

Control Number: 29705



Item Number: 248

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 463-04-8361 PUC DOCKET NO. 29705

RECEIVED
65 FEB | 4 PM 1: 39

APPLICATION OF SAM HOUSTON ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC., FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY (CCN) FOR A	<i>\$\$</i> \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE RK OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS
PROPOSED TRANSMISSION LINE IN SAN JACINTO COUNTY, TEXAS	§ §	

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JARED WENNERMARK

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT
SAM HOUSTON ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.

February 14, 2005

248

1 2			ET NO. 463-04-8361 KET NO. 29705		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	HOU COC CER AND PRO	LICATION OF SAM USTON ELECTRIC OPERATIVE, INC., FOR A RTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE O NECESSITY (CCN) FOR A OPOSED TRANSMISSION LINE AN JACINTO COUNTY, TEXAS	<pre> § BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE § OF § ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § § §</pre>		
12 13 14 15			L TESTIMONY OF ENNERMARK		
16 17			EHALF OF TRIC COOPERATIVE, INC.		
18 19	Q.				
	_				
20	A.	•	K. My business address is 1501 East Church St.,		
21		Livingston, Texas 77351-1121.			
22	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?			
23	A.	Yes, I have.			
24	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY.			
25	A.	The purpose of this testimony is to address certain matters that have been raised in the direct			
26		testimony that has been filed by Ge	orge Russell and other witnesses who have filed		
27		testimony on his behalf.			
28	Q.	PLEASE REFERENCE THE TEST	IMONY OF MR. RUSSELL AS IT APPEARS AT		
29		PAGE 39, LINE 23, THROUGH PA	GE 40, LINE 5. HAS MR. RUSSELL PROVIDED		
30		TO SAM HOUSTON THE "OVE	CRLAY" THAT IS REFERRED TO IN THIS		
31		TESTIMONY?			
32	A.		d through the discovery process a copy of this overlay		
	.11	•			
33		but was told by Mr. Russell in his respo	onse that Mr. Russell had only one copy of the overlay		

- and that that copy had been provided to the Assistant General Counsel assigned to this proceeding.
- **3 Q. WHY DID YOU REQUEST A COPY OF THE OVERLAY?**
- We had requested a copy of the overlay because we were unable to closely review the materials at the County Commissioner's hearing given the manner in which Mr. Russell presented the overlay to the Commissioner's Court. We were particularly interested in reviewing it given the many statements that Mr. Russell made to the Commissioner's Court about the proposed project and its impact on individuals and the area.
- 9 Q. AS PART OF THIS PROCEEDING, DID MR. RUSSELL PROPOSE ANY

 10 ALTERNATE ROUTE MODIFICATIONS AS CONCERNS HIS FILING

 11 REGARDING THE ADEQUACY OF ROUTES?
- 12 A. No, he did not.
- 13 Q. HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE DIRECT TESTIMONY SUBMITTED BY SOLLIE
 14 JACKSON?
- 15 A. Yes, I have.
- 16 Q. AND DO YOU UNDERSTAND THAT MR. JACKSON'S TESTIMONY WAS

 17 SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF GEORGE RUSSELL?
- 18 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS OR CRITICISMS AS CONCERNS MR.
 20 JACKSON'S TESTIMONY?
- 21 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 22 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN TO THE COMMISSION YOUR COMMENTS OR CRITICISMS.
- A. At page 4 of Mr. Jackson's testimony, Mr. Jackson questions why Sam Houston wants to run the proposed power line over the homes of black individuals who reside along Route No. 9.

In my opinion, such a statement is both offensive and disgusting, and has no basis in fact whatsoever. To be clear, Sam Houston has not, in this case or any other CCN proceeding, ever considered the race of any individual property owner in making a determination as to the routes that will be proposed. Sam Houston, as part of its transmission line project development, takes steps to ensure that even the names of the property owners in the project area are not considered for routing purposes to ensure objectivity. In fact, such precautions and measures have in the past resulted in the proposal of the preferred route across one of Sam Houston's Board members, who intervened in Sam Houston's CCN proceeding.

- Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER COMMENTS OR OBSERVATIONS
 CONCERNING THIS TESTIMONY BY MR. JACKSON?
- **A.** Yes, I do.

- 12 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THOSE COMMENTS OR OBSERVATIONS.
 - A. Mr. Jackson's attempt to insert into this proceeding the issue of one's race appears to be part of an organized and consistent plan as concerns other testimony presented in this case, all on behalf of Mr. Russell. Several individuals who have filed testimony in this case were asked verbatim questions regarding whether they were a member of a "minority ethnic group". In each instance, the following individuals answered in the affirmative: John U. Mitchell, Ronessa Hill, Sandra Ann Farris Miller, Mae Dell Stephens, and Sollie Jackson. However, as concerns the other seven individuals who presented testimony in this case on behalf of Mr. Russell, none of those individuals were asked any question regarding their race. In other words, the only testimony submitted on behalf of Mr. Russell, that explicitly questions and identifies the particular witnesses' race, is that testimony of individuals who are ethnic minorities. I would respectfully submit that such a fact is much more than just a coincidence.

- 1 Q. HAVE YOU OBSERVED OTHER INCIDENCES WHERE MR. RUSSELL, OR
- OTHER WITNESSES, HAVE REFERENCED RACE AS A FACTOR IN THIS
- 3 **PROCEEDING?**
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THOSE INCIDENCES.
- 6 A. Attached to Mr. Russell's direct testimony is Exhibit GHR-15, an exhibit used by Mr.
- Russell to address habitable structures along the preferred route. As to each habitable
- structure identified on the exhibit, Mr. Russell has made a notation concerning whether or
- 9 not the individual residing at that structure is "Black."
- The significance, to Mr. Russell, of one's race in this proceeding is further exemplified in an
- email authored by Mr. Russell in which he discusses Sollie Jackson's assistance to Mr.
- Russell. "Sollie Jackson, the Intervenor whose grave site is in jeopardy, will help me in
- Livingston. He is not only Black and smart, but used to work as a 'legal assistant' for an
- attorney." (Document 000150, produced by Russell in response to Sam Houston's 2nd RFI).
- 15 Q. DID SAM HOUSTON CONSIDER EITHER THE RACE OF THE LANDOWNER,
- OR THE TYPE OF STRUCTURE IN WHICH THEY RESIDED, FOR THE
- 17 **PURPOSES OF ROUTING THIS PROJECT?**
- 18 A. Absolutely not.
- 19 Q. DID SAM HOUSTON ATTEMPT TO ROUTE THE LINE OVER TRAILER HOMES
- OR MOBILE HOMES, AS OPPOSED TO OTHER HOUSING STRUCTURES?
- 21 A. No. Sam Houston has routed the line in an objective manner, treating mobile homes with
- 22 the same respect as other habitable structures.
- 23 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 24 A. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing, Rebuttal Testimony of Jared Wennermark on behalf of Sam Houston Electric Cooperative, Inc., will be mailed to all parties of record on this 14th day of February, 2005 by First Class, U.S. Mail, pre-paid postage.

John I. Wright