2-38
Š
Cities
9
ttachment

VO. 17-30	13-MOS TY Reference ENDING Schedule AVG.	7	II-B-2 5,719,411	7	100 70C 9C1 3 4 H	II-B-3	27,422,622		11-8-4	II-B-9 1,029,904	II-B-10 68,282	II-B-10 18,545	II-B-10 373	II-B-10 1,665	II-B-8 565,638	0 9-8-II	II-B-7 (9,279,908)	II-B-11 (379,525)	II-B-11 (9	; II-B-11 (3,19	II-B-12 770,144	II-B-12	II-B-12 1,690,45	0	0	
PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF LEADS AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY II-B SUMMARY OF RATE BASE TEST YEAR ENDING 6/30/2003 DOCKET 28840 ATTACHMENT FOR CITIES QUESTION NO. 17-30 MET	Description	Original Cost of Plant	General Plant	Comm. Equipment	1041 1411	Minus: Accumulated Depreciation	Net Plant In Service	\$ 6	Other Rate Base Items:	C.W.P.	Casil Wolking Capital Desnovmente-inclinance	r repayments-moments	Prenavments-rents	Prenayments-interest or line fees	Materials & Sumplies-Operating supplies	Plant Held for Future Use	Accumulated Provisions and ADIT	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -ITC Gross-up	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -Excess ADIT &g.u.	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -Pre 1971 Unam ITC	 Regulatory Assets - Debt Restructuring Costs	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 Flow Thru-Perm Basis Diff	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 TX Franchise	Customer Deposits	Reserve For Insurance	
	Line No.	-	2	ω.	4 ν	9	7	«	6 ;	2 :	= =	71			13	: 5		2 9	2		17	:		8	10	`

677,510

Attachment to Cities No. 2-38

	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY II-B SUMMARY OF RATE BASE TEST YEAR ENDING 6/30/2003 DOCKET 28840 ATTACHMENT FOR CITIES QUESTION NO. 17-30 TDCS								
Line No.	Description	Reference Schedule	Jan-02	Feb-02	Mar-02	Apr-02	May-02	Jun-02	
1 2	Original Cost of Plant General Plant	II-B-1 II-B-2	238,460 1,722,923	217,059 1,696,055	217,012 1,696,532	217,012 1,696,613	217,012	217,012	
т	Comm. Equipment	II-B-3	2,146,804	2,147,878	2,186,930	2,189,414	2,189,414	2,189,414	``'
4 &	Total Plant		4,108,187	4,060,991	4,100,475	4,103,040	4,103,908	4,103,908	٧.
9	Minus: Accumulated Depreciation	II-B-5	(1,430,124)	(1,441,005)	(1,455,755)	(1,469,666)	(1,487,039)	(1,504,416)	ن
7 8	Net Plant In Service		2,678,063	2,619,986	2,644,719	2,633,374	2,616,869	2,599,491	• •
6	Other Rate Base Items:								
10	CWIP	II-B-4							
11	Cash Working Capital	II-B-9	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533	
12	Prepayments-insurance	II-B-10							
	Prepayments-taxes	II-B-10							
	Prepayments-rents	II-B-10							
	Prepayments-interest cr line fees	II-B-10							
13	Materials & Supplies-Operating supplies	II-B-8							
14	Plant Held for Future Use	II-B-6							
15	Accumulated Provisions and ADIT	II-B-7	796,906	962'569	693,169	690,963	688,739	652,739	
16	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -ITC Gross-up	II-B-11							
	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab - Excess ADIT &g.u.	II-B-11							
	Rate Base - Other Reg Liab - Pre 1971 Unam ITC	II-B-11							
17	Regulatory Assets - Debt Restructuring Costs	II-B-12							
	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 Flow Thru-Perm Basis Diff	II-B-12							
	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 TX Franchise	II-B-12							
18	Customer Deposits								
19	Reserve For Insurance								- 1
20	Total Rate Base		4,286,501	4,127,314	4,149,421	4,135,870	4,117,141	4,063,763	•

(1,521,613)

811,533

217,012 1,697,492 2,189,231 4,103,736

Jul-02

6
$\overline{}$
of
7
$\overline{}$
e
Ö
a
\sim

Attachment to Cities No. 2-38

	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY II-B SUMMARY OF RATE BASE TEST YEAR ENDING 6/30/2003 DOCKET 28840 ATTACHMENT FOR CITIES QUESTION NO. 17-30 TDCS								
		Reference							
Line No.	Description	Schedule	Aug-02	Sep-02	Oct-02	Nov-02	Dec-02	Jan-03	Feb-03
1	Original Cost of Plant	II-B-1	217,012	217,012	217,012	217,012	275,895	269,880	275,702
7	General Plant	II-B-2	1,697,492	1,696,840	1,696,840	1,696,840	1,696,840	1,646,198	1,762,495
3	Comm. Equipment	II-B-3	2,189,231	2,195,257	2,195,287	2,195,287	2,195,287	2,197,507	2,197,499
4	Total Plant	1	4,103,736	4,109,110	4,109,140	4,109,140	4,168,022	4,113,585	4,235,696
Ś		11 0 5	(1 538 604)	(1 55/ 781)	(1 571 404)	(1 588 028)	(1 604 797)	(1 559 062)	(1 575 931)
0	Minus: Accumulated Depicelation	-	(1,00,000,1)	(101,100,1)	(101,170,170	(1,000,020)	0.000,000	0.000,000,0	0.000,000
۰ «	Net Plant In Service		2,565,131	2,554,329	2,537,736	2,521,172	2,563,22b	2,554,523	2,659,765
6	Other Rate Base Items:								
10	CWIP	II-B-4							
Ξ	Cash Working Capital	II-B-9	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533
12	Prepayments-insurance	II-B-10							
	Prepayments-taxes	II-B-10							
	Prepayments-rents	П-В-10							
	Prepayments-interest cr line fees	II-B-10							
13	Materials & Supplies-Operating supplies	II-B-8							
14	Plant Held for Future Use	II-B-6							
15	Accumulated Provisions and ADIT	II-B-7	675,167	737,427	757,980	766,271	784,894	787,121	788,705
16	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -ITC Gross-up	II-B-11							
	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -Excess ADIT &g.u.	II-B-11							
	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -Pre 1971 Unam ITC	II-B-11							
17	Regulatory Assets - Debt Restructuring Costs	II-B-12							
	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 Flow Thru-Perm Basis Diff	II-B-12							
	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 TX Franchise	II-B-12							
18	Customer Deposits								
61	Reserve For Insurance	•							
20	Total Rate Base		4,051,831	4,103,289	4,107,249	4,098,915	4,159,653	4,153,177	4,260,003

Attachment to Cities No. 2-38

SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-04-1033		
PUC Docket No. 28840		
CITIES 17TH, Q. # 30		
ATTACHMENT		,
Page 18 of 19		•
-	1_	•
0,513	5,770	,
ŏ	14	

	TDCS								
							11-8		
- - -	Descrintion	Reference Schedule					AS FILED		
No.	iond neod		Mar-03	Apr-03	May-03	Jun-03	Jun-03	Jul-03	Aug-03
-	Original Cost of Plant	II-B-1	279,150	279,150	279,150	279,139	279,139	279,150	288,464
٠, ر	Canaral Plant	II-B-2	1.779,614	1,782,246	1,805,438	1,777,523	1,777,523	1,807,143	1,806,354
4 m	Comm. Equipment	II-B-3	2,210,538	2,214,829	2,214,946	2,286,001	2,286,001	2,314,253	2,315,264
4	Total Plant	_	4,269,302	4,276,225	4,299,534	4,342,663	4,342,663	4,400,545	4,410,081
so .		9	74 503 060)	(1 610 368)	(1 624 708)	(4 628 826)	(1 628.826)	(1.660.149)	(1.676.357)
9 (Minus: Accumulated Depreciation	C-Q-II	2 676 232	2,665,857	2 674 826	2 713.836	2.713.836	2,740,397	2,733,724
~ «	Net riant in Service		1010				•		
. 6	Other Rate Base Items:								
10	CWIP	II-B-4							
=	Cash Working Capital	II-B-9	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533	811,533
12	Prepayments-insurance	II-B-10					0		
	Prepayments-taxes	II-B-10							
	Prepayments-rents	II-B-10							
	Prepayments-interest or line fees	II-B-10							
13	Materials & Supplies-Operating supplies	II-B-8					0		
14	Plant Held for Future Use	II-B-6					0		1
15	Accumulated Provisions and ADIT	II-B-7	791,554	790,176	791,603	766,795	766,795	801,323	800,513
16	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -ITC Gross-up	II-B-11					0		
	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab - Excess ADIT &g.u.	II-B-11					0		
	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab - Pre 1971 Unam ITC	II-B-11					0		
17	Regulatory Assets - Debt Restructuring Costs	II-B-12					0		
	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 Flow Thru-Perm Basis Diff	II-B-12							
	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 TX Franchise	II-B-12							
18	Customer Deposits								
19	Reserve For Insurance			1	000 110	4 000 405	4 200 466	1 252 252	A 345 770
20	Total Rate Base	4)	4,279,319	4,267,565	4,277,962	4,292,165	4,292,105	4,505,422	4,040,4

DOCKET 28840 ATTACHMENT FOR CITIES QUESTION NO. 17-30

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY II-B SUMMARY OF RATE BASE TEST YEAR ENDING 6/30/2003

	PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS				
	AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY				
	II-B SUMMARY OF RATE BASE				
	TEST YEAR ENDING 6/30/2003				
	DOCKET 28840				
	ATTACHMENT FOR CITIES QUESTION NO. 17-30	_			
	TDCS				
					13-MOS TY
		Reference			ENDING
Line No.	Description	Schedule	Sep-03	Oct-03	AVG.
1	Original Cost of Plant	II-B-1	288.477	314.801	249.241
2	General Plant	II-B-2	1,789,383	1,790,752	1,725,642
3	Comm. Equipment	II-B-3	2,321,391	2,331,873	2,205,409
4	Total Plant	•	4,399,251	4,437,426	4,180,292
5					
9	Minus: Accumulated Depreciation	II-B-5	(1,647,328)	(1,634,507)	(1,575,047)
7	Net Plant In Service		2,751,923	2,802,919	2,605,245
∞					
6	Other Rate Base Items:				
10	CWIP	II-B-4			
11	Cash Working Capital	II-B-9	811,533	811,533	811,533
12	Prepayments-insurance	II-B-10			0
	Prepayments-taxes	II-B-10			0
	Prepayments-rents	II-B-10			0
	Prepayments-interest cr line fees	II-B-10			0
13	Materials & Supplies-Operating supplies	II-B-8			0
14	Plant Held for Future Use	II-B-6			0
15	Accumulated Provisions and ADIT	II-B-7	802,558	808,547	751,380
16	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -ITC Gross-up	II-B-11			0
	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab -Excess ADIT &g.u.	II-B-11			0
	Rate Base - Other- Reg Liab - Pre 1971 Unam ITC	II-B-11			0
					0
17	Regulatory Assets - Debt Restructuring Costs	II-B-12			0
	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 Flow Thru-Perm Basis Diff	II-B-12			0
	Regulatory Assets - SFAS 109 TX Franchise	II-B-12			0
18	Customer Deposits				0
19	Reserve For Insurance	•			0
20	Total Rate Base		4,366,014	4,422,998	4,168,158

Attachment to Cities No. 2-38

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS \$ BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE \$ CENTRAL COMPANY FOR \$ OF \$ AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES \$ ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 31:

Referring to the Company's proposed depreciation expense, quantify the difference between using (1) average test year depreciable balances vs. (2) end of test year depreciable balances. Include supporting calculations.

Response No. 31:

The difference in depreciation expense that results from using a 13-months average as opposed to a test year end balance is (\$1,571,072) for T&D. To calculate this difference, the unadjusted T&D plant balance at 6-30-03 was compared to the unadjusted 13-months avg. balance at 6-30-03. Depreciation expense was calculated on the plant account differences and this adjustment was applied to the pro forma depreciation expense as filed. See the attached schedule for the supporting calculations. Note that the calculation includes an average of monthly plant balances that is not reflective of all adjustments that would occur if that month coincided with the end of the test year used in a rate filing.

Prepared By: Gary W. Moore Title: Senior Accounting

Consultant

Sponsored By: Randall W. Hamlett Title: Manager, Regulatory

AEP RESPON	SE TO CITIES 17-31	Plant Adj for 13-mos Average	Proposed	(1) Depr Adj for 13-Mos.	(2) Pro Forma Depr. Exp	(1) + (2) (3) Estimated Pro Forma Depr. Exp -
350	Land In Fee	Balance	Rates	Average	As Filed	13-Mos. Avg.
330	Land Rights	(1,018,028)	1.370%	(13,947)	489,412	475,465
352	Structures	15	1.850%	0	136,917	136,918
353	Station Equipment	(20,662,463)	1.620%	(334,732)	5,127,815	4,793,083
354	Towers and Fixtures	(4,302)	2.040%	(88)	760,619	760,531
355	Poles and Fixtures	(12,278,668)	2.740%	(336,435)	4,547,648	4,211,213
356	Overhead Conductors	(10,958,338)	2.210%	(242,179)	3,838,826	3,596,647
357	Underground Conductors	0	1.300%	0	7,072	7,072
358 359	Underground Conduit Roads and Trails	58 0	2.440% 1.630%	1 0	389,399 6,762	389,400 6,762
339	Roads and Italis	(44,921,726)	1.030 %	(927,380)	15,304,471	14,377,091
	T-General	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(021,000)	10,001,111	14,011,001
389	Land					
390	Structures	(5,164)	2.250%	(116)	49,530	49,414
391	Office Furniture/Equip	0	6.610%	0	139,047	139,047
392	Transportation Equip	870,531	13.330%	116,042	7,799	123,840
393	Stores Equip	400 200	4.600%	0	483	483
394 395	Tools Laboratory Equip	136,382 0	2.830% 3.030%	3,860 0	70,414 0	74,274 0
396	Power Op.Equip.	0	6.030%	0	0	0
397	Communications Equip	(481)	5.000%	(24)	751,344	751.320
398	Misc. Equip.	0	4.860%	0	4,528	4,528
	, ,	1,001,268	-	119,761	1,023,144	1,142,905
TOTAL	T&D Reclass adj.	(40,000,45%)		(007.040)	197,403	197,403
TOTAL	RANSMISSION	(43,920,457)		(807,619)	16,525,018	15,717,400
DISTRIB	JTION					
A/C						
360	360 Land in Fee				0	0
	Land Rights	(22,926)	2.020%	(463)	14,371	13,908
361	361 Structures	(20,548)	1.910%	(392)	122,274	121,881
362	362 Station Equipment	(14,599,762)	1.800%	(262,796)	2,315,880	2,053,084
364	364 Poles and Towers	(2,311,952)	4.190%	(96,871)	13,863,097	13,766,226
365 366	365 Overhead Conductors 366 Underground Conductor	(6,939,041) (1,334,998)	4.340% 2.850%	(301,154)	10,239,255 453,612	9,938,100 415,564
367	367 Underground Conduit	(2,747,934)	3.420%	(38,047) (93,979)	3,902,461	3,808,482
368	368 Line Transformers	150.962	3.190%	4,816	8,842,051	8,846,867
369	369 Services	(1,172,019)	4.640%	(54,382)	3,918,374	3,863,992
370	370 Meters	(478,705)	4.630%	(22,164)	3,323,049	3,300,885
371	371 Customer Installs	376,181	7.560%	28,439	3,953,514	3,981,953
373	373 Street Lighting	(112,298)	4.430% _	(4,975)	1,779,907	1,774,932
	D. Comand	(29,213,040)		(841,969)	52,727,845	51,885,876
389	D-General Land				0	0
390	Structures	(3,992,233)	2.250%	(89,825)	1,431,371	1,341,546
391	Office Furniture/Equip	447,942	6.610%	29,609	1,129,723	1,159,332
392	Transportation Equip	1,813,700	13.330%	241,766	(59,408)	182,359
393	Stores Equip	(11,354)	4.600%	(522)	46,384	45,862
394	Tools	(707,235)	2.830%	(20,015)	134,635	114,620
395	Laboratory Equip	0	3.030%	0	1,871	1,871
396	Power Op.Equip.	(198,662)	6.030%	(11,979)	20,755	8,776
397	Communications Equip Misc. Equip.	(1,512,215)	5.000%	(75,611)	2,144,717	2,069,106
398	wisc. Equip.	(4,055,276)	4.860% _	5,092 78,515	27,857 4,877,906	32,950 4,956,421
	T&D Reclass adj.	(7,000,270)		70,010	(197,403)	(197,403)
TOTAL D	ISTRIBUTION	(33,268,315)	0	(763,454)	57,408,348	56,644,894
			•	,	•	, ,
	_					
Total T&I	J	(77,188,773)	0	(1,571,072)	73,933,366	72,362,294

		(1) Plant Balance	(2) 13-mos Avg.	(2) - (1) (3)
Transmis	sion	Actual 6-30-2003	Unadj. Test Year	Difference
350	Land In Fee	5,089,845	5,089,845	0
	Land Rights	34,837,192	33,819,164	(1,018,028)
352	Structures	7,197,907	7,197,922	15
353	Station Equipment	309,232,669	288,570,206	(20,662,463)
354 355	Towers and Fixtures Poles and Fixtures	36,330,291	36,325,989	(4,302) (12,278,668)
355 356	Overhead Conductors	162,494,167 169,631,550	150,215,499 158,673,212	(10,958,338)
357	Underground Conductors	530,085	530,085	(10,936,336)
358	Underground Conduit	15,550,169	15,550,227	58
359	Roads and Trails	404,248	404,248	0
		741,298,122	696,376,397	(44,921,725)
	T-General			
389	Land	0		0
390	Structures	2,201,333	2,196,168	(5,164)
391	Office Furniture/Equip	2,235,397	2,235,397	0
392	Transportation Equip	58,504	929,035	870,531
393	Stores Equip	21,848	21,848	136 393
394 395	Tools Laboratory Equip	2,488,128 0	2,624,511 0	1 36,382 0
396	Power Op.Equip.	0	0	0
397	Communications Equip	15,026,886	15,026,405	(481)
398	Misc. Equip.	89,885	89,885	` o´
		22,121,980	23,123,248	1,001,268
Distributi	on			
360) Land in Fee	2,992,273	2,992,273	0
	Land Rights	693,801	670,875	(22,926)
	Structures	6,243,771	6,223,223	(20,548)
	2 Station Equipment	134,039,586	119,439,824	(14,599,762)
	Poles and Towers Overhead Conductors	322,853,458 230,296,653	320,541,505 223,357,612	(2,311,952) (6,939,041)
	6 Underground Conductors	15,561,553	14,226,555	(1,334,998)
	Underground Conduit	111,368,526	108,620,592	(2,747,934)
	3 Line Transformers	270,257,255	270,408,216	150,962
369	Services	82,368,104	81,196,084	(1,172,019)
_) Meters	70,003,638	69,524,933	(478,705)
	Customer Installs	50,997,383	51,373,565	376,181
373	3 Street Lighting	39,190,818	39,078,520	(112,298)
		1,336,866,818	1,307,653,779	(29,213,040)
	D. Conoral			
200	D-General	6,164,384	6,164,747	363
) Land) Structures	63,616,509	59,624,276	(3,992,233)
	Office Furniture/Equip	17,091,124	17,539,066	447,942
	2 Transportation Equip	(445,669)		1,813,700
	3 Stores Equip	1,008,358	997,003	(11,354)
	Tools	4,757,412	4,050,177	(707,235)
	Laboratory Equip	0	0	0
	Power Op.Equip.	344,194	145,532	(198,662)
	Communications Equip	42,894,337	41,382,122	(1,512,215)
398	3 Misc. Equip.	573,193	677,975	104,782
		136,003,842	131,948,930	(4,054,912)

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 32:

Referring to Company's response to Cities 2-7, provide the number of (1) management; (2) non-management; (3) other; and (4) total employees by month for the months January 1999 to date for each affiliated company which provides goods and/or services to AEP/TCC.

Response No. 32:

Please refer to the attached spreadsheet for the number of management/non-management employees for affiliated companies for the months of January 1999 to date.

Title: Sr. Accounting Consultant Prepared By: Gary W. Moore Title: Mgr. Regulatory Accounting Sponsored By: Randall W. Hamlett Services

						_		-		
RATE CASE FOR YEAR ENDED 6/30/03				-						
EPCO	01-KGPCo	-	01-KGPCo Total	02-APCo		02-APCo Total	03-KPCo		03-KPCo Total	04-I&M
Mgr NonMgr TOTAL	Mgr		TAL	Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	VonMgr	OTAL	Š
182 12//		2 3	7)		2007				676	
179 1276		63	27		2647	327			521	1
358 2558		63	7.2		2617				709	ļ
180 1290	1470 9	63	72	209	2600	3207		408	502	
179 1287		63	72		2590				501	
183 1288		63	72		2588		ŀ	i	503	i
175 1208		63	72		2572				502	1
175 1213		63	72		2568				200	
173 1207		65	72	576	2550			396	488	909
1202		64	71		2538				488	
1201	1373 7	64	71		2533				489	ļ
1200		64	71		2517				487	
1202		62	69		2497				486	
1200		60	29		2459				478	
1200		9	29		2441				475	
167 1203		09	67		2422				472	
166 1205		09	29		2407				472	
167 1212	1379	09	19		2393				470	
1205		09	29						465	
1200		61	19						463	524
155 1181		61	29						458	
		29	39						455	
1161		29	39						454	
145 1148		28	99	507					452	528
1115		28	39						448	
	1249 7	57	64		2283	2790			450	
1105		25	64		2283				452	
		25	64		2285				448	
		25	99	497	2289				448	536
137 1103		28	39		2288				447	
		58	39		2273				446	
		58	99	484	2265				444	
		22	40		2263				442	
		25	69		2241				440	
	1235 7	23)9 	469	2222	2691			436	529
		53	9		2205				434	
		52	9		2178	2638			429	
1085		<u>8</u>	58		2170				429	
,		49	57		2193				428	
		49	57		2180				427	530
,		49	57		2170				423	518
•		48	56	422	2166				420	518
		46	52		2167			348	417	517
127 1076		47	55		2151				416	512
127 1076	1203 8	47	25		414		2151	2151 2565	2151 2565 69	2151 2565 69

RATE CASE FOR YEAR ENDED 6/30/03														
Cities 17, question 32														
	102	SWEPCO		SWEPCO Total	01-KGPCo		01-KGPCo Total	02-APCo		02-APCo Total	03-KPCo		03-KPCo Total	04-1&M
AS OF DATE	_	Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr
/6	9/1/2002	129	1066	1195			57		2154	2564	٠.		416	
10/	10/1/2002	131	1063	1194	80	49	25	408	2156	2564	7	320	42,	208
111	11/1/2002	130	1066	1196	80	49	57	406	2151	2557	2	349	419	507
12	12/1/2002	130	1068	1198	80	49	57	405		2547	69	347	416	473
11	1/1/2003	131	1064	1195	6	48	57	401	2118		02	341	411	474
2/	2/1/2003	133	1054	1187	O	47	56	389	2058		69	333	402	463
3/	3/1/2003	132	1050	1182	o	47	56	390	2044		70			468
/4	4/1/2003	132	1062	1194	6	47	99	391	2040		7.1		402	466
2/	5/1/2003	129	1056	1185	o	46	55	390	2038	2428	71	331	402	464
/9	6/1/2003	127	1054	1181	O	46	55	330	2029	2419	70	330	004	463
112	7/1/2003	128	1057	1185	6	97	55	390	2026		02	330	004	462
/8	8/1/2003	128	1050	1178	6	48	57	390	2019		70	329	668	461
/6	9/1/2003	129	1046	1175	6	48	29	392	2013	2405	69	328	268	
10/	10/1/2003	128	1044	1172	6	48	25		2011	2403	69		397	455
111	11/1/2003	128	1044	1172	6	48	25	390	2013	2403	69	327	396	456
12/	12/1/2003	128	1044	1172	6	48	57	389	2004	2393	99	327	395	459

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY RATE CASE FOR YEAR ENDED 6/30/03													\parallel		
CITIES' 17, QUESTION 32															
		04-I&M Total	06-WPCo		06-WPCo Total		07-OPCo		07-OPCo Total	10-CSP		10-CSP Total		61-AEPSC	
AS OF DATE	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr	,			NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL		_	NonMgr
1/1/1999		2666		2 6	,-	80	691	2263	2954					962	4181
2/1/1999	9 2179				- -	80	629	2244	2923				1354	951	4208
3/1/1999					[0.	79	664	2206	287	244			1325	934	4124
4/1/1999					0.	62	099	2200	2860				1329	935	4120
5/1/1999				9	0.	79	959	2192	2848				1330	935	4104
661/1/9	3 2164			6	0	79	652	2184	2836		1090		1327	943	4110
7/1/1999					0.	79	654	2179	2833				1324	942	4117
8/1/1999	2133	2633			0.	79	649	2173	282		1077		318	935	4111
961/1/6				9 7	70	79	644	2168	2812	240			1317	934	4109
10/1/1999	3 2172				6	78	643	2164	280				307	931	4081
11/1/1999	3 2184				6	78	634	2161	279		1066		1306	933	4054
12/1/1999	2199				6	92	627	2160	278		1060		1301	929	4050
1/1/2000	3 2204				9	74	620	2158	2778				295	927	4041
2/1/2000	2197				5	73	619	2149	276				279	916	4014
3/1/2000	2200				5	73	618	2119	273				273	914	3979
4/1/2000	2199	2737			5	73	616	2113	272	3 238			1270	915	3978
5/1/2000	2208				5	73	909	2105	271:		1034		272	808	3968
6/1/2000	2208				5	73	602	2092	2694		1034		1268	906	3957
7/1/2000	2188			8	5	73	265	2087	2684		1036		1269	931	3921
8/1/2000	2192				9	73	277	2083	2660	213	1041		1254	860	3968
9/1/2000	2163			9 Z	9	73	571	2064	263				210	851	3934
10/1/2000				2	7.	74	999	2056	262				1208	861	3987
11/1/2000	2160			2	7.	74	264	2052	2616		1010		210	865	4082
12/1/2000	2159				9	74	266	2047	261		1015		1222	868	4084
1/1/2001	L			9	9	75	266	2041	2607				1222	1229	5664
2/1/2001	2153	2681			9	75	258	2036	2594				1214	1222	5687
3/1/2001	2141				9	75	261	2037	2598		1007		1213	1266	5810
4/1/2001	2126	2657		8	9	74	529	2025	2584				205	1296	5911
5/1/2001			_		9	74	226	2016	257.				208	1239	6021
6/1/2001					9	73	226	2014	2570				214	1256	5976
7/1/2001					5	73	222	2008	2560	212			216	1261	6028
8/1/2001				8	5	73	448	1966	2414				220	1253	6049
9/1/2001	2106				5	73	445	1960	240				1221	1253	6016
10/1/2001					6	70	434	1945	2379	204			1213	1258	6137
11/1/2001	2055					29	426	1926	2352		_		201	1277	6393
12/1/2001	2044				1	29	419	1912	2331				1187	1278	6243
1/1/2002	2040				0	65	407	1902	2309				183	1254	5999
2/1/2002	2038	2565			0	65	408	1896	2304	192			1174	1228	6017
3/1/2002	2089	2617			9	64	402	1897	2299				1169	1324	6110
4/1/2002	2054			8	9	42	398	1870	2268				1173	1358	6262
5/1/2002	2031				7	64	392	1864	2256				1169	1365	6238
6/1/2002					8	65	389	1856	2245				1156	1350	6082
7/1/2002		2550		9 /	0	29	382	1864	2246				163	1333	6097
8/1/2002	2023			2 6	0	67	379	1871	2250	181	977		1158	1339	6102

1 . . .

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY RATE CASE FOR YEAR ENDED 6/30/03 CITIES' 17, QUESTION 32													
		04-I&M Total 06-WPCo	06-WPCo		06-WPCo Total 07-OPCo	07-OPCo		07-OPCo Total	10-CSP		10-CSP Tot	10-CSP Total 61-AEPSC	
AS OF DATE	NonMgr TOTAL		Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr
9/1/2002	2009	2518	7	9	19	380	1865		180	972	1152		
10/1/2002	2004	2512	7	9	19	381	1864			973	1155		
11/1/2002	1993	2500	7	9	29	380	1863		182	896	1150	50 1297	<u>.</u>
12/1/2002	1924		7	09	9	374		2234			1142		1 5570
1/1/2003	1888	2362	9	52	28	370	1856		179	952	1131	1224	
2/1/2003	3 1865		9	52	ũ	372			180		11		
3/1/2003	1863		9	52	58		1826				11		
4/1/2003	3 1868		9	51	57	374	1827		L	933	1109		
5/1/2003	3 1868	2332	9	2	ũ	373	1829				11	1105 1185	
6/1/2003	3 1863		9	52	58	373	1820		177	923	1100		
7/1/2003	3 1862	2324	9	52	ñ		1822	2196	177	926	1103	1172	
8/1/2003	3 1864	2325	9	52	58	370	1815	2185	177	923	1100	1166	6 5142
9/1/2003	1857	2314	မှ	51	25	375	1808	2183	176	921	1097	97 1170	0 5126
10/1/2003	1859	2314	9	51	25	378	1795	2173	175	927	1102	1171	1 5115
11/1/2003	3 1855	2311	9	51	57	381	1794	2175	175	917	7601	1159	9 5068
12/1/2003	1854	2313	9	51	22	377	1792	2169	181	914	1095	1163	3 5071

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY					$\left \cdot \right $									
KATE CASE FOR TEAR ENDED 6/30/03 CITIES' 17, QUESTION 32								_						
	61-AEPSC Total	61-CSS		61-CSS Total	al CPL		CPL Total	PSO		PSO Total	WTU		WTU Total	Grand Total
AS OF DATE	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr	TOTAL	Mgr	NonMgr		-	NonMgr		Mgr	NonMgr		
6661/1/1			1263							1225		742	911	
2/1/1999	9 5159					7 1211				1235		741	91	
3/1/1999		602			3152 672							1478	181	
4/1/1999					- 1							738	606	
5/1/1999				•								738	907	
6/1/1999		313			1608 339	1216	•		1037	1235		748	917	
7/1/1999					1802 339	9 1222		L.		1134		749	917	
8/1/1999			1462		,	8 1223				1134		750	918	
9/1/1999	5043	323			1799 337		1556		939	1133	3 167	739	906	
10/1/1999			1474			6 1213				1131		734	06	
11/1/1999										1125		736	902	
12/1/1999					L		1552	192		1125		742	908	
1/1/2000					91 341	1 1215			932	1125	171	736	.06	
2/1/2000	7 4930				1782 33					1127		738	910	
3/1/2000										1130		739	910	
4/1/2000			1457	17						1137		740	606	9 21959
5/1/2000					1746 342			192	943	1136		733	06	
6/1/2000		316								1136		732	905	
0007/1/2							1557	186		1131		732	896	
8/1/2000					Ь				932	1111	158	727	885	
9/1/2000			1315	•					,	1089		695	84	
10/1/2000					-			_		1059		607	74	
11/1/2000		285						- 1	897	1057		604	74	
12/1/2000			1381	16	1669 321	1 1156		- 1		1041		593	73	
1/1/2001	6893				31			· 1			135	574	709	
2/1/2001					309				863			277	71	
3/1/2001					õ		1398					575	71	
4/1/2001					312							575	71	
5/1/2001					309			_		1017		269	20	
6/1/2001					310				854	1016	136	579	71	
7/1/2001					311					1025		280	71	
8/1/2001	1 7302				310			162		1026		576	71	
9/1/2001					30		1395			1021		268	2	
10/1/2001					305					1009	134	264	69	
11/1/2001					ŝ			L		1006		295	695	
12/1/2001					304			164		1001	132	264	69	
1/1/2002					30	1068				66		564	69	
2/1/2002					299			160		994	131	266	269	7 20756
3/1/2002					21					966		227	89	
4/1/2002					214				898	266		548	929	
2007/1/9					21:					286		547	672	
6/1/2002					21			_	864	686		548	.29	
7/1/2002					212					986	123	547	670	
8/1/2002	7441				21	1 1159	1370	107	884	991	Ì	545	999	3 20719

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY RATE CASE FOR YEAR ENDED 6/30/03 CITIES' 17, QUESTION 32												
	61-AEPSC Total	61-CSS	161-CSS Total	CPL	CPL Total IPSO	PSO		PSO Total IWTI	- III		WTU Total	WTU Total Grand Total
AS OF DATE		Mgr NonMgr		Mgr NonMg	1	Mgr NonMgr	Т.		Mgr NonMgr	Т.		
9/1/2002	7132			165 1192	1357	106	890	966	95	265	099	20359
10/1/2002	22 7030			161 1196	1357	105	892	266	92	565	099	20259
11/1/2002	22 6981			160 1190		105	895	1000	93	290	653	20173
12/1/2002	02 6851			160 1186	36 1346	105	891	966	93	556	649	19900
1/1/2003	33 6555			L.	1242	105	888	993	78	515	593	19342
2/1/2003	33 6480			131 1092		106	879	985	78	512	290	19082
3/1/2003	3 6482			131 1087	37 1218	105	873	978	80	505	585	19028
4/1/2003	33 6461			128 1086	36 1214	106	698	975	79	504	583	19017
5/1/2003	33 6419			126 1082	1208	106	870	976	79	501	580	18948
6/1/2003	3 6340			128 1081		106	873	979	79	501	280	18840
7/1/2003	33 6313			130 1085	1215	105	998	971	79	501	280	18816
8/1/2003	9069 6308			140 1073	73 1213	106	864	970	78	200	578	18780
9/1/2003	3 6296			139 1071	1210	119	945	1064	92	418	483	18738
10/1/2003	33 6286			138 1069	1207	119	944	1063	65	417	482	18713
11/1/2003	33 6227			138 1062	1200	121	940	1061	92	416	481	18632
12/1/2003	33 6234			136 1061	1197	120	940	1060	65	416	481	18623

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 35:

Provide the number of (1) management; and (2) non-management employees used in each of the Company's labor cost adjustments.

Response No. 35:

For AEP-TCC there were 71 management and 916 non-management employees used in the labor adjustment. For AEPSC, there were 1,194 management and 5,185 non-management employees used in the labor adjustment.

Prepared By: Gary W. Moore Title: Sr. Accounting Consultant Sponsored By: Randall W. Hamlett Title: Mgr. Regulatory Accounting

Services

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 8	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 36:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-11, does each REP provide its own billing and collection services for end-use customers? If no, explain.

Response No. 36:

It is each REP's responsibility to determine how they provide billing and collection services. TCC does not have this information.

Prepared By: Jeffry L. Laine Title: Dir. Customer Choice Ops. Sponsored By: Charles D. Via Title: Mrg. Processes & Policies

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 37:

Provide a description of all metering-related services provided to REP's by AEP/TCC. Identify and describe each rate charged for these services.

Response No. 37:

AEP/TCC does not provide specific metering-related services to REP's. The metering-related services are related to providing billing to the REP's. See the Response to Cities 2nd RFI Question Nos.11 & 12. AEP/TCC does not provide a separate rate for T&D metering-related services to REPs. Any costs related to providing T&D service are included in the approved distribution charges to the REP.

Prepared By: Don Moncrief Title: Director, Regulatory Pricing

& Analysis

Sponsored By: Don Moncrief Title: Director, Regulatory Pricing

& Analysis

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	§ §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 38:

Provide a description of all T&D customer service-related services provided to REP's by AEP/TCC. Identify and describe each rate charged for these services.

Response No. 38:

See the Response to Cities 2nd RFI Question Nos.11 & 12. AEP/TCC does not provide a separate rate for T&D customer service-related services to REPs. Any costs related to providing T&D service are included in the approved distribution charges to the REP.

Prepared By: Don Moncrief Title: Director, Regulatory Pricing

& Analysis

Sponsored By: Don Moncrief Title: Director, Regulatory Pricing

& Analysis

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 8	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 39:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-14, provide copies of the final bids received on or about December 5, 2003 and compute the expected gains on each generation-related asset assuming the highest bid is accepted. Include supporting calculations.

Response No. 39:

The Company has filed an objection to this question.

Prepared By: David G. Carpenter Title: Director Regulatory Services
Sponsored By: David G. Carpenter Title: Director Regulatory Services

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 40:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-16, provide the (1) merger savings; and (2) rate reductions for the period July 2003 to 2005.

Response No. 40:

Please see the attached. The period July 2003 to 2005 would correspond to years 4, 5 and 6 in the attachment.

Lauri S. White Title: Regulatory Specialist Prepared By: Sponsored By: David G. Carpenter Title: Director, Texas Regulatory Services

TCC MERGER SAVINGS RATE REDUCTIONS

Merger Savings Rate Reductions		23,807	23,807	23,807	23,807	15,987	16,634	127,849
Supplemental Rate Reduction Rider		4,807	4,807	4,807	4,807	4,807	4,807	28,842
Rate Reduction Rider		15,337	12,001	10,159	8,788			46,285
Net Merger Savings Rate Reduction Rider	(sc	3,663	666'9	8,841	10,212	11,180	11,827	52,722
N Net Merger Savings	(\$000)	5,982	12,653	16,338	19,080	21,017	22,309	97,379
Total Cost to Achieve		6,176	6,176	6,176	6,176	6,176	6,176	37,056
Tx Retail Gross Merger Savings		12,158	18,829	22,514	25,256	27,193	28,485	134,435
Year		_	2	က	4	2	9	•

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 41:

Referring to Company response to Cities 1-1, explain what caused the \$51.6 million increase in O&M expenses excluding pensions and DSM. Include a comparison by FERC account.

Response No. 41:

As stated in TCC's response to Cities' Second Request for Information, Question No. 19, it is not possible to compare the "forecasted" costs associated with Docket No. 22352 to test year costs because the "forecasted" amounts were part of a settlement. More precisely, Docket No. 22352 was settled on the basis of all parties agreeing to an overall revenue requirement for TCC of \$411 million. As part of the settlement agreement, the parties developed a rate base and revenue requirement by function in order that TCC's transmission cost of service could be calculated. However, the settlement agreement specifically foreclosed the possibility that the individual components of the cost of service would have any meaning standing alone.

Specifically, the settlement agreement stated of the \$411 million revenue requirement:

Article II

Revenue Requirements

The following revenue requirements (net of revenue credits) for each of the EDCs are reasonable and should be adopted by the Commission:

	ERCOT Transmission (000)	Texas Retail <u>Distribution</u> (000)	<u>Total</u> (000)
CPL-EDC WTU-EDC	\$103,022 \$33,770	\$307,978 \$104,230	\$411,000 \$138,000
SWEPCO-EDC	N/A	\$94,000	\$94,000

With the exception of certain transmission investments addressed in Article IX below, the above revenue requirement levels are not based on the adoption

or rejection of any specific cost or revenue item or adjustment proposed by any Signatory. Rather, the Signatories agree that the revenue requirements are just and reasonable and are consistent with Section 36.051 of PURA only as total amounts and only when considered in context with all other issues resolved in this Agreement. A summary of each EDC's rate base and cost of service is included on Exhibit A to this Agreement.

The settlement agreement further stated in Article XXI, A and B:

Implementation of the Agreement

- A. This Agreement is binding on each Signatory only for the purpose of settling the issues herein and for no other purpose. The Signatories acknowledge and agree that a Signatory's support of the matters contained in this Agreement may differ from its position or testimony in other dockets and cases not referenced in this Agreement. To the extent that there is a difference, a Signatory does not waive its position in such other dockets. Because this is a settlement agreement, a Signatory is under no obligation to take the same position as set out in this Agreement in other dockets not referenced in this Agreement whether those dockets present the same or a different set of circumstances. The Signatories reserve their rights in these dockets to litigate all issues in these dockets against parties who do not sign this Agreement.
- B. This Agreement represents a compromise, settlement and accommodation among the Signatories, and all Signatories agree that the terms and conditions herein are interdependent and no Signatory shall be bound by any portion of this Agreement outside the context of the Agreement as a whole.

Thus, the O&M plus A&G expense level of \$121.4 million in the Docket No. 22352 settlement agreement has no meaning in any proceeding other than Docket No. 22352, and in that case it had no meaning when considered outside the context of an agreement that TCC's revenue requirement was \$411 million.

Since the parties agreed only to an ultimate revenue requirement, there was no agreement as to the reasonableness of or the components of the individual O&M amount of \$121.4 million and, therefore, it is not possible to explain why test year actual expenses are higher than that number. In evaluating TCC's test year O&M costs, it is clear that the O&M amount in the Docket No. 22352 settlement agreement was unrealistically low.

TCC cannot perform a comparison by FERC account as requested, since the O&M amount in the settlement agreement was not broken down into FERC accounts.

Prepared By: David G. Carpenter Title: Director, Texas Regulatory

Services

Sponsored By: David G. Carpenter Title: Director, Texas Regulatory

Services

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 42:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-19 Supplemental, explain why unadjusted O&M plus A&G increased \$46.878 million or 39%. Include a comparison by FERC account.

Response No. 42:

Please see the Company's response to Cities' 17th Request for Information, Question No. 41.

Prepared By:	Randall W. Hamlett	Title:	Manager, Regulatory Accounting Services
	David G. Carpenter	Title:	Director, Texas Regulatory Services
Sponsored By:	Randall W. Hamlett	Title:	Manager, Regulatory
•	David G. Carpenter	Title	Accounting Services Director, Texas Regulatory
	David G. Carpenter	Title.	Services

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	& &	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 43:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-19 Supplemental, explain why pro forma O&M plus A&G increased \$55.139 million or 45%. Include a comparison by FERC account.

Response No. 43:

Please see the Company's response to Cities' 17th Request for Information, Question No. 41.

Prepared By:	Randall W. Hamlett	Title:	Manager, Regulatory Accounting Services
	David G. Carpenter	Title:	Director, Texas Regulatory Services
Sponsored By:	Randall W. Hamlett	Title:	Manager, Regulatory Accounting Services
	David G. Carpenter	Title:	Director, Texas Regulatory

Services

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	8 §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 8	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 44:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-19 Supplemental, provide a breakdown of unadjusted O&M plus A&G for forecasted 2002 and YE 6/03 by FERC account and by labor and non-labor costs.

Response No. 44:

A comparison by FERC account and/or by labor and non-labor costs cannot be provided. The settlement approved by the Commission in Docket No. 22352 as the basis for TCC's current T&D rates cannot be broken down by FERC account or labor/non labor information. Please see also the response to Cities' 17th Request for Information, Question No. 41.

Prepared By: Randall W. Hamlett Title: Manager, Regulatory

Accounting Services

Sponsored By: Randall W. Hamlett Title: Manager, Regulatory

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 45:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-19 Supplemental, provide a breakdown of proforma O&M plus A&G for forecasted 2002 and YE 6/03 by FERC account and by labor and non-labor costs.

Response No. 45:

Please see the response to Cities' 17th Request for Information, Question No.44.

Prepared By: Randall W. Hamlett Title: Manager, Regulatory

Accounting Services

Sponsored By: Randall W. Hamlett Title: Manager, Regulatory

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 8	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 46:

Provide a reconciliation of (1) the amounts shown in Company response to Cities 2-19 Supplemental; and (2) the amounts shown in Company Schedule I-A-1.

Response No. 46:

Please see the attached reconciliation.

Prepared By: Susan C. Franke Title: Senior Accounting

Consultant

Sponsored By: Randall W. Hamlett Title: Manager, Regulatory

AEP Texas Central Company Cities 17th, Question #46

-	Cities 2nd-19	Schedule 1-A-I	Difference
Proforma Test Year			
O&M plus A&G	176,517,984		
TCOS	75,663,655		
Demand Side Management	8,034,779		
Total O&M plus A&G	260,216,418	260,216,378	40
Depreciation and Amortization	73,922,824	73,922,932	(108)
Taxes Other Than FIT	64,458,519	64,458,522	(3)
FIT	35,920,851	35,920,851	(0)
Settlement	-		-
Nuclear Decommissioning	8,156,968	8,156,968	•
Rate Base	1,343,448,989		
	0.08562		
Return	115,023,416	115,023,416	(0)
Revenue Credits	(37,781,025)	(37,781,025)	(0)
Revenues	453,441,939		
Revenue Deficiency	66,476,031		

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	§ §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 47:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-19 Supplemental, explain the rate base differences. Include a comparison by each rate base component.

Response No. 47:

A comparison by rate base component cannot be provided. The settlement approved by the Commission in Docket No. 22352 as the basis for TCC's current T&D rates, cannot be broken down by rate base component. Please see the response to Cities' 17th Request for Information, Question No.41.

Prepared By: Randall W. Hamlett Title: Manager, Regulatory

Accounting Services

Sponsored By: Randall W. Hamlett Title: Manager, Regulatory

8

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Question No. 48:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-19 Supplemental, explain the differences in the revenue credits.

Response No. 48:

See the response to Cities' 17th Request for Information, Question No.41 for explanation regarding the impracticality of comparing the results of the UCOS case to the test year costs. In general, the increases in revenue credits in the test year are attributable to several factors: 1) revenue sources not included in the UCOS projections (e.g., LCRA payments); 2) a somewhat greater level of activity in the 2003 test year that was forecasted in the UCOS proceedings for miscellaneous services; 3) increased rents from building, office rental and pole attachment; 4) and greater than projected revenue from other electric revenue.

Prepared By: Judie L. Hackerott Title: Regulatory Consultant I Sponsored By: Don Moncrief Title: Director, Regulatory Pricing

& Analysis

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	§ §	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	§ §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Ouestion No. 49:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-19 Supplemental, explain the differences in DSM costs. Include a comparison of DSM costs by project or category.

Response No. 49:

The increase in DSM costs is the product of several factors. For the rates established in Docket No. 22352, the applicable DSM goal was 5%. By contrast, the DSM goal applicable to the current rate proceedings is 10%. In addition, TCC has experienced increased demand since rates were established in Docket No. 22352, which also increased the end result kw demand reduction and kwh energy savings required to achieve the higher goal.

TCC's primary proposal for recovery of DSM costs under the rates set in this docket consists of a "cost recovery factor" discussed in pages 34-37 of Mr. Berny's testimony. The June 30, 2003 proforma DSM costs listed in the supplemental response to Cities' 2-19 relate to TCC's alternative recommendations that it be allowed to recover in its cost of service the average of its energy efficiency expenditures in the calendar years 2003, 2004 and 2005. The components of these expenditures are discussed in pages 37-39 of Mr. Berny's testimony and in Exhibits BGB-4 and BGB-5 attached to Mr. Berny's testimony and are further detailed in tables V1.1 through V1.4 of TCC's April 1, 2003 energy efficiency plan filed in P.U.C. Project No. 27541.

Prepared By: David G. Carpenter Title: Director Regulatory Services

Randall W. Hamlett Mgr. Regulatory Accounting

Services

Sponsored By: Gary W. Moore Title: Sr. Accounting Consultant

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS	8 8	BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE
CENTRAL COMPANY FOR	§ §	OF
AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES	8 §	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY'S RESPONSE TO **CITIES' SEVENTEENTH REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

Question No. 50:

Referring to Company response to Cities 2-19 Supplemental, explain the "TCOS" differences.

Response No. 50:

TCOS is a function of the Company's load and the rates charged by the various transmission entities in ERCOT (i.e. load times rates equal TCOS expense). As one would expect, the Company's actual load is different from that forecasted in 2002. In addition transmission rates have changed since the UCOS case was settled in Docket No. 22352.

Title: Manager, Regulatory Prepared By: Randall W. Hamlett

Accounting Services

Title: Manager, Regulatory Sponsored By: Randall W. Hamlett

PUC DOCKET NO. 28840 SOAH DOCKET NO. 473-04-1033

APPLICATION OF AEP TEXAS § BEFORE THE STATE OFFICE

CENTRAL COMPANY FOR § OF

AUTHORITY TO CHANGE RATES § ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

STATEMENT UNDER SECTION 4 OF PROTECTIVE ORDER DESIGNATING CONFIDENTIAL AND HIGHLY SENSITIVE PROTECTED MATERIALS

Certain materials provided in AEP Texas Central Company's ("TCC") response to Cities' Seventeenth Request for Information, Question Nos. 12 and 13, are highly sensitive protected materials and exempt from public disclosure pursuant to Sections 552.101, 552.104, and 552.110(b) of the Public Information Act ("Act"). Under the Act, information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision, is excepted from public disclosure. Commercial or financial information is confidential and excepted from disclosure if its release would give an advantage to a competitor or bidder or cause substantial competitive harm to the person from whom the information was obtained.

Cities has requested detailed information regarding the compensation and incentives paid to the top ten executives of American Electric Power Company, Inc. ("AEP") and AEP/TCC for the year ended June 20, 2003. The requested information for AEP and AEP/TCC's top five executives is publicly available information, and TCC is therefore supplying it in response to these requests without any confidential or highly sensitive designation. However, the rest of the responsive information concerning the remaining five top executives for each company is highly sensitive protected information that AEP and AEP/TCC keep confidential and do not disclose to the public.

The requested non-public information concerning the compensation paid and incentives given to AEP's and AEP/TCC's other five top executives is commercially sensitive business and financial information, the public disclosure of which could cause AEP and AEP/TCC substantial competitive injury. Among other things, this sensitive internal information concerning executive pay and incentives could be used by competitors to devise compensation schemes and

¹ TEX. GOV'T CODE ANN., Chapter 552 (West Supp. 2004).

² *Id.* § 552.101.

³ *Id.* §§ 552.104(a) and 552.110(b).

employment offers designed to lure top executives away from AEP and AEP/TCC and thereby gain a competitive advantage. In addition, keeping this highly sensitive executive compensation information confidential is necessary to facilitate effective management of employee compensation. This information is of such a highly sensitive nature that making copies of such materials or providing access to such materials to employees of a reviewing party, except as set forth in the Protective Order (Order No. 2), would expose AEP and AEP/TCC to unreasonable risk of harm. For these reasons, this information is confidential by law, exempt from public disclosure, and should be designated Highly Sensitive Protected Material, consistent with the terms of the Protective Order. Counsel for TCC has reviewed the information sufficiently to state in good faith that the information is exempt from disclosure under the Public Information Act and merits the protected materials and highly sensitive designation.

Respectfully submitted,

Larry W. Brewer
State Bar No. 02965550
Rhonda Colbert Ryan
State Bar No. 17478800
AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, INC.
400 West 15th Street, Suite 610
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 481-3320 – Telephone
(512) 481-4591 – Facsimile

John F. Williams
State Bar No. 21554100
Jeff Tippets
State Bar No. 24009121
CLARK, THOMAS & WINTERS,
A Professional Corporation
300 West 6th Street, 15th Floor
Austin, Texas 78701
(512) 472-8800 – Telephone
(512) 474-1129 – Facsimile

Philip F. Ricketts State Bar No. 16882500 BRACEWELL & PATTERSON, L.L.P. 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 2300 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 472-7800 – Telephone (512) 472-9123 – Facsimile

By: John H. Williams

ATTORNEYS FOR AEP TEXAS CENTRAL COMPANY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify by my signature below that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been forwarded to all counsel of record by hand delivery or first class mail on this 5th day of January,

John F. Williams