

Control Number: 33310



Item Number: 65

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO 473-02-0835 D PUC DOCKET NO. 33309 pm h: 39

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS

SUBLIC BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE

CENTRAL COMPANY FOR § OF

8

AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES § ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-07-0851 PUC DOCKET NO. 33310

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS

\$ BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE

\$ OF

\$ OF

\$ AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES

\$ ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

ORDER NO. 4 DOCUMENTING JOINT PREHEARING CONFERENCE

I. Introduction

A joint prehearing conference was held in these cases on December 6, 2006. The following parties appeared at the prehearing conference:

Party	Representative
AEP Texas Central Company (TCC) AEP Texas North Company (TNC)	Philip Ricketts and John Williams
Commission Staff	Jeffrey Pender
Office of Public Utility Counsel (OPUC)	Sara J. Ferris
Texas Industrial Energy Consumers (TIEC)	Rex VanMiddlesworth and Caren Pinzur
Sharyland Utilities, L.P.	James Guy
Occidental Power Marketing	James Guy

Alliance for Retail Markets (ARM)	Stephen J. Davis
City of Garland	Lambeth Townsend
Cities of Alice, Asherton, Bay City, Bayview, Beeville, Big Wells, Carrizo Springs, Charlotte, Corpus Christi, Devine, Dilley, Donna, Eagle Pass, El Campo, Edna, Ganado, George West, Goliad, Harlingen, Ingleside, Ingleside on the Bay, Kingsville, La Feria, Laguna Vista, Los Fresnos, Lyford, Mathis, McAllen, Mercedes, Mission, Orange Grove, Palm Valley, Pharr, Pleasanton, Point Comfort, Port Aransas, Port Isabel, Portland, Port Lavaca, Poteet, Primera, Rancho Viejo, Refugio, Rio Grande City, Rockport, Sabinal San Benito, San Juan, Santa Rosa, Sinton, South Padre Island, Taft Uvalde, Victoria, Weslaco, and Woodsboro (Central Cities)	Steven Porter
Cities of Abilene, Cisco, and Vernon (North Cities)	Steven Porter
State of Texas	Bryan J. Baker, Ami Hodgins
Texas Cotton Ginners' Association	Tony Williams
TXU Energy, Wholesale, and Power Companies	Marc H. Burns

II. Interventions Granted

Motions to Intervene filed by the following parties were not opposed by the Applicants and are GRANTED:

Alliance for Retail Markets (ARM)

Cities of Alice, Asherton, Bay City, Bayview, Beeville, Big Wells, Carrizo Springs, Charlotte, Corpus Christi, Devine, Dilley, Donna, Eagle Pass, El Campo, Edna, Ganado, George West, Goliad, Harlingen, Ingleside, Ingleside on the Bay, Kingsville, La Feria, Laguna Vista, Los Fresnos, Lyford, Mathis, McAllen, Mercedes, Mission, Orange Grove, Palm Valley, Pharr, Pleasanton, Point Comfort, Port Aransas, Port Isabel, Portland, Port Lavaca, Poteet, Primera, Rancho Viejo, Refugio, Rio Grande City, Rockport, Sabinal San Benito, San Juan, Santa Rosa, Sinton, South Padre Island, Taft Uvalde, Victoria, Weslaco, and Woodsboro (aligned as Central Cities) City of Garland

Cities of Abilene, Cisco, and Vernon (aligned as North Cities)

Occidental Power Marketing

Office of Public Utility Counsel (OPUC)

Sharyland Utilities, L.P.

South Texas Electrical Cooperative, Inc. (STEC)
State of Texas
Texas Cotton Ginners' Association
Texas Industrial Energy Consumers (TIEC)
Texas Legal Services Center (TLSC)
Texas Ratepayers Organization to Save Energy (ROSE)
Texas State Association of Electrical Workers (IBEW)
TXU Energy, Wholesale, and Power Companies
WTU Retail Energy, L.P. (TNC only)

These intervenors shall have the rights of parties as provided by P.U.C. PROC. R. 22.102(b).

III. Notice Provided by Applicants

No party disputed or objected to the notice of these proceedings provided by Applicants. In addition, counsel for Commission Staff stated that Staff had reviewed Applicants' notice and found it adequate. Therefore, the notice of these proceedings provided by Applicants is approved.

IV. Protective Order

No party objected to the Protective Order proposed by Applicants in their filing package, although counsel for State of Texas stated that he had not yet reviewed the proposed order and requested permission to assert objections after he has the opportunity to review it. Therefore, the Protective Order proposed by Applicants is approved subject to objections that may be raised by the State of Texas. The Protective Order will be issued as a separate order.

V. Consolidation

A discussion and decision on consolidating these two cases was deferred until the next prehearing conference to be held December 15, 2006.

V. Procedural Schedule

Two certified questions have been submitted to the Commission that will have an impact on the procedural schedule for these cases. The Commission will consider the certified questions at the Open Meeting scheduled for December 14, 2006. Therefore, the procedural schedule will be discussed at the prehearing conference to be held December 15, 2006.

SIGNED December 8, 2006.

THOMAS H. WALSTON

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

TRAVIS VICKERY

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

STATE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS