

Control Number: 37448



Item Number: 104

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-10-1097

APPLICATION OF LCRA TRANSMISSION SERVICES CORPORATION TO ANAL.

OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY FOR THE GILLESPIE TO NEWTON 345-KV CREZ

TRANSMISSION LINE IN GILLESPIE, LLANO, SAN SABA, BURNET, AND LAMPASAS COUNTIES

There T. Payne's

To the Lower Colorado River Authority

Robert T. Payne's First Set of Requests For Information (RFIs) to the Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA) are hereby filed in this docket. Reponses to the RFIs should be served on Robert T. Payne using email address UseExistingEasements@gmail.com. All of the following RFIs in this filing concern the proposed Gillespie to Newton route.

Should the responses to these RFI's come with little or no time to respond due to a filing deadline in the future, I, Robert .T Payne, request the right to make RFI's on the content of these responses provided, for whatever timeframe the ALJ allows, by myself or by whoever I assign those rights.

I, Robert T. Payne, am not a lawyer and all the content of his RFIs are merely that, my attempt to exercise my RFI rights as an intervener. As with many on these proposed routes, I have no legal representation at this time. Should any of these RFI filings stray from those rights, I request the Administrative Law Judge and/or PUC strike only that which strays and allow these RFIs to be made to the extent allowed someone whose family land is at risk of being taken.

Page 20 of the filing (Page 20 of the 779 page filing #6 of docket 37448) says that notices were "mailed to all landowners located within 500 feet of the centerline of any preliminary route segment presented at the open house". About that mailing:

- 2.1 Since the address on property tax records are not always correct/complete and in many cases the tax rolls list one address when there are multiple owners at multiple addresses, how did the LCRA accomplish the stated claim of mailing of notices to ALL landowners?
- 2.2 In Appendix A, relating to the notices of open houses, there are maps after the questionnaire and before the Frequently Asked Questions that show proposed routes. (Scanned Pages 278-280 of the 779 page filing #6 in 37448) Did these proposed route maps actually get mailed to the landowners who got the notice? (This is not about the generic "Study Area" map.)
- 2.3 In Appendix A, the first page is about the Notice, and the 19th page is the Welcome to the Open House. Which of the first 18 pages were mailed to those landowners who got the notice and which were not? (Scanned Pages 267-284 of the 779 page filing #6 in 37448)
- 2.4 If a landowner got the notice, but did not receive proposed route maps in the notice, then in the pages mailed to landowners, was there a link given for landowners to go online to see the proposed routes

- <u>before</u> attending an open house? (Non-resident landowners could be looking at long drives to attend open houses.)
- 2.5 For those landowners who did get the notice, did it with any degree of certainty state that they are receiving the notice because their land is located on or within 500 feet of the centerline of a preliminary route segment so that the notice recipient could distinguish it from forms of junk mail?
- 2.6 In this notice process, was there any attempt to provide language like that quoted, underlined and in bold near the top of this filing (From page 20 of the filing, Page 20 of the 779 page filing #6 of docket 37448) prominently displayed in the notice or even prominently displayed on the envelope itself to help distinguish it from junk mail?

End of Robert T. Payne's Second Set of Requests for Information To the Lower Colorado River Authority

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Robert T. Payne

Robert I flan