



Control Number: 28980



Item Number: 153

Addendum StartPage: 0

**DOCKET NO. 28980**

**PETITION OF CENTERPOINT  
ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC  
FOR FINDING THAT THE 40%  
THRESHOLD UNDER PURA § 39.202(e)  
HAS BEEN MET FOR SMALL  
COMMERCIAL CUSTOMERS**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
OF TEXAS**

**CENTERPOINT ENERGY HOUSTON ELECTRIC, LLC's  
ERRATA TO SUPPLEMENTAL FILING PLEADING, AFFIDAVIT AND  
ATTACHMENTS**

Contact: James N. Purdue  
CenterPoint Energy, Inc.  
Telephone: (713) 207-7245  
Facsimile: (713) 207-9819

RECEIVED  
2004 JAN 7 PM 2:09  
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION  
FILING CLERK

January 7, 2004

The attached errata indicates changes with bold numbers with a gray background. The underlying data, filed on December 22, 2003, supporting this errata is correct as filed. The underlying data is Highly Sensitive, Confidential Data and was filed under seal on December 22, 2003.

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Page</b>
Errata to Pleading filed 12-22-03.....	2
Errata to Affidavit of James N. Purdue filed 12-22-03.....	3-4
Errata to Attachment B filed 12-22-03 .....	5
Errata to Attachment C filed 12-22-03 .....	6
Certificate of Service .....	7

includes the reclassification of customers that CenterPoint originally identified as switches and aggregations for customers > 22 kVA that ERCOT determined were > 1,111 kVA and therefore deleted from its analysis; and includes the effects of customers who aggregated or disaggregated from October 2003 through December 2003. Under Scenario I:

1) The power consumption target as of December 2003 for the small commercial class of customers, taking into consideration aggregated loads and calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R 25.41(i)(1)(B) is 6,256,034,197 kWh;

2) The total usage of all small commercial customers that as of December 2003 were being served by nonaffiliated REPs is **8,015,234,682** kWh. This represents **128.1%** of the target total kWh consumed by small commercial customers during the year 2000 calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R. 25.41(i)(1)(B).

3) As of December 2003, RERS had aggregated small commercial accounts whose total usage for the base year 2000 was 2,822,191,349 kWh.

4) The total usage of year 2000 qualified PTB accounts which now exceed 1,000 kW (1,111 kVA) is 491,297,779 kWh.

## **Scenario II**

Scenario II is the same as Scenario I except that customers whose ESI IDs were added to CenterPoint's system after the base year are not included. Under Scenario II:

1) The power consumption target as of December 2003 for the small commercial class of customers, taking into consideration aggregated loads and calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R 25.41(i)(1)(B) is 6,256,034,197 kWh;

2) The total usage of all small commercial customers that as of December 2003 were being served by nonaffiliated REPs is **7,576,686,317** kWh. This represents **121.1%** of the target total kWh consumed by small commercial customers during the year 2000 calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R. 25.41(i)(1)(B).

3) As of December 2003, RERS had aggregated small commercial accounts whose total usage for the base year 2000 was 2,822,191,349 kWh.

REPs and from non-affiliated REPs to RERS for customers whose ESI IDs were on CenterPoint's system in the base year; includes switches from RERS to non-affiliated REPs and from non-affiliated REPs to RERS for customers whose ESI IDs were added to CenterPoint's system after the base year; includes switches from RERS to the GLO and from the GLO to RERS; includes the reclassification of customers that CenterPoint originally identified as switches and aggregations for customers > 22 kVA that ERCOT determined were > 1111 kVA and therefore deleted from its analysis; and includes the effects of customers who aggregated or disaggregated from October 2003 through December 2003. Under Scenario I:

1) The power consumption target as of December 2003 for the small commercial class of customers, taking into consideration aggregated loads and calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R 25.41(i)(1)(B) is 6,256,034,197 kWh;

2) The number of small commercial customers with a peak demand less than or equal to 20 kW (22 kVA) that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated Retail Electric Providers (REPs) is **24,521**;

3) The total usage of small commercial customers with a peak demand less than or equal to 20 kW (22 kVA) that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated REPs, calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R 25.41(i)(2)(B)(i) is **346,389,562** kWh;

4) The number of small commercial customers with a peak demand in excess of 20 kW (22 kVA) and equal to or less than 1,000 kW (1,111 kVA) that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated REPs is **15,327**;

5) The total usage of small commercial customers with a peak demand in excess of 20 kW (22 kVA) and equal to or less than 1,000 kW (1,111 kVA) that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated REPs calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R 25.41(i)(2)(B)(ii) is **7,668,845,120** kWh;

6) The total usage of all small commercial customers that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated REPs is **8,015,234,682** kWh. This represents **128.1%** of the target total kWh consumed by small commercial customers during the year 2000 calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R. 25.41(i)(1)(B).

7) As of December 2003, RERS had aggregated small commercial accounts whose total usage for the base year 2000 was 2,822,191,349 kWh.

8) The total usage of year 2000 qualified PTB accounts which now exceed 1,000 kW (1,111 kVA) is 491,297,779 kWh.

### Scenario II

Scenario II is the same as Scenario I except that customers whose ESI IDs were added to CenterPoint's system after the base year are not included. Under Scenario II:

1) The power consumption target as of December 2003 for the small commercial class of customers, taking into consideration aggregated loads and calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R 25.41(i)(1)(B) is 6,256,034,197 kWh;

2) The number of small commercial customers with a peak demand less than or equal to 20 kW (22 kVA) that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated Retail Electric Providers (REPs) is **22,804**;

3) The total usage of small commercial customers with a peak demand less than or equal to 20 kW (22 kVA) that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated REPs, calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R 25.41(i)(2)(B)(i) is **322,155,824** kWh;

4) The number of small commercial customers with a peak demand in excess of 20 kW (22 kVA) and equal to or less than 1,000 kW (1,111 kVA) that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated REPs is **14,577**;

5) The total usage of small commercial customers with a peak demand in excess of 20 kW (22 kVA) and equal to or less than 1,000 kW (1,111 kVA) that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated REPs calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R 25.41(i)(2)(B)(ii) is **7,254,530,493** kWh;

6) The total usage of all small commercial customers that as of December 2003 were being served by non-affiliated REPs is **7,576,686,317** kWh. This represents **121.1%** of the target total kWh consumed by small commercial customers during the year 2000 calculated in accordance with P.U.C. SUBST. R. 25.41(i)(1)(B).

7) As of December 2003, RERS had aggregated small commercial accounts whose total usage for the base year 2000 was 2,822,191,349 kWh.

8) The total usage of year 2000 qualified PTB accounts which now exceed 1,000 kW (1,111 kVA) is 491,297,779 kWh.

**ATTACHMENT B**  
**DOCKET NO. 28980**  
**ERRATA**  
**COMPARATIVE MATRIX**  
**CENTERPOINT ENERGY FILED POSITION**  
**WITH SUPPLEMENTAL KWH DATA**

Line No.	Data Element Description	Data (c)	Supplemental Scenarios 12-22-03- ERRATA				
			Scenario I.	Scenario II.	Scenario III.	Scenario IV.	Scenario V.
(a)	(b)	(c)	Base Position Includes 12-1-03 Filing, POLR errata, New ESI IDs from 12- 17-03 hearing	Base Position Supplemented 12-22-03 Includes ERCOT Reclassifications <sup>1</sup> and Incremental: Switches, Aggregation, GLO, & New ESI IDs	Excluding New ESI IDs	GLO Aggregated and excluding New ESI IDs	GLO excluded as Switch and Aggregation, but included in Base and excluding New ESI IDs
<b>A</b>							
<b>ESI IDs Committed to be served by Non-AREP</b>							
1	As filed 12-01-03 (with POLR errata 12-15-03 and excluding GLO)	6,113,203,039 (42,342)	6,113,203,039 (42,342)	6,113,203,039 (42,342)	6,113,203,039 (42,342)	6,113,203,039 (42,342)	6,113,203,039 (42,342)
2	Three "new" customers included in above						
3	New customers inadvertently excluded in 12-01-03 filing (Purdue testimony, hearing 12-17-03)	279,430,079	279,430,079				
4	Switches to Non-AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03 (none new) <sup>2</sup>	456,544,867	456,544,867	456,544,867	456,544,867	456,544,867	456,544,867
5	Switches from Non-AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03 (none new) <sup>2</sup>	(54,939,917)	(54,939,917)	(54,939,917)	(54,939,917)	(54,939,917)	(54,939,917)
6	Switches to Non-AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03 (only new) <sup>3</sup>	159,118,287	159,118,287				
7	Switches from Non-AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03 (only new) <sup>3</sup>						
8	GLO as Non-AREP, as filed 12-01-03	1,062,098,209	1,062,098,209	1,062,098,209			
9	Switches to GLO, as Non-AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	<b>18,748,024</b>	<b>18,748,024</b>	<b>18,748,024</b>			
10	Switches from GLO, as Non-AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	(2,713,355)	(2,713,355)	(2,713,355)			
11	Reclassification of ESI IDs from >22kva to >1,111kva Per ERCOT	(16,212,208)	(16,212,208)	(16,212,208)	(16,212,208)	(16,212,208)	(16,212,208)
12	<b>Total A</b>	<b>7,454,688,985</b>	<b>8,015,234,682</b>	<b>7,576,686,317</b>	<b>6,498,553,438</b>	<b>6,498,553,438</b>	<b>6,498,553,438</b>
<b>B</b>							
<b>Baseline- Year 2000</b>							
13	As filed 12-01-03	19,690,521,290	19,690,521,290	19,690,521,290	19,690,521,290	19,690,521,290	19,690,521,290
14	Baseline KWH attributable to GLO filed 12-01-03	976,945,130		(976,945,130)	(976,945,130)		
15	<b>Total B</b>	<b>19,690,521,290</b>	<b>19,690,521,290</b>	<b>19,690,521,290</b>	<b>18,713,576,160</b>	<b>19,690,521,290</b>	<b>19,690,521,290</b>
<b>C</b>							
<b>Aggregated PTB Qualified ESI IDs</b>							
16	As filed 12-01-03	2,518,581,834	2,518,581,834	2,518,581,834	2,518,581,834	2,518,581,834	2,518,581,834
17	Aggregation Exhibit DMM-5	182,962,263	182,962,263				
18	Supplemental Aggregation 12-22-03 including DMM-5	346,019,518	346,019,518	346,019,518	346,019,518	346,019,518	346,019,518
19	Disaggregation Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	(25,309,179)	(25,309,179)	(25,309,179)	(25,309,179)	(25,309,179)	(25,309,179)
20	GLO ESI IDs filed 12-01-03 treated as Aggregation	966,155,680	966,155,680				
21	Switches to GLO as Aggregation Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	18,695,925	18,695,925	18,695,925	18,695,925	18,695,925	18,695,925
22	Switches from GLO as Aggregation Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	(4,098,126)	(4,098,126)	(4,098,126)	(4,098,126)	(4,098,126)	(4,098,126)
23	Reclassification of ESI IDs from >22kva to >1,111kva Per ERCOT	(17,100,824)	(17,100,824)	(17,100,824)	(17,100,824)	(17,100,824)	(17,100,824)
24	<b>Total C</b>	<b>2,701,544,097</b>	<b>2,822,191,349</b>	<b>2,822,191,349</b>	<b>2,822,191,349</b>	<b>2,822,191,349</b>	<b>2,822,191,349</b>
<b>D</b>							
<b>Former PTB Baseline ESI IDs now over 1,111 kVA</b>							
25	As filed 12-01-03	438,937,209	438,937,209	438,937,209	438,937,209	438,937,209	438,937,209
26	Reclassification of ESI IDs from >22kva to >1,111kva Per ERCOT	32,758,344	32,758,344	32,758,344	32,758,344	32,758,344	32,758,344
27	Additional Over 1,111 KVA Supplemental 12-22-03	19,602,226	19,602,226	19,602,226	19,602,226	19,602,226	19,602,226
28	Removal Over 1,111 KVA Supplemental 12-22-03						
29	<b>Total D</b>	<b>438,937,209</b>	<b>491,297,779</b>	<b>491,297,779</b>	<b>491,297,779</b>	<b>491,297,779</b>	<b>491,297,779</b>
<b>Results</b>							
30	<b>Target = ((B-C) X 40%)÷D</b>	6,356,553,668	6,256,034,197	6,256,034,197	5,865,256,145	5,863,732,806	6,256,034,197
31	<b>Achieved = A</b>	7,454,688,985	<b>8,015,234,682</b>	<b>7,576,686,317</b>	6,498,553,438	6,498,553,438	6,498,553,438
32	<b>% of Target Achieved = Achieved / Target</b>	117.3%	<b>128.1%</b>	<b>121.1%</b>	110.8%	110.8%	103.9%
33	<b>% Achieved</b>	46.9%	<b>51.2%</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	44.3%	44.3%	41.6%

Notes:  
1. Base Position includes: filing 12-01-03, removal of POLR from errata filed 12-15-03, "new" customers from Purdue testimony 12-17-03  
2. "None New" excludes ESI/IDS created after year 2000  
3. "Only New" includes ESI/IDS created after year 2000  
4. Supplemental 12-22-03 - incremental updates to data from 10-24-03 through 12-17-03  
5. ERCOT Reclassifications - CNP's reclassification of ESI IDs from >22kva to >1,111 kva based on ERCOT 12-17-03 recommendation.

**ATTACHMENT C**  
**DOCKET NO. 28980**  
**ERRATA**  
**COMPARATIVE MATRIX**  
**CENTERPOINT ENERGY FILED POSITION**  
**WITH SUPPLEMENTAL KWH DATA**  
**AND WITH ERCOT POSITION ON 12-17-03**

Line No. (a)	Data Element Description (b)	Supplemental Scenarios 12-22-03-ERRATA				
		Scenario I. Base Position Includes 12-03 Filing, POLR errata, New ESI IDs from 12- 17-03 hearing	Scenario II. Excluding New ESI IDs	Scenario III. Excluding New ESI IDs and GLO	Scenario IV. GLO Aggregated and excluding New ESI IDs	Scenario V. GLO excluded as Switch and Aggregation, but included in Base and excluding New ESI IDs
		(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
						(i)
<b>A</b>						
<b>ESI IDs Committed to be served by Non-AREP</b>						
1	ERCOT Analysis less GLO as Non AREP	6,035,229,137	6,035,229,137	6,035,229,137	6,035,229,137	6,035,229,137
2	Three "new" customers included in above	(42,342)	(42,342)	(42,342)	(42,342)	(42,342)
3	New customers inadvertently excluded in 12-01-03 filing (Purdue testimony, hearing 12-17-03)	279,430,079	279,430,079			
4	Switches to Non AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03 (none new) <sup>2</sup>	456,544,867	456,544,867	456,544,867	456,544,867	456,544,867
5	Switches from Non AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03 (none new) <sup>2</sup>	(54,939,917)	(54,939,917)	(54,939,917)	(54,939,917)	(54,939,917)
6	Switches to Non AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03 (only new) <sup>3</sup>	159,118,287	159,118,287			
7	Switches from Non AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03 (only new) <sup>3</sup>					
8	GLO as Non AREP, as filed 12-01-03	1,062,098,209	1,062,098,209	1,062,098,209		
9	Switches to GLO as Non AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	<b>18,748,024</b>	<b>18,748,024</b>	<b>18,748,024</b>		
10	Switches from GLO as Non AREP Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	(2,713,355)	(2,713,355)	(2,713,355)		
11	Reclassification of ESI IDs from >22kva to >1,111kva Per ERCOT	(16,212,208)	(16,212,208)	(16,212,208)	(16,212,208)	(16,212,208)
12	<b>Total A</b>	<b>7,376,715,083</b>	<b>7,937,260,780</b>	<b>7,498,712,414</b>	<b>6,420,579,536</b>	<b>6,420,579,536</b>
<b>B</b>						
<b>Baseline- Year 2000</b>						
13	As filed 12-01-03	19,690,521,290	19,690,521,290	19,690,521,290	19,690,521,290	19,690,521,290
14	Baseline KWH attributable to GLO filed 12-01-03	976,945,130		(976,945,130)		
15	<b>Total B</b>	<b>19,690,521,290</b>	<b>19,690,521,290</b>	<b>18,713,576,160</b>	<b>19,690,521,290</b>	<b>19,690,521,290</b>
<b>C</b>						
<b>Aggregated PTB Qualified ESI IDs</b>						
16	ERCOT Analysis	2,487,211,919	2,487,211,919	2,487,211,919	2,487,211,919	2,487,211,919
17	Aggregation Exhibit DMM-5	182,962,263				
18	Supplemental Aggregation 12-22-03 including DMM-5	346,019,518	346,019,518	346,019,518	346,019,518	346,019,518
19	Disaggregation Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	(25,309,179)	(25,309,179)	(25,309,179)	(25,309,179)	(25,309,179)
20	GLO ESI IDs filed 12-01-03 treated as Aggregation	966,155,680	966,155,680	966,155,680	966,155,680	966,155,680
21	Switches to GLO as Aggregation Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	18,695,925	18,695,925	18,695,925	18,695,925	18,695,925
22	Switches from GLO as Aggregation Supplemental Filing 12-22-03	(4,098,126)	(4,098,126)	(4,098,126)	(4,098,126)	(4,098,126)
23	Reclassification of ESI IDs from >22kva to >1,111kva Per ERCOT	(17,100,824)	(17,100,824)	(17,100,824)	(17,100,824)	(17,100,824)
24	<b>Total C</b>	<b>2,670,174,182</b>	<b>2,790,821,434</b>	<b>2,790,821,434</b>	<b>2,790,821,434</b>	<b>2,790,821,434</b>
<b>D</b>						
<b>Former PTB Baseline ESI IDs now over 1,111 kVA</b>						
25	ERCOT Analysis	407,993,101	407,993,101	407,993,101	407,993,101	407,993,101
26	Reclassification of ESI IDs from >22kva to >1,111kva Per ERCOT	32,758,344	32,758,344	32,758,344	32,758,344	32,758,344
27	Additional Over 1,111 KVA Supplemental 12-22-03	19,602,226	19,602,226	19,602,226	19,602,226	19,602,226
28	Removal Over 1,111 KVA Supplemental 12-22-03					
29	<b>Total D</b>	<b>407,993,101</b>	<b>460,353,671</b>	<b>460,353,671</b>	<b>460,353,671</b>	<b>460,353,671</b>
<b>Results</b>						
30	<b>Target = (B-C) X 40%-JD</b>	6,400,145,742	6,299,526,271	5,908,748,219	5,907,224,880	6,299,526,271
31	<b>Achieved = A</b>	7,376,715,083	<b>7,937,260,780</b>	<b>7,498,712,414</b>	6,420,579,536	6,420,579,536
32	<b>% of Target Achieved = Achieved / Target</b>	115.3%	<b>126.0%</b>	<b>119.0%</b>	108.7%	101.9%
33	<b>% Achieved</b>	46.1%	<b>50.4%</b>	<b>47.8%</b>	43.5%	40.8%

Notes:  
1. Base Position includes filing 12-01-03, removal of POLR from errata filed 12-15-03, "new" customers from Purdue testimony 12-17-03  
2. "None New" excludes ESI/DS created after year 2000  
3. "Only New" includes ESI/DS created after year 2000  
4. Supplemental 12-22-03 incremental updates to data from 10-24-03 through 12-17-03  
5. ERCOT Reclassifications - CNP's reclassification of ESI IDs from >22kva to >1,111 kva based on ERCOT 12-17-03 recommendation.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

PUC Docket No. 28980

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was filed with the Commission and copies have been provided to all parties by hand delivery, courier, overnight delivery, certified mail (return receipt requested), registered mail, facsimile, electronic mail, or United States first class mail on this 7th day of January, 2004.



---