

Control Number: 38324



Item Number: 327

Addendum StartPage: 0

PUC DOCKET NO. 38324



TO THE HONORABLE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS:

Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC ("Oncor") files this Response to the aforementioned requests for information.

l. Written Responses

Attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference are Oncor's written responses to the aforementioned requests for information. Each such response is set forth on or attached to a separate page upon which the request has been restated. Such responses are also made without waiver of Oncor's right to contest the admissibility of any such matters upon hearing. Oncor hereby stipulates that its responses may be treated by all parties exactly as if they were filed under oath.

II. Inspections

In those instances where materials are to be made available for inspection by request or in lieu of a written response, the attached response will so state. For those materials that a response indicates may be inspected at the Austin voluminous room, please call at least 24 hours in advance for an appointment in order to assure that there is sufficient space and someone is available to accommodate your inspection. To make

321

an appointment at the Austin voluminous room, located at 1005 Congress, Suite B-50, Austin, Texas, or in the Dallas voluminous room, located at 1601 Bryan Street, Dallas, Texas, or to review those materials that a response indicates may be inspected at their usual repository, please call Teri Smart at 214-486-4832. Inspections will be scheduled so as to accommodate all such requests with as little inconvenience to the requesting party and to company operations as possible.

Respectfully submitted,

ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY LLC

Bv: ∉. Allen Nve, Jr.

State Bar No. 00788134 Jaren A. Taylor State Bar No. 24059069 Megan C. Davis State Bar No. 24045755

Trammell Crow Center 2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3700 Dallas, Texas 75201-2975 Telephone: 214-220-7700 Facsimile: 214-999-7700

ATTORNEYS FOR ONCOR ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that a copy of the foregoing has been emailed or sent via overnight delivery or first class United States mail, postage prepaid, to the propounding party, on this the day of August, 2010.

2

Oncor - Docket No. 38324 Vinson Scoggins Vinson RFI Set No. 1 Question No. 1-01 Page 1 of 1

REQUEST:

Of the habitable structures identified in Oncor's Table 7.2 that are within five hundred feet (500') of any proposed link, please identify by link which of these structures are also within one thousand five hundred feet (1,500') of an existing 345,000 volt transmission line or facility.

RESPONSE:

¢

The following response was prepared by or under the direct supervision of Lisa Barko Meaux, the sponsoring witness for this response.

POWER has not measured or tabulated habitable structures within 1500 feet of existing transmission lines.

Oncor - Docket No. 38324 Vinson Scoggins Vinson RFI Set No. 1 Question No. 1-02 Page 1 of 1

REQUEST:

Which routes proposed by Oncor in its application do not meet all statutory and regulatory certification criteria for new electric transmission lines? Please identify all deficiencies and/or criteria that are not met, by link.

RESPONSE:

The following response was prepared by or under the direct supervision of Robert F. Holt and Kenneth Donohoo, the sponsoring witnesses for this response.

All filed routes comply with the statutory and regulatory certification criteria for new electric transmission lines with the exception of the routes that utilize links Q and Y. As discussed in the Direct Testimony of Kenneth Donohoo, Oncor does not recommend those links or routes that utilize those links for grid reliability and security reasons as contemplated in Substantive Rule 25.101.

Oncor - Docket No. 38324 Vinson Scoggins Vinson RFI Set No. 1 Question No. 1-03 Page 1 of 1

REQUEST:

Regarding Oncor's preferred route 222, Oncor employed links ZZ, AAA, and NNNN for the purpose of traversing the Rolling V Ranch (also identified in Oncor's application as the parcel including tracts 147,149, and 151). Please explain why these links were chosen by Oncor rather than links YY, BBB, EEE, HHHH, and PPPP.

RESPONSE:

The following response was prepared by or under the direct supervision of Robert F. Holt, the sponsoring witness for this response.

POWER provided information on 664 alternative routes in the Environmental Assessment and Routing Study. After analyzing those 664 alternative routes, Oncor recommended the filing of 95 of the 664 alternate routes for consideration by the Commission, and selected Route 222 as its Preferred Route.

The general routing criteria referenced in Section 7.0 of the Environmental Assessment and Routing Study (including independent review of the data included in the Environmental Assessment and Routing Study, reconnaissance and observations of the project area, the public open house meetings process, and review of correspondence relative to the proposed project) and included in Table 7-1of the Environmental Assessment and Routing Study, was developed to support selection of preferred and alternate routing and contains the criteria and information presented to Oncor for use in the evaluation and decision making process. Oncor also considers cost and engineering feasibility, which are criteria not explicitly included in Table 7-1, as part of its evaluation when determining preferred and alternate routing.

While the referenced routing criteria are collected on a link basis, the criteria are compiled and provided for evaluation on a total route basis. The analysis and evaluation conducted by Oncor in the selection of preferred and alternate routing was completed using total route information and completed on an end-to-end route basis. Therefore, while links ZZ, AAA, and NNNN are included as links within the Preferred Route, the selection of the Preferred Route was made after evaluation of these links as segments within routes, in totality. Links ZZ, AAA, and NNNN were, therefore, not chosen as preferable to links YY, BBB, EEE, HHHH, and PPPP for the Vinson property, rather the evaluation of entire routes containing both sets of links, on balance, provide support for selection of the Preferred Route when considering the criteria and applicable law, rules, and policies.

Please see Attachment No. 4 to the application, Memo from Robert Holt to file regarding route evaluation and selection.

Oncor - Docket No. 38324 Vinson Scoggins Vinson RFI Set No. 1 Question No. 1-04 Page 1 of 1

REQUEST:

Please provide the cost data for each of the noticed links contained in attachment number 3, "Transmission Line Estimated Cost." Please provide this information in an Excel spreadheet file on a compact disk.

RESPONSE:

The following response was prepared by or under the direct supervision of Brenda J. Perkins, the sponsoring witness for this response.

The estimated total costs are tabulated by route – not by link/segment. Therefore an excel spreadsheet does not exist that contains the "Transmission Line Estimated Cost" by link/segment.