ENTERGY GULF STATES, INC. PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION OF TEXAS Docket No. 22356

Response of: Entergy Gulf States, Inc. to the Fifty Eighth Set of Data Requests

of Requesting Party: Cities

Prepared By: Mark Young Sponsoring Witness: Robert Hahne Beginning Sequence No. Ending Sequence No.

Question No.: CITIES 58 -4 Part No.: Addendum:

Question:

Refer to Hahne rebuttalt at RLH-1R. Make available for review a complete copy of *NARUC Guidelines for Cost Allocations and Affiliate Transactions*, adopted July 1999.

Response:

A complete copy of *NARUC Guidelines for Cost Allocations and Affiliate Transactions*, adopted in July 1999 is attached for your reference. In addition, this document can be found on the NARUC website at www.naruc.org.

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REGULATORY UTILITY COMMISSIONER

Staff Subcommittee on Accounts

TIM DEVLIN, CHAIRMAN (850) 413-6400

DENISE PARRISH, VICE CHAIRMAN (307) 777-5143



WILLIAM A. MEYER, JR., SECRETARY (314) 751-5026

BECKY PHILLIPS, REGISTRAR (502) 564-3940

GUIDELINES FOR

COST ALLOCATIONS AND AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS

The following Guidelines for Cost Allocations and Affiliate Transactions (Guidelines) are intended to provide guidance to jurisdictional regulatory authorities and regulated utilities and their affiliates in the development of procedures and recording of transactions for services and products between a regulated entity and affiliates. The prevailing premise of these Guidelines is that allocation methods should not result in subsidization of non-regulated services or products by regulated entities unless authorized by the jurisdictional regulatory authority. These Guidelines are not intended to be rules or regulations prescribing how cost allocations and affiliate transactions are to be handled. They are intended to provide a framework for regulated entities and regulatory authorities in the development of their own policies and procedures for cost allocations and affiliated transactions. Variation in regulatory environment may justify different cost allocation methods than those embodied in the Guidelines.

The Guidelines acknowledge and reference the use of several different practices and methods. It is intended that there be latitude in the application of these guidelines, subject to regulatory oversight. The implementation and compliance with these cost allocations and affiliate transaction guidelines, by regulated utilities under the authority of jurisdictional regulatory commissions, is subject to Federal and state law. Each state or Federal regulatory commission may have unique situations and circumstances that govern affiliate transactions, cost allocations, and/or service or product pricing standards. For example, The Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 requires registered holding company systems to price "at cost" the sale of goods and services and the undertaking of construction contracts between affiliate companies.

The Guidelines were developed by the NARUC Staff Subcommittee on Accounts in compliance with the Resolution passed on March 3, 1998 entitled "Resolution Regarding Cost Allocation for the Energy Industry" which directed the Staff Subcommittee on Accounts together with the Staff Subcommittees on Strategic Issues and Gas to prepare for NARUC's consideration, "Guidelines for Energy Cost Allocations." In addition, input was requested from other industry parties. Various levels of input were obtained in the development of the Guidelines from the Edison Electric Institute, American Gas Association, Securities and Exchange Commission, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Rural Utilities Service and the National Rural Electric Cooperatives Association as well as staff of various state public utility commissions.

In some instances, non-structural safeguards as contained in these guidelines may not be sufficient to prevent market power problems in strategic markets such as the generation market. Problems arise when a firm has the ability to raise prices above market for a sustained period and/or impede output of a product or service. Such concerns have led some states to develop codes of conduct to govern relationships between the regulated

http://www.naruc.org/comm/FinanTechn/Accounts/guidelin1.htm

3/29/2000

utility and its non-regulated affiliates. Consideration should be given to any "unique" advantages an incumbent utility would have over competitors in an emerging market such as the retail energy market. A code of conduct should be used in conjunction with guidelines on cost allocations and affiliate transactions.

A. DEFINITIONS

- 1. Affiliates companies that are related to each other due to common ownership or control.
- Attestation Engagement one in which a certified public accountant who is in the practice
 of public accounting is contracted to issue a written communication that expresses a
 conclusion about the reliability of a written assertion that is the responsibility of another
 party.
- 3. <u>Cost Allocation Manual (CAM)</u> an indexed compilation and documentation of a company's cost allocation policies and related procedures.
- 4. <u>Cost Allocations</u> the methods or ratios used to apportion costs. A cost allocator can be based on the origin of costs, as in the case of cost drivers; cost-causative linkage of an indirect nature; or one or more overall factors (also known as general allocators).
- 5. <u>Common Costs</u> costs associated with services or products that are of joint benefit between regulated and non-regulated business units.
- 6. <u>Cost Driver</u> a measurable event or quantity which influences the level of costs incurred and which can be directly traced to the origin of the costs themselves.
- 7. Direct Costs costs which can be specifically identified with a particular service or product.
- 8. <u>Fully Allocated costs</u> the sum of the direct costs plus an appropriate share of indirect costs.
- 9. <u>Incremental pricing</u> pricing services or products on a basis of only the additional costs added by their operations while one or more pre-existing services or products support the fixed costs.
- 10. <u>Indirect Costs</u> costs that cannot be identified with a particular service or product. This includes but not limited to overhead costs, administrative and general, and taxes.
- 11. Non-regulated that which is not subject to regulation by regulatory authorities.
- 12. <u>Prevailing Market Pricing</u> a generally accepted market value that can be substantiated by clearly comparable transactions, auction or appraisal.
- 13. Regulated that which is subject to regulation by regulatory authorities.
- 14. <u>Subsidization</u> the recovery of costs from one class of customers or business unit that are attributable to another.

B. COST ALLOCATION PRINCIPLES

The following allocation principles should be used whenever products or services are provided between a regulated utility and its non-regulated affiliate or division.

1. To the maximum extent practicable, in consideration of administrative costs, costs should be collected and classified on a direct basis for each asset, service or product provided.

- 2. The general method for charging indirect costs should be on a fully allocated cost basis. Under appropriate circumstances, regulatory authorities may consider incremental cost, prevailing market pricing or other methods for allocating costs and pricing transactions among affiliates.
- 3. To the extent possible, all direct and allocated costs between regulated and non-regulated services and products should be traceable on the books of the applicable regulated utility to the applicable Uniform System of Accounts. Documentation should be made available to the appropriate regulatory authority upon request regarding transactions between the regulated utility and its affiliates.
- 4. The allocation methods should apply to the regulated entity's affiliates in order to prevent subsidization from, and ensure equitable cost sharing among the regulated entity and its affiliates, and vice versa.
- 5. All costs should be classified to services or products which, by their very nature, are either regulated, non-regulated, or common to both.
- 6. The primary cost driver of common costs, or a relevant proxy in the absence of a primary cost driver, should be identified and used to allocate the cost between regulated and non-regulated services or products.
- 7. The indirect costs of each business unit, including the allocated costs of shared services, should be spread to the services or products to which they relate using relevant cost allocators.

C. COST ALLOCATION MANUAL (NOT TARIFFED)

Each entity that provides both regulated and non-regulated services or products should maintain a cost allocation manual (CAM) or its equivalent and notify the jurisdictional regulatory authorities of the CAM's existence. The determination of what, if any, information should be held confidential should be based on the statutes and rules of the regulatory agency that requires the information. Any entity required to provide notification of a CAM(s) should make arrangements as necessary and appropriate to ensure competitively sensitive information derived therefrom be kept confidential by the regulator. At a minimum, the CAM should contain the following:

- An organization chart of the holding company, depicting all affiliates, and regulated entities.
- 2. A description of all assets, services and products provided to and from the regulated entity and each of its affiliates.
- A description of all assets, services and products provided by the regulated entity to nonaffiliates.
- 4. A description of the cost allocators and methods used by the regulated entity and the cost allocators and methods used by its affiliates related to the regulated services and products provided to the regulated entity.

D. AFFILIATE TRANSACTIONS (NOT TARIFFED)

The affiliate transactions pricing guidelines are based on two assumptions. First, affiliate transactions raise the concern of self-dealing where market forces do not necessarily drive prices. Second, utilities have a natural business incentive to shift costs from non-regulated competitive operations to regulated monopoly operations since recovery is more certain with captive ratepayers. Too much flexibility will lead to subsidization. However, if the affiliate transaction pricing guidelines are too rigid, economic transactions may be discouraged.

The objective of the affiliate transactions' guidelines is to lessen the possibility of

http://www.naruc.org/comm/FinanTechn/Accounts/guidelin1.htm

3/29/2000

subsidization in order to protect monopoly ratepayers and to help establish and preserve competition in the electric generation and the electric and gas supply markets. It provides ample flexibility to accommodate exceptions where the outcome is in the best interest of the utility, its ratepayers and competition. As with any transactions, the burden of proof for any exception from the general rule rests with the proponent of the exception.

- 1. Generally, the price for services, products and the use of assets provided by a regulated entity to its non-regulated affiliates should be at the higher of fully allocated costs or prevailing market prices. Under appropriate circumstances, prices could be based on incremental cost, or other pricing mechanisms as determined by the regulator.
- 2. Generally, the price for services, products and the use of assets provided by a non-regulated affiliate to a regulated affiliate should be at the lower of fully allocated cost or prevailing market prices. Under appropriate circumstances, prices could be based on incremental cost, or other pricing mechanisms as determined by the regulator.
- 3. Generally, transfer of a capital asset from the utility to its non-regulated affiliate should be at the greater of prevailing market price or net book value, except as otherwise required by law or regulation. Generally, transfer of assets from an affiliate to the utility should be at the lower of prevailing market price or net book value, except as otherwise required by law or regulation. To determine prevailing market value, an appraisal should be required at certain-value thresholds as determined by regulators.
- 4. Entities should maintain all information underlying affiliate transactions with the affiliated utility for a minimum of three years, or as required by law or regulation.

E. AUDIT REQUIREMENTS

- 1. An audit trail should exist with respect to all transactions between the regulated entity and its affiliates that relate to regulated services and products. The regulator should have complete access to all affiliate records necessary to ensure that cost allocations and affiliate transactions are conducted in accordance with the guidelines. Regulators should have complete access to affiliate records, consistent with state statutes, to ensure that the regulator has access to all relevant information necessary to evaluate whether subsidization exists. The auditors, not the audited utilities, should determine what information is relevant for a particular audit objective. Limitations on access would compromise the audit process and impair audit independence.
- 2. Each regulated entity's cost allocation documentation should be made available to the company's internal auditors for periodic review of the allocation policy and process and to any jurisdictional regulatory authority when appropriate and upon request.
- 3. Any jurisdictional regulatory authority may request an independent attestation engagement of the CAM. The cost of any independent attestation engagement associated with the CAM, should be shared between regulated and non-regulated operations consistent with the allocation of similar common costs.
- 4. Any audit of the CAM should not otherwise limit or restrict the authority of state regulatory authorities to have access to the books and records of and audit the operations of jurisdictional utilities.
- 5. Any entity required to provide access to its books and records should make arrangements as necessary and appropriate to ensure that competitively sensitive information derived therefrom be kept confidential by the regulator.

F. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. The regulated entity should report annually the dollar amount of non-tariffed transactions associated with the provision of each service or product and the use or sale of each asset for the following:

- a. Those provided to each non-regulated affiliate.
- b. Those received from each non-regulated affiliate.
- c. Those provided to non-affiliated entities.
- 2. Any additional information needed to assure compliance with these Guidelines, such as cost of service data necessary to evaluate subsidization issues, should be provided.



Top of Page