

Control Number: 24770



Item Number: 244

Addendum StartPage: 0

C. CINED

## OPEN MEETING COVER SHEET 22 AM 8: 37

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION FILING CLERK

**MEETING DATE:** 

May 22, 2003

**DATE DELIVERED:** 

May 22, 2003

AGENDA ITEM NO.

19

**CAPTION:** 

Docket No. 24770 – Report of Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) to the PUCT Regarding Implementation of the

**ERCOT Protocols** 

**ACTION REQUESTED:** 

Memo from Commissioner Parsley

## DISTRIBUTION:

Commissioners' Offices Adib, Parviz Featherston, David Hinkle, Martha Journeay, Stephen Lanford, Lane Mueller, Paula Durso, Susan Renfro, Mike Hunter, Tom (2) Totten, Jess Whittington, Pam Gage, Theresa Central Records

Site

Rebecca Klein Chairman Brett A. Perlman

Commissioner

Julie Parsley
Commissioner

W. Lane Lanford
Executive Director



MODE MAY 22 AM 8: 37

PUBLIC UTILITY CONHISSION
FILING CLERK

## Public Utility Commission of Texas

TO:

Chairman Rebecca Klein

Commissioner Brett A. Perlman

FROM:

Commissioner Julie Parsley

DATE:

May 21, 2003

RE:

Agenda Item No. 19; Project No. 24770, Report of the Electric Reliability Council

of Texas (ERCOT) to the PUCT Regarding Implementation of the ERCOT

Protocols

I strongly believe that the Commission needs to implement an interim measure to mitigate the effects of hockey-stick bidding. Even though industry appears willing to bear the costs associated with hockey-stick bidding by a few market participants, as well as the loss of public confidence that attends such activities, I believe that the public interest requires us to put measures in place to mitigate gaming in the market.

Due to the low costs and possibility of immediate implementation, I prefer the Modified Competitive Solution Method. I could, however, support either the Hybrid Proposal or MRRI if these solutions could be implemented quickly with minimal costs. I agree that, for ease of immediate implementation, any interim proposal should only apply ERCOT-wide when there is no zonal congestion.

I also support the sunshine policy, but disagree with market participants that this alone is enough to deter improper bidding behavior. I would support Staff's Option C, which would provide for both automatic identification of bidders over \$900 if the MCPE exceeds \$900 and Commission discretion to identify MCPE setters.

I look forward to discussing this matter at the open meeting.

It was my understanding that the Hybrid Proposal should be comparable to Staff's proposal in terms of time and costs for implementation, however, this was not confirmed through any filing.