

Control Number: 37448



Item Number: 884

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-10-1097 PUC DOCKET NO. 37448

§ §

§

§ §

§ § §

APPLICATION OF LCRA TRANSMISSION
SERVICES CORPORATION TO AMEND
ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE
AND NECESSITY FOR THE GILLESPIE TO
NEWTON 345-KV CREZ TRANSMISSON
LINE IN GILLESPIE, LLANO, SAN SABA,
BURNET, AND LAMPASAS COUNTIES,
TEXAS

1097
3
BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE

OF

ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

KREUZ FAMILY'S, JAMES MUNCEY, AND MR. AND MRS. HARRIS' RESPONSES TO LCRA TSC'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

The Kreuz Family, James Muncey, and Mr. and Mrs. Harris (Joint Respondents) file these joint responses to LCRA TSC's First Request for Information. All responses offered are to be considered to be offered under oath by Mr. Kreuz.

Undersigned counsel has attempted to reach counsel for LCRA TSC with regard to the timing of these responses. These messages were not returned. Undersigned counsel was in hearing at the time the discovery requests were originally filed. Because the entities upon whom discovery is requested were not in the style of the pleading, there was some delay in submittal of these responses. Based on the nature of these responses, LCRA TSC is in no way prejudiced by the timing of these responses.



Respectfully submitted,

Catherine J. Webking

State Bar No. 21050055 400 W. 15th St., Suite 720

Austin, TX 78701

(512) 651-0515 Office

(512) 651-0520 Fax

webking@webkinglaw.com

Attorney for Joint Respondents

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the above has been sent in a manner consistent with the procedural orders in this case on this 21 day of January, 2010.

PUC Docket No. 37448 **SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097**

Question 1-1

To the extent not explained in response to PUC Staff's 1st or 2nd RFI, questions

BA 1-4, 1-7, or 1-9, if your objection to any route proposed by LCRA TSC in this

CCN application is based on an allegation that there is an adverse effect on your

property, please list and describe in detail what you believe those adverse effects

may be, and how your particular interest in the property may be affected.

Please provide any documents that support your objections and allegations.

Please see the responses to Staff's RFIs and the Direct Testimony of Mr. James

Kreuz.

Sponsoring Witness: James Kreuz

PUC Docket No. 37448 SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097

Question 1-2

If your objection to any route proposed by LCRA TSC in this application is not

based on an allegation that there is an adverse affect on your property, but to

the community in general, please list and describe in detail what you believe

those adverse effects may be, and how particular interests in the property may

be affected. Please provide any documents that support your objection and

allegations.

Response

As described in the testimony of Mr. James Kreuz, construction of the proposed

transmission line along Link C-4 would have a negative effect on all visitors to

Enchanted Rock State Park. This unparalleled natural site has high value in the

community and the community would be detrimentally impacted by the

degradation of the Enchanted Rock State Park.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz

PUC Docket No. 37448 **SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097**

Question 1-3

Please list and produce all documents (including photographs) in your possession

relevant to any claim that a route proposed by LCRA TSC in this docket may

adversely affect your property or the community in general. To the extent that

photographs are included in this response, please include a written indication of

the location of the photo, time and date it was taken, person who took it, and

what you believe the photo is intended to illustrate.

Response

Please see the Direct Testimony of James Kreuz.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz

PUC Docket No. 37448 **SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097**

Question 1-4

Have you recorded any telephone conversations, conversations of any sort, or meetings with LCRA TSC representatives or other individuals involved with this project (e.g. contractors working for LCRA or LCRA TSC, other landowners,

elected officials, etc.) without their knowledge? If so, please produce a copy of

such recorded conversations or meetings.

Response

No.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz

PUC Docket No. 37448 SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097

Question 1-5

Please list the names of any witness, expert or factual, who will testify on your behalf in this docket. For each such witness please provide the name and address of the witness, the subject matter of the testimony, any particular expertise the witness may have on any subject matter on which the witness will testify, and a brief summary of the subject matter the witness will address. If the witness is an expert witness, please provide in addition to the information sought above, a current resume and any associated bibliographies, as well as a

list of cases or dockets in which the witness has previously testified.

Response

Please see the Direct Testimony of Mr. James Kreuz.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz

PUC Docket No. 37448 SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097

Question 1-6

Please answer the following:

Please identify each meeting you, your client, group, entity you represent, a.

or person(s) representing you or speaking on your behalf has had with

each person or group of persons, including landowners, other intervenors,

expert witnesses, community leaders, LCRA or LCRA TSC representatives,

or governmental officials regarding the proposed transmission line.

For each of the above meetings, please identify to the best of your b.

knowledge and recollection the date of each meeting, person(s) involved,

of each person, involvement identification of the

organization, subject of the group/company/agency/governmental

discussions, and the location of each meeting.

Please provide all documents, including notes and e-mail correspondence C.

(see definition of "document" above) related in any way to such meetings.

Response

Other than participation in the Open House associated with this project, there

have been no other meetings.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz

PUC Docket No. 37448 **SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097**

Question 1-7

Please provide a copy of any document that you may have in your possession

dealing in any way with the proposed project. Documents include, but may not

be limited to the following: email, presentations, letters, reports, memos, notes,

(including notes from meetings with LCRA personnel, telephone conferences with

LCRA personnel or notes taken at LCRA TSC Open Houses), maps, photographs,

studies. It is not necessary for you to provide copies of documents that have

been provided to you by LCRA TSC.

Response

Other than documents provided by LCRA TSC and other documents filed in this

proceeding, there are no other documents responsive to this request.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz

PUC Docket No. 37448 SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097

Question 1-8

To the extent not provided in response to a previous LCRA TSC RFI or PUC Staff's 1st or 2nd RFI, Questions BA 1-1, BA 1-4, BA 1-6, BA 1-7, or BA 1-9, please provide a map clearly depicting your property that may be potentially affected by the proposed project. Include on the map locations of houses, barns, and any

other improvements.

Response

No such maps have been created.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz

PUC Docket No. 37448 SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097

Question 1-9

To the extent an alternate route or routes you indicated that you "prefer" in

response to Kane-Glensprings Ranch, Ltd.'s 1st RFI Question 1-1 does not

represent a satisfactory solution to any concerns you have in this docket, what

do you propose as a solution to your concerns in this docket? In other words,

what end result in this docket would be satisfactory to you, your client, group,

business, or other associated interest?

Response

Respondents do not oppose any particular route as long as Segment C-4 is not

utilized in the route.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz

PUC Docket No. 37448 **SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097**

Question 1-10

To the extent not previously identified in response to Staff's 1^{st} or 2^{nd} RFI to

intervenors in this docket or in response to previous RFIs in this set of Questions (LCRA TSC's 1st RFI to Intervenors), please explain any legal or factual

impediments you contend will limit the use or paralleling by LCRA TSC of any

existing transmission line easement on your property. (If you have no existing

transmission line easements on your property, please indicate "Not Applicable").

Response

Not applicable.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz

LCRA TSC's First Request for Information PUC Docket No. 37448 Joint Response SOAH Docket No. 473-10-1097

Question 1-11

To the extent not previously provided in response to Staff's $\mathbf{1}^{\text{st}}$ or $\mathbf{2}^{\text{nd}}$ RFI or previously in response to this LCRA TSC's 1st RFI, please provide:

Copies of any document provided by your or persons acting on your a.

behalf to any federal, state or local governmental entity or agency.

Copies of any documents provided to you or persons acting on your behalf b.

to any federal, state or local governmental entity or agency.

As in response to Question No. 1-7 above, it is not necessary for you to provide

copies

of documents that have been provided to you by LCRA TSC.

Response

None.

Sponsoring Witness: Mr. James Kreuz