenant(s) must complete the Single-Family Income Eligibility for Full-Incentive Energy Efficiency Services form. Supporting documentation for each unit must be available for utility audit.

### STEP 2: Compare the tenant's total household income per week, month, or year to the amount shown in the table below for the number of persons residing in the unit.

If the total household income is equal to or less than the amount shown in the table, the unit is income-eligible for the full incentive. If the unit is not income-eligible, the unit is eligible for the residential incentive level.

| Size of family unit          | Annual income | Monthly income | Weekly income |
|------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1                            | \$ 29,160     | \$ 2,430       | \$ 561        |
| 2                            | \$ 39,440     | \$ 3,287       | \$ 759        |
| 3                            | \$ 49,720     | \$ 4,143       | \$ 956        |
| 4                            | \$ 60,000     | \$ 5,000       | \$ 1,154      |
| 5                            | \$ 70,280     | \$ 5,857       | \$ 1,352      |
| 6                            | \$ 80,560     | \$ 6,713       | \$ 1,550      |
| 7                            | \$ 90,840     | \$ 7,570       | \$ 1.747      |
| 8                            | \$ 101,120    | \$ 8,427       | \$ 1,945      |
| Each additional person, add: | \$ 10,280     | \$857          | \$ 198        |

200 Percent of Health and Human Services (HHS) Poverty Guidelines

\* Notice: Income ceilings are for February 1, 2023—January 31, 2024.

Annual updates are posted on http://www.puc.texas.gov/industry/electric/forms/

#### STEP 3: Fill out the Apartment Complex Income Calculation Summary below.

Apartment Complex Income Calculation Summary

| Apartment complex income calculation summary  | Number of units |
|---|-----------------|
| Number of income-eligible units   |                 |
| Number of non-income-eligible units, including vacant units   |                 |
| Total number of units   |                 |
| Percentage of income-eligible units<br>(income-eligible units divided by the total number of units) |                 |

STEP 4: If "percentage of income-eligible units" is 75 percent or higher, please certify the eligibility of the apartment complex with your signature below.

(Electronic) By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing my Multifamily Apartment Complex Income Eligibility for Full-Incentive Energy Efficiency Services Form. (Non-Electronic) If filling out the delineation by hand, please provide your original signature and date.

I understand that the information is subject to audit and investigation by the investor-owned utility or representative providing the program services.

| Applicant Signature (Property Owner or Agent) | Dute |
|---|------|
| Contractor Signature                          | Dute |

#### Keep a copy of this form for your records.

Rev. 1/2023

Page 2 of 2

The Apartment Complex Income Calculation Worksheet is posted on Texas PUC Sharepoint.

A-4