Control Number: 35665

Item Number: 725

Addendum StartPage: 0

PUC DOCKET NO. 35665

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| COMMISSION STAFF'S PETITION FOR THE SELECTION OF ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR TRANSMISSION IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY TO DELIVER RENEWABLE ENERGY FROM COMPETITIVE RENEWABLE ENERGY ZONES | § | BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS |



**ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION TEXAS' RESPONSE TO CROSS TEXAS' SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

**OCTOBER 27, 2008**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**





125

PUC DOCKET NO. 35665

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| COMMISSION STAFF'S PETITION FOR THE SELECTION OF ENTITIES RESPONSIBLE FOR TRANSMISSION IMPROVEMENTS NECESSARY TO DELIVER RENEWABLE ENERGY FROM COMPETITIVE RENEWABLE ENERGY ZONES | § | BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS |

**ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION TEXAS' RESPONSE TO CROSS TEXAS' SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

**Question No. CT-ETT 2-1:**

Please admit or deny that your proposed schedule for priority facilities is predicated upon the Commission granting the Motion to Assign and Sever Priority Facilities ("Motion") filed in this docket by the Joint Parties.

(a) If the Motion is denied how do you expect the schedule set forth in your CTP Proposal for the priority facilities to be impacted if, and instead, priority facilities are designated at the same time as all the other non-default facilities?

(b) If you admit CT-ETT 2-1 and the Motion is denied, how do you expect the schedule set forth in your CTP Proposal for the non-priority facilities to be impacted?

(c) Whether you admit or deny CT-ETT-2-1, please describe the work you have done and expect to perform on the priority facilities between September 12, 2008, and January 31, 2009.

(d) If you are not designated as the TSP for the priority facilities, will you seek recovery o the cost of the work you have done and expect to perform on those facilities, including, but not limited to the work described in response to Subpart (c) above?

**Response No. CT-ETT 2-1:**

Admit.

(a) Some pre-work on the siting process has begun and will continue on the ETT requested priority facilities, some of the contracts are already in place, and some are currently in negotiations. This will help the schedule once the projects are awarded. However, it is still anticipated that the schedule in-service dates will be impacted by at least three months if the Motion is denied.
(b) No anticipated impact.
(c) Refer to (a) above.
(d) Please refer to ETT's response to Isolux Corsan First Request for Information, Question No. 9.

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Prepared By: | Randal Roper | Title: Regulatory Case Manager, AEPSC |
| Sponsored By: | Randal Roper | Title: Regulatory Case Manager, AEPSC |
| | Ronald Ryan | Managing Director, Project and Construction Management |