



Control Number: 35665



Item Number: 850

Addendum StartPage: 0

PUC DOCKET NO. 35665

**COMMISSION STAFF'S PETITION
FOR THE SELECTION OF ENTITIES
RESPONSIBLE FOR TRANSMISSION
IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY TO
DELIVER RENEWABLE ENERGY
FROM COMPETITIVE RENEWABLE
ENERGY ZONES**

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION
OF TEXAS**

**FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
OF LONGFELLOW RANCH PARTNERS
TO LONE STAR TRANSMISSION LLC**

Longfellow Ranch Partners files this First Request for Information to Lone Star Transmission LLC ("Lone Star") pursuant to §22.141 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and Order No. 19 in this docket and respectfully requests responses in compliance with Rule §22.144.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert A. Webb
State Bar No. 21033000
P. O. Box 30133
Austin, Texas 78755-3133
(512) 478-5336
(512) 795-8206 fax
RAWebbLaw@aol.com
Attorney for Longfellow Ranch Partners

Certificate of Service

I, Robert A. Webb, certify that the foregoing First Request for Information has been served in accordance with Order No. 24 in this docket this 7th day of November, 2008.



Robert A. Webb

DEFINITIONS

The words "you," "your" and "Lone Star" mean Lone Star Transmission LLC., and its affiliates, subsidiaries, and any person acting or purporting to act on their behalf, including without limitation, attorneys, agents, advisors, investigators, representatives, employees or other persons.

REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

LRP-1. Based on your review of materials submitted in this proceeding or from other sources, have you concluded that any of the applicants to build CREZ Transmission Plan ("CTP") facilities may not be competent on the basis of knowledge or experience to build or operate those CTP facilities? If the answer is yes, please identify each applicant that may not be competent on the basis of knowledge or experience to build or operate the CTP facilities they have requested and state your reasons for that conclusion.

LRP-2. Based on your review of materials submitted in this proceeding or from other sources, have you concluded that any of the applicants to build CTP facilities may not be financially capable of undertaking the construction of the CTP facilities they have requested? If the answer is yes, please identify each applicant that may not be financially capable of undertaking the CTP facilities they have requested and state your reasons for your conclusion.

LRP-3. Based on your review of materials submitted in this proceeding or from other sources, have you concluded that any of the applicants to build CTP facilities may not be capable of maintaining or administering the CTP facilities they have requested? If the answer is yes, please identify each applicant that may not be capable of maintaining or administering the CTP facilities they have requested and state your reasons for your conclusion.

LRP-4. In this docket should the Commission simply choose the applicant to build a CTP facility that has the lowest estimated cost of capital?

LRP-5. In this docket should the Commission simply choose the applicant with the lowest estimate of its cost of construction to build and operate a CTP facility? If the answer is no, please state your reasons for your conclusion.

LRP-6. Are you willing to agree to limit the rate base valuation of any CTP facility you are selected to construct to the cost estimate you have provided for such CTP facility? If you are not willing to limit your rate base valuation to your cost estimate, why should that estimate have any relevance to the Commission in its selection among applicants to build that CTP facility?

LRP-7. Should the Commission simply choose among competing applicants to build a CTP facility on the basis of lowest projected maintenance costs? If the answer is no, please state your reasons for your conclusion.