



Control Number: 34077



Item Number: 733

Addendum StartPage: 0

Barry Smitherman  
Chairman



34677

## Public Utility Commission of Texas

January 25, 2008

Jerry Perry  
P.O. Box 254  
Arlington, TX 76004-0254

Dear Mr. Perry:

Thank you again for your informative letter regarding Oncor and the Public Utility Commission's review of the purchase of TXU Corp. Unfortunately, this proceeding is still a contested case here at the PUC, and I cannot discuss the merits of it with you.

I have filed your letter in the administrative record for this case so that all parties become aware of your concerns and the issues that you raise.

Best Regards,


A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Barry T. Smitherman".

Barry T. Smitherman,  
Chairman

RECEIVED  
2008 JAN 28 AM 9:15  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
FILING CLERK

733

Mr. Barry T. Smitherman, Chairman  
Public Utilities Commission of Texas  
1701 N. Congress Ave  
Austin, TX 78711

JLP Enterprises  
 Mr. Jerry Perry, Owner  
P.O. Box 254  
Arlington TX 76004-0254

(817) 801-3302

Jan. 18, 2008

Dear Chairman Smitherman:

Thank you for your reply to my letter dated Dec. 13, 2007.  
Also, I appreciate your sending me a copy of that letter, since I had no idea it was so full of careless errors. The appended enclosure contains a list of corrections you may wish to add to that letter.

I don't know if you've given it much thought, but when one makes a big purchase of a company like TXU, an inventory of what one has allegedly purchased is not at all out of order. Likewise the proper functioning of all purchased equipment needs to be verified wherever that is of considerable importance. Therefore it ought not to be out of line for a company like Energy Future Holdings to calibrate all meters associated with measuring customers' energy consumption. One good way of doing this is to accurately measure energy delivered to each customer, and compare that with what his meter indicates. On the other hand, one might merely replace all existing meters (which can be sold) with a new automatic measuring system which one is sure of, while taking adequate precautions to assure that it is properly installed at each customer's facility. I bring this up because it

seems to me that the PUC should require <sup>this</sup> of any supplier of electrical energy, whether via automatic means or not. Furthermore, the customer should be able to verify energy consumption for which he is billed independently. This may be facilitated by rather inexpensive electronic devices he may eventually be able to purchase at most any hardware store or from suppliers such as Radio Shack. For the time being, each customer should be urged to add up all the estimated energy consumed per month on the basis of usage and consumption of each electrical light or appliance until such advanced automatic electronic devices become available. Nevertheless, it seems to me the PUC (or some firm it hires) should employ ways of testing to assure that false readings are not occurring in significant numbers or with significant frequency.

On another subject - Because of the complexity of the problems involved in making the Texas Power Grid adequately reliable, I believe the best overall solution is to get as many customers as possible to reduce their need for electrical power. In fact, use as little power from the Texas Grid as possible through the use of Neighborhood Electrical Energy Companies (which might be cooperatives in many cases). Then the Texas Power grid would have to serve a local Neighborhood Power Company <sup>only</sup> when it is down for maintenance or if it suffers a local emergency outage.

I have written a letter to David Bonderman of TPG Capital

concerning this philosophy and suggested my opinion that there should be far more money in this approach for Energy Future Holdings than there is in trying to solve the problem with the Texas Grid in the old-fashioned way. Obviously the problems become overwhelming the more energy-dependent customers are added to a grid that draws energy from a limited number of high-powered energy generators. On the other hand, by making it so that low-powered neighborhood facilities can actually help support the Texas grid by feeding back surplus power (which they don't use) back to the grid, this lowers the amount of power needed from big electric power plants such as nuclear or otherwises. It also greatly reduces the likelihood of big power blackouts or brownouts as a result of Hurricanes or other kinds of natural disasters.

Thank you again for seriously considering my concerns, whether they are valid as they appear to me they may be, or not.

Yours truly,

Verney L. Perry, Owner  
JLP Enterprises  
Engineering Consulting  
Arlington, TX

Enclosure -

Another sheet  
concerning my  
letter to you dated  
12-13-2007

ENCLOSURE. Enatta sheet concerning my letter to PUC dated  
12-13-2007. For correction to PUC Pocket # 34077  
Administrative Record.

Error Locations

Page No.	Paragraph No.	Line No.	Correction Instruction(s)
3	1	2	Change last word on this line from "ma" to read "many"
		11	This line should read: "more much lower cost 'dirty coal' generating"
4	2	1	Should read: "Perhaps there is little here that is news to you, and"
		2	Should read: "in which case you may be pleased to learn that I've cor-"
		6	Should read: "any <u>unfair</u> or <u>illegal</u> practices in the company they've taken"