

Control Number: 37448



Item Number: 271

Addendum StartPage: 0

Barry T. Smitherman

Donna L. Nelson
Commissioner

Kenneth W. Anderson, Jr. Commissioner

W. Lane Lanford Executive Director





Rick Perry Governor

Public Utility Commission of Texas

December 9, 2009

Mr. Walter W. Pfluger Post Office Box 2540 San Angelo, TX 76902-2540

Re: Correspondence regarding Docket No. 37448

Dear Mr. Pfluger:

Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison referred your correspondence to our office and asked that I respond. I appreciate the opportunity to provide you with the following information and hope that you find it useful.

As directed by the Texas Legislature, the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUC) selected the most productive wind zones in the state and devised a transmission plan to move power generated from these zones to populated areas in the state in the most beneficial and cost effective manner.

PUC rules require transmission service providers (TSPs) to obtain a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity (CCN) prior to construction of a transmission line. During the CCN application process, the TSP provides a preferred transmission line route along with several alternative routes. After considering environmental, convenience, and practicality issues, the PUC then decides whether to grant a CCN to the TSP.

A copy of your correspondence has been filed in Docket No. 37448, so that all parties in this case are aware of your correspondence.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Cardenas

Public Utility Commission of Texas

cc: Office of Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison

2

Printed on recycled paper

An Equal Opportunity Employer





## KAY BAILEY HUTCHISON

United States Senator . Texas

TO <u>:</u>	Government Relations, Mr. Casey Hancy, PUCT
FAX:	512 936 7003

FROM:

Sarah Stubblefield

Constituent Liaison

3133 General Hudnell Drive, Suite 120

San Antonio, TX 78226

PHONE:

(210) 340-2885

DATE: 11/23/2009

FAX:

(210) 349-6753

PAGES:

4 (INCLUDING COVER SHEET)

MESSAGE:

Respectfully referred.

Thank you,

Sarah Stubblefield Constituent Liaison SMITH-ROSE-FINLEY ATTORNEYS AT LAW 37448

W. TRUITT SMITH (1917 2001)
FRANK W. ROSE
CEORGE S. FINLEY
JAMES H. HARP
ALLEN L. PRICE
DANA D. BANKS
WALTER W. PILLICER
GARY L. BANKS

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
P. O. BOX 2540
SAN ANCELO, TEXAS 76902-2540
DIRECT E-MAIL-WPRLICER @SMITHROSE, COM

November 4, 2009

WILLS FAR CO BANK BUILDING 30 W. REAURLEGARD, STL 300 TELEPHONE (325) 653-6721 FAX NO. (325) 653-9580 WIRSTT: WAVW SAITHROSE COM E-MAIL: FIRMOSMITHROSE COM

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Senator Kay Bailey Hutchinson 961 Federal Building 300 East 8th Street Austin, Texas 78701

Dear Senator Hutchinson:

For your information and, hopefully your concern, I am enclosing a copy of my letter to Mr. Barry Smitherman, Chairman of the Public Utility Commission of Texas. Although it should be self-explanatory, I want to place it in context.

Landowners in Texas are concerned about the damage which the new CREZ transmission lines may impose on their land and the beauty of our state. Landowners, citizens and governmental entities have lobbied for singular steel or concrete poles as opposed to the more intrusive and "just darn ugly" lattice towers. [Please refer to Docket No. 37049 of the PUCT for letters, public comments and resolutions from governmental entities.]

Recently the Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA) filed its first application to build one segment of its CREZ lines. In that application LCRA states that it will use lattice towers despite what Texans are requesting. From the admissions of the LCRA that it has already ordered lattice towers for construction without prior direction from the PUCT to use such methods, it appears that either A) LCRA is playing bully by dictating to the PUCT regarding lattice towers, rather than being subject to PUCT direction as required by law; or B) a back room deal has already been struck between the PUCT and LCRA with a wink and a nod that lattice towers will be used without regard to the rights and demands of landowners upon whose property such behemoths are to be constructed. Either status is outside the realm of acceptable behavior or legal action.

I am passionate about protecting not only my land, but the beauty and charm of the hill country and the wide open spaces of Texas. Those elements of both are disappearing at a fast rate and Texans deserve much better than lattice towers.

Thanks for listening. I hope you will give thoughtful consideration to how Texas should look in ten years.

Very truly yours.

SMITH . ROSE . FINLEY

Attorneys At Law

Walter W Dilium

WWP:lms

SMITH ROSE FINLEY

W. TRUETT SMITH (1917-2001)
FRANK W. ROSE
GEORGE S. FINLEY
JAMES H. HARR
ALLEN L. PRICE
DANA D. BANKS
WALTER W. PPLUCER
CARY L. BANKS

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
P. O. BOX 2540
SAN ANGELO, TEXAS 76902-2540
DIRECT E-MAIL-WPFLUCER @SMITHR OSE COM

November 2, 2009

WELLS FARGO BANK BUILDING 36 W. BEAUREGARD, STF., 300 TELEPHONE, (325) 653-6721 FAX NO. (325) 653-9580 WEBSITE WWW SMITHROSE COM E-MAIL: FIRM@SMITHROSE COM

## VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Barry Smitherman, Chairman Public Utility Commission of Texas PO Box 13326 Austin, Texas 78711-3326

Re:

Docket No. 37049 - LCRA CREZ Transmission lines

Dear Chairman Smitherman:

I am a landowner in Kimble County, Texas, and an attorney in San Angelo.

Along with everyone else in the Hill Country, I am concerned where LCRA and the PUCT locate the CREZ lines as well as how they are constructed.

Last week I studied LCRA's CCN application in PUC Docket No. 37448, that being the Gillespie to Newton 345 kV segment of LCRA's larger project. Contained within that application is information which confirms my fear that LCRA is already ordering lattice towers even before the PUCT has heard that or any other LCRA CREZ case.

On page 685 of the application, Mr. Curtis Symank, P.E., answers the following question as part of his direct testimony:

<u>Question:</u> HAS LCRA TSC BEGUN ORDERING 345 kV LATTICE TOWERS FOR THE TRANSMISSION LINE AND OTHER CREZ 345-KV TRANSMISSION LINES? IF SO, HOW MANY AND WHY?

Answer: Yes. To complete this project by November 2012, and all of LCRA TSC'S CREZ projects by the end of 2013, in a cost efficient manner (as discussed elsewhere in my testimony), LCRA TSC began ordering lattice steel for all of LCRA TSC'S CREZ 345-kV transmission lines in June 2009. LCRA TSC continues to evaluate schedules, evaluate material needs, and intends to place orders on a bi-monthly basis until all project needs are met.

LCRA TSC began ordering in June 2009, and at the end of October 2009, LCRA TSC's orders account for approximately one third of the total needs for all of LCRA TSC's CREZ projects. At the end of April 2010, LCRA TSC's orders would account for most of the total needs for this project.

Thus, if the Commission orders LCRA TSC to use steel poles in certain areas, the amount of lattice towers allocated to other LCRA TSC 345 kV CREZ transmission lines may increase and potentially restrict structure type decisions on suture projects.

Letter to Chairman Smitherman - November 2, 2009

Page 2

Chairman Smitherman, from the comments posted in both Docket No. 37448 and No. 37049, affected landowners and those who love the Hill Country have unanimously requested that the PUCT order LCRA to use singular tubular poles (often referred to as monopoles by lay persons such as myself) in building these transmission lines. LCRA admits this on page 679 of its application in Docket No. 37448 where it reads: "The majority of public comments indicated a pronounced preference for single pole construction." Additionally, many governmental entities passed resolutions requesting that LCRA realize the adverse aesthetic effects of lattice towers and elect to use singular steel poles.

In what I would characterize as haughty, LCRA's response to the "majority of public comments" is also found on page 679 of its application where LCRA states: "However since aesthetics are subjective and difficult to weigh, LCRA TSC selected double-circuit lattice towers as the typical structure type base on quantifiable factors, cost (an economic factor) and weight (which relates to economics and efficiency)."

[As an aside, many of us wonder how Florida Power & Light could economically build its transmission line using singular poles without the power of eminent domain and still paying landowners a reasonable fee for using their properties. And, although the PUCT has nothing to do with eminent domain proceedings, what FP & L paid for easements is much fairer than what LCRA intends to offer.]

Now, I'm going to apologize in advance for how angry I may sound, but I am shocked that LCRA would completely disregard the reasonable requests of the people of the Hill Country and then have the audacity to force its will, without due process, upon landowners who face the power of eminent domain. The testimony of Mr. Curtis Symnak (regarding LCRA's purchases of double circuit lattice towers) also appears to show LCRA's willingness to force the PUCT to approve lattice towers.

Again, it's probably not smart to write an angry-sounding letter to the Chairman of a powerful Texas agency, but at this point I feel betrayed by the system if it is true that the method of construction is no longer an issue for discussion or intervention. Perhaps my anger is misplaced and I am naive and misinformed; however, having only recently been involved in the process, I was under the impression that the PUCT regulated utilities and that the CCN hearing procedures were designed for due process, both for utilities and citizens. With LCRA currently ordering all of the lattice towers necessary for all of their CREZ projects, I have to say it appears that LCRA is not regulated by the PUCT.

Thank you for listening and I look forward to hearing your thoughts:

Very truly yours,

SMITH • ROSE • FINLEY

Attorneys At Law

Walter W. Pfluger

WWP:lms

Barry T. Smitherman

Donna L. Nelson Commissioner

Kenneth W. Anderson, Jr. Commissioner

W. Lane Lanford Executive Director





Public Utility Commission of Texas

December 9, 2009

Ms. Sarah Stubblefield Constituent Liaison 3133 General Hudnell Drive, Suite 120 San Antonio, TX 78226

Re: Mr. Walter W. Pfluger

Dear Ms. Stubblefield:

Enclosed is a copy of my response to Mr. Walter W. Pfluger's correspondence regarding LCRA's proposed transmission line project.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding Mr. Pfluger, please feel free to contact me at 512-936-7046.

Sincerely,

Gabriel Cárdenas

Legislative Assistant

Governmental Relations Division

Public Utility Commission of Texas

Attachment