



Control Number: 29526



Item Number: 695

Addendum StartPage: 0

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-04-4555  
PUC DOCKET NO. 29526

APPLICATION OF CENTERPOINT §  
ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC §  
RELIANT ENERGY RETAIL §  
SERVICES, LLC AND TEXAS GENCO, §  
LP TO DETERMINE STRANDED §  
COSTS AND OTHER TRUE-UP §  
BALANCES PURSUANT TO PURA §  
§ 39.262 §

RECEIVED  
BEFORE THE  
2004 MAY 11 PM 4:46  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF TEXAS  
FILING CLERK

THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL'S  
TWENTY-SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION TO  
CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC,  
RELIANT ENERGY RETAIL SERVICES, LLC AND  
TEXAS GENCO, LP

Pursuant to P.U.C. PROC. R. 22.141-145, the Office of Public Utility Counsel ("OPC") requests that CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC, Reliant Energy Retail Services, LLC and Texas Genco, LP Companies ("Companies") provide answers to the following questions under oath. Please answer the questions and sub-questions in the order in which they are listed and in sufficient detail to provide a complete and accurate answer.

Dated: May 11, 2004

Respectfully submitted,  
Suzi Ray McClellan  
Public Counsel  
State Bar No. 16607620




Eva King Andries  
Assistant Public Counsel  
State Bar No. 12588800  
1701 N. Congress Avenue, Suite 9-180  
P.O. Box 12397  
Austin, Texas 78711-2397  
512/936-7500  
512/936-7520 FAX

ATTORNEYS FOR THE  
OFFICE OF PUBLIC UTILITY COUNSEL

695

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**  
**SOAH Docket No. 473-04-4555**  
**PUC Docket No. 29526**

I hereby certify that today, May 11, 2004, I served a true copy of the foregoing document on all parties of record via United States First-Class Mail, hand-delivery or facsimile.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Eva King Andries', written over a horizontal line.

Eva King Andries

**OPC's 22<sup>ND</sup> Request for Information to CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, LLC,  
Reliant Energy Retail Services, LLC and  
Texas Genco, LP  
SOAH Docket No. 473-04-4555  
PUC Docket No. 29526**

With respect to the testimony of Mr. David Tees:

- RA-22-1.** In Attachment DGT-4, p. 8, lines 6-7, please provide a complete explanation and numerical account of how "TGN adjusted opening bid prices..."

With respect to the testimony of Mr. Frank Graves:

- RA-22-2.** Please provide supporting workpapers for FCG-6, FCG-7, FCG-10, FCG-11A, FCG-12A, and FCG-12B.
- RA-22-3.** At page 25, lines 11-18, Mr. Graves suggests that for non-baseload products offered in the PUC and TGN auctions, prices were higher in the TGN auctions. Please provide all workpapers that support this conclusion.
- RA-22-4.** At page 25, lines 13-14, please provide all workpapers and analysis that support the contention that "Price differences primarily reflect the fact that the products offered were not, in fact, identical."
- RA-22-5.** At page 25, lines 20-21, please provide a comparison of overall revenues received from baseload vs. non-baseload option for years 2002 and 2003 and delineated by either PUC or TGN auction.