

Control Number: 33309



Item Number: 543

Addendum StartPage: 0

### SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-07-0833 DOCKET NO. 33309

роск	ET.	NO. 33309
APPLICATION OF AEP	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
TEXAS CENTRAL	8	
COMPANY FOR	8	OF The state of th
<b>AUTHORITY TO CHANGE</b>	8	المن المناسبة المناسب
RATES	§	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS &

# COMMISSION STAFF'S ERRATA NO. 1 TO THE TESTIMONY OF RICHARD LAIN

COMES NOW the Staff of the Public Utility Commission of Texas (Staff), representing the public interest and files this errata to the direct testimony of Richard Lain, file March 23, 2007. Attachment 1 illustrates said changes.

Reference	Correction
1. Bates Stamp 000017 Page 18, Line 23	INSERT: my use of INSERT: representative of investor DELETE: influenced by analysts'
2. Bates Stamp 000018 Page 19, Line 1	INSERT: investor DELETE: analysts'

Respectfully Submitted,

Thomas S. Hunter Division Director Legal Division

Keith Rogas
Deputy Division Director
Legal Division

Sonya Batchelor Attorney-Legal Division State Bar No. 00797852 (512) 936-7293 (512) 936-7268 (facsimile) Public Utility Commission of Texas 1701 N. Congress Avenue P.O. Box 13326 Austin, Texas 78711-3326

## **SOAH DOCKET NO.** 473-07-0833 **PUC DOCKET NO.** 33309

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a copy of this document will be served on all parties of record on this the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of April 2007 in accordance with P.U.C. Procedural Rule 22.74.

Attorney

#### Q. Why did you not use another risk premium approach?

of equity for a T&D company.

A. I chose not to use another risk-premium approach in addition to the CAPM approach because <u>my use of the CAPM</u> is more <u>representative of investor</u> expectations than are the other approaches of which I am aware. I believe an approach that incorporates <u>investor</u> expectations is essential to estimating the cost <u>Deleted: analysts'</u>

Deleted:	influenced by analysts'	