

Control Number: 30071



Item Number: 12

Addendum StartPage: 0

TECHIVED

PUC DOCKET NO. 30071

2004 SEP - 1 PM 2: 12

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION FILING CLERK

APPLICATION OF STREAM GAS § BEFORE THE & ELECTRIC LTD FOR RETAIL § ELECTRIC PROVIDER § PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO § OF TEXAS

STREAM GAS & ELECTRIC LTD.'S RESPONSE TO AMPRO ENERGY LP'S MOTION TO INTERVENE

Stream Gas & Electric Ltd. ("SG&E") files this response to AmPro Energy LP's ("AmPro Energy") Motion to Intervene in the above-referenced proceeding.

AmPro Energy motion to intervene is based on two items in Stream's application for Retail Electric Provider Certification ("REP Application"): 1. Reference to Mr. Rodgriguez's professional experience with AmPro Energy; and 2. Reference to enterprise management software by ENE_Trak, LLC. SG&E disagrees with the characterizations with respect to each of these items in AmPro Energy's Motion. Further, the items raised in AmPro Energy's Motion, even if they were accurate, which they are not, are not relevant to the compliance of SG&E with the Commission's requirements for REP Certification. As such, AmPro Energy's motion should be denied.

In its motion, AmPro Energy disputes the statements in SG&E's REP Application that reference Mr. Rodriguez's professional experience with AmPro Energy. AmPro claims that "at no time was Mr. Alex Rodriguez either a principal or an employee of

AmPro Energy."

However, AmPro Energy's own website includes press releases that demonstrate the falsity in AmPro Energy's representations. First, as of the time of this filling, the AmPro Energy website includes a press release from August 1, 2003 announcing that Mr. Rodriguez "has joined the company as Vice President of Business Development. Mr. Rodriguez will join President Amy Gasca in her efforts to continue building a first-rate management team committed to the profitable growth of the company."

Second, the same website includes a press release from August 3, 2003 referencing Mr. Rodgriguez as Vice President of Business Development for AmPro Energy.

In addition, Mr. Rodriguez has many years of professional experience in retail competition in utility related industries that satisfy the Commission's experience requirements.

The second issue raised by AmPro claims that the software referenced in SG&E's REP Application is proprietary to AmPro Energy. SG&E has a good faith belief that it is authorized to enter into an agreement with ENE_Trak for the use of its software. The disputes referenced in AmPro Energy's motion are contractual issues which will be decided in litigation in court outside this Commission proceeding. SG&E is moving forward with discussions with other software vendors in the event that the ENE_Trak issues are not resolved. SG&E will not violate a court order. Accordingly, SG&E is willing to amend the references in Attachment D-1 of the REP Application to describe the use of third-party software without specific reference to the name of the vendor. With that amendment to the REP Application described herein, the issues in AmPro

See Attachment 1.

AmPro Motion at 2.

See entire AmPro Energy press releases included with this filing as Attachment 1.

Energy's motion regarding the use of software alleged to be proprietary to AmPro Energy is moot and does not serve as a basis for intervention.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, because the only issues raised in AmPro Energy's motion to intervene are not based in fact, are moot, and are otherwise not relevant to the compliance of SG&E with the Commission's certification requirements. SG&E looks forward to operating as a responsible market participant and looks forward to providing competitive options to retail electric customers in Texas. AmPro Energy has no justiciable interest in Stream's REP Application and Stream requests that AmPro Energy's motion to intervene be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

Catherine J. Webking

Attorney & Counselor at Law

State Bar No. 21050055

98 San Jacinto Blvd., Suite 1400

Austin, TX 78701

(512) 264-9121

(512) 264-9122 facsimile

webking@texas.net

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing motion has been served via facsimile to all parties on this day, September <u>1</u>, 2004.

Catherine J. Webling (s-med w/w)



