

Control Number: 36487



Item Number: 171

Addendum StartPage: 0

Comments	Working on final costs.	The project is 100% reinbursable by the customer, codern pear. URO please see the attached documents for cost arelysis and variance explanation.	This project is on hold The load projections have not increased as indicated by the modes prior to hurricare Rita.	Working on fine costs.	Substation is energized, customer is still working on their equipment. Working on final costs.	Will be completed in September due to outage constraints	Buss at customer owned Guffway Sub scheduled to be energized 7-15-09.	Will be completed in September due to outage constraints	Buss at customer owned Guthway Sub scheduled to be energized 7-15-09.	Buss at customer owned Guifway Sub scheduled to be energized 7-15-09.	Working on final costs.	Work is proceeding on schedule	Installing 7 poles and removing 9 poles	Replacing 28 Structures. Remainder of structures were replaced after Hurricane Rita. This work will be reimbursed 100% by the customer.	The existing steel structures will be used. New insulators and conductor will be insulated. This work is 100% reimbursable by the customer	Replacing 1 span of conductor to match existing line
Rule Section or PUC Control Number	28420	31198	31241	25.101(c)(5)(B)(l)	25.101(c)(5)(A)(i)(ii)	25.101(c)(5)(C)(i)	25.101(c)(5)(B)(i)	25.101(c)(5)(B)(l)	25.101(c)(5)(A)(i)(ii)	25.101(c)(5)(A)(l)(ii)	25.101(c)(5)(A)(i)(ii)	25.101(c)(5)(B)(l)(ll)	25 101(c)(5)(F)(i)(ii)	25 101(c)(5)(B)(I)(#)	25 101(c)(5)(B)(I)(ii)	25.101(c)(5)(B)(I)(#)
Row Length (Miles)	4, 0.	112	2.6	ē	0.2	9.0	Ş	er L	9.0	9:0	10		ē	2	E	2
Row Width	<u>6</u>	6	<u>\$</u>	5	100	150	2	2	150	85	8		ž	2	2 5	
Edisting ROW Length (Miles)	2	25	2	3.17	6.05	6.05						18.00	1.72	67.4	8.50	
Edisting ROW Width	g	2	25	8	R	٠	ā	8	ec.	2	2	50 to 150	8	100 to 210	110 to 150	
Structure Type(s)	Concrete or Steel	Single Pole & H-Frame Double Circuit	Single Pole Steel & Concrete	Concrete	Steet	Steel and/or concrete	Steel and/or concrete	Steel and/or concrete	Steel and/or concrete	Steel and/or concrete	Steel	Steel	Steel	State	Steel	ž.
Conductor Type & Size & Bunding	Bundled 954 ACSR	666 ACSR	Bunded 686 ACSR	954 ACSR	954 ACSR	Bundled 649.5 ACARV 1272 ACSS	Bundled 649.5 ACSR	Bundled 649.5 ACSR	1272 ACSS	1272 ACSS	1272 ACSR	666 ACSR	2-666 ACSR	1272 ACSS	1272ACSS	2-649 ACAR
Circuit Length (Miles)	8.6	2.	2.6	2	0.2	6.6	0.0	10	9.0	9.0	1.0	18.00	0.30	4.70	25. 25.	0.10
Upgrade d or New Voltage (kV)	230	230	138	ž	ē	2	2	2	2	2	Ę	ē.	2	2	8	Ē
Existing Voltage (KV)	ē	2	2	8	8	230	230	730	230	730	82	8	138	230	230	230
Percent Complete	100%	100%		100%	100%	%S8	% %	%S6	8	%66	1 00%	70%				
Neter # %		44.0%				J										
Final Actual Project Cost (\$)		31,721,994														
Final Estimated (Project Cost (\$)		21,990,000				8,737.344	1,535,004	78,531	1,980,641	1,582,944	1,041,185	20,448,017	2,173,390	5,103,192	3,180,719	114,999
Initial Estimated Project Cost (\$)	5,332,056	21,690.000	3,142,873	3,076,225	321,766								2,173,390	5,212,110	3,239,487	42,335
Date Energized (if Applicable)	07/24/09	07/30/08	g.	60/02/80	08/18/08	ž	ιva	2	Ę	2	06/17/09	E	Ē	2	2	ē
Finish Date (Construction Complete)	07/22/09	07/30/08	na na	08/20/08	08/12/09	06/18/09	08/01/09	07/10/08	07/10/08	07/10/08	08/01/08	ē	ž	Ē	ž	2
Estimated (or Actual) Start Date	05/15/08	04/08/08	03/01/08	90/06/90	10/01/08	05/01/08	05/01/08	05/11/08	05/01/09	05/01/09	05/08/09	05/01/09	10/21/09	12/07/09	12/21/06	12/07/09
Description	Construct 4.9 miles of single pole double circuit designed 230kV transmission line from Porter Substation to the new Johnstown Substation	Construct new 230Ky double afroit trenemistable his from the souting Port Acres Buik Substation to the new Kelbi Lake Substation at the new Golden Pess LNG Facility	Construct new 138kV single pole double circuit tremmission line from the new proposed Merin Substation to the satisfing line 17	Rabuild approximately 3.05 miles of 69kV Line 98 from Nitro Substation to South Besumont Substation	Construct Cut-in of 60kv Line 564 to new customer owned substation.	Double circuit exting structures (approximately 6 miles) and construct 230kV turn-in (approximately, 6 miles) to new customer requested substation:	Relocate line on existing Entergy property to provide open position for new line.	Relocate line to new bay at Sabine Substation.	Construct 230kV turn-in to new customer requested substation.	Construct 230kV turn-in to new customer requested substation.	Construct 138kV turn-in to new customer requested substation.	Rebuild 69kV L-89 destroyed by Hurricane Ike	Raise line for clearance over new entrance and exit ramps for Hwy 242 near the Woodlands	Reconductor to Upgrade line rating to 1956 Amps for Motiva	Recorductor to Upgrade line rating to 1996 Amps for Valero	Recorductor 1 Span to Upgrade fine rating to 1720 Amps for Motiva
Location (City/County)		Jefferson County, Texas	Orange County.	Jefferson County, Texas	Jefferson County, Texas	Iefferson/ Orange County, Texas	Orange County.	Orange County, Texas	Jefferson County, Texas	Jefferson County, C Texas	Sen Jacinto County, Texas	Chamber & Galveston, Counties	Montgomery County, TX	Jefferson County, TX	Jefferson Courty, TX	Jefferson County, TX
Project Name	metruct sion Line	Port Acres Bulk to Keith Lake 230kV Transmission Line Project	Proposed Merlin Substation to C L-17 138kV Transmission Line	Rebuild 99kV Line 98 from Nitro Substation to South	1 8	Provide service to new 230kV customer requested substation,	ew 230kV substation,	substation,		new 230kV substation,	ļ	L-89 Rebuild (Hardening Design)	L-820 Raise for Hwy 242 Flyover	L-591 Upgrade	L-469 Upgrade	Upgrade Mid County Substation
Utility's Project Number		TL9965	TL5400	8008	1.5564	T.8873	11.4428	1.4496	TL5489	7617.7	112950	TL4967	TL8275	TL5591	TL9489	TL5532

Page 1 of 1

CCN Docket: 31198 Golden Pass LNG Variance Explanation

Transmission Line

- 1. ROW (-64% variance) approximately 5.33 miles (~46%) of the easement were granted to Entergy without charge to the project; 4.5 miles by SEMPRA and 0.83 miles by GP LNG. The other industrial landowners sought reasonable amounts for the easements but did have a lot of issues with the line route which did drive up the cost of materials and construction.
- 2. Materials and Supplies (+182% variance) the design for the original estimate was based on a route which followed existing roads and accessible locations. Concerns were also expressed concerning what contaminants might be in the ground from previous uses of the property. The changes to the route which added more structures and more robust structures and the decision to use base-plated steel foundations to forego any disturbance to the soil drove up the price of the structures. Very little of the material was drawn from Stores.
- 3. Labor and Transportation (Utility) (-100%) all construction work was done with contractors
- 4. Labor and Transportation (+57%) the re-routing of the transmission line and the wetlands and load restrictions by industrial property owners drove up the cost. Entergy used helicopters for clearing, setting many structures and tying in the line. Other special methods, like barge mounted cranes were used to stay within the load limits.
- 5. Stores (-84%) due to the size of the project and the quantities of the materials, most of the material was ordered on project-specific purchase orders.
- 6. Engineering and Administration (Utility) (21%) all design work and field supervision was handled by Entergy in-house resources
- 7. Engineering and Administration (Contract) (-78%) contractors were used to gather design data and for project management

Substations

- 1. ROW (0%) the property for the new substation was provided by GP LNG at no charge to the project
- 2. Materials and Supplies (-34%)
- 3. Labor and Transportation (Utility) (-72%) construction work was contracted out
- 4. Labor and Transportation (Contract) (+90%) construction work was contracted out
- 5. Stores (+14%)
- 6. Engineering and Transportation (Utility) (-49%) the design was contracted out
- 7. Engineering and Transportation (Contract) (+173%) the design was contracted out

Ü	1

CCN Docket No :31198	Initial Estimated Project Cost	Final Estimated Project Cost	Final Actual Project Cost	% Variance
Transmission				
Right-of-way (Easement and Fees)	3,000,000	3,000,000	1,067,735	-64%
Materials and Supplies	4,040,000	4,040,000	11,395,118	182%
Labor and Transportation (Utility)	0	300,000	0	-100%
Labor and Transportation (Contract)	11,600,000	11,600,000	18,238,428	21%
Stores	1,440,000	1,440,000	236,317	-84%
Engineering and Administration (Utility)	440,000	440,000	531,269	21%
Engineering and Administration (Contract)	1,170,000	1,170,000	253,127	%82-
Transmission Total Cost	21,690,000	21,990,000	31,721,994	44%
Substation				
Right-of-way (Easement and Fees)	0		0	in Artista
Materials and Supplies	3,840,000		2,532,260	-34%
Labor and Transportation (Utility)	810,000		229,294	-72%
Labor and Transportation (Contract)	1,360,000		2,586,966	%06
Stores	260,000		296,537	14%
Engineering and Administration (Utility)	510,000		259,006	-49%
Engineering and Administration (Contract)	210,000		573,801	173%
Substation Total Cost	000'066'9		6,477,864	%2-