

Control Number: 37463



Item Number: 319

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-10-0709 PUC DOCKET NO. 37463

§

§

999999

APPLICATION OF ONCOR **ELECTRIC DELIVERY COMPANY,** LLC TO AMEND ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND **NECESSITY FOR THE NEWTON -KILLEEN 345-KV CREZ** TRANSMISSION LINE IN BELL, **BURNET AND LAMPASAS** COUNTIES

BEFORE THE

STATE OFFICE

OF

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

SAVE THE LAMPASAS RIVER, INC. MOTION FOR CLASSIFICATION AND **ALTERNATIVE OBJECTIONS AND MOTION TO STRIKE**

Pursuant to Order No. 3, Objections to Intervenor Testimony are due on December 15, 2009. Therefore, this pleading is timely filed. On December 11, 2009 the "MMM" intervenors filed certain documents with the Public Utility Commission of Texas ("Commission"). The cover pleading to those documents is titled "Statements of Position and Written Testimony of "MMM" Intervenors."

I. Motion for Classification

Although the cover pleading characterizes the submittal made by the "MMM" Intervenors as both written testimony and position statements, there appears to be no basis for distinction between which documents are intended to be testimony and which are intended to be position statements.

Given the general format of the documents as letters written to the Public Utility Commission of Texas and the informal nature of the submittal from parties represented by counsel, it appears that the entirety of the submittal is best characterized as position statements. As required by the Commission's rules, and as was made clear in Order No. 4, any testimony must conform with Texas Rules of Evidence 602, 701, and 702. The information submitted does not appear to conform to those rules, and is therefore properly considered as position statements.

TEX. R. EVID. 602 requires that the evidence support a finding that the witness has personal knowledge of the facts in the testimony. The submittals offered by counsel on behalf of the "MMM" intervenors make no apparent attempt to satisfy this evidentiary requirement.

In fact, many of the submittals are identified as coming from at least 2 individuals, so no specific witness is identified for the information contained in the letters. For example, many of the documents are represented as coming from apparent husbands and wives. There is no indication whether the information contained in these letters is within the personal knowledge of the husband or wife. If this were to be considered testimony, there is no basis for determining which of these individuals identified on the documents possesses the requisite personal knowledge to sponsor the documents. Nor is it clear which, if any, of these individuals would be available for cross-examination at the hearing.

There are also multiple instances in which the landowner letters submitted reference an expected impact to the value of their property. As is made clear in the preliminary order in this case, the compensation due to a landowner for lost property value is not properly considered in this docket. Therefore, these statements should be

stricken if the statements are determined to be testimony. However, if the statements are classified as Position Statements, this issue need not be addressed.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, STLR files this motion and requests that the administrative law judges classify the documents submitted by "MMM" Intervenors as position statements.

Respectfully submitted,

Catherine J. Webking

State Bar No. 21050055

The Webking Law Firm, PC

400 W. 15th St., Suite 720

Austin, TX 78701

(512) 651-0515

(512) 651-0520 (facsimile)

webking@webkinglaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR SAVE THE LAMPASAS RIVER, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the above has been sent via electronic mail, facsimile, or first class mail to all parties of record in this proceeding consistent with the service procedures adopted in this docket, on this the 15th day of December, 2009.

Cathering Walling