

Control Number: 37448



Item Number: 901

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-10-1097 PUC DOCKET NO. 37448

10 JM 28 M 10.08 APPLICATION OF LCRA § *\omega* \omega TRANMISSION SERVICES CORPORATION TO AMEND ITS BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE **OF GILLESPIE TO NEWTON 345-KV CREZ TRANMISSION LINE IN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS** GILLESPIE, LLANO, SAN SABA, **BURNET AND LAMPASAS,** COUNTIES.

RESPONSE TO ROBERT T. PAYNE'S OBJECTION TO PUC DIRECT TESTIMONY AND MOTION TO STRIKE

COMES NOW the Staff (Staff) of the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Commission) and files this Response to Robert T. Payne's Objection to PUC Direct Testimony of PUC Staff Witness T. Brian Almon, P.E and Motion to Strike. The pleading was served on Staff on January 25, 2010. Accordingly, this response it timely filed.

MR. PAYNE'S PLEADING IS NOT APPROPRIATE OBJECTION TO I. **TESTIMONY**

Though Mr. Payne styles his pleading as an "Objection to PUC Direct Testimony" the document does not state a single evidentiary objection and consists entirely of argument, occasionally diverting into menacing and derogatory language that is inappropriate in this proceeding.1 Pre-filed testimony is subject to evidentiary objection in the same manner as oral testimony.² Commission proceedings apply the Texas Rules of Civil Evidence in contested cases.3 Legal arguments or factual disagreements that a party may wish to express at hearing do not form the basis for evidentiary objection within the Texas Rules of Civil Evidence or the P.U.C. Procedural Rules. The entirety of Mr. Payne's pleading consists of such arguments and

¹ See, for example, Payne's Objection at 1 ("I hope and pray that all those co-conspirators that participated in keeping this available existing technology from serious consideration have their participation in that travesty of justice hung around their PERSONAL AND INDIVIDUAL necks to the end of time.").

² P.U.C. PROC. R. 22.225(b).

³ P.U.C. PROC. R. 22.221(a).

factual disagreements and does not include a single stated evidentiary objection. Accordingly, no part of Mr. Payne's pleading provides any legal basis for striking any part of Mr. Almon's testimony.

Staff understands that this case, like other CCN applications, affects closely held interests of large numbers of people and that it may be difficult at times to separate the emotion aroused in defending those interests from the procedural decorum required in any contested case. It is simply inappropriate, however, for pleadings to contain menacing, insulting, and purely argumentative language. Unfortunately, Mr. Payne's pleading contains all of these qualities. Consequently, Staff respectfully requests that it be stricken from the record.

VII. CONCLUSION

For the reasons described above, Staff respectfully requests that the Mr. Payne's objections be denied in entirety and that the pleading be stricken from the record.

DATE: January 28, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas S. Hunter Division Director-Legal Division

Keith Rogas

Deputy Division Director-Legal Division

Scottie C. Aplin

Attorney-Legal Division State Bar No. 24001664

Andres Medrano

State Bar No. 24005451

Public Utility Commission of Texas

1701 N. Congress Avenue

P.O. Box 13326

Austin, Texas 78711-3326

(512) 936-7289

(512) 936-7268

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-10-1097 PUC DOCKET NO. 37448

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of this document will be served on all parties of record on this the 28th day of January, 2010, in accordance with P.U.C. Procedural Rule 22.74 and Order No. 5.

Scottie C. Aplin