

Control Number: 37448



Item Number: 125

Addendum StartPage: 0

Request to Intervene in PUC Docket No. 37448

The following information must be submitted by the person requesting to intervene in this proceeding. This completed form will be provided to all parties in this docket. If you DO NOT want to be an intervenor, but still want to file comments, please complete the "Comments" page.

Mail this completed form and 10 copies to:	
Public Utility Commission of Texas Central Records Attn: Filing Clerk 1701 N. Congress Ave. P.O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326	
First Name: Byrl W.	Last Name: Hardy
Phone Number: 806-435-5177	Last Name: Hardy Fax Number:
	Perryton, TX
I am requesting to intervene in this proceeding. A	As an INTERVENOR, I understand the following:
I am a party to the case;	
 I am required to respond to all discovery request. 	s from other parties in the case;
If I file testimony, I may be cross-examined in the	•
If I file any documents in the case, I will have to case; and	provide a copy of that document to every other party in the
 I acknowledge that I am bound by the Procedur and the State Office of Administrative Hearings 	ral Rules of the Public Utility Commission of Texas (PUC) (SOAH).
Please check one of the following:	
I own property with a habitable structure locat transmission line.	ed near one or more of the utility's proposed routes for a
One or more of the utility's proposed routes wou	ld cross my property.
M Other. Please describe and provide comments. Y	ou may attach a separate page, if necessary.
Signature of person requesting intervention:	
	Dite

Byrl W. Hardy 14490 Co Rd 24 Perryton, TX 79070 Nov. 17, 2009

Public Utility Commission of Texas 1701 N. Congress Ave. P.a Box 13326 Austin TX 78711-3326

Att: Filing Clerk,

I recieved the letter from LCRA-TSC dated Oct. 28,2009 concerning the proposed new transmission lines. The link C4 would be immediately across from my property of 20+ acres on Fm. Rd 965. My entry drive is located on the right of the Treibs Rd. sign. Link C4 would have significant impact on the value and views of my property. The preferred route would be C5, which would utilize existing electric right of way. Link C5 will also be visible from our property. Monopoles should be used rather than the lattice towers.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely Yours,
Byse at Though