

Control Number: 18661



Item Number: 590

Addendum StartPage: 0

PROJECT 18661

2018 NOV -2 AM 9: 21

PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION FILING CLERK

Morningstar® Document Research[™]

FORM 10-Q

TEXAS NEW MEXICO POWER CO-PNM

Filed: October 28, 2016 (period: September 30, 2016)

Quarterly report with a continuing view of a company's financial position

The information contained herein may not be copied, adapted or distributed and is not warranted to be accurate, complete or timely. The user assumes all risks for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information, except to the extent such damages or losses cannot be limited or excluded by applicable law. Past financial performance is no guarantee of future results.

159E

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016

Commission File Number	Name of Registrants, State of Incorporation, Address and Telephone Number	I.R.S. Employer Identification No.
001-32462	PNM Resources, Inc.	85-0468296
	(A New Mexico Corporation)	
	414 Silver Ave. SW	
	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102-3289	
	(505) 241-2700	
001-06986	Public Service Company of New Mexico	85-0019030
	(A New Mexico Corporation)	
	414 Silver Ave. SW	
	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102-3289	
	(505) 241-2700	
002-97230	Texas-New Mexico Power Company	75-0204070
	(A Texas Corporation)	
	577 N Garden Ridge Blvd.	
	Lewisville, Texas 75067	
	(972) 420-4189	

Indicate by check mark whether each registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

PNM Resources, Inc. ("PNMR")	YES 🗸	NO
Public Service Company of New Mexico ("PNM")	YES 🗸	NO
Texas-New Mexico Power Company ("TNMP")	YES	NO✓

(NOTE: As a voluntary filer, not subject to the filing requirements, TNMP filed all reports under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months.)

Indicate by check mark whether each registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

PNMR	YES 🗹	NO
PNM	YES 🔽	NO
TNMP	YES 🔽	NO

Indicate by check mark whether registrant i	a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated	filer or a småller reporting company (as
defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)	•	

į.	,	,	Lårge accelerated filer	Accelerated filer	Non-accelerated filer	Smaller Reporting Company
PNMR			✓			
I INDIIX				_		_
PNM					✓	
			<u> </u>			
TNMP					✓	

Indicate by check mark whether any of the registrants is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO 🗹

As of October 21, 2016, 79,653,624 shares of common stock, no par value per share, of PNMR were outstanding.

The total number of shares of common stock of PNM outstanding as of October 21, 2016 was 39,117,799 all held by PNMR (and none held by non-affiliates).

The total number of shares of common stock of TNMP outstanding as of October 21, 2016 was 6,358 all held indirectly by PNMR (and none held by non-affiliates).

PNM AND TNMP MEET THE CONDITIONS SET FORTH IN GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS (H) (1) (a) AND (b) OF FORM 10-Q AND ARE THEREFORE FILING THIS FORM WITH THE REDUCED DISCLOSURE FORMAT PURSUANT TO GENERAL INSTRUCTION (H) (2).

This combined Form 10-Q is separately filed by PNMR, PNM, and TNMP. Information contained herein relating to any individual registrant is filed by such registrant on its own behalf. Each registrant makes no representation as to information relating to the other registrants. When this Form 10-Q is incorporated by reference into any filing with the SEC made by PNMR, PNM, or TNMP, as a registrant, the portions of this Form 10-Q that relate to each other registrant are not incorporated by reference therein.

INDEX

	Page No
GLOSSARY	4
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)	
PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings	8
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	9
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	10
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	12
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity	14
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings	15
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	16
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	17
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	19
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity	21
TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings	22
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	23
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	24
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Common Stockholder's Equity	26
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	27
ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	76
ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	112
ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	115
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	116
ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS	116
ITEM 6. EXHIBITS	117
SIGNATURE	118

GLOSSARY

Definitions:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ABCWUA	Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority
Afton	Afton Generating Station
AFUDC	Allowance for Funds Used During Construction
ALJ	Administrative L'aw Judge
AMI	Advanced Metering Infrastructure
AMS	Advanced Meter System .
AOCI	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income
APS ·	Arizona Public Service Company, the operator and a co-owner of PVNGS and Four Comers
ASU	Accounting Standards Update
BACT	Best Available Control Technology
BART	Best Available Retrofit Technology
BDT	Balanced Draft Technology
BHP	BHP Billiton, Ltd
Board	Board of Directors of PNMR
BTMÜ	The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd.
BTMU Term Loan	and workers the state of the st
Agreement	NM Capital's \$125.0 Million Unsecured Term Loan
BTU	British Thermal Unit
CAA	· Clean Air Act
CCB	Coal Combustion Byproducts
CCN	Certificate of Convenience and Necessity
CO₂	Carbon Dioxide
CSA	Coal Supply Agreement
СТС	Competition Transition Charge
DC Circuit	United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit
Delta	Delta-Person Generating Station, now known as Rio Bravo
DOE	United States Department of Energy
DOI	United States Department of Interior
EGU	Electric Generating Unit
EIP	Eastern Interconnection Project
EIS	Environmental Impact Study
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
Exchange Act	Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Farmington	The City of Farmington, New Mexico
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FIP	Federal Implementation Plan
Four Comers	Four Corners Power Plant
FPPAC.	Fuel and Purchased Power Adjustment Clause
FTY ·	Future Test Year
.GAAP	Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America
GHG	Greenhouse Gas Emissions
ĞWh	Gigawatt hours

Table of Contents

IRP Integrated Resource Plan IRS Internal Revenue Service

ISFSI Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation

KW Kilowatt KWh Kilowatt Hour

La Luz Generating Station

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

Lightning Dock

Geothermal Lightning Dock geothermal power facility, also known as the Dale Burgett Geothermal Plant

Lordsburg Generating Station
Luna Luna Energy Facility

MD&A Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

MMBTU Million BTUs

Moody's Investor Services, Inc.

MW Megawatt
MWh Megawatt Hour

NAAQS National Ambient Air Quality Standards

Navajo Acts Navajo Nation Air Pollution Prevention and Control Act, Navajo Nation Safe Drinking Water Act,

and Navajo Nation Pesticide Act

NDT Nuclear Decommissioning Trusts for PVNGS
NEC Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc.

NEE New Energy Economy

NEPA National Environmental Policy Act

NERC North American Electric Reliability Corporation

New Mexico Wind Energy Center

NM 2015 Rate Case Request for a General Increase in Electric Rates Filed by PNM on August 27, 2015

NM Capital Utility Corporation, an unregulated wholly-owned subsidiary of PNMR

 NM Supreme Court
 New Mexico Supreme Court

 NMED
 New Mexico Environment Department

 NMIEC
 New Mexico Industrial Energy Consumers Inc.

NMMMD The Mining and Minerals Division of the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources

Department

NMPRC New Mexico Public Regulation Commission

NOx Nitrogen Oxides

NOPR Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

NPDES National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRC United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NSPS New Source Performance Standards

NSR New Source Review

OCI Other Comprehensive Income
OPEB Other Post Employment Benefits

OSM United States Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

PCRBs Pollution Control Revenue Bonds

PNM Public Service Company of New Mexico and Subsidiaries, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PNMR

PNM 2014 Term Loan

Agreement PNM's \$175.0 Million Unsecured Term Loan

PNM 2016 Term Loan

Agreement PNM's \$175 0 Million Unsecured Term Loan

PNM Multi-draw Term Loan	PNM's \$125.0 Million Unsecured Multi-draw Term Loan Facility
PNM New Mexico Credit Facility	PNM's \$50.0 Million Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility
PNM Revolving Credit Facility	PNM's \$400.0 Million Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility
PNMR	PNM Resources, Inc. and Subsidiaries
PNMR 2015 Term	
Loan Agreement	PNMR's \$150 0 Million Three-Year Unsecured Term Loan
PNMR Development •	PNMR Development and Management Company, an unregulated wholly-owned subsidiary of PNMR
PNMR Revolving Credit Facility	PNMR's \$300.0 Million Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility
PNMR Term Loan Agreement	PNMR's \$150.0 Million One-Year Unsecured Term Loan
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
PSA	Power Sales Agreement
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
PÚCT ,	Public Utility Commission of Texas
PV	Photovoltaic
PVNGS	Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station
RÁ	San Juan Project Restructuring Agreement
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RCT	Reasonable Cost Threshold
REA	New Mexico's Renewable Energy Act of 2004
ŘEČ	Renewable Energy Certificates
Red Mesa Wind	Red Mesa Wind Energy Center
REP	Retail Electricity Provider
Rio Bravo	Rio Bravo Generating Station, formerly known as Delta
RMC	Risk Management Committee
ROE	Return on Equity
RPS	Renewable Energy Portfolio Standard
S&P .	Standard and Poor's Ratings Services
SCR	Selective Catalytic Reduction
SEC	United States Securities and Exchange Commission
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SJCC	San Juan Coal Company
SJGS '	San Juan Generating Station
SNCR	Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction
\widetilde{SO}_2	Sulfur Dioxide
TECA	Texas Electric Choice Act
Tenth Circuit	United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit
TNMP	Texas-New Mexico Power Company and Subsidiaries, a wholly-owned subsidiary of TNP
TNMP 2015 Bond Purchase	
Agreement	TNMP's \$60.0 Million First Mortgage Bonds
TNMP Revolving Credit Facility	TNMP's \$75.0 Million Secured Revolving Credit Facility
TNP	TNP Enterprises, Inc. and Subsidiaries, a wholly-owned subsidiary of PNMR
Tucson	Tucson Electric Power Company

Table of Contents

UG-CSA Underground Coal Sales Agreement
US Supreme Court Supreme Court of the United States

Valencia Energy Facility

VaR Value at Risk

VIE Variable Interest Entity

WACC Weighted Average Cost of Capital

WEG WildEarth Guardians

Westmoreland Coal Company

Westmoreland Loan \$125.0 Million of funding provided by NM Capital to WSJ

WSJ Westmoreland San Juan, LLC, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Westmoreland

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (Unaudited)

	,Thr	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended Septer 30,			
,		2016		2015	_	2016		2015		
			(In the	ousands, excep	t per s	share amounts))			
Electric Operating Revenues	_ S .	400,374	\$	417,433	\$	1,026,726	\$	1,103,187		
Operating Expenses:										
Cost of energy		108,766		124,255		282,498		353,939		
Administrative and general		46,942		46,375		139,214	•	130,161		
Energy production costs		31,460		42,168		112,026		129,627		
Regulatory disallowances and restructuring costs		16,451 *		_		17,225		1,744		
Depreciation and amortization		53,017		47,503	_	153,801		139,013		
Transmission and distribution costs	7	16,056	4	16,768		49,965		50,123		
Taxes other than income taxes		19,611		18,859	_	57,598		55,093		
Total operating expenses		292,303		295,928		812,327		× 859,700		
Operating income		108,071		121,505		214,399		243,487		
Other Income and Deductions:										
Interest income		4,604		1,151		18,420		4,842		
Gains on available-for-sale securities	-	4,531		, 2,536	****	15,380		12,116		
Other income		4,884		6,165		13,413		16,844		
Other (deductions)		(3,764)		• (3,222)		(10,866)	,	(10,591)		
Net other income and deductions		10,255		6,630-		36,347	_	23,211		
Interest Charges		32,467		.27,528		97,179		86,714		
Earnings before Income Taxes		85,859		100,607		153,567		179,984		
Income Taxes		27,303		35,752	٠,	50,094		61,621		
Net Earnings		58,556	ν-	64,855		103,473_		118,363		
(Earnings) Attributable to Valencia Non-controlling Interest		(4,006)		(3,678)		(11,037)	(10,909)			
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements of Subsidiary		(132)		(132)		(396)		(396)		
Net Earnings Attributable to PNMR	\$	54,418	\$	61,045	\$	92,040	\$	107,058		
Net Earnings Attributable to PNMR per Common Share:										
Basic	, \$	0.68	\$	0.77	\$	1 15 ,	\$	1.34		
Diluted	\$	0 68	\$.	0 76	\$	1 15	Š	1 34:		
Dividends Declared per Common Share	\$	0 22	\$	0.20	\$	0.66	\$	0.60		

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2016		2015	\ <u></u>	2016		2015
				(In tho	usands	5)		
Net Earnings	\$	58,556	\$	64,855	\$	103,473	\$	118,363
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):								
Unrealized Gains on Available-for-Sale Securities:								
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(1,877), \$1,200, \$(1,216) and \$(1,213)		2,933		(1,862)		1,899		1,882
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) included in net earnings, net of income tax expense of \$1,985, \$3,925, \$3,955 and \$8,838		(3,101)		(6,090)		(6,180)		(13,714)
Pension Liability Adjustment:								
Reclassification adjustment for amortization of experience losses recognized as net periodic benefit cost, net of income tax (benefit) of \$(537), \$(583), \$(1,611) and \$(1,749)		839		905		2,517		2,715
Fair Value Adjustment for Cash Flow Hedges:								
Change in fair market value, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(172), \$276, \$509 and \$276		269		(428)		(796)		(428)
Reclassification adjustment for (gams) losses included in net earnings, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$(79), \$0, \$(224) and \$0		123				349		
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		1,063		(7,475)		(2,211)		(9,545)
Comprehensive Income		59,619		57,380		101,262		108,818
Comprehensive (Income) Attributable to Valencia Non-controlling Interest		(4,006)		(3,678)		(11,037)		(10,909)
Preferred Stock Dividend Requirements of Subsidiary		(132)		(132)		(396)		(396)
Comprehensive Income Attributable to PNMR	\$	55,481	\$	53,570	\$	89,829	\$	97,513

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	Nin	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
•		2016	2015		
r		(In thousand	ids)		
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:					
Net earnings	<u>s</u>	103,473 \$	118,363		
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash flows from operating activities.					
Depreciation and amortization		178,137	165,563		
Deferred income tax expense		50,302	62,511		
Net unrealized (gains) losses on commodity derivatives		2,179	1,251		
Realized (gains) on available-for-sale securities		(15,380)	(12,116)		
Stock based compensation expense		4,401	3,748		
Regulatory disallowances and restructuring costs		17,225	1,744		
Other, net		(954)	(4,301)		
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:					
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues		(1,145)	(23,783)		
Materials, supplies, and fuel stock		(4,629)	(3,629)		
Other current assets	7	(11,819)	37,756		
Other assets		1,916	12,350		
Accounts payable		6,192	1,275		
Accrued interest and taxes		20,816	28,233		
Other current habilities		(19,431)	(12,731		
Other habilities		(10,297)	(40,662)		
Net cash flows from operating activities		320,986	335,572		
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:					
Additions to utility and non-utility plant		(502,530)	(411,606)		
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities		280,989	166,097		
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(284,706)	(166,268)		
Return of principal on PVNGS lessor notes		8,547	21,694		
Investment in Westmoreland Loan ,		(122,250)			
Principal repayments on Westmoreland Loan		15,000			
Other, net	.3	179	2,891		
Net cash flows from investing activities		(604,771)	(387,192)		

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Nine Month	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2016		2015			
	(ls)				
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:						
Revolving credit facilities borrowings, net	105	300	(3,000)			
Long-term borrowings	503	500	463,605			
Repayment of long-term debt	(288	157)	(333,066)			
Proceeds from stock option exercise	6.	668	7,394			
Awards of common stock	(14	920)	(18,955)			
Dividends paid	(52.	967)	(48,188)			
Valencia's transactions with its owner	(12	327)	(12,107)			
Other, net	(1.	682)	(5,402)			
Net cash flows from financing activities	245	415	50,281			
Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(38.	370)	(1,339)			
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	46,	051	28,274			
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 7.	681 \$	26,935			
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures:						
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 75.	537 \$	63,046			
Income taxes paid (refunded), net	\$	850 \$	(1,636)			
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:						
(Increase) decrease in accrued plant additions	\$ 30,	208 \$	(8,748)			

PNM RESOURCÉS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	Sep	tember 30, 2016	Dec	cember 31, 2015
•		(In thou	sands)	
ASSETS				
Current Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	<u></u>	7,681	\$	46,051
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$1,264 and \$1,397	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	92,060		98,699
Unbilled revenues		57,705		52,012
Other receivables	`	17,715		28,590
Current portion of Westmoreland Loan		43,553		
Materials, supplies, and fuel stock		72,015		67,386
Regulatory assets		7,558		1,070
Commodity derivative instruments		3,949		3,813
Income taxes receivable		6,904		5,845
Other current assets		89,746		82,104
Total current assets		398,886		385,570
Other Property and Investments:			<u> </u>	
Long-term portion of Westmoreland Loan		66,230		· · .
Available-for-sale securities		271,035		259,042
Other investments		428		604
Non-utility property		3,404		3,404
Total other property and investments		341,097	_	263,050
Utility Plant:				
Plant in service, held for future use, and to be abandoned		6,842,017_		6,307,261
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		2,312,560	,	2,058,772
		4,529,457		4,248,489
Construction work in progress	,	227,355		, 204,766
Nuclear fuel, net of accumulated amortization of \$50,623 and \$44,455		87,083		82,117
Net utility plant		4,843,895	^	4,535,372
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:			-	
Regulatory assets		463,016		470,664
Goodwill		278,297		278,297
Commodity derivative instruments		747		2,622
Other deferred charges	- 34-	77,732	_	73,753
Total deferred charges and other assets	· ·	819,792	7	825,336
	\$	6,403,670	\$	6,009,328

PNM RESOURCES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	Se	eptember 30, 2016	I	December 31, 2015
	(In thousands, except share inform		re information)	
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Current Liabilities:				
Short-term debt	\$	355,900	\$	250,600
Current installments of long-term debt		101,335		124,979
Accounts payable		76,403		100,419
Customer deposits		11,693		12,216
Accrued interest and taxes		80,180		58,306
Regulatory habilities		6,403		15,591
Commodity derivative instruments		2,423		1,859
Dividends declared		17,656		17,656
Other current habilities		49,833		59,494
Total current liabilities		701,826		641,120
Long-term Debt, net of Unamortized Premiums, Discounts, and Debt Issuance Costs		2,207,005		1,966,969
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:				
Accumulated deferred income taxes		935,796		877,393
Regulatory habilities		468,979		467,413
Asset retirement obligations		119,032		111,895
Accrued pension liability and postretirement benefit cost		63,437		73,097
Commodity derivative instruments		58		
Other deferred credits		137,686		133,692
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	***************************************	1,724,988		1,663,490
Total habilities		4,633,819		4,271,579
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 11)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Cumulative Preferred Stock of Subsidiary				
without mandatory redemption requirements (\$100 stated value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding 115,293 shares)		11,529		11,529
Equity:				
PNMR common stockholders' equity:				
Common stock (no par value, 120,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding 79,653,624 shares)		1,162,599		1,166,465
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes		(73,643)		(71,432)
Retained earnings		599,249		559,780
Total PNMR common stockholders' equity		1,688,205		1,654,813
Non-controlling interest in Valencia		70,117		71,407
Total equity		1,758,322		1,726,220
	\$	6,403,670	\$	6,009,328

PNM RESOURCÉS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSÉD CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (Unaudited) ...

				Attributa	ble	to PNMR					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Common Stock		AOCI [,]		Retained Earnings		Total PNMR Common Stockholders' Equity	Non- controlling Interest n Valencia		Total Equity
W. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co		- American des des des		P 19 U AND			nou.	sands)	 	-	
Balance at December 31, 2015	<u> </u>	1,166,465	\$_	(71,432)	_\$_	559,780	S	1,654,813	 71,407		1,726,220
Net earnings before subsidiary preferred stock dividends				<u> </u>	٠.	92,436		92,436	 11,037		103,473
Total other comprehensive income (loss)				(2,211)				(2,211)			(2,211)
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends		. —				(396)		(396)	_		(396)
Dividends declared on common stock						(52,571)		(52,571)			$(52,571)^{\frac{3}{2}}$
Proceeds from stock option exercise		6,668				_		6,668			6,668
Awards of common stock		(14,920)						(14,920)			(14,920)
Excess tax (shortfall) from stock-based payment arrangements		(15)						(15)	 _		(15)
Stock based compensation expense		4,401						4,401			4,401
Valencia's transactions with its owner				_					(12,327)		(12,327)
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$	1,162,599	<u> </u>	(73,643)	S	599,249	\$	1,688,205	\$ 70,117	\$	1,758,322

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,					onths Ended ember 30,		
		2016		2015		2016		2015
	(In thou			ousands)				
Electric Operating Revenues	\$	311,276	\$	333,437	\$	780,228	\$	870,826
Operating Expenses:								
Cost of energy		88,565		105,708		222,376		299,302
Administrative and general		41,370		41,927		122,553		118,450
Energy production costs		31,460		42,168		112,026		129,627
Regulatory disallowances and restructuring costs		16,451		_		17,225		1,744
Depreciation and amortization		33,312		29,042		97,778		86,446
Transmission and distribution costs		9,311		10,478		29,868		31,519
Taxes other than income taxes		10,750		10,404		33,289		31,194
Total operating expenses		231,219		239,727		635,115		698,282
Operating income		80,057		93,710		145,113		172,544
Other Income and Deductions:								
Interest income		1,509		1,152		8,549		4,869
Gams on available-for-sale securities		4,531		2,536		15,380		12,116
Other income		3,239		5,369		9,578		13,661
Other (deductions)		(2,790)		(2,616)		(7,653)		(7,230)
Net other income and deductions		6,489		6,441		25,854		23,416
Interest Charges		22,213		19,837		66,494		59,477
Earnings before Income Taxes		64,333		80,314		104,473		136,483
Income Taxes		19,343		27,258		32,131		44,560
Net Earnings		44,990		53,056		72,342		91,923
(Earnings) Attributable to Valencia Non-controlling Interest		(4,006)		(3,678)		(11,037)		(10,909)
Net Earnings Attributable to PNM		40,984		49,378		61,305		81,014
Preferred Stock Dividends Requirements		(132)		(132)		(396)		(396)
Net Earnings Available for PNM Common Stock	\$	40,852	\$	49,246	\$	60,909	\$	80,618

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

~		Three Mor Septen			Nine Mon Septen			
, neger		2016		2015		2016	-	2015
d ,				(In tho	usands	s)		_
Net Earnings	S	44,990	\$	53,056	\$	72,342	\$	91,923
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):								
Unrealized Gains on Available-for-Sale Securities.								
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period, net of income tax (expense) benefit of \$(1,877), \$1,200, \$(1,216) and \$(1,213)	,	2,933		(1,862)		1,899		1,882
Reclassification adjustment for (gams) included in net earnings, net of income tax expense of \$1,985, \$3,925, \$3,955 and \$8,838		(3,101)		(6,090)		(6,180)		(13,714)
Pension Liability Adjustment:								
Reclassification adjustment for amortization of experience losses recognized as net periodic benefit cost, net of income tax (benefit) of \$(537), \$(583), \$(1.611) and \$(1,749)		839		905		2,517		2,715
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)		. 671		(7,047)		(1,764)		(9,117)
Comprehensive Income		45,661		46,009		70,578		82,806
Comprehensive (Income) Attributable to Valencia Non-controlling Interest		(4,006)		(3,678)		(11,037)		(10,909)
Comprehensive Income Attributable to PNM	s	41,655	\$	42,331	\$	59,541	\$	71,897
NOTIFIED AND SALES THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO								

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
		2016 (In thousa				
		ands)				
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:						
Net earnings	\$	72,342 \$	91,923			
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash flows from operating activities						
Depreciation and amortization		122,344	111,371			
Deferred income tax expense		33,175	46,268			
Net unrealized (gains) losses on commodity derivatives		2,179	1,251			
Realized (gains) on available-for-sale securities		(15,380)	(12,116)			
Regulatory disallowances and restructuring costs		17,225	1,744			
Other, net		(563)	(5,288)			
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:						
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues		8,283	(16,220)			
Materials, supplies, and fuel stock		(7,731)	(3,328)			
Other current assets		(4,005)	36,707			
Other assets		10,117	12,126			
Accounts payable		6,819	(794)			
Accrued interest and taxes		16,146	22,856			
Other current habilities		(18,908)	(12,099)			
Other liabilities		(13,401)	(34,224)			
Net cash flows from operating activities		228,642	240,177			
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:						
Utility plant additions		(377,637)	(301,410)			
Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities		280,989	166,097			
Purchases of available-for-sale securities		(284,706)	(166,268)			
Return of principal on PVNGS lessor notes		8,547	21,694			
Other, net		171	3,051			
Net cash flows from investing activities		(372,636)	(276,836)			

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Nine Months	Ended Se	eptember 30,
*	2016		2015
	(II	1 thousand	s)
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:			
Revolving credit facilities borrowings, net	42,4	00	
Long-term borrowings	·	00	313,605
Repayment of long-term debt	(271,0	00)	(214,300)
Equity contribution from parent	28,1	42	
Dividends paid	(4,5	38)	(46,548)
Valencia's transactions with its owner	(12,3	27)	(12,107)
Other, net	(9	28)	(4,934)
Net cash flows from financing activities	102,7	49	35,716
Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(41,2		(943)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	43,1	38	25,480
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 1,5	893 \$	24,537
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures:	At the state of th		The second secon
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 53,7	91 S	42,680
Income taxes paid (refunded), net	, \$	<u> </u>	(1,450)
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:	3 41		Variation Control of the Control of
(Increase) decrease in accrued plant additions	\$ 20,2	00 S	(9,933)

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(In thou	sands)
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,893	\$ 43,138
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncollectable accounts of \$1,264 and \$1,397	63,109	78,291
Unbilled revenues	47,450	42,641
Other receivables	15,676	24,725
Affiliate receivables	8,956	15,105
Materials, supplies, and fuel stock	68,208	60,477
Regulatory assets	4,364	-
Commodity derivative instruments	3,949	3,813
Income taxes receivable	15,621	14,577
Other current assets	81,419	74,990
Total current assets	310,645	357,757
Other Property and Investments:		
Available-for-sale securities	271,035	259,042
Other investments	197	366
Non-utility property	96	96
Total other property and investments	271,328	259,504
Utility Plant:		
Plant m service, held for future use, and to be abandoned	5,303,910	4,833,303
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	1,785,507	1,569,549
	3,518,403	3,263,754
Construction work in progress	152,940	172,238
Nuclear fuel, net of accumulated amortization of \$50,623 and \$44,455	87,083	82,117
Net utility plant	3,758,426	3,518,109
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets	335,025	342,910
Goodwill	51,632	51,632
Commodity derivative instruments	747	2,622
Other deferred charges	71,209	66,810
Total deferred charges and other assets	458,613	463,974
	\$ 4,799,012	\$ 4,599,344

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Unaudited)

September 30,	December 31,
2016	2015

(In thousands, except share information)

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY

Current Liabilities:	71244	<u> </u>
Short-term debt	S 42,400	3 -
Current installments of long-term debt	56,862	124,979
Accounts payable	59,003	72,386
Affiliate payables	15,082	14,318
Customer deposits	11,693	12,216
Accrued interest and taxes	50,379	33,189
Regulatory liabilities	6,403	15,591
Commodity derivative instruments	2,423	1,859
Dividends declared	132	132
Other current liabilities	31,606	42,251
Total current habilities	275,983	316,921
Long-term Debt, net of Unamortized Premiums, Discounts, and Debt Issuance Costs	1,574,725	1,455,698
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:		
Accumulated deferred income taxes	737,780	696,384
Regulatory liabilities	434,755	434,863
Asset retirement obligations	118,133	111,049
Accrued pension liability and postretirement benefit cost	57,057	66,285
Commodity derivative instruments	58	<u> </u>
Other deferred credits	117,797	117,275
Total deferred credits and habilities	1,465,580	1,425,856
Total habilities	3,316,288	3,198,475
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 11)		
Cumulative Preferred Stock		
without mandatory redemption requirements (\$100 stated value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding 115,293 shares)	11,529	11,529
Equity:		
PNM common stockholder's equity:		
Common stock (no par value, 40,000,000 shares authorized, issued and outstanding 39,117,799 shares)	1,264,918	1,236,776
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes	(73,240)	(71,476)
Retained earnings	209,400	152,633
Total PNM common stockholder's equity	1,401,078	1,317,933
Non-controlling interest in Valencia	70,117	71,407
Total equity	1,471,195	1,389,340
	\$ 4,799,012	\$ 4,599,344

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW MEXICO AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (Unaudited)

Attributable to D	ATTA AT

	Common Stock	AOCI	Retained Earnings		Total PNM Common Stockholder's Equity	Non- controlling Interest in Valencia	Total Equity
			(I:	n the	ousands)		
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 1,236,776	\$ (71,476)	\$ 152,633	\$	1,317,933	\$ 71,407	\$ 1,389,340
Net earnings	_		61,305		61,305	11,037	72,342
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	_	(1,764)	*******		(1,764)	_	(1,764)
Dividends declared on preferred stock	_		(396)		(396)		(396)
Equity contribution from parent	28,142				28,142		28,142
Dividends declared on common stock		_	(4,142)		(4,142)	_	(4,142)
Valencia's transactions with its owner		apromin				(12,327)	(12,327)
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$ 1,264,918	\$ (73,240)	\$ 209,400	\$	1,401,078	\$ 70,117	\$ 1,471,195

TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (Unaudited)

		Three Months Ended September 30,						hs Ended ber 30,				
· ·		2016		2015		2016		2015				
	(In thousands)											
Electric Operating Revenues	\$	89,098	\$	83,996	\$	246,498	\$	232,361				
Operating Expenses:			-									
Cost of energy		20,201		18,547		60,122		54,637				
Administrative and general		9,588		9,071		29,382	k	26,946				
Depreciation and amortization		16,354	B	15,016		45,760		42,065				
Transmission and distribution costs	,	6,745		6,290		20,097		18,604				
Taxes other than income taxes		7,851		7,405		20,849		19,782				
Total operating expenses		60,739		56,329		176,210		162,034				
Operating income		28,359		27,667		70,288		70,327				
Other Income and Deductions:												
Other income		1,376		774		2,999		3,106				
Other (deductions)		(521)		(102)		(860)		(349)				
Net other income and deductions		855		672		2,139		2,757				
Interest Charges		7,308		6,855		22,150		20,636				
Earnings before Income Taxes		21,906		21,484		50,277		52,448				
Income Taxes		8,053		7,795		18,460		19,200				
Net Earnings	\$	13,853	\$	13,689	\$	31,817	\$	33,248				

TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PMM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	N	ine Months En	ded Sep	tember 30,
		2016		2015
		(In tho	usands)	
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:				
Net earnings	\$	31,817	\$	33,248
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash flows from operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization		47,055		43,272
Deferred income tax expense		(739)		3,575
Other, net		(391)		(125)
Changes in certain assets and liabilities:				
Accounts receivable and unbilled revenues		(9,428)		(7,563)
Materials and supplies		3,102		(301)
Other current assets		(3,570)		2,712
Other assets		(8,415)		(272)
Accounts payable		(6,758)		(210)
Accrued interest and taxes		22,896		19,757
Other current liabilities		(363)		1,033
Other habilities		399		(5,870)
Net cash flows from operating activities		75,605		89,256
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:		*		
Utility plant additions		(93,048)		(90,497)
Net cash flows from investing activities	•	(93,048)		(90,497)
Cash Flow From Financing Activities:		····	,	
Revolving credit facilities borrowings (repayments), net		(59,000)		(5,000)
Short-term borrowings (repayments) - affiliate, net		(11,800)		25,800
Long-term borrowings		60,000		´—
Equity contribution from parent		50,000		-
Dividends paid		(17,965)		(19,559)
Other, net		(775)		
Net cash flows from financing activities		20,460		1,241
Change in Cash and Cash Equivalents		3,017		_
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period		1		1
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$	3,018	\$	1
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosures:				
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized	\$	15,642	\$	13,308
Income taxes paid (refunded), net	\$	850	\$	545
Supplemental schedule of noncash investing activities:	•	/4.00		
(Increase) decrease in accrued plant additions	\$	(10)	\$	(216)

TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	September 30, 2016	December 31, 2015
	(In the	nousands)
ASSETS '		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,018	\$ <u>1</u>
Accounts receivable	. 28,951	20,408
Unbilled revenues		9,371
Other receivables	1,129	811
Materials and supplies	3,807	6,909
Regulatory assets	3,194	1,070
Other current assets	2,167	1,053
Total current assets	52,521	39,623
Other Property and Investments:		
Other investments	231	238
Non-utility property	2,240	2,240
Total other property and investments	2,471	2,478
Utility Plant:		
Plant in service and plant held for future use	1,342,081	1,285,727
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	435,245	406,516
h	906,836	879,211
Construction work in progress	45,580	16,561
Net utility plant	952,416	895,772
Deferred Charges and Other Assets:		
Regulatory assets	127,991	127,754
Goodwill	226,665	226,665
Other deferred charges	4,776	4,847
Total deferred charges and other assets	359,432	359,266
	\$ 1,366,840	\$ 1,297,139

TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

	Sej	otember 30, 2016	Dec	cember 31, 2015
	(In	thousands, excep	t share 1	nformation)
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY				
Current Liabilities:				
Short-term debt	\$		\$	59,000
Short-term debt – affiliate		_		11,800
Accounts payable		9,258		16,006
Affiliate payables		2,346		3,681
Accrued interest and taxes		55,788		32,891
Other current habilities		3,012		2,044
Total current liabilities		70,404		125,422
Long-term Debt, net of Unamortized Premiums, Discounts, and Debt Issuance Costs		420,802		361,411
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities:				
Accumulated deferred income taxes		232,218		232,791
Regulatory liabilities		34,224		32,550
Asset retirement obligations		739		695
Accrued pension liability and postretirement benefit cost		6,380		6,812
Other deferred credits		4,841		4,078
Total deferred credits and other liabilities		278,402		276,926
Total liabilities		769,608		763,759
Commitments and Contingencies (See Note 11)				
Common Stockholder's Equity:				
Common stock (\$10 par value, 12,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding 6,358 shares)		64		64
Paid-in-capital		454,166		404,166
Retained earnings		143,002		129,150
Total common stockholder's equity		597,232		533,380
	\$	1,366,840	\$	1,297,139

TEXAS-NEW MEXICO POWER COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES A WHOLLY OWNED SUBSIDIARY OF PNM RESOURCES, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN COMMON STOCKHOLDER'S EQUITY (Unaudited)

	i	ŧ	Commo	on Stock	Paid-in Capital		Retained Earnings	otal Common kholder's Equity
					(In t	hou	sands)	
Balance at December 31, 2015			\$ -	64	\$ 404,166	\$	129,150	\$ 533,380
Net earnings			,		 _		31,817	 31,817
Equity contribution from parent			·		50,000			 50,000
Dividends declared on common stock				_	 	,	(17,965)	 (17,965)
Balance at September 30, 2016			<u>\$</u> ,	64	\$ 454,166	\$	143,002	\$ 597,232

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(1) Significant Accounting Policies and Responsibility for Financial Statements

Financial Statement Preparation

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements reflect all normal and recurring accruals and adjustments that are necessary to present fairly the consolidated financial position at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the consolidated results of operations and comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period Actual results could ultimately differ from those estimated. Weather causes the Company's results of operations to be seasonal in nature and the results of operations presented in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are not necessarily representative of operations for an entire year.

The Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements include disclosures for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP. This report uses the term "Company" when discussing matters of common applicability to PNMR, PNM, and TNMP. Discussions regarding only PNMR, PNM, or TNMP are so indicated. Certain amounts in the 2015 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto have been reclassified to conform to the 2016 financial statement presentation.

These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are unaudited. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the annual Consolidated Financial Statements have been condensed or omitted, as permitted under the applicable rules and regulations. Readers of these financial statements should refer to PNMR's, PNM's, and TNMP's audited Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto that are included in their respective 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

GAAP defines subsequent events as events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date, but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Based on their nature, magnitude, and timing, certain subsequent events may be required to be reflected at the balance sheet date and/or required to be disclosed in the financial statements. The Company has evaluated subsequent events as required by GAAP.

Principles of Consolidation

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of each of PNMR, PNM, and TNMP include their accounts and those of subsidiaries in which that entity owns a majority voting interest. PNM also consolidates Valencia (Note 5) and, through January 15, 2016, the PVNGS Capital Trust. PNM owns undivided interests in several jointly-owned power plants and records its pro-rata share of the assets, liabilities, and expenses for those plants. The agreements for the jointly-owned plants provide that if an owner were to default on its payment obligations, the non-defaulting owners would be responsible for their proportionate share of the obligations of the defaulting owner. In exchange, the non-defaulting owners would be entitled to their proportionate share of the generating capacity of the defaulting owner. There have been no such payment defaults under any of the agreements for the jointly-owned plants.

PNMR shared services' administrative and general expenses, which represent costs that are primarily driven by corporate level activities, are charged to the business segments. These services are billed at cost, Other significant intercompany transactions between PNMR, PNM, and TNMP include interest and income tax sharing payments, as well as equity transactions. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. See Note 14.

Dividends on Common Stock

Dividends on PNMR's common stock are declared by its Board. The timing of the declaration of dividends is dependent on the timing of meetings and other actions of the Board. This has historically resulted in dividends considered to be attributable to the second quarter of each year being declared through actions of the Board during the third quarter of the year. The Board declared dividends on common stock considered to be for the second quarter of \$0.22 per share in July 2016 and \$0.20 in July

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

2015, which are reflected as being in the second quarter within "Dividends Declared per Common Share" on the PNMR Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The Board declared dividends on common stock considered to be for the third quarter of \$0.22 per share in September 2016 and \$0.20 per share in September 2015, which are reflected as being in the third quarter within "Dividends Declared per Common Share" on the PNMR Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

In the nine months ended September 30, 2016, PNMR made equity contributions of \$28.1 million to PNM and \$50.0 million to TNMP PNM declared and paid cash dividends on common stock to PNMR of \$4.1 million and \$46.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

TNMP declared and paid cash dividends on common stock to PNMR of \$18.0 million and \$19.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Information concerning recently issued accounting pronouncements that have not been adopted by the Company is presented below.

Accounting Standards Update 2014-09 - Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09. The core principle of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. When it becomes effective, the new standard will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in GAAP. In August 2015, the FASB issued a one-year deferral in the effective date. Since the issuance of ASU No. 2014-09, the FASB also has issued additional ASUs that clarify implementation guidance regarding principal versus agent considerations, licensing, and identifying performance obligations, as well as adding certain additional practical expedients. The Company must adopt ASU 2014-09 beginning on January 1, 2018. Early adoption would be permitted beginning January 1, 2017. The is unlikely the Company would elect to early adopt the new standard. The Company is analyzing the impacts this new standard will have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures, but has not determined the effect of the standard on its financial reporting.

Accounting Standards Update 2014-15 – Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern (Subtopic 205-40). Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-15, which requires management to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about a company's ability to continue as a going concern in connection with the preparation of financial statements for each annual and interim reporting period. Disclosure requirements associated with management's evaluation are also outlined in the new guidance. The new standard is effective for the Company for reporting periods ending after December 15, 2016, with early adoption permitted. The Company anticipates adopting this standard as of December 31, 2016. The Company is analyzing the impacts of this new standard, but does not anticipate it will have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

Accounting Standards Update 2016-01 - Financial Instruments (Subtopic 825-10) - Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, which makes targeted improvements to GAAP regarding financial instruments. The new standard eliminates the requirement to classify investments in equity securities with readily determinable fair values into trading or available-for-sale categories and will require those equity securities to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income rather than in OCI. Also, the new standard will revise certain presentation and disclosure requirements. Under the new standard, accounting for investments in debt securities remains essentially unchanged. The new standard will be effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2018. Early adoption of the standard is permitted. The Company is in the process of analyzing the impacts of this new standard.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Accounting Standards Update 2016-02 - Leases (Topic 842)

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No 2016-02, which will change how lessees account for leases. The ASU will require that a liability be recorded on the balance sheet for all leases based on the present value of future lease obligations. A corresponding right-of-use asset will also be recorded. Amortization of the lease obligation and the right-of-use asset for certain leases, primarily those classified as operating leases, will be on a straight-line basis, which is not expected to have a significant impact on the statements of earnings or cash flows, whereas other leases will be required to be accounted for as financing arrangements similar to the accounting treatment for capital leases under current GAAP. Also, the new standard will revise certain disclosure requirements. The new standard will be effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2019. Early adoption of the standard is permitted. At adoption of the ASU, leases will be recognized and measured as of the earliest period presented using a modified retrospective approach. The Company is in the process of analyzing the impacts of this new standard.

Accounting Standards Update 2016-09 - Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718)

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09. The ASU simplifies several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. Currently, tax benefits resulting from deductions in excess of compensation cost on vested restricted stock and performance awards and on exercised stock options ("excess tax benefits") are recorded to equity provided these benefits reduce taxes payable. Tax deficiencies resulting from deductions related to awards, which are below realized compensation cost upon vesting and on canceled stock options are recorded to equity. The Company has not recorded excess tax benefits to equity since 2009 because it is in a net operating loss position for income tax purposes. Upon implementation of the new standard, the Company will record a cumulative effect adjustment to recognize excess tax benefits that have not been recorded due to the Company's net operating loss Subsequent to implementation, all excess tax benefits and deficiencies will be recorded to tax expense on the Condensed Consolidated Income Statements and classified as cash flows from operating activities on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. The Company continues its process of analyzing the impacts of this new standard, but does not believe there will be any retrospective impacts to its financial statements. The Company anticipates adopting the new standard upon its required effective date of January 1, 2017.

Accounting Standards Update 2016-13 - Financial Instruments - Credit Losses (Topic 326) Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13 The ASU changes the way entities recognize impairment of many financial assets, including accounts receivable and investments in debt securities, by requiring immediate recognition of estimated credit losses expected to occur over their remaining lives. The new standard is effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2020. Early adoption is permitted beginning on January 1, 2019. The Company is in the process of analyzing the impacts of this new standard.

Accounting Standards Update 2016-15 - Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230) Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15. The ASU eliminates diversity in practice in how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified in the statement of cash flows. The new standard is effective for the Company beginning on January 1, 2018. Early adoption is permitted including adoption in an interim period. The Company is in the process of analyzing the impacts of this new standard, but does not anticipate it will have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCÍAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(2) Earnings Per Share

In accordance with GAAP, dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share is presented in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings of PNMR. Information regarding the computation of earnings per share is as follows

•			Three Mor Septem				Nine Mon Septem		
			2016		2015		2016	٠	2015
			(In th	ous	ands, excep	t pe	r share amo	ount	s)
Net Earnings Attributable to PNMR		\$	54,418	\$	61,045	\$	92,040	\$	107,058
Average Number of Common Shares:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		`				ı
Outstanding during period			79,654		79,654		79,654		79,654
Vested awards of restricted stock			96		100		99		103
Average Shares – Basic			79,750		79,754_		79,753		79,757
Dilutive Effect of Common Stock Equivalents:		,			,				
Stock options and restricted stock		_	367		362		377		377
Average Shares – Diluted	\	٠, ٠	80,1:17		80,116		80,130		80,134
Net Earnings Per Share of Common Stock:								_	
Basic	*	\$	0.68	\$	0.77	\$	1.15	\$	1.34
Diluted		\$	0.68	\$	0.76	\$	1.15	\$	1.34

(3) Segment Information

The following segment presentation is based on the methodology that management uses for making operating decisions and assessing performance of its various business activities. A reconciliation of the segment presentation to the GAAP financial statements is provided.

PNM

PNM includes the retail electric utility operations of PNM that are subject to traditional rate regulation by the NMPRC. PNM provides integrated electricity services that include the generation, transmission, and distribution of electricity for retail electric customers in New Mexico. PNM also provides generation service to firm-requirements wholesale customers and sells electricity into the wholesale market, as well as providing transmission services to third parties. The sale of electricity into the wholesale market includes the optimization of PNM's jurisdictional capacity, as well as the capacity from PVNGS Unit 3, which currently is not included in retail rates (Note 11). FERC has jurisdiction over wholesale power and transmission rates.

TNMP

TNMP is an electric utility providing regulated transmission and distribution services in Texas under the TECA. TNMP's operations are subject to traditional rate regulation by the PUCT.

Corporate and Other

The Corporate and Other segment includes PNMR holding company activities, primarily related to corporate level debt and investments, as well as PNMR Services Company The activities of PNMR Development and NM Capital are also included in Corporate and Other.

30

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

The following tables present summarized financial information for PNMR by segment. PNM and TNMP each operate in only one segment. Therefore, tabular segment information is not presented for PNM and TNMP.

PNMR SEGMENT INFORMATION

		PNM		TNMP		Corporate and Other		Consolidated
				(In th	ious	ands)		
Three Months Ended September 30, 2016								
Electric operating revenues	\$	311,276	\$	89,098	\$	_	\$	400,374
Cost of energy		88,565		20,201		_		108,766
Utility margin		222,711		68,897		-		291,608
Other operating expenses		109,342		24,184		(3,006)		130,520
Depreciation and amortization		33,312		16,354		3,351		53,017
Operating income (loss)		80,057		28,359		(345)		108,071
Interest income		1,509		******		3,095		4,604
Other income (deductions)		4,980		855		(184)		5,651
Interest charges		(22,213)		(7,308)		(2,946)		(32,467)
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes		64,333		21,906		(380)		85,859
Income taxes (benefit)	_	19,343		8,053		(93)		27,303
Segment earnings (loss)		44,990		13,853		(287)		58,556
Valencia non-controlling interest		(4,006)		_				(4,006)
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends		(132)		_		_		(132)
Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR	\$	40,852	\$	13,853	\$	(287)	\$	54,418
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016								
Electric operating revenues	\$	780.228	\$	246 400	e		ø	1.026.726
Cost of energy	Þ	222,376	Ф	246,498 60,122	\$		\$	1,026,726
Utility margin		557.852		186,376				282,498
Other operating expenses		314,961		,		(0.2(1)		744,228
Depreciation and amortization		97,778		70,328 45,760		(9,261)		376,028
Operating income (loss)		145,113	_	70,288	_	10,263	_	153,801
Interest income		8,549		70,200		(1,002) 9,871		214,399
Other income (deductions)		17,305		2,139		•		18,420
Interest charges		(66,494)		(22,150)		(1,517) (8,535)		17,927
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes		104,473		50,277		(1,183)		(97,179) 153,567
Income taxes (benefit)		32,131		18,460		(497)		50,094
Segment earnings (loss)		72,342		31,817				
Valencia non-controlling interest		(11,037)		31,017		(686)		103,473
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends		(396)		_		_		(11,037)
Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR	<u>s</u>	60,909	-	31,817	<u> </u>	(696)		(396)
Segment earnings (1058) attributable to Privik	3	00,909	<u> </u>	31,617	3	(686)	<u> </u>	92,040
At September 30, 2016:								
Total Assets	\$	4,799,012	\$	1,366,840	\$	237,818	\$	6,403,670
Goodwill	\$	51,632	\$	226,665	\$	_	\$	278,297

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

		PNM		TNMP		Corporate nd Other	c	onsolidated
:		7		(In th	ousa	nds)		
Three Months Ended September 30, 2015			, _, ,					
Electric operating revenues	\$	333,437	\$		<u>\$</u>		\$	417,433
Cost of energy .		105,708		18,547		,		124,255
Utility margin		227,729		65,449				293,178
Other operating expenses . ;		104,977	<u>.</u>	22,766		(3,573)		124,170
Depreciation and amortization		29,042		15,016		3,445		47,503
Operating income		93,710		.27,667		128		121,505
Interest income		1,152	,			(1)		1,15
Other income (deductions)		5,289		672		(482)		5,479
Interest charges		(19,837)		(6,855)		(836)		(27,528
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes		80,314		21,484		(1,191)		100,60
Income taxes (benefit)		27,258		7,795		699		35,752
Segment earnings (loss)		53,056		13,689	,	(1,890)		64,85
Valencia non-controlling interest		(3,678)						(3,67
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends		(132)		-		,· , —		(13
Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR	\$	49,246	\$	13,689	\$	(1,890)	\$	61,04
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Electric operating revenues	\$	870,826	\$	232,361	\$	<u>. </u>	\$	1,103,18
Cost of energy	·	299,302		54,637				353,93
Utility margin		571,524		177,724		-		749,24
Other operating expenses		312,534		65,332		(11,118)		366,74
Depreciation and amortization		, 86,446	,	42,065 3	ż	10,502		139,01
Operating income		172,544	`	70,327	, .	616		243,48
Interest income		4,869		— <u> </u>		(27)		4,84
Other income (deductions)		18,547		2,757		(2,935)		18,36
Interest charges		(59,477)		(20,636)		(6,601)		(86,71
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes		136,483		52,448		(8,947)		179,98
Income taxes (benefit)	- 4,	44,560	***************************************	19,200		. (2,139)		. 61,62
Segment earnings (loss)		91,923	,	33,248		(6,808)		118,36
Valencia non-controlling interest		(10,909)						(10,90
Subsidiary preferred stock dividends		(396)						(39
Segment earnings (loss) attributable to PNMR	.\$	80,618	<u>\$</u>	33,248	\$	(6,808)	\$	107,05
At September 30, 2015:								BEETER SET OF THE SERVICE WITH THE SET OF THE SERVICE WITH
Total Assets	\$	4,615,442	\$	1,282,766	\$	114,374	\$	6,012,58
Goodwill	\$	51,632	\$	226,665	· \$		\$	278,29

At December 31, 2015, the Company adopted ASU 2015-03 – Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30) and ASU 2015-17, Income Taxes (Topic 740) - Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, which require that debt issuance costs be reflected as a direct reduction of the related debt liability, except for arrangements such as the Company's revolving credit facilities, and eliminated the requirement to classify deferred tax assets and liabilities as non-current or current. The Company applied the updates retrospectively to make all periods comparable. As a result, amounts previously reported as total assets at September 30, 2015 above have been reduced to reflect the reclassifications aggregating \$40.3 million for PNMR, \$22.1 million for PNM, and \$10.4 million for TNMP.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

(4) Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Information regarding accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 is as follows:

			Acc	umulated Othe	r Co	mprehen	ive	Income	(Loss)		
•				PNM					PNM	R	
		Inrealized Gains on ailable-for- Sale		Pension Liability				Adju: for	Value stment Cash ow		
<u>-</u>		Securities		Adjustment		Total		He	dges		Total
					(In t	housands)					
-	\$	17,346	\$	(88,822)	\$	(71,476	9		44	\$	(71,432)
Amounts reclassified from AOCI (pre- tax)		(10,135)		4,128		(6,007)		573		(5,434)
Income tax impact of amounts reclassified		3,955		(1,611)		2,344			(224)		2,120
Other OCI changes (pre-tax)		3,115		_		3,115			(1,305)		1,810
Income tax impact of other OCI changes		(1,216)		_		(1,216)		509		(707)
Net change after income taxes		(4,281)		2,517		(1,764			(447)		(2,211)
Balance at September 30, 2016	\$	13,065	\$	(86,305)	\$	(73,240	\$		(403)	\$	(73,643)
Balance at December 31, 2	014 5	28,008	\$	(89,763) \$	(61	,755) \$			\$ (61,75	5)	
Amounts reclassified fro AOCI (pre-tax)	m	(22,552)		4,464	(18	,088)		_	(18,08	8)	
Income tax impact of amounts reclassified		8,838		(1,749)	7	,089			7,089	9	
Other OCI changes (j tax)		3,095		_	3	,095		(704)	2,39	1	
Income tax impact of oth OCI changes	er _	(1,213)			(1	,213)		276	(93)	7)	
Net change after incortaxes	ne _	(11,832)		2,715	(9	,117)		(428)	(9,54:	 5)	
Balance at September 30, 2015	5	16,176	\$	(87,048) \$	(70	,872) \$		(428)	\$ (71,300	- 0)	

Pre-tax amounts reclassified from AOCI related to "Unrealized Gains on Available-for-Sale Securities" are included in "Gains on available-for-sale securities" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings Pre-tax amounts reclassified from AOCI related to "Pension Liability Adjustment" are reclassified to "Operating Expenses – Administrative and general" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, 24.3% and 22.4% of the pension amounts reclassified were capitalized into construction work in process and 2.5% and 2.5% were capitalized into other accounts Pre-tax amounts reclassified from AOCI related to "Fair Value Adjustment for Cash Flow Hedges" are reclassified to "Interest Charges" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. An insignificant amount was capitalized as AFUDC and capitalized interest. The income tax impacts of all amounts reclassified from AOCI are included in "Income Taxes" in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(5) Variable Interest Entities

GAAP determines how an enterprise evaluates and accounts for its involvement with variable interest entities, focusing primarily on whether the enterprise has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of a variable interest entity ("VIE"). GAAP also requires continual reassessment of the primary beneficiary of a VIE. Additional information concerning PNM's VIEs is contained in Note 9 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

Valencia

PNM has a PPA to purchase all of the electric capacity and energy from Valencia, a 158 MW natural gas-fired power plant near Belen, New Mexico, through May 2028. A third-party built, owns, and operates the facility while PNM is the sole purchaser of the electricity generated. PNM is obligated to pay fixed operations and maintenance and capacity charges in addition to variable operation and maintenance charges under this PPA. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, PNM paid \$4.9 million and \$14.5 million for fixed charges and \$0.5 million and \$1.1 million for variable charges. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, PNM paid \$4.9 million and \$14.5 million for fixed charges and \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million for variable charges. PNM does not have any other financial obligations related to Valencia. The assets of Valencia can only be used to satisfy obligations of Valencia and creditors of Valencia do not have any recourse against PNM's assets. PNM has concluded that the third party entity that owns Valencia is a VIE and that PNM is the primary beneficiary of the entity under GAAP since PNM has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of Valencia and will absorb the majority of the variability in the cash flows of the plant. As the primary beneficiary, PNM consolidates Valencia in its financial statements. The assets and liabilities of Valencia set forth below are immaterial to PNM and, therefore, not shown separately on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The owner's equity and net income of Valencia are considered attributable to non-controlling interest.

Summarized financial information for Valencia is as follows:

Results of Operations

	7	Three Mo Septem			·	Nine Mon Septem		
	,	2016		2015		2016		2015
				(In thou	ısaı	ıds)		
Operating revenues	S	5,356	\$	5,182	\$	15,541	\$	15,337
Operating expenses	~	(1,350)		(1,504)	_	(4,504)		(4,428)
Earnings attributable to non- controlling interest	<u>\$</u>	4,006	<u>s</u>	3,678	\$	11,037	<u> </u>	10,909

Financial Position

		-	ember 30, 2016	'Dec	ember 31, 2015
	•		(In tho	usand	s)
Current assets		\$	3,506	_\$,	2,588
Net property, plant, and equipment			67,656	,	69,784
Total assets			71,162		72,372
Current liabilities	,	4	1,045		965
Owners' equity – non-controlling interest		\$	70,117	\$	71,407

During the term of the PPA, PNM has the option to purchase and own up to 50% of the plant or the VIE. The PPA specifies that the purchase price would be the greater of (1) 50% of book value reduced by related indebtedness or (11) 50% of fair market

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

value. On October 8, 2013, PNM notified the owner of Valencia that PNM may exercise the option to purchase 50% of the plant. As provided in the PPA, an appraisal process was initiated since the parties failed to reach agreement on fair market value within 60 days. Under the PPA, results of the appraisal process established the purchase price after which PNM was to determine in its sole discretion whether or not to exercise its option to purchase the 50% interest. The PPA also provides that the purchase price may be adjusted to reflect the period between the determination of the purchase price and the closing. The appraisal process determined the purchase price as of October 8, 2013 to be \$85.0 million, prior to any adjustment to reflect the period through the closing date Approval of the NMPRC and FERC would be required, which process could take up to 15 months. On May 30, 2014, after evaluating its alternatives with respect to Valencia, PNM notified the owner of Valencia that PNM intended to purchase 50% of the plant, subject to certain conditions. PNM's conditions include: agreeing on the purchase price, adjusted to reflect the period between October 8, 2013 and the closing, approval of the NMPRC, including specified ratemaking treatment, and FERC; approval of the Board and PNM's board of directors; receipt of other necessary approvals and consents; and other customary closing conditions. PNM received a letter dated June 30, 2014 from the owner of Valencia suggesting that the conditions set forth in PNM's notification raise issues under the PPA. The owner of Valencia submitted a counter-proposal to PNM in April 2015 and the parties are continuing to have periodic discussions. PNM cannot predict if it will reach agreement with the owner of Valencia, if required regulatory and other approvals will be received, or if the purchase will be completed.

PVNGS Leases

PNM leases interests in Units 1 and 2 of PVNGS under arrangements, which initially were scheduled to expire on January 15, 2015 for the four Unit 1 leases and January 15, 2016 for the four Unit 2 leases. At January 15, 2015, the four Unit 1 leases were extended. At January 15, 2016, one of the Unit 2 leases was extended and PNM exercised its fair market value options to purchase the assets underlying the other three Unit 2 leases. See Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Note 6 for additional information regarding the leases, including PNM's actions regarding the renewal and purchase options. See Note 12 for information concerning the NMPRC's treatment of the purchased assets and extended leases in PNM's NM 2015 Rate Case.

Each of the lease agreements is with a different trust whose beneficial owner is an institutional investor. PNM is not the legal or tax owner of the leased assets. The beneficial owners of the trusts possess all of the voting control and pecuniary interest in the trusts. PNM is only obligated to make payments to the trusts for the scheduled semi-annual lease payments and other than as discussed in Note 6, PNM has no other financial obligations or commitments to the trusts or the beneficial owners although PNM is responsible for all decommissioning obligations related to its entire interest in PVNGS both during and after termination of the leases. Creditors of the trusts have no recourse to PNM's assets other than with respect to the contractual lease payments. PNM has no additional rights to the assets of the trusts other than the use of the leased assets. PNM has no assets or liabilities recorded on its Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets related to the trusts other than accrued lease payments of \$3.8 million at September 30, 2016 and \$18.4 million at December 31, 2015, which are included in other current liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Prior to their exercise or expiration, PNM's fixed rate renewal options were considered to be variable interests in the trusts and resulted in the trusts being considered VIEs under GAAP. PNM evaluated the PVNGS lease arrangements, including actions taken with respect to the renewal and purchase options, and concluded that it did not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impacted the economic performance of the trusts and, therefore, was not the primary beneficiary of the trusts under GAAP. Upon execution of documents establishing terms of the asset purchases or lease extensions, PNM's variable interest in the trusts ceased to exist

Westmoreland San Juan LLC ("WSJ") and SJCC

As discussed in the subheading Coal Supply in Note 11, PNM purchases coal for SJGS from SJCC under a coal supply agreement ("CSA"). That section includes information on the purchase of SJCC by WSJ on January 31, 2016, as well as a \$125.0 million loan (the "Westmoreland Loan") from NM Capital, a subsidiary of PNMR, to WSJ, which loan provided substantially all of the funds required for the SJCC purchase, and the issuance of a \$40.0 million letter of credit support under the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility to facilitate the issuance of reclamation bonds required in order for SJCC to mine coal to be supplied to SJGS. The Westmoreland Loan and the letter of credit support result in PNMR being considered to have a variable interest in WSJ, including its subsidiary, SJCC, since PNMR and NM Capital could be subject to loss in the event WSJ were to default under the Westmoreland Lo

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

an and/or performance under the letter of credit support was required. Principal payments under the Westmoreland Loan began on August 1, 2016 and are required quarterly thereafter. Interest is also paid quarterly beginning on May 1, 2016

At September 30, 2016, the amount outstanding under the Westmoreland Loan was \$110.0 million, after the August 1, 2016 scheduled principal payment of \$15.0 million, and is reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet net of unamortized fees. In addition, interest receivable of \$15 million is included in Other receivables. In August 2016, the \$40.0 million letter of credit support was reduced to \$30.3 million. The Westmoreland Loan requires that all cash flows of WSJ, in excess of normal operating expenses, capital additions, and operating reserves, be utilized for principal and interest payments under the loan until it is fully repaid. A principal payment of \$15.0 million plus interest of \$2.3 million is due on November 1, 2016 As of October 21, 2016, \$17.3 million was held in a restricted bank account that is to be used solely to service the Westmoreland Loan. The Westmoreland Loan is secured by the assets of and the equity interests in SJCC. In the event of a default by WSJ, NM Capital would have the ability to take over the mining operations. In such event, NM Capital would likely engage a third-party mining company to operate SJCC so that operations of the mine are not disrupted. Since the acquisition of SJCC by WSJ for approximately \$125.0 million is a recently negotiated, arms-length transaction between Westmoreland and BHP, the amount should approximate the fair value of SICC. Therefore, if WSJ were to default, NM Capital should be able to acquire assets of approximately the value of the Westmoreland Loan without a significant loss. Furthermore, PNMR considers the possibility of loss under the letter of credit support to be remote since the purpose of posting the bonds is to provide assurance that SJCC performs the required reclamation of the mine site in accordance with applicable regulations and all reclamation costs are reimbursable under the CSA. Also, much of the mine reclamation activities will not be performed for many years in the future, including after the expiration of the CSA and the final maturity of the Westmoreland Loan." In addition, each of the SJGS participants has established, and funds, a trust to meet its future reclamation obligations.

Both WSJ and SJCC are considered to be VIEs. PNMR's analysis of these arrangements concluded that Westmoreland, as the parent of WSJ, has the ability to direct the SJCC mining operations, which is the factor that most significantly impacts the economic performance of WSJ and SJCC. NM Capital's rights under the Westmoreland Loan are the typical protective rights of a lender, but do not give NM Capital any oversight over mining operations unless there is a default under the loan. Other than PNM being able to ensure that coal is supplied in adequate quantities and of sufficient quality to provide the fuel necessary to operate SIGS in a normal manner, the mining operations are solely under the control of Westmoreland and its subsidiaries, including developing mining plans, hiring of personnel, and incurring operating and maintenance expenses. Neither PNMR nor PNM has any ability to direct or influence the mining operation. Therefore, PNM's involvement through the CSA is a protective right rather than a participating right and Westmoreland has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the SJCC. The CSA requires SJCC to deliver coal required to fuel SJGS in exchange for payment of a set price per ton, which is escalated over time for inflation. If SJCC is able to mine more efficiently than anticipated, its economic performance will be improved. Conversely, if SJCC cannot mine as efficiently as anticipated, its economic performance will be negatively impacted. Accordingly, PNMR believes Westmoreland is the primary beneficiary of WSJ and, therefore, WSJ and SJCC are not consolidated by either PNMR or PNM. The amounts outstanding under the Westmoreland Loan and the letter of credit support constitute PNMR's maximum exposure to loss from the VIEs.

Lease Commitments

The Company leases office buildings, vehicles, and other equipment under operating leases. In addition, PNM leases interests in Units 1 and 2 of PVNGS and, through April 1, 2015, leased an interest in the EIP transmission line. All of the Company's leases are currently accounted for as operating leases. See Note 1. Additional information concerning the Company's lease commitments is contained in Note 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K, including PNM's actions with regard to renewal and purchase options under the PVNGS leases.

The PVNGS leases were scheduled to expire on January 15, 2015 for the four Unit 1 leases and January 15, 2016 for the four Unit 2 leases. The four Unit 1 leases have been extended to expire on January 15, 2023 and one of the Unit 2 leases has been extended to expire on January 15, 2024. For the other three PVNGS Unit 2 leases, PNM exercised its fair market value options to purchase the assets underlying those leases on the expiration date of the original leases. On January 15, 2016, PNM paid \$78.1 million to the lessor under one lease for 31.25 MW of the entitlement from PVNGS Unit 2 and \$85.2 million to the lessors under the other two leases for 32.76 MW of the entitlement from PVNGS Unit 2. See Note 12 for information concerning the NMPRC's treatment of the purchased assets and extended leases in PNM's NM 2015 Rate Case

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

PNM is exposed to losses under the PVNGS lease arrangements upon the occurrence of certain events that PNM does not consider to be reasonably likely to occur. Under certain circumstances (for example, the NRC issuing specified violation orders with respect to PVNGS or the occurrence of specified nuclear events). PNM would be required to make specified payments to the lessors, and take title to the leased interests. If such an event had occurred as of September 30, 2016, amounts due to the lessors under the circumstances described above would be up to \$176.1 million, payable on January 15, 2017 in addition to the scheduled lease payments due on January 15, 2017.

At March 31, 2015, PNM owned 60% of the EIP and leased the other 40%, under a lease that expired on April 1, 2015. PNM purchased the leased capacity at fair market value, which the parties agreed was \$7.7 million, on April 1, 2015.

(7) Fair Value of Derivative and Other Financial Instruments

Additional information concerning the Company's energy related derivative contracts and other financial instruments is contained in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

Fair value is defined under GAAP as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Fair value is based on current market quotes as available and is supplemented by modeling techniques and assumptions made by the Company to the extent quoted market prices or volatilities are not available. External pricing input availability for commodity derivatives varies based on commodity location, market liquidity, and term of the agreement. Valuations of derivative assets and liabilities take into account nonperformance risk including the effect of counterparties' and the Company's credit risk. The Company regularly assesses the validity and availability of pricing data for its derivative transactions. Although the Company uses its best judgment in estimating fair values, there are inherent limitations in any estimation technique.

Energy Related Derivative Contracts

Overview

The primary objective for the use of derivative instruments, including energy contracts, options, and futures, is to manage price risk associated with forecasted purchases of energy and fuel used to generate electricity, as well as managing anticipated generation capacity in excess of forecasted demand from existing customers PNM's energy related derivative contracts manage commodity risk. PNM is required to meet the demand and energy needs of its retail and firm-requirements wholesale customers PNM is exposed to market risk for its share of PVNGS Unit 3 and the needs of its firm-requirements wholesale customers not covered under a FPPAC. However, as discussed below, PNM has hedging arrangements for the output of PVNGS Unit 3 through December 31, 2017, at which time PVNGS Unit 3 will be included as a jurisdictional resource to serve New Mexico retail customers. PNM's operations are managed primarily through a net asset-backed strategy, whereby PNM's aggregate net open forward contract position is covered by its forecasted excess generation capabilities or market purchases. PNM could be exposed to market risk if its generation capabilities were to be disrupted or if its load requirements were to be greater than anticipated. If all or a portion of load requirements were required to be covered as a result of such unexpected situations, commitments would have to be met through market purchases.

Commodity Risk

Marketing and procurement of energy often involve market risks associated with managing energy commodities and establishing open positions in the energy markets, primarily on a short-term basis PNM routinely enters into various derivative instruments such as forward contracts, option agreements, and price basis swap agreements to economically hedge price and volume risk on power commitments and fuel requirements and to minimize the effect of market fluctuations in wholesale portfolios. PNM monitors the market risk of its commodity contracts using VaR calculations to maintain total exposure within management-prescribed limits in accordance with approved risk and credit policies.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Accounting for Derivatives

Under derivative accounting and related rules for energy contracts, the Company accounts for its various derivative instruments for the purchase and sale of energy based on the Company's intent During the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company was not hedging its exposure to the variability in future cash flows from commodity derivatives through designated cash flows hedges. The contracts recorded at fair value that do not qualify or are not designated for cash flow hedge accounting are classified as economic hedges. Economic hedges are defined as derivative instruments, including long-term power agreements, used to economically hedge generation assets, purchased power and fuel costs, and customer load requirements. Changes in the fair value of economic hedges are reflected in results of operations and are classified between operating revenues and cost of energy according to the intent of the hedge. The Company has no trading transactions

Commodity Derivatives

Commodity derivative instruments that are recorded at fair value, all of which are accounted for as economic hedges, are summarized as follows:

	Economic Hedges								
á	Sep	tember 30, 2016	December 31, 2015						
PNMR and PNM	(In thousands)								
Current assets	\$	3,949	\$	3,813					
Deferred charges		747	7 '	2,622					
		4,696		6,435 §					
Current liabilities		(2,423)		(1,859)					
Long-term liabilities !		(58)		_					
		(2,481)	-	(1,859)					
Net	\$	2,215	\$	4,576					

Included in the above table are \$2.7 million of current assets and \$0.6 million of deferred charges at September 30, 2016 and \$3.0 million of current assets and \$2.6 million of deferred charges at December 31, 2015 related to contracts for the sale of energy from PVNGS Unit 3 through 2017 at market price plus a premium. Certain of PNM's commodity derivative instruments in the above table are subject to master netting agreements whereby assets and liabilities could be offset in the settlement process. The Company does not offset fair value, cash collateral, and accrued payable or receivable amounts recognized for derivative instruments under master netting arrangements and the above table reflects the gross amounts of assets and liabilities. The amounts that could be offset under master netting agreements were immaterial at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, PNMR and PNM had no amounts recognized for the legal right to reclaim cash collateral. However, at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, amounts posted as cash collateral under margin arrangements were \$3.0 million and \$2.7 million for both PNMR and PNM. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, obligations to return cash collateral were \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million for both PNMR and PNM. Cash collateral amounts are included in other current assets and other current liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

PNM has a NMPRC approved hedging plan to manage fuel and purchased power costs related to customers covered by its FPPAC. The table above includes \$0.1 million of current assets, less than \$0.1 million of deferred charges, and less than \$0.1 million of current liabilities at September 30, 2016 and \$0.4 million of current assets and \$0.2 million of current liabilities at December 31, 2015 related to this plan. The offsets to these amounts are recorded as regulatory assets and liabilities on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The following table presents the effect of mark-to-market commodity derivative instruments on earnings, excluding income tax effects. Commodity derivatives had no impact on OCI for the periods presented.

	Economic Hedges										
	1	hree Mo Septen		Nine Months Ended September 30,							
		2016	2015			2016		2015			
PNMR and PNM				(In tho	usan	ıds)					
Electric operating revenues	\$	1,652	\$	6,823	\$	214	\$	7,354			
Cost of energy		(1)		(78)		(1,113)		(227)			
Total gain (loss)	\$	1,651	\$	6,745	\$	(899)	\$	7,127			

Commodity contract volume positions are presented in MMBTU for gas related contracts and in MWh for power related contracts. The table below presents PNMR's and PNM's net buy (sell) volume positions:

	Economic	Hedges
	MMBTU	MWh
PNMR and PNM		
September 30, 2016	200,000	(2,924,985)
December 31, 2015	577,481	(3,405,843)

In connection with managing its commodity risks, the Company enters into master agreements with certain counterparties. If the Company is in a net liability position under an agreement, some agreements provide that the counterparties can request collateral from the Company if the Company's credit rating is downgraded; other agreements provide that the counterparty may request collateral to provide it with "adequate assurance" that the Company will perform, and others have no provision for collateral.

The table below presents information about the Company's contingent requirements to provide collateral under commodity contracts having an objectively determinable collateral provision that are in net liability positions and are not fully collateralized with cash. Contractual Liability represents commodity derivative contracts recorded at fair value on the balance sheet, determined on an individual contract basis without offsetting amounts for individual contracts that are in an asset position and could be offset under master netting agreements with the same counterparty. The table only reflects cash collateral that has been posted under the existing contracts and does not reflect letters of credit under the PNM Revolving Credit Facility that have been issued as collateral. Net Exposure is the net contractual liability for all contracts, including those designated as normal purchases and normal sales, offset by existing cash collateral and by any offsets available under master netting agreements, including both asset and liability positions.

Contingent Fea Credit Rating Do		Contractual Liability		F	Existing Cash Collateral	ľ	Net Exposure
	-			(1	In thousands)		
PNMR and F	NM						
September 3	30, 2016	\$ -		\$	and applicati	\$	
December 3	1,2015	\$ 83	9	\$	_	\$	839

Sale of Power from PVNGS Unit 3

Because PNM's 134 MW share of Unit 3 at PVNGS is not currently included in retail rates, that unit's power is being sold in the wholesale market. PVNGS Unit 3 will be included as a jurisdictional resource to serve New Mexico retail customers beginning on January 1, 2018. As of September 30, 2016, PNM had contracted to sell 100% of PVNGS Unit 3 output through 2017, at market price plus a premium. Through hedging arrangements that are accounted for as economic hedges, PNM has established fixed rates for substantially all of the sales through 2017, which average approximately \$26 per MWh in 2016 and \$29 per MWh in 2017.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS . (Unaudited)'

Non-Derivative Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts reflected on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets approximate fair value for cash, receivables, and payables due to the short period of maturity. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value. Available-for-sale securities for PNMR and PNM consist of PNM assets held in the NDT for its share of decommissioning costs of PVNGS and trusts for PNM's share of post-term reclamation costs related to the coal mines serving SJGS and Four Corners (Note 11). At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the fair value of available-for-sale securities included \$258.6 million and \$249.1 million for the NDT and \$12.4 million and \$9.9 million for the mine reclamation trusts. The fair value and gross unrealized gains of investments in available-for-sale securities are presented in the following table.

•			Septembe	er 30	, 2016		December 31, 2015			
ŧ		Unrealized Gains "		**		Unrealized Gains		Unrealized Gains		air Value
PNMR and PNM		*	*.'		-					
Cash and cash equivalents		\$_		\$	4,831	\$		S	10,700	
Equity securities:							•			
Domestic value			8,345		63,666		11,610		44,505	
Domestic growth		ţ	5,619	a	47,996		11,163		61,078	
International and other			2,868		28,304		1,569		27,961	
Fixed income securities					,					
U.S. Government			696		37,152		178		27,880	
Municipals'		•	2,490		52,459		3,672		58;576	
Corporate and other			1,698		36,627		628		28,342	
	ş	\$	21,716	\$	271,035	\$	28,820	\$	259,042	
*		=		_		_		=		

The proceeds and gross realized gains and losses on the disposition of available-for-sale securities for PNMR and PNM are shown in the following table. Realized gains and losses are determined by specific identification of costs of securities sold. Gross realized losses shown below exclude the change in realized impairment losses of \$0.1 million and \$1.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and \$(2.4) million and \$(3.2) million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	Three Moi Septem	-46	As.		Nine Mon Septem	
	, -	2016,		2015 .		2016	2015
* .	•	ŧ		(In tho	usar	ıds)	
Proceeds from sales	\$	86,975	\$	71,576	\$	280,989	\$ 166,097
Gross realized gains	\$	7,026	\$	8,998	\$	27,273	\$ 22,463
Gross realized (losses)	\$	(2,565)	\$	(4,014)	\$	(12,913)	\$ (7,133)

Held-to-maturity securities are those investments in debt securities that the Company has the ability and intent to hold until maturity. At September 30, 2016, held-to-maturity securities consist of the Westmoreland Loan.

The Company has no available-for-sale or held-to-maturity securities for which carrying value exceeds fair value. There are no impairments considered to be "other than temporary" that are included in AOCI and not recognized in earnings

40

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

At September 30, 2016, the available-for-sale and held-to-maturity debt securities had the following final maturities:

		Fair	Value			
		vailable- for-Sale		Held-to- Maturity		
	P	NMR and PNM		PNMR		
		(In tho	usai	nds)		
Within 1 year	\$	4,349	\$			
After 1 year through 5 years		36,265		119,987		
After 5 years through 10 years		24,512				
After 10 years through 15 years		10,286		_		
After 15 years through 20 years		9,446				
After 20 years		41,380		_		
	\$	126,238	\$	119,987		

Fair Value Disclosures

The Company determines the fair values of its derivative and other financial instruments based on the hierarchy established in GAAP, which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. GAAP describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability. Level 3 inputs used in determining fair values for the Company consist of internal valuation models. The Company records any transfers between fair value hierarchy levels as of the end of each calendar quarter. There were no transfers between levels during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and the year ended December 31, 2015.

For available-for-sale securities, Level 2 fair values are provided by the trustee utilizing a pricing service. The pricing provider predominantly uses the market approach using bid side market value based upon a hierarchy of information for specific securities or securities with similar characteristics. For commodity derivatives, Level 2 fair values are determined based on market observable inputs, which are validated using multiple broker quotes, including forward price, volatility, and interest rate curves to establish expectations of future prices. Credit valuation adjustments are made for estimated credit losses based on the overall exposure to each counterparty. For the Company's long-term debt, Level 2 fair values are provided by an external pricing service primarily utilizes quoted prices for similar debt in active markets when determining fair value. For investments categorized as Level 3, including the Westmoreland Loan, PVNGS lessor notes, and certain items in other investments, fair values were determined by discounted cash flow models that take into consideration discount rates that are observable for similar types of assets and liabilities. Management of the Company independently verifies the information provided by pricing services.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Items recorded at fair value on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are presented below by level of the fair value hierarchy. There were no Level 3 fair value measurements at September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 for items recorded at fair value.

				GAAP Fair Val	ue Hierarchy
			Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)
September 30, 2016			*1	(In thousands)	
PNMR and PNM				1	
Available-for-sale secunties		- 14	,		
Cash and cash equivalents		<u> </u>	4,831	\$ 4,831	<u> </u>
Equity securities:	ŧ	'		7.46	
Domestic value	7 / MARKETON PERSONNEL VINE SPANNEL V		63,666	63,666	
Domestic growth			47,996	47,996	·
International and other			28,304	28,304	
Fixed income securities:	HE1				
U.S. Government	ak all Market of both and a factor		37,152	35,8 <u>79</u>	1,273
Municipals			52,459		52,459
Corporate and other			36,627	6,849	29,778
		\$	271,035	\$ 187,525	\$ 83,510
				·	
Commodity derivative assets		<u> </u>	4,696	\$ <u> </u>	\$ 4,696
Commodity derivative liabilities			(2,481)		(2,481)
Net	,	\$	2,215	<u> </u>	\$ 2,215
December 31, 2015					•
PNMR and PNM					
Available-for-sale securities					
Cash and cash equivalents		ŝ	10,700	\$ 10,700	\$ —
Equity securities:	,		and the second of the second	A section of the sect	•
Domestic value	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		44,505	44,505	_
Domestic growth			61,078	61,078	······································
International and other			27,961	27,961	
Fixed income securities:	, 1		* ************************************	reprie est umo productivo accidente p roprio pilo ^e destate dest	er mannen anner ann Tanar anner an armer ta
U.S. Government			27,880	26,608	1,272
Municipals	en menteleterarianen meletarragien ere i	,,	58,576	one is acceptance on a resource in construction of the first of the first seed that	58,576
Corporate and other			28,342	6,500	21,842
** The Control of the	*	\$	259,042	\$ 177,352	\$ '81,690
				·	··
		\$	6,435	\$ —	\$ 6,435
Commodity derivative assets	,			-	- 0,100
Commodity derivative assets		Ψ	(1.859)		(1.859)
Commodity derivative assets Commodity derivative liabilities Net		- - -	(1,859) 4,576	<u> </u>	. (1,859) \$ 4,576

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

The carrying amounts and fair values of investments in the Westmoreland Loan, PVNGS lessor notes, other investments, and long-term debt, which are not recorded at fair value on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are presented below.

			GAAP Fair Value Hierarchy						
_	Carrying Amount	Fair Value		Level 1		Level 2		Level 3	
<u>September 30, 2016</u>		 -	(I	n thousands)					
PNMR									
Long-term debt \$	2,308,340	\$ 2,508,344	\$		\$	2,508,344	\$		
Westmoreland Loan \$	109,783	\$ 119,987	\$	_	\$		\$	119,987	
Other investments \$	428	\$ 1,030	\$	428	\$		\$	602	
PNM									
Long-term debt \$	1,631,587	\$ 1,768,115	\$	_	\$	1,768,115	\$		
Other investments \$	197	\$ 197	\$	197	\$	_	\$		
TNMP									
Long-term debt \$	420,802	\$ 482,385	\$	_	\$	482,385	\$		
Other investments \$	231	\$ 231	\$	231	\$		\$		
<u>December 31, 2015</u>									
PNMR									
Long-term debt \$	2,091,948	\$ 2,264,869	\$	_	\$	2,264,869	\$	_	
Investment in PVNGS lessor notes \$	8,587	\$ 8,947	\$		\$	_	\$	8,947	
Other investments \$	604	\$ 1,269	\$	604	\$	*****	\$	665	
PNM									
Long-term debt \$	1,580,677	\$ 1,703,209	\$	_	\$	1,703,209	\$	_	
Investment in PVNGS lessor notes \$	8,587	\$ 8,947	\$	_	\$	_	\$	8,947	
Other investments \$	366	\$ 366	\$	366	\$	-	\$		
TNMP									
Long-term debt \$	361,411	\$ 411,661	\$		\$	411,661	\$		
Other investments \$	238	\$ 238	\$	238	\$	_	\$	_	

(8) Stock-Based Compensation

PNMR has various stock-based compensation programs, including stock options, restricted stock, and performance shares granted under the Performance Equity Plan ("PEP"). Although certain PNM and TNMP employees participate in the PNMR plans, PNM and TNMP do not have separate employee stock-based compensation plans. In 2011, the Company changed its approach to awarding stock-based compensation. As a result, no stock options have been granted since 2010 and awards of restricted stock have increased. Certain restricted stock awards are subject to achieving performance or market targets. Other awards of restricted stock are only subject to time vesting requirements Additional information concerning stock-based compensation under the PEP is contained in Note 13 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

Restricted stock under the PEP refers to awards of stock subject to vesting, performance, or market conditions rather than to shares with contractual post-vesting restrictions. Generally, the awards vest ratably over three years from the grant date of the award. However, awards with performance or market conditions vest upon satisfaction of those conditions. In addition, plan provisions provide that upon retirement, participants become 100% vested in certain stock awards.

The stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock awards without performance or market conditions for awards to participants that are retirement eligible on the grant date is recognized immediately at the grant date and is not amortized. Compensation expense for other such awards is amortized to compensation expense over the shorter of the requisite vesting period, which is generally three years, or the period until the participant becomes retirement eligible. Compensation expense for performance-based shares is recognized ratably over the performance period and is adjusted periodically to reflect the level of

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

achievement expected to be attained. Compensation expense related to market-based shares is recognized ratably over the measurement period, regardless of the actual level of achievement, provided the employees meet their service requirements. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, PNMR had unrecognized expense related to stock awards of \$5.9 million and \$5.7 million, which are expected to be recognized over an average of 1 9 and 1.4 years.

The grant date fair value for restricted stock and stock awards with Company internal performance targets is determined based on the market price of PNMR common stock on the date of the agreements reduced by the present value of future dividends, which will not be received prior to vesting, applied to the total number of shares that are anticipated to vest, although the number of performance shares that ultimately vest cannot be determined until after the performance periods end. The grant date fair value of stock awards with market targets is determined using Monte Carlo simulation models, which provide grant date fair values that include an expectation of the number of shares to vest at the end of the measurement period.

The following table summarizes the weighted-average assumptions used to determine the awards grant date fair value:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,							
Restricted Shares and Performance Based Shares		2016	2015					
Expected quarterly dividends per share	\$.	0 22	\$	0.20				
Risk-free interest rate		0.94%		0.92%				
Market-Based Shares	***************************************			**************************************				
Dividend yield		2.74%		2.87%				
Expected volatility		20.44%		18.73%				
Risk-free interest rate		0.97%		1.00%				

The following table summarizes activity in restricted stock awards, including performance-based and market-based shares, and stock options, for the nine months ended September 30, 2016:

Outstanding at December 31, 2015	' Restrict	ed Stock	Stock Options,			
	Shares	Weighted- Average 'Grant Date Fair Value	Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price		
	245,094	\$ 24.81	569,342	\$ 19.35		
Granted	1 190,276	\$ 26.49		. \$		
Exercised	(213,812)	\$ 23.44	(241,468)	\$ 27.61		
Forfeited	(714)	\$ 29.54	(2,000)	\$ 12.22.		
Expired		s <u> </u>	(8,200)	\$ 24 85		
Outstanding at September 30, 2016	220,844	\$ 27.58	317,674	\$ 12.97		

PNMR's stock-based compensation program provides for performance and market targets through 2018. Included as granted and exercised in the above table are 79,619 previously awarded shares that were earned for the 2013 through 2015 performance measurement period and approved by the Board in February 2016 (based upon achieving market targets at "target" levels, weighted at 60%, and performance targets at "threshold" levels, weighted at 40%). Excluded from the above table are maximums of 165,628, 166,797, and 147,031 shares for the three-year performance periods ending in 2016, 2017, and 2018 that would be awarded if all performance and market criteria are achieved at maximum levels and all executives remain eligible.

In March 2012, the Company entered into a retention award agreement with its Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer under which she would receive 135,000 shares of PNMR's common stock if PNMR meets specific market targets at the end of 2016 and she remains an employee of the Company. Under the agreement, she would receive 35,000 of the total shares if

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

PNMR achieved specific market targets at the end of 2014. The specified market target was achieved at the end of 2014 and the Board approved her receiving the 35,000 shares in February 2015. The retention award was made under the PEP and was approved by the Board on February 28, 2012. The above table does not include the restricted stock shares that remain unvested under this retention award agreement

Effective as of January 1, 2015, the Company entered into a retention award agreement with its Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer under which he would receive awards of restricted stock if PNMR meets specific performance targets at the end of 2016 and 2017 and he remains an employee of the Company. If PNMR achieves the specific performance target for the period from January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2016, he would receive \$100,000 of PNMR common stock based on the market value per share on the grant date in early 2017. Similarly, if PNMR achieves the specific performance target for the period from January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2017, he would receive \$275,000 of PNMR common stock based on the market value per share on the grant date in early 2018. If the target for the first performance period is not met, but the target for the second performance period is met, he would receive both awards, less any amount received previously under the agreement. The retention award was made under the PEP and was approved by the Board on December 9, 2014. The above table does not include any restricted stock shares under this retention award agreement.

In March 2015, the Company entered into a retention award agreement with its Chairman, President, and Chief Executive Officer under which she would receive 53.859 shares of PNMR's common stock if PNMR meets certain performance targets at the end of 2019 and she remains an employee of the Company. Under the agreement, she would receive 17,953 of the total shares if PNMR achieves specific performance targets at the end of 2017. The retention award was made under the PEP and was approved by the Board on February 26, 2015. The above table does not include any restricted stock shares under this retention award agreement.

At September 30, 2016, the aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding, all of which are exercisable, was \$6.3 million with a weighted-average remaining contract life of 2.22 years. At September 30, 2016, no outstanding stock options had an exercise price greater than the closing price of PNMR common stock on that date

The following table provides additional information concerning restricted stock activity, including performance-based and market-based shares, and stock options:

	N	line Mor Septen		hs Ended er 30,		
Restricted Stock		2016	2015			
Weighted-average grant date fair value	\$	26.49	\$	20.34		
Total fair value of restricted shares that vested (in thousands)	\$	5,011	\$	6,503		
Stock Options						
Weighted-average grant date fair value of options granted	\$		\$			
Total fair value of options that vested (in thousands)	\$	_	\$	_		
Total intrinsic value of options exercised (in thousands)	\$	1,208	\$	1,814		

(9) Financing

The Company's financing strategy includes both short-term and long-term borrowings. The Company utilizes short-term revolving credit facilities, as well as cash flows from operations, to provide funds for both construction and operating expenditures Depending on market and other conditions, the Company will periodically sell long-term debt or enter into term loan arrangements and use the proceeds to reduce borrowings under the revolving credit facilities. Each of the revolving credit facilities and the Company's term loans contains one financial covenant, which requires the maintenance of debt-to-capital ratios of less than or equal to 65% and generally include customary covenants, events of default, cross default provisions, and change of control provisions. PNM must obtain NMPRC approval for any financing transaction having a maturity of more than 18 months. In addition, PNM files its annual short-term financing plan with the NMPRC. Additional information concerning financing activities is contained in Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Financing Activities

On March 9, 2015, PNMR entered into a \$150.0 million Term Loan Agreement ("PNMR 2015 Term Loan Agreement") between PNMR; the lenders identified therein, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Lender and Administrative Agent. The PNMR 2015 Term Loan Agreement bears interest at a variable rate, which was 1.42% at September 30, 2016, and must be repaid on or before March 9, 2018. In September 2015, PNMR entered into a hedging agreement whereby it effectively established a fixed interest rate of 1.927%, subject to change if there is a change in PNMR's credit rating, for borrowings under the PNMR 2015 Term Loan Agreement for the period from January 11, 2016 through March 9, 2018. This hedge is accounted for as a cash-flow hedge and had a fair value loss of \$0.7 million at September 30, 2016, which is included in Other deferred credits on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, and a fair value gain of \$0.1 million at December 31, 2015, using Level 2 inputs under GAAP determined using forward LIBOR curves under the mid-market convention to discount cash flows over the remaining term of the swap agreements.

As discussed in Note 11, NM Capital, a wholly owned subsidiary of PNMR, entered into a \$125.0 million term loan agreement (the "BTMU Term Loan Agreement"), among NM Capital, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. ("BTMU"), as lender, and BTMU, as Administrative Agent, as of February 1, 2016. The BTMU Term Loan Agreement has a maturity date of February 1, 2021 and bears interest at a rate based on LIBOR plus a customary spread, which aggregated 3.51% at September 30, 2016. PNMR, as parent company of NM Capital, has guaranteed NM Capital's obligations to BTMU. NM Capital utilized the proceeds of the BTMU Term Loan Agreement to provide funding of \$125.0 million (the "Westmoreland Loan") to a ring-fenced, bankruptcy-remote, special-purpose entity that is a subsidiary of Westmoreland Coal Company to finance the purchase price of the stock of SICC. The BTMU Term Loan Agreement provides that the amount outstanding thereunder must be reduced by at least \$5.0 million quarterly beginning on November 1, 2016 NM Capital is also required to utilize the net proceeds of all amounts received under the Westmoreland Loan, after income taxes and fees, to make principal and interest payments on the BTMU Term Loan Agreement. The principal balance outstanding under the BTMU Term Loan Agreement was \$107.8 million on the BTMU Term Loan Agreement in the twelve months ended September 30, 2017.

On December 17, 2015, TNMP entered into an agreement (the "TNMP 2015 Bond Purchase Agreement"), which provided that TNMP would issue \$60.0 million aggregate principal amount of 3:53% first mortgage bonds, due 2026 (the "Series 2016A Bonds") on or about February 10, 2016, subject to satisfaction of certain conditions. TNMP issued the Series 2016A Bonds on February 10, 2016 and used the proceeds to reduce short-term debt and intercompany debt.

On May 20, 2016, PNM entered into a \$175.0 million term loan agreement (the "PNM 2016 Term Loan Agreement") between PNM and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A, as lender and administrative agent. The PNM 2016 Term Loan Agreement bears interest at a variable rate, which was 1.15% at September 30, 2016, and has a maturity date of November 17, 2017. PNM used a portion of the proceeds of the PNM 2016 Term Loan Agreement to prepay without penalty the \$125.0 million outstanding under the PNM Multi-draw Term Loan, which had a scheduled maturity of June 21, 2016.

On September 27, 2016, PNM participated in the issuance and sale of an aggregate of \$146.0 million of PCRBs by the City of Farmington, New Mexico. The proceeds from the sale were utilized to refund an aggregate of \$146.0 million of outstanding PCRBs previously issued by the City of Farmington. The arrangements governing the PCRBs result in PNM reflecting the bonds as debt on its financial statements. The PCRBs issued consist of the 2016 Series A in the aggregate principal amount of \$46.0 million and the 2016 Series B in the aggregate principal amount of \$100.0 million. Both series bear interest at a rate of 1.875% for the period from September 27, 2016 through September 30, 2021, have a mandatory tender for remarketing on October 1, 2021, and a final maturity on April 1, 2033.

On October 21, 2016, PNMR entered into a letter of credit arrangement with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. under which letters of credit aggregating \$30.3 million (the "JPM LOCs") were issued to replace letters of credit issued from available capacity under the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility. The letters of credit issued from available capacity under the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility will be surrendered and canceled upon acceptance of the JPM LOCs by the surety companies that issue the reclamation bonds. The letters of credit facilitate the posting of reclamation bonds, which SJCC was required to post in connection with permits relating to the operation of the San Juan mine (Note 11).

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Short-term Debt

The PNMR Revolving Credit Facility has a financing capacity of \$300.0 million and the PNM Revolving Credit Facility has a financing capacity of \$400.0 million, both of which mature on October 31, 2020. The TNMP Revolving Credit Facility is a \$75.0 million revolving credit facility secured by \$75.0 million aggregate principal amount of TNMP first mortgage bonds. The TNMP Revolving Credit Facility matures on September 18, 2018 PNM also has the \$50.0 million PNM New Mexico Credit Facility that expires on January 8, 2018 At September 30, 2016, the weighted average interest rate was 1.78% for the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility, 1.66% for the PNM Revolving Credit Facility, 1.68% for the PNM New Mexico Credit Facility, and 1.38% for borrowings outstanding under the twelve-month \$150.0 million PNMR Term Loan Agreement, which matures in December 2016. Short-term debt outstanding consisted of

Short-term Debt	Sep	otember 30, 2016	December 31, 2015				
		(In thou	ısand	is)			
PNM:							
PNM Revolving Credit Facility	\$	22,400	\$				
PNM New Mexico Credit Facility		20,000					
TNMP Revolving Credit Facility				59,000			
PNMR:							
PNMR Revolving Credit Facility		163,500		41,600			
PNMR Term Loan Agreement		150,000		150,000			
	\$	355,900	\$	250,600			

In addition to the above borrowings, PNMR, PNM, and TNMP had letters of credit outstanding of \$36.5 million, \$2.5 million, and \$0.1 million at September 30, 2016 that reduce the available capacity under their respective revolving credit facilities.

At October 21, 2016, PNMR, PNM, and TNMP had \$100.4 million, \$373.5 million, and \$74.9 million of availability under their respective revolving credit facilities, including reductions of availability due to outstanding letters of credit, and PNM had \$44.0 million of availability under the PNM New Mexico Credit Facility. Total availability at October 21, 2016, on a consolidated basis, was \$592.8 million for PNMR. As of October 21, 2016, PNM and TNMP had no borrowings from PNMR under intercompany loan agreements. At October 21, 2016, PNMR, PNM, and TNMP had consolidated invested cash of \$1.8 million, none, and \$0.3 million.

(10) Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

PNMR and its subsidiaries maintain qualified defined benefit pension plans, postretirement benefit plans providing medical and dental benefits, and executive retirement programs (collectively, the "PNM Plans" and "TNMP Plans"). PNMR maintains the legal obligation for the benefits owed to participants under these plans.

Additional information concerning pension and OPEB plans is contained in Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K. Annual net periodic benefit cost (income) for the plans is actuarially determined using the methods and assumptions set forth in that note and is recognized ratably throughout the year.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

PNM Plans

The following tables present the components of the PNM Plans' net periodic benefit cost:

		Three Months Ended September 30,													
.		Pensio	n P	lan		OPE	B Pl	an		Executive Pros	Retire gram				
		2016		2015		2016		2015		2016		2015			
	*}					(In th	ousa	ands)							
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost	! 								١						
Service cost	\$_		\$		\$	35_	\$	51	\$		\$				
Interest cost	•	7,577		7,064		1,087		1,022		203		190			
Expected return on plan assets		(8,854)		(9,831)		(1,371)		(1,403)		_					
Amortization of net (gain) loss		3,455		3,705		286		491		64,	·	. 81			
Amortization of prior service cost		(241)		(241)	-	(7)		(160)							
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	1,937	\$	697	\$	30	\$	1	\$	267	\$	271			
	,	Nine Months Ended September 30,													
	_	Pensio	n P	lan	OPEB Plan					Executive Retirement Program					
		2016		2015		2016		2015		2016		2015			
						(In th	ious	ands)							
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost								·							
Service cost	\$_		_\$_		\$_	105	\$_	153	<u> </u>		_\$				
Interest cost		22,731		21,191		3,260		3,067		609		570			
Expected return on plan assets		(26,562)		(29,492)		(4,113)		(4,208)	·						
Amortization of net (gain) loss		10,365		11,115		.858		1,474		192		243			
Amortization of prior service cost		(724)		(724)		(22)		(481)			,				
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	5,810	\$	2,090	\$	88	\$	5	\$	801	\$	813			

PNM made contributions to its pension plan trust of zero and \$30.0 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and does not anticipate making any contributions to the pension plan in 2016-2020, based on current law, including recent amendments to funding requirements, and estimates of portfolio performance. The funding assumptions were developed using discount rates of 4.8% to 5.7%. Actual amounts to be funded in the future will be dependent on the actuarial assumptions at that time, including the appropriate discount rate. PNM may make additional contributions at its discretion. PNM made contributions to the OPEB trust of \$0 8 million and \$2.4 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and \$0.8 million and \$2.4 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. PNM does not expect to make additional contributions to the OPEB trust in 2016 and does not expect to make contributions for 2017-2020. Disbursements under the executive retirement program, which are funded by PNM and considered to be contributions to the plan, were \$0.4 million and \$1.2 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and \$0.4 million and \$1.2 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and are expected to total \$1.5 million during 2016 and \$5.9 million for 2017-2020.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

TNMP Plans

The following tables present the components of the TNMP Plans' net periodic benefit cost (income):

	Three Months Ended September 30,													
	Pension Plan					OPE	3 Pl	an	Executive Retirement Program					
		2016		2015		2016		2015		2016		2015		
						(In tho	usa	nds)						
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Income)														
Service cost	\$		\$		\$	46	\$	62	\$		\$			
Interest cost		826		761		169		152		10		9		
Expected return on plan assets		(986)		(1,105)		(122)		(130)						
Amortization of net (gain) loss		175		195		(10)		_		1		1		
Amortization of prior service cost		_												
Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Income)	\$	15	\$	(149)	\$	83	\$	84	\$	11	\$	10		

	Nine Months Ended September 30,													
		Pensio	n l	Plan	OPEB Plan				Executive Retirement Program					
		2016		2015		2016		2015		2016		2015		
						(In the	usa	nds)						
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Income)														
Service cost	\$	******	\$	_	\$	139	\$	185	\$		\$	********		
Interest cost		2,478		2,282		508		456		30		27		
Expected return on plan assets		(2,957)		(3,315)		(367)		(390)						
Amortization of net (gain) loss		525		586		(30)		_		1		3		
Amortization of prior service cost										_				
Net Periodic Benefit Cost (Income)	\$	46	\$	(447)	\$	250	\$	251	\$	31	\$	30		

TNMP made no contribution to its pension trust in 2015 and does not anticipate making any contributions in 2016-2020, based on current law, including recent amendments to funding requirements, and estimates of portfolio performance. The funding assumptions were developed using discount rates of 4.8% to 5.7%. Actual amounts to be funded in the future will depend on the actuarial assumptions at that time, including the appropriate discount rate. TNMP may make additional contributions at its discretion. TNMP made no contributions to the OPEB trust in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015. TNMP expects to make contributions to the OPEB trust totaling \$0.3 million in 2016 and \$1.4 million for 2017-2020. Disbursements under the executive retirement program, which are funded by TNMP and considered to be contributions to the plan, were less than \$0.1 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 and are expected to total \$0.1 million during 2016 and \$0.4 million in 2017-2020.

(11) Commitments and Contingencies

Overview

There are various claims and lawsuits pending against the Company. The Company also is subject to federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations and periodically participates in the investigation and remediation of various sites. In addition, the Company periodically enters into financial commitments in connection with its business operations. Also, the Company is involved in various legal and regulatory (Note 12) proceedings in the normal course of its business. It is not possible at this time for the Company to determine fully the effect of all litigation and other legal and regulatory proceedings on its financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

With respect to some of the items listed below, the Company has determined that a loss is not probable or that, to the extent probable, cannot be reasonably estimated. In some cases, the Company is not able to predict with any degree of certainty the range of possible loss that could be incurred. Nevertheless, the Company assesses legal and regulatory matters based on current information and makes judgments concerning their potential outcome, giving due consideration to the nature of the claim, the amount and nature of any damages sought, and the probability of success. Such judgments are made with the understanding that the outcome of any litigation, investigation, or other legal proceeding is inherently uncertain. In accordance with GAAP, the Company records liabilities for matters where it is probable a loss has been incurred and the amount of loss is reasonably estimable. The actual outcomes of the items listed below could ultimately differ from the judgments made and the differences could be material. The Company cannot make any assurances that the amount of reserves or potential insurance coverage will be sufficient to cover the cash obligations that might be incurred as a result of litigation or regulatory proceedings. Except as otherwise disclosed, the Company does not expect that any known lawsuits, environmental costs, and commitments will have a material effect on its financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Additional information concerning commitments and contingencies is contained in Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

Commitments and Contingencies Related to the Environment

Nuclear Spent Fuel and Waste Disposal

Nuclear power plant operators are required to enter into spent fuel disposal contracts with the DOE that require the DOE to accept and dispose of all spent nuclear fuel and other high-level radioactive wastes generated by domestic power reactors. Although the Nuclear Waste Policy Act required the DOE to develop a permanent repository for the storage and disposal of spent nuclear fuel by 1998, the DOE announced that it would not be able to open the repository by 1998 and sought to excuse its performance of these requirements. In November 1997, the DC Circuit issued a decision preventing the DOE from excusing its own delay, but refused to order the DOE to begin accepting spent nuclear fuel. Based on this decision and the DOE's delay, a number of utilities, including APS (on behalf of itself and the other PVNGS owners, including PNM), filed damages actions against the DOE in the Court of Federal Claims. The lawsuits filed by APS alleged that damages were incurred due to DOE's continuing failure to remove spent nuclear fuel and high level waste from PVNGS. In August 2014, APS and DOE entered into a settlement agreement, which establishes a process for the payment of claims for costs incurred through December 31, 2016. Under the settlement agreement, APS must submit claims annually for payment of allowable costs. In the first quarter of 2015, PNM recorded \$4.3 million, including \$3.1 million credited back to PNM's customers, for its share of the settlement under this process for costs incurred through December 31, 2016, unless extended by mutual written agreement.

PNM estimates that it will incur approximately \$58.0 million (in 2013 dollars) for its share of the costs related to the on-site interim storage of spent nuclear fuel at PVNGS during the term of the operating licenses. PNM accrues these costs as a component of fuel expense as the fuel is consumed. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, PNM had a liability for interim storage costs of \$12.0 million and \$12.2 million included in other deferred credits.

PVNGS has sufficient capacity at its on-site ISFSI to store all of the nuclear fuel that will be irradiated during the initial operating license period, which ends in December 2027. Additionally, PVNGS has sufficient capacity at its on-site ISFSI to store a portion of the fuel that will be irradiated during the period of extended operation, which ends in November 2047. If uncertainties regarding the United States government's obligation to accept and store spent fuel are not favorably resolved, APS will evaluate alternative storage solutions that may obviate the need to expand the ISFSI to accommodate all of the fuel that will be irradiated during the period of extended operation.

On June 8, 2012, the DC Circuit issued its decision on a challenge by several states and environmental groups of the NRC's rulemaking regarding temporary storage and permanent disposal of high level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel. The petitioners had challenged the NRC's 2010 update to the agency's Waste Confidence Decision and temporary storage rule (the "Waste Confidence Decision"). The DC Circuit found that the Waste Confidence Decision update constituted a major federal action, which, consistent with NEPA, requires either an environmental impact statement or a finding of no significant impact from the NRC's actions. The DC Circuit found that the NRC's evaluation of the environmental risks from spent nuclear fuel was deficient

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

and, therefore, remanded the Waste Confidence Decision update for further action consistent with NEPA. On September 6, 2012, the NRC commissioners issued a directive to the NRC staff to proceed with development of a generic EIS to support an updated Waste Confidence Decision.

In September 2013, the NRC issued its draft generic EIS to support an updated Waste Confidence Decision. On August 26, 2014, the NRC approved a final rule on the environmental effects of continued storage of spent nuclear fuel. The continued storage rule adopted the findings of the generic EIS regarding the environmental impacts of storing spent fuel at any reactor site after the reactor's licensed period of operations. As a result, those generic impacts do not need to be re-analyzed in the environmental reviews for individual licenses. The NRC lifted its suspension on final licensing actions on all nuclear power plant licenses and renewals that went into effect when the DC Circuit issued its June 2012 decision although PVNGS had not been involved in any licensing actions affected by that decision. The August 2014 final rule has been subject to continuing legal challenges before the NRC and the United States Court of Appeals PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

In 2011, the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners and the Nuclear Energy Institute challenged DOE's 2010 determination of the adequacy of the one tenth of a cent per KWh fee (the "one-mill fee") paid by the nation's commercial nuclear power plant owners pursuant to their individual contracts with the DOE. On May 16, 2014, the DOE adjusted the fee to zero PNM anticipates challenges to this action and is unable to predict its ultimate

The Clean Air Act

Regional Haze

In 1999, EPA developed a regional haze program and regional haze rules under the CAA The rule directs each of the 50 states to address regional haze. Pursuant to the CAA, states have the primary role to regulate visibility requirements by promulgating SIPs States are required to establish goals for improving visibility in national parks and wilderness areas (also known as Class I areas) and to develop long-term strategies for reducing emissions of air pollutants that cause visibility impairment in their own states and for preventing degradation in other states. States must establish a series of interim goals to ensure continued progress. The first planning period specifies setting reasonable progress goals for improving visibility in Class I areas by the year 2018. In July 2005, EPA promulgated its final regional haze rule guidelines for states to conduct BART determinations for certain covered facilities, including utility boilers, built between 1962 and 1977 that have the potential to emit more than 250 tons per year of visibility impairing pollution. If it is demonstrated that the emissions from these sources cause or contribute to visibility impairment in any Class I area, then BART must be installed by 2018.

SJGS

BART Compliance - SIGS is a source that is subject to the statutory obligations of the CAA to reduce visibility impacts. Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K contains detailed information concerning the BART compliance process, including interactions with governmental agencies responsible for environmental oversight and the NMPRC approval process. In December 2015, PNM received NMPRC approval for the plan to comply with the EPA regional haze rule at SJGS. Under the approved plan, the installation of selective noncatalytic reduction technology ("SNCR") was required on SJGS Units 1 and 4, which was completed in early 2016, and Units 2 and 3 are to be retired by the end of 2017. In addition to the required SNCR equipment, the NSR permit, which was required to be obtained in order to install the SNCRs, specified that SJGS Units 1 and 4 be converted to balanced draft technology ("BDT"). PNM's share of the total costs for SNCRs and BDT equipment was \$76.8 million. See Note 12 for information concerning the NMPRC's treatment of BDT in PNM's NM 2015 Rate Case Although operating costs will be reduced due to the retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3, the operating costs for SJGS Units 1 and 4 will increase with the installation of SNCR and BDT equipment.

On December 16, 2015, following oral argument, the NMPRC issued a final order regarding SJGS. As provided in that order:

- PNM will retire SJGS Units 2 and 3 (PNM's current ownership interest totals 418 MW) at December 31, 2017 and recover, over 20 years, 50% of their undepreciated net book value at that date and earn a regulated return on those costs
- PNM is granted a CCN to acquire an additional 132 MW in SJGS Unit 4, with an initial book value of zero, plus the costs of SNCR and other capital additions

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

- PNM is granted a CCN for 134 MW of PVNGS Unit 3 with an initial rate base value equal to the book value as of December 31, 2017, including transmission assets associated with PVNGS Unit 3, (estimated to aggregate approximately \$152 million)
- No later than December 31, 2018, and before entering into a binding agreement for post-2022 coal supply for SJGS, PNM will file its position and supporting testimony in a NMPRC case to determine the extent to which SJGS should continue serving PNM's retail customers needs after mid-2022, all parties to the stipulation agree to support this case being decided within six months (to facilitate the 2018 filing, PNM anticipates developing two resource portfolios in its 2017 IRP to be filed in July 2017, one with SJGS continuing beyond mid-2022 and one where it is shutdown)
- PNM is authorized to acquire 65 MW of SJGS Unit 4 as excluded utility plant; PNM and PNMR commit that no further coal-fired merchant plant will be acquired at any time by PNM, PNMR, or any PNM affiliate; PNM is not precluded from seeking a CCN to include the 65 MW or other coal capacity in rate base
- Beginning January 1, 2020, for every MWh produced by 197 MW of coal-fired generation from PNM's ownership share of SJGS, PNM will acquire
 and retire one MWh of RECs or allowances that include a zero-CO₂ emission attribute compliant with EPA's Clean Power Plan; this REC retirement
 is in addition to what is required to meet the RPS; the cost of these RECs are to be capped at \$7.0 million per year and will be recovered in rates;
 PNM should purchase EPA-compliant RECs from New Mexico renewable generation unless those RECs are more costly
- PNM will accelerate recovery of SNCR costs on SJGS Units 1 and 4 so that the costs are fully recovered by July 1, 2022 (cost recovery for PNM's
- BDT project is discussed in Note 12)
- PNM will not recover approximately \$20 million of other costs incurred in connection with CAA compliance
- # PNM's 2014 IRP docket will be closed without other NMPRC action

At December 31, 2015, PNM estimated the undepreciated net book value of SJGS Units 2 and 3 at December 31, 2017 would be approximately \$255 3 million, 50% of which would be recovered over a 20 year period, including a return on the unrecovered amount at PNM's WACC. At December 31, 2015, PNM recorded a \$127.6 million regulatory disallowance to reflect the write-off of the 50% of the estimated December 31, 2017 net book value that will not be recovered. The ultimate amount of the disallowance will be dependent on the actual December 31, 2017 net book values of SJGS Units 2 and 3. Accordingly, the amount initially recorded will be adjusted periodically to reflect changes in the projected December 31, 2017 net book values. At December 31, 2015, PNM recorded losses for regulatory disallowances and restructuring costs, aggregating \$165.7 million, reflecting the above disallowance, the other unrecoverable costs, and the \$16.5 million increase in the estimated liability recorded for coal mine reclamation resulting from the new coal mine reclamation arrangement entered into in conjunction with the new coal supply agreement ("CSA"). Additional information about the CSA is discussed under Coal Supply below and in Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K

In the three months ended March 31, 2016, PNM revised its estimates of the December 31, 2017 projected book value of SJGS Units 2 and 3 and the other unrecoverable costs, which resulted in an expense of \$0.8 million that is reflected in regulatory disallowances and restructuring costs on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings. In addition, PNMR Development recorded an expense of \$0.6 million for costs it was obligated to reimburse the other SJGS participants under the restructuring arrangement, which is included in other deductions on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings. In the three months ended September 30, 2016, PNM recorded \$5.2 million of additional regulatory disallowances and restructuring costs, including \$4.8 million related to a refinement of the estimated liability for coal mine reclamation resulting from the new coal mine reclamation arrangement and \$0.4 million from a further revision of estimated December 31, 2017 projected book value of SJGS Units 2 and 3 and the other unrecoverable costs. At September 30, 2016, the carrying value for PNM's current ownership share of SJGS Units 2 and 3 is comprised of plant in service of \$471.6 million and accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$201.4 million for a net undepreciated book value of \$270.2 million, offset by 50% (which equals \$128.6 million) of the anticipated December 31, 2017 undepreciated net book value of SJGS Units 2 and 3 that will not be recovered, resulting in the net carrying value for SJGS Units 2 and 3 being \$141.6 million at September 30, 2016

On January 14, 2016, NEE filed, with the NM Supreme Court, a Notice of Appeal of the NMPRC's December 16, 2015 order On July 22, 2016, NEE filed a brief alleging that the NMPRC's decision violated NM Statutes and NMPRC regulations because PNM did not adequately consider replacement resources other than those proposed by PNM, the NMPRC did not require PNM to adequately address and mitigate ratepayer risk, the NMPRC unlawfully shifted the burden of proof, and the NMPRC's decision was arbitrary and capricious. PNM's response brief is due November 2, 2016. In addition, on February 5, 2016, NEE filed, with the NMPRC, a motion for reconsideration of that final order based on developments related to the loan made by NM

52

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Capital to facilitate the sale of SJCC, which is described under Coal Supply below. NEE alleged the loan is a transaction that, under the New Mexico Public Utility Act, requires prior NMPRC approval. PNM filed its response to NEE's motion for reconsideration on February 18, 2016. The NEE motion was denied by operation of law because the NMPRC did not act on the motion. On March 31, 2016, NEE filed, with the NMPRC, a complaint against PNM regarding the financing provided by NM Capital to facilitate the sale of SJCC. The complaint alleges that PNM failed to comply with its discovery obligation in the SJGS abandonment case and requests the NMPRC to investigate whether the financing transactions could adversely affect PNM's ability to provide electric service to its retail customers. PNM responded to the complaint on May 4, 2016. The NMPRC has taken no action on this matter. PNM cannot currently predict the outcome of these matters.

SJGS Ownership Restructuring Matters — As discussed in Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K, SJGS currently is jointly owned by PNM and eight other entities. In connection with the proposed retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3, some of the SJGS participants expressed a desire to exit their ownership in the plant. As a result, the SJGS participants negotiated a restructuring of the ownership in SJGS and addressed the obligations of the exiting participants for plant decommissioning, mine reclamation, environmental matters, and certain future operating costs, among other items

Following mediated negotiations, the SJGS participants executed the San Juan Project Restructuring Agreement ("RA") on July 31, 2015. The RA provides the essential terms of restructured ownership and addresses other related matters, including that the exiting participants remain obligated for their proportionate shares of environmental, mine reclamation, and certain other legacy liabilities that are attributable to activities that occurred prior to their exit. PNMR Development became a party to the RA and agreed to acquire a 65 MW ownership interest in SJGS Unit 4 on the exit date, which is anticipated to be December 31, 2017, but has obligations related to Unit 4 before then. On the exit date, PNM and PNMR Development would acquire 132 MW and 65 MW of the capacity in SJGS Unit 4 from the exiting owners for no initial cost other than funding capital improvements, including the costs of installing SNCR and BDT equipment. PNMR currently anticipates that PNMR Development would transfer the rights and obligations related to the 65 MW to PNM pnor to December 31, 2017 in order to facilitate dispatch of power from that capacity. As ordered by the NMPRC, PNM would treat the 65 MW as merchant utility plant that would be excluded from retail rates.

The RA became effective contemporaneously with the effectiveness of the new CSA. The effectiveness of the new CSA was dependent on the closing of the purchase of the existing coal mine operation by a new mine operator, which as discussed in Coal Supply below, occurred at 11:59 PM on January 31, 2016. The RA sets forth the terms under which PNM acquired the coal inventory of the exiting SJGS participants as of January 1, 2016 and will supply coal to the exiting participants for the period from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017, which arrangement provides economic benefits that are being passed on to PNM's customers through the FPPAC.

Other SJGS Matters – Although the RA results in an agreement among the SJGS participants enabling compliance with current CAA requirements, it is possible that the financial impact of climate change regulation or legislation, other environmental regulations, the result of litigation, and other business considerations, could jeopardize the economic viability of SJGS or the ability or willingness of individual participants to continue participation in the plant.

Four Corners

On August 6, 2012, EPA issued its Four Corners FIP with a final BART determination for Four Corners. The rule included two compliance alternatives. On December 30, 2013, APS notified EPA that the Four Corners participants selected the alternative that required APS to permanently close Units 1-3 by January 1, 2014 and install SCR post-combustion NOx controls on each of Units 4 and 5 by July 31, 2018. PNM owns a 13% interest in Units 4 and 5, but had no ownership interest in Units 1-3, which were shut down by APS on December 30, 2013. For particulate matter emissions, EPA is requiring Units 4 and 5 to meet an emission limit of 0.015 lb/MMBTU and the plant to meet a 20% opacity limit, both of which are achievable through operation of the existing baghouses. Although unrelated to BART, the final BART rule also imposes a 20% opacity limitation on certain fugitive dust emissions from Four Corners' coal and material handling operations.

PNM estimates its share of costs for post-combustion controls at Four Corners Units 4 and 5 to be up to \$90 l million, including amounts incurred through September 30, 2016 and PNM's AFUDC. PNM will seek recovery from its ratepayers of all costs that are ultimately incurred. PNM is unable to predict the ultimate outcome of this matter.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

The Four Corners participants' obligations to comply with EPA's final BART determinations, coupled with the financial impact of climate change regulation or legislation, other environmental regulations, and other business considerations, could jeopardize the economic viability of Four Corners or the ability of individual participants to continue their participation in Four Corners.

Four Corners Federal Agency Lawsuit — On December 21, 2015, several environmental groups filed a notice of intent to sue the OSM and other federal agencies under the ESA, alleging that OSM's reliance on the Biological Opinion and Incidental Take Statement prepared in connection with a federal environmental review was not in accordance with applicable law. The environmental review was undertaken as part of the DOI's review process necessary to allow for the effectiveness of lease amendments and related rights-of-way renewals for Four Corners. This review process also required separate environmental impact evaluations under NEPA and culminated in the issuance of a Record of Decision justifying the agency action extending the life of the plant and the adjacent mine.

On April 20, 2016, the same environmental groups filed a lawsuit against OSM and other federal agencies in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. Expanding upon the December 2015 ESA notice, the lawsuit alleges that these federal agencies violated both the ESA and NEPA in providing the federal approvals necessary to extend operations at Four Comers and the adjacent mine past July 6, 2016. The court granted APS' motion to intervene in the litigation on August 3, 2016. Briefing on the merits of this litigation is expected to extend through May 2017. On September 15, 2016, the Navajo Transitional Energy Company, LLC ("NTEC"), the current owner of the mine providing coal to Four Corners, filed a motion to intervene for the purpose of dismissing the lawsuit based on NTEC's tribal sovereign immunity. PNM cannot predict the timing or outcome of this matter.

Carbon Dioxide Emissions

On August 3, 2015, EPA established final standards to limit CO₂ emissions from power plants. EPA took three separate but related actions in which it: (1) established the final carbon pollution standards for new, modified and reconstructed power plants; (2) established the final Clean Power Plan to set standards for carbon emission reductions from existing power plants; and (3) released a proposed federal plan associated with the final Clean Power Plan. The Clean Power Plan was published on October 23, 2015. Multiple states, utilities, and trade groups subsequently filed petitions for review and motions to stay in the DC Circuit.

The Clean Power Plan establishes state-by-state targets for carbon emissions reduction and establishes deadlines for states to submit initial plans to EPA by September 6, 2016, with a potential two-year extension, and final plans by 2018. Those deadlines will be adjusted due to the stay of the Clean Power Plan issued by the US Supreme Court and pending litigation described below. State plans can be based on either an emission standards (rate or mass) approach or a state measures approach. Under an emission standards approach, federally enforceable emission limits are placed directly on affected units in the state. A state measures approach must meet equivalent rates statewide, but may include some elements, such as renewable energy or energy efficiency requirements, that are not federally enforceable. State measures plans may only be used with mass-based goals and must include "backstop" federally enforceable standards that will become effective if the state measures fail to achieve the expected level of emission reductions.

On January 21, 2016, the DC Circuit denied petitions to stay the Clean Power Plan. On January 26, 2016, 29 states and state agencies filed a petition to the US Supreme Court asking the court to reverse the DC Circuit's decision and stay the implementation of the Clean Power Plan. On February 9, 2016, the US Supreme Court granted the applications to stay the Clean Power Plan pending judicial review of the rule. The US Supreme Court issued a one-page order that stated. "The EPA rule to have states cut power sector carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions 32% by 2030 is stayed pending disposition of the applicants' petitions for review in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit" The vote was 5-4 among the US Supreme Court Justices The decision means the Clean Power Plan is not in effect and states are not obliged to comply with its requirements. If the rule prevails through the legal challenges, states will be able to resume preparing state plans where they left off and may still have six more months to prepare initial plans and 2.5 years for final plans. The DC Circuit heard oral arguments on September 27, 2016 in the case challenging the Clean Power Plan. A decision by the DC Circuit is not expected until sometime in 2017. The stay will remain in effect pending US Supreme Court review if such review is sought.

54

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

The proposed federal plan released concurrently with the Clean Power Plan is important to Four Corners and the Navajo Nation. Since the Navajo Nation does not have primacy over its air quality program, the EPA would be the regulatory authority responsible for implementing the Clean Power Plan on the Navajo Nation. In addition, the proposed rule recommends that EPA determine it is "necessary or appropriate" for EPA to regulate CO 2 emissions on the Navajo Nation. The comment period for the proposed rule closed on January 21, 2016. APS and PNM filed separate comments with EPA on EPA's draft plan and model trading rules, advocating that such a federal plan is neither necessary or appropriate to protect air quality on the Navajo Nation. If EPA was to determine that it was "not necessary or appropriate", then the Clean Power Plan would not apply to the Navajo Nation, in which case, APS has indicated the Clean Power Plan would not have a material impact on Four Corners. PNM is unable to predict the financial or operational impacts on Four Corners operations if EPA determines that a federal plan is necessary or appropriate for the Navajo Nation.

On June 30, 2016, EPA published in the Federal Register the design details of its voluntary Clean Energy Incentive Program under the Clean Power Plan. The due date for comments to EPA on the program has been extended to November 1, 2016.

PNM's review of the new CO_2 emission reductions standards is ongoing and the assessment of its impacts will depend on the outcome of the judicial and regulatory proceedings. Accordingly, PNM cannot predict the impact these standards may have on its operations or a range of the potential costs of compliance.

National Ambient Air Quality Standards ("NAAQS")

The CAA requires EPA to set NAAQS for pollutants considered harmful to public health and the environment. EPA has set NAAQS for certain pollutants, including NOx. SO2, ozone, and particulate matter. In 2010, EPA updated the primary NOx and SO2 NAAQS to include a 1-hour maximum standard while retaining the annual standards for NOx and SO2 and the 24-hour SO2 standard. New Mexico is in attainment for the 1-hour NOx NAAQS On May 13, 2014, EPA released the draft data requirements rule for the 1-hour SO₂ NAAOS, which directs state and tribal air agencies to characterize current air quality in areas with large SO₂ sources to identify maximum 1-hour SO₂ concentrations. The proposed rule also describes the process and timetable by which air regulatory agencies would characterize air quality around large SO₂ sources through ambient monitoring or modeling. This characterization will result in these areas being designated as attainment, nonattainment, or unclassified for compliance with the 1-hour SO₂ NAAQS. On March 2, 2015, the United States District Court for the Northern District of California approved a settlement that imposes deadlines for EPA to identify areas that violate the NAAQS standards for 1-hour SO₂ emissions. The settlement results from a lawsuit brought by Earthjustice on behalf of the Sierra Club and the Natural Resources Defense Council under the CAA. The consent decree requires the following: (1) within 16 months of the consent decree entry, EPA must issue area designations for areas containing non-retiring facilities that either emitted more than 16,000 tons of SO₂ in 2012 or emitted more than 2,600 tons with an emission rate of 0.45 lbs/MMBTU or higher in 2012, (2) by December 2017, EPA must issue designations for areas for which states have not adopted a new monitoring network under the proposed data requirements rule; and (3) by December 2020, EPA must issue designations for areas for which states have adopted a new monitoring network under the proposed data requirements rule SJGS and Four Corners SO₂ emissions are below the tonnages set forth in 1) above EPA regions sent letters to state environmental agencies explaining how EPA plans to implement the consent decree. The letters outline the schedule that EPA expects states to follow in moving forward with new SO₂ non-attainment designations. NMED did not receive a letter.

On August 11, 2015, EPA released the Data Requirements Rule for SO₂, telling states how to model or monitor to determine attainment or nonattainment with the new 1-hour SO₂ NAAQS. On June 3, 2016, NMED notified PNM that air quality modeling results indicated that SJGS was in compliance with the standard. The next compliance date is in January 2017, when NMED will submit their formal modeling report and recommendations regarding attainment status to EPA. Thereafter, every July, NMED must submit a report to EPA documenting annual SO₂ emissions from SJGS and the associated compliance status

EPA finalized revisions to its NAAQS for fine particulate matter on December 14, 2012. PNM believes the equipment modifications required under its amended NSR air permit for the installation of SNCRs and installation of BDT equipment to reduce fugitive emissions, including NOx, SO₂ and particulate matter, will assist the plant in complying with the particulate matter NAAQS.

In January 2010, EPA announced it would strengthen the 8-hour ozone standard by setting a new standard in a range of 60-70 parts per billion ("ppb") On October 1, 2015, EPA finalized the new ozone NAAQS and lowered both the primary and

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

secondary 8-hour standard from 75 ppb to 70 ppb. With ozone standards becoming more stringent, fossil-fueled generation units will come under increasing pressure to reduce emissions of NOx and volatile organic compounds and to generate emission offsets for new projects or facility expansions located in nonattainment areas.

On November 10, 2015, EPA proposed a rule revising its Exceptional Events Rule, which outlines the requirements for excluding air quality data (including ozone data) from regulatory decisions if the data are affected by events outside an area's control. The proposed rule is timely in light of the new more stringent ozone NAAQS final rule since western states like New Mexico and Arizona are particularly subject to elevated background ozone transport from natural local sources, such as wildfires, and transported via winds from distant sources, such as the stratosphere or another region or country.

On February 25, 2016, EPA released guidance on area designations, which states will use to determine their initial designation recommendations by October 1, 2016. EPA recommends that states and tribes use the three most recent years of quality assured monitoring data available (e.g., 2013 to 2015) to recommend designations. States and tribes may also have preliminary 2016 data that may be used EPA will release final designations of attainment/nonattainment for areas by October 1, 2017. By October 2018, NMED must submit an infrastructure SIP that provides the basic air quality management program to implement the revised ozone standard. Due dates for SIPs for areas that have been designated as non-attainment for ozone are generally due within 36 months from the date of designation and are expected to be submitted to EPA by October 1, 2020.

NMED published their 2015 Ozone NAAQS Designation Recommendation Report on September 2, 2016. In New Mexico, NMED is designating only a small area in southern Dona Ana County as non-attainment for ozone. NMED will have responsibility for bringing this nonattainment area into compliance and will look at all sources of NOx and volatile organic compounds since these are the pollutants that form ground-level ozone. According to NMED's website, "If emissions from Mexico keep New Mexico from meeting the standards, the New Mexico area could remain nonattainment but would not face more stringent requirements over time".

PNM does not believe there will be material impacts to its facilities as a result of NMED's nonattainment designation of the small area within Dona Ana County, but must wait on EPA's ultimate approval, which should occur by October 1, 2017. Until EPA approves attainment designations for the Navajo Nation and releases a proposal to implement the revised ozone NAAQS, APS is unable to predict what impact the adoption of these standards may have on Four Corners. PNM cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Four Corners Coal Mine

In 2012, several environmental groups filed a lawsuit in federal district court against the OSM challenging OSM's 2012 approval of a permit revision which allowed for the expansion of mining operations into a new area of the mine that serves Four Comers ("Area IV North") In April 2015, the court issued an order invalidating the permit revision, thereby prohibiting mining in Area IV North until OSM took action to cure the defect in its permitting process identified by the court. The owner of the mine appealed to the Tenth Circuit. On December 29, 2015, OSM took action to cure the defect in its permitting process by issuing a revised environmental assessment with a finding of no new significant impact and reissued the permit. On March 30, 2016, the Tenth Circuit vacated and dismissed the appeal on mootness grounds due to OSM's revised environmental assessment and reapproval of the permit at issue.

WEG v. OSM NEPA Lawsuit

In February 2013, WEG filed a Petition for Review in the United States District Court of Colorado against OSM challenging federal administrative decisions affecting seven different mines in four states issued at various times from 2007 through 2012. In its petition, WEG challenges several unrelated mining plan modification approvals, which were each separately approved by OSM. Of the fifteen claims for relief in the WEG Petition, two concern SJCC's San Juan mine. WEG's allegations concerning the San Juan mine arise from OSM administrative actions in 2008 WEG alleges various NEPA violations against OSM, including, but not limited to, OSM's alleged failure to provide requisite public notice and participation, alleged failure to analyze certain environmental impacts, and alleged reliance on outdated and insufficient documents. WEG's petition seeks various forms of relief, including a finding that the federal defendants violated NEPA by approving the mine plans; voiding, reversing, and remanding the various mining modification approvals; enjoining the federal defendants from re-issuing the mining plan approvals for the mines

56

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

until compliance with NEPA has been demonstrated; and enjoining operations at the seven mines. SJCC intervened in this matter. The court granted SJCC's motion to sever its claims from the lawsuit and transfer venue to the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico. In February 2016, venue for this matter was transferred to the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas. A stay in this matter expired on April 1, 2016 and was not renewed although the parties continued to engage in settlement negotiations. On August 31, 2016, the court entered an order remanding the matter back to OSM for the completion of an EIS. The EIS is to be completed by August 31, 2019. The court ruled that mining operations may continue in the interim and the litigation will be administratively closed. If OSM does not complete the EIS within the time frame provided, the court will order immediate vacatur of the mining plan at issue. The scope of the EIS will be determined through a public process and is expected to include cumulative and indirect effects of surrounding sources. PNM cannot currently predict the outcome of this matter.

Navajo Nation Environmental Issues

Four Comers is located on the Navajo Reservation and is held under an easement granted by the federal government, as well as a lease from the Navajo Nation. The Navajo Acts purport to give the Navajo Nation Environmental Protection Agency authority to promulgate regulations covering air quality, drinking water, and pesticide activities, including those activities that occur at Four Corners. In October 1995, the Four Corners participants filed a lawsuit in the District Court of the Navajo Nation challenging the applicability of the Navajo Acts to Four Corners In May 2005, APS and the Navajo Nation signed an agreement resolving the dispute regarding the Navajo Nation's authority to adopt operating permit regulations under the Navajo Nation Air Pollution Prevention and Control Act. As a result of this agreement, APS sought, and the courts granted, dismissal of the pending litigation in the Navajo Nation Supreme Court and the Navajo Nation District Court, to the extent the claims relate to the CAA. The agreement does not address or resolve any dispute relating to other aspects of the Navajo Acts. PNM cannot currently predict the outcome of these matters or the range of their potential impacts.

Cooling Water Intake Structures

EPA signed its final cooling water intake structures rule on May 16, 2014, which establishes national standards for certain cooling water intake structures at existing power plants and other facilities under the Clean Water Act to protect fish and other aquatic organisms by minimizing impingement mortality (the capture of aquatic wildlife on intake structures or against screens) and entrainment mortality (the capture of fish or shellfish in water flow entering and passing through intake structures). The final rule was published on August 15, 2014 and became effective October 14, 2014.

The final rule allows multiple compliance options and considerations for site specific conditions and the permit writer is granted a significant amount of discretion in determining permit requirements, schedules, and conditions. To minimize impingement mortality, the rule provides operators of facilities, such as SJGS and Four Corners, seven options for meeting Best Technology Available ("BTA") standards for reducing impingement. SJGS has a closed-cycle recirculating cooling system, which is a listed BTA and may also qualify for the "de minimis rate of impingement" based on the design of the intake structure. To minimize entrainment mortality, the permitting authority must establish the BTA for entrainment on a site-specific basis, taking into consideration an array of factors, including endangered species and social costs and benefits. Affected sources must submit source water baseline characterization data to the permitting authority to assist in the determination. Compliance deadlines under the rule are tied to permit renewal and will be subject to a schedule of compliance established by the permitting authority.

The rule is not clear as to how it applies and what the compliance timelines are for facilities like SJGS that have a cooling water intake structure and only a multi-sector general stormwater permit. PNM has been in discussion with EPA regarding this issue. However, PNM does not expect material changes as a result of any requirements that may be imposed upon SJGS. APS is currently in discussions with EPA Region 9, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit writer for Four Comers, to determine the scope of the impingement and entrainment requirements, which will, in turn, determine APS's costs to comply with the rule. APS has indicated that it does not expect such costs to be material

Effluent Limitation Guidelines

On June 7, 2013, EPA published proposed revised wastewater effluent limitation guidelines establishing technology-based wastewater discharge limitations for fossil fuel-fired electric power plants. EPA's proposal offered numerous options that target metals and other pollutants in wastewater streams originating from fly ash and bottom ash handling activities, scrubber activities,

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

and non-chemical metal cleaning waste operations. All proposed alternatives establish a "zero discharge" effluent limit for all pollutants in fly ash transport water. Requirements governing bottom ash transport water differ depending on which alternative EPA ultimately chooses and could range from effluent limits based on Best Available Technology Economically Achievable to "zero discharge" effluent limits.

EPA signed the final Steam Electric Effluent Guidelines Rule on September 30, 2015. The final rule, which became effective on January 4, 2016, phases in the new more stringent requirements in the form of effluent limits for arsenic, mercury, selenium, and nitrogen for wastewater discharged from wet scrubber systems and zero discharge of pollutants in ash transport water that must be incorporated into plants' NPDES permits. Each plant must comply between 2018 and 2023 depending on when it needs a new/revised NPDES permit.

Because SJGS is zero discharge for wastewater and is not required to hold a NPDES permit, it is expected that minimal to no requirements will be imposed. Reeves Station, a PNM-owned gas-fired generating station, discharges cooling tower blowdown to a publicly owned treatment works and holds an NPDES permit. It is expected that minimum to no requirements will be imposed at Reeves.

Based upon the requirements of the final Steam Electric Effluent Guidelines Rule, Four Corners may be required to change equipment and operating practices affecting boilers and ash handling systems, as well as change its waste disposal techniques. Until a draft NPDES permit is proposed for Four Corners, APS is uncertain what will be required to comply with the finalized effluent limitations. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter or a range of the potential costs of compliance.

Santa Fe Generating Station

PNM and the NMED are parties to agreements under which PNM installed a remediation system to treat water from a City of Santa Fe municipal supply well, an extraction well, and monitoring wells to address gasoline contamination in the groundwater at the site of PNM's former Santa Fe Generating Station and service center PNM believes the observed groundwater contamination originated from off-site sources, but agreed to operate the remediation facilities until the groundwater meets applicable federal and state standards or until the NMED determines that additional remediation is not required, whichever is earlier. The City of Santa Fe has indicated that since the City no longer needs the water from the well, the City would prefer to discontinue its operation and maintain it only as a backup water source. However, for PNM's groundwater remediation system to operate, the water well must be in service Currently, PNM is not able to assess the duration of this project or estimate the impact on its obligations if the City of Santa Fe ceases to operate the water well.

The Superfund Oversight Section of the NMED also has conducted multiple investigations into the chlorinated solvent plume in the vicinity of the site of the former Santa Fe Generating Station. In February 2008, a NMED site inspection report was submitted to EPA, which states that neither the source nor extent of contamination has been determined and that the source may not be the former Santa Fe Generating Station. Results of tests conducted by NMED in April 2012 and April 2013 showed elevated concentrations of nitrate in three monitoring wells and an increase in free-phase hydrocarbons in another well. PNM conducted similar site-wide sampling activities in April 2014 and obtained results similar to the 2013 data. As part of this effort, PNM also collected a sample of hydrocarbon product for "fingerprint" analysis from a monitoring well located on the northeastern comer of the property. This analysis indicated that the hydrocarbon product was a mixture of newer and older fuels, and the location of the monitoring well suggests that the hydrocarbon product is likely from offsite sources. PNM does not believe the former generating station is the source of the increased levels of free-phase hydrocarbons, but no conclusive determinations have been made. However, it is possible that PNM's prior activities to remediate hydrocarbon contamination, as conducted under an NMED-approved plan, may have resulted in increased nitrate levels. Therefore, PNM has agreed to monitor nitrate levels in a limited number of wells under the terms of the renewed discharge permit for the former generating station. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of these matters.

Effective December 22, 2015, PNM and NMED entered into a memorandum of understanding to address changing groundwater quality conditions at the site. Under the memorandum, PNM will continue gasoline remediation of the site under the supervision of NMED and qualified costs of the work will be eligible for payment through the New Mexico Corrective Action Fund ("CAF"), which is administered by the NMED Petroleum Storage Tank Bureau. Among other things, money in the CAF is available to NMED to make payments to or on behalf of owners and operators for corrective action taken in accordance with

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

statutory and regulatory requirements to investigate, minimize, eliminate, or clean up a release. PNM's work plan and cost estimates for specific groundwater remediation tasks were approved by the Petroleum Storage Tank Bureau. PNM submitted a monitoring plan consisting of a compilation of the data associated with the recent monitoring activities conducted under the CAF to NMED on October 3, 2016. Following review of the data by NMED, PNM, and NMED will develop plans for the next phase of work under the CAF.

Coal Combustion Byproducts Waste Disposal

CCBs consisting of fly ash, bottom ash, and gypsum from SJGS are currently disposed of in the surface mine pits adjacent to the plant. SJGS does not operate any CCB impoundments or landfills. The NMMMD currently regulates mine placement of ash at SJGS with federal oversight by the OSM. APS disposes of CCBs in ash ponds and dry storage areas at Four Corners. Ash management at Four Corners is regulated by EPA and the New Mexico State Engineer's Office.

In June 2010, EPA published a proposed rule that included two options for waste designation of coal ash. One option was to regulate CCBs as a hazardous waste, which would allow EPA to create a comprehensive federal program for waste management and disposal of CCBs. The other option was to regulate CCBs as a non-hazardous waste, which would provide EPA with the authority to develop performance standards for waste management facilities handling the CCBs and would be enforced primarily by state authorities or through citizen suits. Both options allow for continued use of CCBs in beneficial applications.

On December 19, 2014, EPA issued its coal ash rule, including a non-hazardous waste determination for coal ash. Coal ash will be regulated as a solid waste under Subtitle D of RCRA. The rule sets minimum criteria for existing and new CCB landfills and existing and new CCB surface impoundments and all lateral expansions consisting of location restrictions, design and operating criteria; groundwater monitoring and corrective action; closure requirements and post closure care; and recordkeeping, notification, and internet posting requirements.

Because the rule is promulgated under Subtitle D, it does not require regulated facilities to obtain permits, does not require the states to adopt and implement the new rules, and is not within EPA's enforcement jurisdiction. Instead, the rule's compliance mechanism is for a state or citizen group to bring a RCRA citizen suit in federal district court against any facility that is alleged to be in non-compliance with the new requirements. EPA published the final CCB rule in the Federal Register on April 17, 2015, with an effective date of October 19, 2015. Based upon the requirements of the final rule, PNM conducted a CCB assessment at SJGS and made minor modifications at the plant to ensure that there are no facilities which would be considered impoundments or landfills under the rule. PNM does not expect it to have a material impact on operations, financial position, or cash flows.

As indicated above, CCBs at Four Corners are currently disposed of in ash ponds and dry storage areas. Depending upon the results of groundwater monitoring required by the CCB rule, Four Corners may be required to take corrective action. Initial monitoring at Four Corners is not yet complete, so expenditures related to potential corrective actions, if any, cannot be reasonably estimated at this time.

Pursuant to a June 24, 2016 order by the DC Circuit in litigation by industry and environmental groups challenging EPA's CCB regulations, within the next three years EPA is required to complete a rulemaking proceeding concerning whether or not boron must be included on the list of groundwater constituents that might trigger corrective action under EPA's CCB rules. EPA is not required to take final action approving the inclusion of boron, but EPA must propose and consider its inclusion. Should EPA take final action adding boron to the list of groundwater constituents that might trigger corrective action, any resulting corrective action measures may increase costs of compliance with the CCB rule at coal-fired generating facilities. At this time, PNM cannot predict when EPA will commence its rulemaking concerning boron or the eventual results of those proceedings

The rule's preamble indicates EPA is still evaluating whether to reverse its original regulatory determination and regulate coal ash under RCRA Subtitle C, which means it is possible at some point in the future for EPA to review the new CCB rules. The CCB rule does not cover mine placement of coal ash. OSM is expected to publish a proposed rule covering mine placement in 2016 and will likely be influenced by EPA's rule. PNM cannot predict the outcome of OSM's proposed rulemaking regarding CCB regulation, including mine placement of CCBs, or whether OSM's actions will have a material impact on PNM's operations, financial position, or cash flows. PNM would seek recovery from its ratepayers of all CCB costs that are ultimately incurred.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

Other Commitments and Contingencies

Coal Supply

SJGS.

The coal requirements for SJGS are supplied by SJCC. Through January, 31, 2016, SJCC was a wholly owned subsidiary of BHP and supplied processed coal for operation of SJGS under an underground coal sales agreement ("UG-CSA") that was to expire on December 31, 2017. The parties to the UG-CSA were SJCC, PNM, and Tucson. SJCC holds certain federal, state, and private coal leases. Under the UG-CSA, SJCC was reimbursed for all costs for mining and delivering the coal, including an allocated portion of administrative costs, and received a return on its investment. In addition to coal delivered to meet the current needs of SJGS, PNM prepaid SJCC for certain coal mined but not yet delivered to the plant site. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, prepayments for coal, which are included in other current assets, amounted to \$54.1 million (including amounts purchased from the existing SJGS participants discussed below) and \$49.0 million.

In conjunction with the activities undertaken to comply with the CAA for SJGS, as discussed above, PNM and the other owners of SJGS evaluated alternatives for the supply of coal to SJGS after the expiration of the UG-CSA. On July 1, 2015, PNM and Westmoreland Coal Company ("Westmoreland") entered into a new coal supply agreement ("CSA"), pursuant to which Westmoreland will supply all of the coal requirements of SJGS through June 30, 2022. PNM and Westmoreland also entered into agreements under which Westmoreland will provide CCB disposal and mine reclamation services. Contemporaneous with the entry into the coal-related agreements, Westmoreland entered into a stock purchase agreement (the "Stock Purchase Agreement") on July 1, 2015 to acquire all of the capital stock of SJCC. In addition, PNM, Tucson, SJCC, and SJCC's owner entered into an agreement to terminate the existing UG-CSA upon the effective date of the new CSA.

The CSA became effective as of 11:59 PM on January 31, 2016, upon the closing under the Stock Purchase Agreement. Upon closing under the Stock Purchase Agreement, Westmoreland's rights and obligations under the CSA and the agreements for CCB disposal and mine reclamation services were assigned to SJCC. Westmoreland has guaranteed SJCC's performance under the CSA.

Pricing under the CSA is primarily fixed, adjusted to reflect general inflation. The pricing structure takes into account that SJCC has been paid for coal mined but not delivered, as discussed above PNM has the option to extend the CSA, subject to negotiation of the term of the extension and compensation to the miner. In order to extend, PNM must give written notice of that intent by July 1, 2018 and the parties must agree to the terms of the extension by January 1, 2019. The RA sets forth terms under which PNM acquired the coal inventory of the exiting SJGS participants as of January 1, 2016 and will supply coal to the SJGS exiting participants for the period from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017 and to the SJGS remaining participants cover the term of the CSA. Coal costs under the CSA are significantly less than under the previous arrangement with SJCC. Since substantially all of PNM's coal costs are passed through the FPPAC, the benefit of the reduced costs and the economic benefits of the coal inventory arrangement with the exiting owners are passed through to PNM's customers.

'In support of the closing under the Stock Purchase Agreement and to facilitate PNM customer savings, NM Capital, a wholly owned subsidiary of PNMR, provided funding of \$125.0 million (the "Westmoreland Loan") to Westmoreland San Juan, LLC ("WSJ"), a ring-fenced, bankruptcy-remote, special-purpose entity that is a subsidiary of Westmoreland, to finance the purchase price of the stock of SJCC (including an insignificant affiliate) under the Stock Purchase Agreement. NM Capital was able to provide the \$125.0 million financing to WSJ by first entering into a \$125.0 million term loan agreement (the "BTMU Term Loan Agreement"), among NM Capital, The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd. ("BTMU"), as lender, and BTMU, as Administrative Agent. The BTMU Term Loan Agreement became effective as of February 1, 2016, has a maturity date of February 1, 2021, and bears interest at a rate based on LIBOR plus a customary spread. In connection with the BTMU Term Loan Agreement, PNMR, as parent company of NM Capital, entered into a Guaranty Agreement, dated as of February 1, 2016, with BTMU (the "Guaranty"). The BTMU Term Loan Agreement and the Guaranty include customary covenants, including requirements for PNMR to not exceed a maximum debt-to-capital ratio of 65%, and customary events of default consistent with PNMR's other term loan agreements. In addition, the BTMU Term Loan Agreement has a cross default provision and a change of control provision. The balance outstanding under the BTMU Term Loan Agreement was \$107.8 million at September 30, 2016.

60

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

The Westmoreland Loan is a \$125.0 million loan agreement among NM Capital, as lender, WSJ, as borrower, SJCC and its affiliate, as guarantors, BTMU, as Administrative Agent, and MUFG Union Bank, N.A. as Depository Bank. The Westmoreland Loan became effective as of February 1, 2016, and has a maturity date of February 1, 2021. The Westmoreland Loan initially bears interest at a rate of 7.25% plus LIBOR and escalates over time. The Westmoreland Loan has been structured to encourage prepayments and early retirement of the debt. WSJ must pay principal and interest quarterly to NM Capital in accordance with an amortization schedule. In addition, the Westmoreland Loan requires that all cash flows of WSJ, in excess of normal operating expenses, capital additions, and operating reserves, be utilized for principal and interest payments under the loan until it is fully repaid At September 30, 2016, the amount outstanding under the Westmoreland Loan was \$110.0 million, after the August 1, 2016 scheduled principal payment of \$15.0 million. The next principal payment of \$15.0 million plus interest of \$2.3 million is due on November 1, 2016. As of October 21, 2016, \$17.3 million was held in a restricted bank account that is to be used solely to service the Westmoreland Loan. The Westmoreland Loan is secured by the assets of and the equity interests in SJCC and its affiliate. The Westmoreland Loan also includes customary representations and warranties, covenants, and events of default. There are no prepayment penalties

In connection with certain mining permits relating to the operation of the San Juan mine, SJCC was required to post reclamation bonds of \$161.6 million with the NMMMD. In April 2016, NMMMD reduced SJCC's bonding requirements to \$118.7 million. In order to facilitate the posting of reclamation bonds by a surety on behalf of SJCC, a Reclamation Bond Agreement (the "Reclamation Bond Agreement") was entered into by PNMR, Westmoreland, and SJCC with the surety. In connection with the Reclamation Bond Agreement, PNMR used \$40.0 million of the available capacity under the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility to support a bank letter of credit arrangement for the benefit of the surety. On July 19, 2016, these reclamation bonds were released by NMMMD upon acceptance of \$118.7 million of replacement reclamation bonds from alternate surety companies, which are supported by letters of credit aggregating \$30.3 million issued from available capacity under the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility. The Reclamation Bond Agreement was terminated effective August 3, 2016 and the prior letter of credit was surrendered and canceled On October 21, 2016, PNMR entered into separate letter of credit arrangements with a bank to replace the letters of credit issued from available capacity under the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility. The letters of credit issued from available capacity under the PNMR Revolving Credit Facility will be surrendered and canceled upon acceptance of the replacement letters of credit by the surety companies that issued the reclamation bonds.

Four Corners

APS purchased all of Four Corners' coal requirements from a supplier that was also a subsidiary of BHP and had a long-term lease of coal reserves with the Navajo Nation. That contract was to expire on July 6, 2016 with pricing determined using an escalating base-price. On December 30, 2013, ownership of the mine was transferred to an entity owned by the Navajo Nation and a new coal supply contract for Four Corners, beginning in July 2016 and expiring in 2031, was entered into with that entity. The BHP subsidiary is to be retained as the mine manager and operator until December 2016. Coal costs are anticipated to increase approximately 40% in the first year of the new contract. The contract provides for pricing adjustments over its term based on economic indices. PNM anticipates that its share of the increased costs will be recovered through its FPPAC

Coal Mine Reclamation

In conjunction with the proposed shutdown of SJGS Units 2 and 3 to comply with the BART requirements of the CAA, an updated coal mine reclamation study was requested by the SJGS participants. In 2013, PNM updated its study of the final reclamation costs for both the surface mines that previously provided coal to SJGS and the current underground mine providing coal and revised its estimates of the final reclamation costs. This estimate reflects that, with the proposed shutdown of SJGS Units 2 and 3 described above, the mine providing coal to SJGS will continue to operate through 2053, the anticipated life of SJGS. The 2013 coal mine reclamation study indicates reclamation costs have increased, including significant increases due to the proposed shutdown of SJGS Units 2 and 3, which would reduce the amount of CCBs generated over the remaining life of SJGS and result in a significant increase in the amount of fill dirt required to remediate the underground mine area thereby increasing the overall reclamation costs. As discussed under Coal Combustion Byproducts Waste Disposal above, SJGS currently disposes of CCBs from the plant in the surface mine pits adjacent to the plant.

In 2015, PNM updated its final reclamation costs estimates to reflect the terms of the new reclamation services agreement with Westmoreland, discussed above, and changes resulting from the approval of the 2015 SJCC Mine Permit Plan. The 2015 reclamation cost estimate reflects that the scope and pricing structure of the reclamation service agreement with Westmoreland

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

would significantly increase reclamation costs. In addition, design plan changes, updated regulatory expectation, and common mine reclamation practices incorporated into the 2015 SJCC Mine Permit reflect an increase in the 2015 reclamation cost estimate. The impacts of these increases, amounting to \$16.5 million, were recorded at December 31, 2015 and were reflected in regulatory disallowances and restructuring costs.

Upon effectiveness of the CSA and the RA, PNM, on behalf of the SJGS owners, coordinated a more detailed coal mine reclamation cost study, which was completed in the third quarter of 2016. To complete the study, PNM was provided access to the mine site and obtained supporting data from Westmoreland, allowing for the 2015 study to be refined with a more extensive engineering analysis. The new reclamation cost estimate reflects the terms of the new reclamation services agreement with Westmoreland and continuation of mining operations through 2053. The study indicates an increase in the reclamation cost estimate. PNM's share of the increase is \$4.8 million, which was recorded at September 30, 2016 and is reflected in regulatory disallowances and restricturing costs in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The current estimate for decommissioning the Four Corners mine reflects the operation of the mine through 2031, the term of the new agreement for coal supply.

Based on the 2016 estimates and PNM's current ownership share of SJGS, PNM's remaining payments as of September 30, 2016 for mine reclamation, in future dollars, are estimated to be \$103.0 million for the surface mines at both SJGS and Four Corners and \$129.4 million for the underground mine at SJGS. At September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, liabilities, in current dollars, of \$41.4 million and \$38.8 million for surface mine reclamation and \$13.9 million and \$11.4 million for underground mine reclamation were recorded in other deferred credits.

Under the terms of the CSA, PNM and the other SJGS owners are obligated to compensate SJCC for all reclamation habilities associated with the supply of coal from the San Juan mine. On June 1, 2012; the SJGS owners entered into a trust funds agreement to provide funding to compensate SJCC for post-term reclamation obligations under the UG-CSA. As part of the restructuring of SJGS ownership (see SJGS Ownership Restructuring Matters above), the SJGS owners and PNMR Development negotiated the terms of an amended agreement to fund post-term reclamation obligations under the CSA. The trust funds agreement requires each owner to enter into an individual trust agreement with a financial institution as trustee, create an irrevocable Reclamation Trust, and periodically deposit funding into the Reclamation Trust for the owner's share of the mine reclamation obligation. Deposits, which are based on funding curves, must be made on an annual basis. As part of the restructuring of SJGS ownership discussed above, the SJGS participants agreed to adjusted interim trust funding levels. Based on the existing trust fund balance at September 30, 2016, PNM's required contributions to its Reclamation Trust fund would be \$3.5 million, \$4.7 million, and \$5.1 million in 2016, 2017, and 2018.

Under the coal supply agreement for Four Comers, which became effective on July 7, 2016, PNM is required to fund its ownership share of estimated final reclamation costs in thirteen annual installments, beginning on August 1, 2016, into an irrevocable escrow account solely dedicated to the final reclamation cost of the surface mine at Four Comers. In July 2016, PNM funded its \$1.9 million requirement for 2016. PNM's anticipated funding level is \$2.0 million and \$2.0 million in 2017 and 2018.

PNM collects a provision for surface and underground mine reclamation costs in its rates. The NMPRC has capped the amount that can be collected from retail customers for final reclamation of the surface mines at \$100.0 million. Previously, PNM recorded a regulatory asset for the \$100.0 million and recovers the amortization of this regulatory asset in rates. If future estimates increase the liability for surface mine reclamation, the excess would be expensed at that time. The reclamation amounts discussed above reflect PNM's estimates of its share of the revised costs. Regulatory determinations made by the NMPRC may also affect the impact on PNM. PNM is currently unable to determine the outcome of these matters or the range of possible impacts

Continuous Highwall Mining Royalty Rate

In August 2013, the DOI Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") issued a proposed rulemaking that would retroactively apply the surface mining royalty rate of 12.5% to continuous highwall mining ("CHM"). Comments regarding the rulemaking were due on October 11, 2013 and PNM submitted comments in opposition to the proposed rule. There is no legal deadline for adoption of the final rule.

SJCC utilized the CHM technique from 2000 to 2003 and, with the approval of the Farmington, New Mexico Field Office of BLM to reclassify the final highwall as underground reserves, applied the 8.0% underground mining royalty rate to coal mined

62

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

using CHM and sold to SJGS. In March 2001, SJCC learned that the DOI Minerals Management Service ("MMS") disagreed with the application of the underground royalty rate to CHM. In August 2006, SJCC and MMS entered into an agreement tolling the statute of limitations on any administrative action to recover unpaid royalties until BLM issued a final, non-appealable determination as to the proper rate for CHM-mined coal. The proposed BLM rulemaking has the potential to terminate the tolling provision of the settlement agreement, and underpaid royalties of approximately \$5 million for SJGS would become due if the proposed BLM rule is adopted as proposed. PNM's share of any amount that is ultimately paid would be approximately 46.3%, none of which would be passed through PNM's FPPAC PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

Four Corners Severance Tax Assessment

On May 23, 2013, the New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department ("NMTRD") issued a notice of assessment for coal severance surtax, penalty, and interest totaling approximately \$30 million related to coal supplied under the coal supply agreement for Four Comers. For procedural reasons, on behalf of the Four Comers co-owners, including PNM, the coal supplier made a partial payment of the assessment and immediately filed a refund claim with respect to that partial payment in August 2013 NMTRD denied the refund claim. On December 19, 2013, the coal supplier and APS, on its own behalf and as operating agent for Four Comers, filed a complaint in the New Mexico District Court contesting both the validity of the assessment and the refund claim denial. On June 30, 2015, the court ruled that the assessment was not valid and further ruled that APS and the other Four Comers co-owners receive a refund of all of the contested amounts previously paid under the applicable tax statute. NMTRD filed a notice of appeal with the New Mexico Court of Appeals on August 31, 2015. In March 2016, the parties settled this matter. PNM has paid its share of the settlement, which was \$0.1 million in addition to amounts previously paid.

PVNGS Liability and Insurance Matters

Public liability for incidents at nuclear power plants is governed by the Price-Anderson Nuclear Industries Indemnity Act, which limits the liability of nuclear reactor owners to the amount of insurance available from both private sources and an industry retrospective payment plan. In accordance with this act, the PVNGS participants have insurance for public liability exposure for a nuclear incident totaling \$13.5 billion per occurrence. PVNGS maintains the maximum available nuclear liability insurance in the amount of \$375 million, which is provided by American Nuclear Insurers. The remaining balance of \$13.1 billion is provided through a mandatory industry-wide retrospective assessment program. If losses at any nuclear power plant covered by the program exceed the accumulated funds, PNM could be assessed retrospective premium adjustments. Based on PNM's 10.2% interest in each of the three PVNGS units, PNM's maximum potential retrospective premium assessment per incident for all three units is \$38.9 million, with a maximum annual payment limitation of \$5.8 million, to be adjusted periodically for inflation.

The PVNGS participants maintain "all risk" (including nuclear hazards) insurance for damage to, and decontamination of, property at PVNGS in the aggregate amount of \$2.75 billion, a substantial portion of which must first be applied to stabilization and decontamination. These coverages are provided by Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited ("NEIL"). A sublimit of \$2.25 billion for non-nuclear property damage losses has been enacted to the primary policy offered by NEIL. If NEIL's losses in any policy year exceed accumulated funds, PNM is subject to retrospective premium assessments of \$5.4 million for each retrospective premium assessment declared by NEIL's Board of Directors. The insurance coverages discussed in this and the previous paragraph are subject to certain policy conditions, sublimits, and exclusions.

Water Supply

Because of New Mexico's arid climate and periodic drought conditions, there is concern in New Mexico about the use of water, including that used for power generation. Although PNM does not believe that its operations will be materially affected by drought conditions at this time, it cannot forecast long-term weather patterns. Public policy, local, state and federal regulations, and litigation regarding water could also impact PNM operations. To help mitigate these risks, PNM has secured permanent groundwater rights for the existing plants at Reeves Station, Rio Bravo, Afton, Luna, Lordsburg, and La Luz. Water availability is not an issue for these plants at this time. However, prolonged drought, ESA activities, and a federal lawsuit by the State of Texas (suing the State of New Mexico over water deliveries) could pose a threat of reduced water availability for these plants.

For SJGS, Four Corners, and related mines PNM and APS have secured supplemental water supplies to accommodate the possibility of inadequate precipitation in coming years. To further mitigate the impacts of severe drought, PNM and APS have entered into agreements with the more senior water rights holders (tribes, municipalities, and agricultural interests) in the San Juan

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

basin to mutually share the impacts of water shortages with tribes and other water users in the San Juan basin. The agreements spread the burden of shortages over all water users in the basin instead of just having the more junior water rights holders (like APS and PNM) bear the entire impact of shortages. The current agreement expires at the end of 2016. An agreement to share shortages in 2017 through 2020 has been negotiated and awaits endorsement by the parties and the New Mexico State Engineer.

In April 2010, APS signed an agreement on behalf of the PVNGS participants with five cities to provide cooling water essential to power production at PVNGS for forty years.

è

PVNGS Water Supply Litigation

In 1986, an action commenced regarding the rights of APS and the other PVNGS participants to the use of groundwater and effluent at PVNGS. APS filed claims that dispute the court's jurisdiction over PVNGS' groundwater rights and their contractual rights to effluent relating to PVNGS and, alternatively, seek confirmation of those rights in 1999, the Arizona Supreme Court issued a decision finding that certain groundwater rights may be available to the federal government and Indian tribes. In addition, the Arizona Supreme Court issued a decision in 2000 affirming the lower court's enteria for resolving groundwater claims. Litigation on these issues has continued in the trial court. No trial dates have been set in these matters. PNM does not expect that this litigation will have a material impact on its results of operation, financial position, or cash flows.

San Juan River Adjudication

In 1975, the State of New Mexico filed an action in New Mexico District Court to adjudicate all water rights in the San Juan River Stream System, including water used at Four Corners and SJGS. PNM was made a defendant in the litigation in 1976. In March 2009, President Obama signed legislation confirming a 2005 settlement with the Navajo Nation. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, the Navajo Nation's water rights would be settled and finally determined by entry by the court of two proposed adjudication decrees. The court issued an order in August 2013 finding that no evidentiary hearing was warranted in the Navajo Nation proceeding and, on November 1, 2013, issued a Partial Final Judgment and Decree of the Water Rights of the Navajo Nation approving the proposed settlement with the Navajo Nation. Several parties filed a joint motion for a new trial, which was denied by the court. A number of parties subsequently appealed to the New Mexico Court of Appeals. PNM has entered its appearance in the appellate case. No hearing dates have been set at this time.

PNM is participating in this proceeding since PNM's water rights in the San Juan Basin may be affected by the rights recognized in the settlement agreement as being owned by the Navajo Nation, which comprise a significant portion of water available from sources on the San Juan River and in the San Juan Basin. PNM is unable to predict the ultimate outcome of this matter or estimate the amount or range of potential loss and cannot determine the effect, if any, of any water rights adjudication on the present arrangements for water at SJGS and Four Corners. Final resolution of the case cannot be expected for several years. An agreement reached with the Navajo Nation in 1985, however, provides that if Four Corners loses a portion of its rights in the adjudication, the Navajo Nation will provide, for an agreed upon cost, sufficient water from its allocation to offset the loss.

Rights-of-Way Matter

On January 28, 2014, the County Commission of Bernalillo County, New Mexico passed an ordinance requiring utilities to enter into a use agreement and pay a yet-to-be-determined fee as a condition to installing, maintaining, and operating facilities on county rights-of-way. The fee is purported to compensate the county for costs of administering, maintaining, and capital improvements to the rights-of-way. On February 27, 2014, PNM and other utilities filed a Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico challenging the validity of the ordinance. The court denied the utilities motion for judgment. The court further granted the County's motion to dismiss the state law claims. The utilities filed an amended complaint reflecting the two federal claims remaining before the federal court. The utilities also filed a complaint in Bernalillo County, New Mexico District Court reflecting the state law counts dismissed by the federal court. In subsequent briefing in federal court, the County filed a motion for pudgment on one of the utilities claims, which was granted by the court, leaving a claim regarding telecommunications service as the remaining federal claim. This matter is ongoing in state court. The utilities and Bernalillo County reached a standstill agreement whereby the County would not take any enforcement action against the utilities pursuant to the ordinance during the pendency of the litigation, but not including any period for appeal of a judgment, or upon 30 days written notice by either the County or the utilities of their intention to terminate the agreement. If the challenges to the ordinance are unsuccessful, PNM believes any fees paid pursuant to the ordinance would be considered.

64

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

franchise fees and would be recoverable from customers. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter or its impact on PNM's operations

Navajo Nation Allottee Matters

A putative class action was filed against PNM and other utilities in February 2009 in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico. Plaintiffs claim to be allottees, members of the Navajo Nation, who pursuant to the Dawes Act of 1887, were allotted ownership in land carved out of the Navajo Nation and allege that defendants, including PNM, are rights-of-way grantees with rights-of-way across the allotted lands and are either in trespass or have paid insufficient fees for the grant of rights-of-way or both. In March 2010, the court ordered that the entirety of the plaintiffs' case be dismissed. The court did not grant plaintiffs leave to amend their complaint, finding that they instead must pursue and exhaust their administrative remedies before seeking redress in federal court. In May 2010, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal with the Bureau of Indian Affairs ("BIA"), which was denied by the BIA Regional Director. In May 2011, plaintiffs appealed the Regional Director's decision to the DOI, Office of Hearings and Appeals, Interior Board of Indian Appeals Following briefing on the merits, on August 20, 2013, that board issued a decision upholding the Regional Director's decision that the allottees had failed to perfect their appeals, and dismissed the allottees' appeals, without prejudice. The allottees have not refiled their appeals. Although this matter was dismissed without prejudice, PNM considers the matter concluded. However, PNM continues to monitor this matter in order to preserve its interests regarding any PNM-acquired rights-of-way

In a separate matter, in September 2012, 43 landowners claiming to be Navajo allottees filed a notice of appeal with the BIA appealing a March 2011 decision of the BIA Regional Director regarding renewal of a right-of-way for a PNM transmission line. The allottees, many of whom are also allottees in the above matter, generally allege that they were not paid fair market value for the right-of-way, that they were denied the opportunity to make a showing as to their view of fair market value, and thus denied due process. On January 6, 2014, PNM received notice that the BIA, Navajo Region, requested a review of an appraisal report on 58 allotment parcels. After review, the BIA concluded it would continue to rely on the values of the original appraisal. On March 27, 2014, while this matter was stayed, the allottees filed a motion to dismiss their appeal with prejudice. On April 2, 2014, the allottees' appeal was dismissed with prejudice. Subsequent to the dismissal, PNM received a letter from counsel on behalf of what appears to be a subset of the 43 landowner allottees involved in the appeal, notifying PNM that the specified allottees were revoking their consents for renewal of right of way on six specific allotments. On January 22, 2015, PNM received a letter from the BIA Regional Director identifying ten allotments with rights-of-way renewals that were previously contested. The letter indicated that the renewals were not approved by the BIA because the previous consent obtained by PNM was later revoked, prior to BIA approval, by the majority owners of the allotments. It is the BIA Regional Director's position that PNM must re-obtain consent from these landowners. On July 13, 2015, PNM filed a condemnation action in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico regarding the approximately 15.49 acres of land at issue. On December 1, 2015, the court ruled that PNM could not condemn 2 of the 5 allotments at issue based on the Navajo Nation's fractional interest in the land. PNM's motion for reconsideration of this ruling was denied. On March 31, 2016, the Tenth Circuit granted PNM's petition to appeal the December 1, 2015 ruling. On September 18, 2015, the allottees filed a separate complaint against PNM for federal trespass. Both matters have been consolidated and are stayed while PNM pursues its appeal before the Tenth Circuit. On June 27, 2016, PNM filed its opening brief in the Tenth Circuit. Amicus briefs were filed in support of PNM's position. On October 5, 2016, the United States, the Navajo Nation, and individual allottees filed their response briefs. After the response briefs were filed, other entities requested leave to file amicus briefs addressing arguments raised in the United States' response brief. PNM cannot predict the outcome of these matters

(12) Regulatory and Rate Matters

The Company is involved in various regulatory matters, some of which contain contingencies that are subject to the same uncertainties as those described in Note 11. Additional information concerning regulatory and rate matters is contained in Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

PNM

New Mexico General Rate Case ("NM 2015 Rate Case")

On August 27, 2015, PNM filed an application with the NMPRC for a general increase in retail electric rates. The application proposed a revenue increase of \$123.5 million, including base non-fuel revenues of \$121.7 million. The application was based on a future test year ("FTY") period beginning October 1, 2015 and proposed a ROE of 10.5%. The primary drivers of PNM's identified revenue deficiency were the cost of infrastructure investments, including depreciation expense based on an updated depreciation study, and a decline in energy sales as a result of PNM's successful energy efficiency programs and economic factors. The application included several proposed changes in rate design to establish fair and equitable pricing across rate classes and to better align cost recovery with cost causation. Specific rate design proposals included higher customer and demand charges, a revenue decoupling pilot program applicable to residential and small commercial customers, a re-allocation of revenue among PNM's customer classes, a new economic development rate, and continuation of PNM's renewable energy rider. PNM requested that the proposed new rates become effective beginning in July 2016. On March 2, 2016, the NMPRC required PNM to file supplemental testimony regarding the treatment of renewable energy in PNM's FPPAC due to issues identified in PNM's 2016 renewable energy procurement plan and extended the rate suspension period to July 31, 2016. As ordered by the NMPRC, PNM filed supplemental testimony in the NM 2015 Rate Case demonstrating that PNM's FPPAC is designed to properly recover its fuel and purchased power expenses. See Renewable Portfolio Standard below. A public hearing on the proposed new rates was held in April 2016. Subsequent to this hearing, the NMPRC ordered PNM to file additional testimony regarding PNM's interests in PVNGS, including the 64.1 MW of PVNGS Unit 2 that PNM repurchased in January 2016, pursuant to the terms of the initial sales-leaseback transactions (Note 6). A subsequent public hearing was held in June 2016. After the close of the April hearing, the NMPRC further extended the rate suspension period through August 31, 2016. After the June hearing, PNM and other parties were ordered to file supplemental briefs and to provide final recommended revenue requirements that incorporated fuel savings that PNM implemented effective January 1, 2016 from PNM's SJGS coal supply agreement. PNM's filing indicated that recovery for fuel related costs would be reduced by approximately \$42.9 million reflecting the current CSA (Note 11), which also reduced the request for base non-fuel related revenues by \$0.2 million to \$121.5 million.

On August 4, 2016, the hearing examiner in the case issued a recommended decision ("RD"). The RD proposed an increase in non-fuel revenues of \$41.3 million compared to the \$121.5 million increase requested by PNM. Major components of the difference in the increase in non-fuel revenues, include:

- The RD proposed a ROE of 9.575% compared to the 10.5% requested by PNM
- The RD proposed disallowing recovery of the entire \$163.3 million purchase price for the January 15, 2016 purchases of the assets underlying three leases of portions of PVNGS Unit 2 (Note 6); the RD proposed that power from the previously leased assets, aggregating 64.1 MW of capacity, be dedicated to serving New Mexico retail customers with those customers being charged for the costs of fuel and operating and maintenance expenses (other than property taxes, which are currently \$0.8 million per year), but the customers would not bear any capital or depreciation costs other than those related to improvements made after the date of the original leases,
- The RD proposed that PNM not recover from retail customers any of the rent expense, which aggregate \$18.1 million per year, under the four leases of capacity in PVNGS Unit 1 that were extended for eight years beginning January 15, 2015 and the one lease of capacity in PVNGS Unit 2 that was extended for eight years beginning January 15, 2016 (Note 6) and not recover related property taxes, which are currently \$1.5 million per year, the RD proposed that power from the leased assets, aggregating 114.6 MW of capacity, be dedicated to serving New Mexico retail customers with those customers being charged for the costs of fuel and operating and maintenance expense, except that customers would not bear rental costs or property
- The RD proposed that PNM not recover the costs of converting SJGS Units 1 and 4 to BDT, which is required by the NSR permit for SJGS, (Note 11); PNM's share of the costs of installing the BDT equipment was \$52.3 million of which \$40.0 million was included in rate base in PNM's current rate request
- The RD proposed that \$4.5 million of amounts recorded as regulatory assets and deferred charges not be recovered from retail customers

The RD recommended that the NMPRC find PNM was imprudent in the actions taken to purchase the previously leased 64.1 MW of capacity in PVNGS Unit 2, extending the leases for 114.6 MW of capacity of PVNGS Units 1 and 2, and installing

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

the BDT equipment on SJGS Units 1 and 4. The RD also proposed that all fuel costs be removed from base rates and be recovered through the FPPAC. The RD would credit retail customers with 100% of the New Mexico jurisdictional portion of revenues from refined coal (a third-party pre-treatment process) at SJGS. In addition, the RD would remove recovery of the costs of power obtained from New Mexico Wind from the FPPAC and include recovery of those costs through PNM's renewable energy rider discussed below. The RD recommended continuation of the renewable energy rider and certain aspects of PNM's proposals regarding rate design, but would not approve certain other rate design proposals or PNM's request for a revenue decoupling pilot program. The RD proposed approving PNM's proposals for revised depreciation rates (with one exception), the inclusion of CWIP in rate base, and ratemaking treatment of the prepaid pension asset. The RD did not preclude PNM from supporting the prudence of the PVNGS purchases and lease renewals in its next general rate case and seeking recovery of those costs. PNM disagreed with many of the key conclusions reached by the hearing examiner in the RD and filed exceptions to defend its prudent utility investments. Other parties also filed exceptions to the RD. The NMPRC extended the rate suspension period to end on September 30, 2016.

The NMPRC issued a final order on September 28, 2016 that authorizes PNM to implement an increase in non-fuel rates of \$61.2 million, effective for bills sent to customers after September 30, 2016 The final order generally approved the RD, but with certain significant modifications. The modifications to the RD include:

- Inclusion of the January 2016 purchase of the assets underlying three leases of capacity, aggregating 64.1 MW, of PVNGS Unit 2 at an initial rate base value of \$83.7 million; and disallowance of the recovery of the undepreciated costs of capitalized improvements made during the period the 64.1 MW was being leased by PNM, which aggregated \$43.8 million when the final order was issued
- Full recovery of the rent expense and property taxes associated with the extended leases for capacity, aggregating 114.6 MW, in Palo Verde Units 1 and 2
- Disallowance of the recovery of any future contribution for PVNGS decommissioning costs related to the 64.1 MW of capacity purchased in January 2016 and the 114 6 MW of capacity under the extended leases
- Recovery of assumed operating and maintenance expense savings of \$0.3 million annually related to BDT

On September 30, 2016, PNM filed a Notice of Appeal with the NM Supreme Court regarding the final order in the NM 2015 Rate Case. Subsequently, NEE, NMIEC, and ABCWUA filed notices of cross-appeal. On October 26, 2016, PNM filed, with the NM Supreme Court, a statement of issues related to its appeal, which states PNM is appealing the NMPRC's determination that PNM was imprudent in the actions taken to purchase the previously leased 64.1 MW of capacity in PVNGS Unit 2, extending the leases for 114.6 MW of capacity of PVNGS Units 1 and 2, and installing the BDT equipment on SJGS Units 1 and 4. Specifically, PNM's statement indicated it is appealing the following elements of the NMPRC's final order:

- Disallowance of recovery of the full purchase price, representing fair market value, of the 64.1 MW of capacity in PVNGS Unit 2 purchased in January 2016
- Disallowance of the recovery of the undepreciated costs of capitalized improvements made during the period the 64.1 MW of capacity was leased by PNM
- Disallowance of recovery of future contributions for PVNGS decommissioning attributable to previously leased capacity
- Disallowance of recovery of the costs of converting SJGS Units 1 and 4 to BDT

The court has taken no action with respect to the appeals. Although appeals of regulatory actions of the NMPRC have a priority at the NM Supreme Court under New Mexico law, there is no required time frame for the court to act on the appeals.

As of September 30, 2016, PNM evaluated the accounting consequences of the final order in the NM 2015 Rate Case and the likelihood of being successful on the issues it is appealing in the NM Supreme Court as required under GAAP. The evaluation indicates it is reasonably possible that PNM will be successful on the issues it is appealing. If the NM Supreme Court rules in PNM's favor on some or all of the issues, those issues would be remanded back to the NMPRC for further action. PNM estimates that it will take a minimum of 15 months, from the date PNM filed its appeal, for the NM Supreme Court to render a decision and for the NMPRC to take action on any remanded issues. During such time, the rates specified in the final order will remain in effect. Accordingly, at September 30, 2016, PNM recorded a pre-tax regulatory disallowance of \$6.8 million representing 15 months of capital cost recovery of its investments in the PVNGS Unit 2 purchases, PVNGS Unit 2 capitalized improvements, and BDT that the final order disallowed. In addition, PNM recorded a pre-tax regulatory disallowance for \$4.5 million of costs reco

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

rded as regulatory assets and deferred charges, which the final order disallowed and which PNM did not propose to challenge in its appeal, since PNM can no longer assert that those assets are probable of being recovered through the ratemaking process. The NMPRC's final order approved PNM's request to record a regulatory asset to recover a 2014 impairment of PNM's New Mexico net operating loss carryforward resulting from an extension of the income tax provision for fifty percent bonus depreciation. The impact, net of federal income taxes, amounts to \$2.1 million, which is reflected as a reduction of income tax expense on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings.

PNM continues to believe that the disallowed investments, which are the subject of PNM's appeal, were prudently incurred and that PNM is entitled to full recovery of those investments through the ratemaking process. Although PNM believes it is reasonably possible that its appeals will be successful, it cannot predict what decision the NM Supreme Court will reach or what further actions the NMPRC will take on any issues remanded to it by the court. If PNM's appeal is unsuccessful, PNM would record further pre-tax losses related to any unsuccessful issues. The amounts of any such losses would depend on the ultimate outcome of the appeal and NMPRC process, as well as the actual amounts reflected on PNM books at the time of the resolution. However, based on the book values recorded by PNM as of September 30, 2016, the losses could include:

- The remaining costs to acquire the assets previously leased under three leases aggregating 64.1 MW of PVNGS Unit 2 capacity in excess of the recovery permitted under the NMPRC's final order; the net book value of such excess amount was \$76.9 million, after considering the loss recorded at September 30, 2016
- The undepreciated costs of capitalized improvements made during the period the 64.1 MW of capacity in PVNGS Unit 2 purchased by PNM in January 2016 was being leased by PNM; the net book value of these improvements was \$41.7 million, after considering the loss recorded at September 30, 2016
- The remaining costs to convert SJGS Units 1 and 4 to BDT; the net book value of these assets was \$49.9 million, after considering the loss recorded at September 30, 2016

PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

Renewable Portfolio Standard

The REA establishes a mandatory RPS requiring a utility to acquire a renewable energy portfolio equal to 10% of retail electric sales by 2011, 15% by 2015, and 20% by 2020. PNM files annual renewable energy procurement plans for approval by the NMPRC. The NMPRC requires renewable energy portfolios to be "fully diversified". The current diversity requirements, which are subject to the limitation of the RCT, are minimums of 30% wind, 20% solar, 3% distributed generation, and 5% other.

The REA provides for streamlined proceedings for approval of utilities' renewable energy procurement plans, assures that utilities recover costs incurred consistent with approved procurement plans, and requires the NMPRC to establish a RCT for the procurement of renewable resources to prevent excessive costs being added to rates. Currently, the RCT is set at 3% of customers' annual electric charges. PNM makes renewable procurements consistent with the NMPRC approved plans. PNM recovers certain renewable procurement costs from customers through a rate rider. See Renewable Energy Rider below.

PNM filed its 2016 renewable energy procurement plan on June 1, 2015. The plan met RPS and diversity requirements within the RCT in 2016 and 2017 using existing resources and does not propose any significant new procurements. The NMPRC approved the plan in November 2015, and, after granting a rehearing motion to consider issues regarding the rate treatment of certain customers eligible for a cap on RPS procurement costs and customers exempt from RPS procurement costs, the NMPRC again approved the plan in an order issued on February 3, 2016. The NMPRC deferred issues related to capped and exempt customers to PNM's NM 2015 Rate Case and to a new case, which the NMPRC subsequently initiated through issuance of an order to show cause. The NM 2015 Rate Case and show cause proceedings were to examine whether PNM miscalculated the FPPAC factor and base fuel costs in its treatment of renewable energy costs and application of the renewable procurement cost caps and exemptions. On April 28, 2016, PNM filed a motion to stay this proceeding until the issuance of a final order in the NM 2015 Rate Case, based on the fact that the issues addressed in the show cause proceeding were being addressed in the NM 2015 Rate Case. On May 4, 2016, the NMPRC granted PNM's motion. In the September 28, 2016 final order in the NM 2015 Rate Case, the NMPRC ordered the cost of New Mexico Wind to be recovered through PNM's renewable rider, rather than the FPPAC, and certain other modifications regarding the accounting for renewable energy in PNM's FPPAC. These modifications do not

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

affect the amount of fuel and purchased power or renewable costs that PNM will collect. PNM cannot predict the outcome of the show cause proceeding.

PNM filed its 2017 renewable energy procurement plan on June 1, 2016. The plan meets RPS and diversity requirements for 2017 and 2018 using existing resources and does not propose any significant new procurements. PNM projects that its plan will slightly exceed the RCT in 2017 and will be within the RCT in 2018. PNM has requested a variance from the RCT in 2017 to the extent the NMPRC determines a variance is necessary. A public hearing was held on September 26, 2016. On October 21, 2016, the Hearing Examiner issued a Recommended Decision recommending that the plan be approved as filed and also found that a variance from the RCT is not required. Pursuant to the REA, the NMPRC must enter an order approving or modifying the plan by November 28, 2016. PNM cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Renewable Energy Rider

The NMPRC has authorized PNM to recover certain renewable procurement costs through a rate rider billed on a per KWh basis. In PNM's NM 2015 Rate Case, the NMPRC authorized continuation of the renewable rider.

In its 2016 renewable energy procurement plan case, PNM proposed to collect \$42.4 million in 2016. The 2016 rider adjustment was approved as part of the final order issued February 3, 2016 approving the 2016 renewable energy plan. In its 2017 renewable energy procurement plan discussed above, PNM proposes to collect \$50.0 million through the rider in 2017. The increase, as compared with the amount the NMPRC approved for recovery through the rider in 2016, is due to including recovery of the costs of procuring energy from New Mexico. Wind through the rider, rather than through its FPPAC, which complies with the NMPRC's final order in PNM's NM 2015 Rate Case.

As a separate component of the rider, if PNM's earned return on jurisdictional equity in a calendar year, adjusted for weather and other items not representative of normal operations, exceeds the NMPRC-approved rate by 0.5%, PNM would be required to refund the excess to customers during May through December of the following year. The NMPRC-approved rate was 10.0% when the renewable rider was initially approved. On April 1, 2016, PNM made a compliance filling at the NMPRC showing that its jurisdictional equity return did not exceed 10.5% in 2015.

Energy Efficiency and Load Management

Public utilities are required by the Efficient Use of Energy Act to achieve specified levels of energy savings and to obtain NMPRC approval to implement energy efficiency and load management programs. In 2013, this act was amended to set an annual program budget equal to 3% of an electric utility's annual revenue. PNM's costs to implement approved programs are recovered through a rate rider.

2016 Energy Efficiency Program Application

On April 15, 2016, PNM filed an application for energy efficiency and load management programs to be offered in 2017. The proposed program portfolio consists of ten programs with a total budget of \$28.0 million. The application also seeks approval of an incentive of \$2.4 million based on target savings of 75 GWh. The actual incentive will be based upon actual savings achieved. An unopposed stipulation settling all issues was filed on September 29, 2016. The stipulation establishes a method to ensure that funding of PNM's energy efficiency program is equal to 3% of its retail revenues, with an estimated 2017 energy efficiency funding level of \$26.0 million, and a sliding scale profit incentive with a base level of 7.1% of program costs if PNM achieves a minimum proscribed level of energy savings and increasing to a maximum of 9.0% depending on actual energy savings achieved above the minimum. A public hearing was held on October 26 and 27, 2016. PNM cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Energy Efficiency Rulemaking

On May 17, 2012, the NMPRC issued a NOPR that would have amended the NMPRC's energy efficiency rule to authorize use of a decoupling mechanism to recover certain fixed costs of providing retail electric service as the mechanism for removal of disincentives associated with the implementation of energy efficiency programs. The proposed rule also addressed incentives associated with energy efficiency. On July 26, 2012, the NMPRC closed the proposed rulemaking and opened a new energy efficiency rulemaking docket that may address decoupling and incentives. Workshops to develop a proposed rule have been held,

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

but no order proposing a rule has been issued. PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

Integrated Resource Plan

NMPRC rules require that investor owned utilities file an IRP every three years. The IRP is required to cover a 20-year planning period and contain an action plan covering the first four years of that period PNM filed its 2014 IRP on July 1, 2014. The four-year action plan was consistent with the replacement resources identified in PNM's application to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3. PNM indicated that it planned to meet its anticipated long-term load growth with a combination of additional renewable energy resources, energy efficiency, and natural gas-fired facilities. Consistent with statute and NMPRC rule, PNM incorporated a public advisory process into the development of its 2014 IRP on July 31, 2014, several parties requested the NMPRC not to accept the 2014 IRP as compliant with NMPRC rule because to do so could affect the pending proceeding on PNM's application to abandon SJGS Units 2 and 3 and for CCNs for certain replacement resources (Note 11) and because they asserted that the IRP does not conform to the NMPRC's IRP rule. Certain parties also asked that further proceedings on the IRP be held in abeyance until the conclusion of the then pending SJGS abandonment/CCN proceeding 'The NMPRC issued an order in August 2014 that docketed a case to determine whether the IRP complies with applicable NMPRC rules. The order also held the case in abeyance pending the issuance of final, non-appealable orders in PNM's 2015 renewable energy procurement plan case and its application to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3. The final order regarding PNM's application to abandon SJGS Units 2 and 3 described in Note 11 states that the NMPRC will issue a Notice of Proposed Dismissal in the 2014 IRP docket. On May 4, 2016, the NMPRC issued the Notice of Proposed Dismissal, stating that the docket will be closed with prejudice within thirty days unless good cause is shown why the docket should remain open. On May 31, 2016 and requested that the NMPRC dismiss the case with prejudice. The NMPRC has not yet acted on its Notice of Proposed Di

San Juan Generating Station Units 2 and 3 Retirement

On December 16, 2015, the NMPRC issued an order approving PNM's retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3 on December 31, 2017. On January 14, 2016, NEE filed an appeal of the final order with the NM Supreme Court. Additional information concerning the NMPRC filing and related proceedings is set forth in Note 11

Application for Certificate of Convenience and Necessity

On June 30, 2015, PNM filed an application for a CCN for a 187 MW gas plant to be located at SJGS. This resource was identified as a replacement resource in PNM's application to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3. On February 12, 2016, PNM filed a motion to withdraw its application and stated that it would file either a new CCN application for a gas-fueled resource or a report on the status of that application. On May 18, 2016, the NMPRC issued an order granting PNM's request to withdraw the application and closing the case.

On April 26, 2016, PNM filed an application for an 80 MW gas plant to be located at SJGS. The plant would consist of two 40 MW aeroderivative units. PNM had requested a final order from the NMPRC by December 1, 2016 to facilitate a June 2018 in-service date. On October 13, 2016, PNM filed a motion to vacate the procedural schedule to allow PNM to assess the continued need for the plant in light of possible changed circumstances affecting loads and resources. The motion was granted on October 20, 2016. On October 28, 2016, PNM filed a motion to withdraw its application and close the docket. As grounds for the motion, PNM stated that, based on its updated peak demand forecast, the 80 MW plant would not be needed in 2018. PNM will continue to evaluate its resource needs as part of its ongoing resource planning activities and during the 2017 IRP process in which PNM's entire 20-year portfolio of supply and demand-side resources will be evaluated in terms of cost and reliability requirements. PNM's current capital forecast includes an additional 40 MW of peaking capacity that would be operational in 2020 to meet requirements for operating reserves. PNM cannot predict the outcome of this proceeding.

Advanced Metering Infrastructure Application

On February 26, 2016, PNM filed an application with the NMPRC requesting approval of a project to replace its existing customer metering equipment with Advanced Metering Infrastructure ("AMI"). The application also asks the NMPRC to authorize the recovery of the cost of the project, up to \$87.2 million, in future ratemaking proceedings, as well as to approve the recovery

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

of the remaining undepreciated investment in existing metering equipment estimated to be approximately \$33 million at the date of implementation and the costs of customer education and severance for any affected employees. PNM does not intend to proceed with the AMI project unless the NMPRC approves the entire application. On August 5, 2016, PNM filed a motion to suspend its AMI application so that it could evaluate the effect of the final order in the NM 2015 Rate Case. This motion was approved and PNM must either propose a new procedural schedule or file a motion to withdraw the AMI application by November 28, 2016. PNM cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Facebook Data Center Project

On July 8, 2016, PNM filed an application with the NMPRC for approval of:

- Two new electric service rates
- · A PPA under which PNM would purchase renewable energy from PNMR Development
- A special service contract to provide electric service to a prospective new customer, a large Internet company, that was considering locating a data center in PNM's service area

The NMPRC approved PNM's application on August 17, 2016. At that time, the new customer was also considering the state of Utah for the location of the data center. On September 15, 2016, PNM filed a notice informing the NMPRC that the customer, Facebook Inc., had announced that it was selecting a site in New Mexico for its new data center.

The customer's service requirements include the acquisition by PNM of a sufficient amount of new renewable energy resources and RECs to match the energy and capacity requirements of the data center. PNM's initial procurement will be through a PPA with PNMR Development for the energy production from 30 MW of new solar capacity that PNMR Development will construct and own. The cost of the PPA will be passed through to the customer under a new rate rider. A new special service rate will be applied to the customer's energy consumption in those hours of the month when the customer's consumption exceeds the energy production from the new renewable resources. Construction of the first 10 MW of solar capacity is expected to be completed in early 2018, which will coincide with initial operations of the data center, with the remainder of the capacity completed by mid-2018.

The approval order included a provision requiring that in any future rate case filed by PNM requesting an increase in rates of any other customer class, the NMPRC shall determine whether or not any customer class will be subject to increased rates due to the new customer's fixed "Contribution to Production Charge for System Supplied Energy" and, if so, the NMPRC shall determine whether or not PNM will be allowed to recover such increased costs in the form of increased rates to other customers.

Formula Transmission Rate Case

On December 31, 2012, PNM filed an application with FERC for authorization to move from charging stated rates for wholesale electric transmission service to a formula rate mechanism pursuant to which rates for wholesale transmission service are calculated annually in accordance with an approved formula. The proposed formula includes updating cost of service components, including investment in plant and operating expenses, based on information contained in PNM's annual financial report filed with FERC, as well as including projected large transmission capital projects to be placed into service in the following year. The projections included are subject to true-up in the following year formula rate. Certain items, including changes to return on equity and depreciation rates, require a separate filing to be made with FERC before being included in the formula rate. As filed, PNM's request would have resulted in a \$3.2 million wholesale electric transmission rate increase, based on PNM's 2011 data and a 10.81% return on equity ("ROE"), and authority to adjust transmission rates annually based on an approved formula.

On March 1, 2013, FERC issued an order (1) accepting PNM's revisions to its rates for filing and suspending the proposed revisions to become effective August 2, 2013, subject to refund; (2) directing PNM to submit a compliance filing to establish its ROE using the median, rather than the mid-point, of the ROEs from a proxy group of companies; (3) directing PNM to submit a compliance filing to remove from its rate proposal the acquisition adjustment related to PNM's 60% ownership of the EIP transmission line, which was acquired in 2003; and (4) setting the proceeding for hearing and settlement judge procedures. On April 1, 2013, PNM made the required compliance filing. PNM would be allowed to make a separate filing related to recovery of the EIP acquisition adjustment. On August 2, 2013, new rates went into effect, subject to refund. In June 2013, May 2014, and March 2015, PNM made additional filings incorporating final 2012, 2013, and 2014 data into the formula rate request. On March

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

20, 2015, PNM along with five other parties entered into a settlement agreement, which was filed at FERC. The settlement reflects a ROE of 10% and results in an annualized increase of \$1.3 million above the rates approved in the previous rate case. Additionally, the parties filed a motion to implement the settled rates effective April 1, 2015. On March 25, 2015, the ALJ issued an order authorizing the interim implementation of settled rates beginning on April 1, 2015, subject to refund. In May 2015, the settlement judge recommended that FERC approve the settlement. On March 17, 2016, FERC approved the settlement. PNM made the refunds required under the settlement in May 2016.

Firm-Requirements Wholesale Customers - Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc

As discussed in Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K, NEC filed a petition on April 8, 2015 for a declaratory order requesting that FERC find that NEC can purchase an unlimited amount of power and energy from third party supplier(s) under its PSA with PNM. Following proceedings before a settlement judge, PNM and NEC entered into, and filed with FERC, a settlement agreement on October 29, 2015 that includes certain amendments to the PSA and related contracts on file with FERC FERC approved the settlement on January 21, 2016. Under the settlement agreement, PNM will serve all of NEC's load in 2016 at reduced demand and energy rates from those under the PSA. Beginning January 1, 2016, NEC is also paying certain third-party transmission costs that it did not pay in 2014 and partially paid in 2015. The PSA and related transmission agreements will terminate on December 31, 2016 In 2017, PNM will serve 10 MW of NEC's load under a short term coordination tariff at a rate lower than provided under the PSA. Revenues from NEC under the PSA were \$4.8 million and \$6.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 and \$14.8 million and \$19.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

TNMP

Advanced Meter System Deployment

In July 2011, the PUCT approved a settlement and authorized an AMS deployment plan that permits TNMP to collect \$113.4 million in deployment costs through a surcharge over a 12-year period. TNMP began collecting the surcharge on August 11, 2011. Deployment of advanced meters began in September 2011. TNMP has completed its mass deployment by installing 242,246 advanced meters over a 5-year period.

The PUCT adopted a rule on August 15, 2013 creating a non-standard metering service for retail customers choosing to decline standard metering service via an advanced meter The cost of providing non-standard metering service is to be borne by opt-out customers through an initial fee and ongoing monthly charge. As approved by the PUCT, TNMP is recovering \$0.2 million in costs through initial fees ranging from \$63.97 to \$168.61 and ongoing annual expenses of \$0.5 million through a \$36.78 monthly fee. These amounts presume up to 1,081 consumers will elect the non-standard meter service, but TNMP has the right to adjust the fees if the number of anticipated consumers differs from that estimate. As of October 21, 2016, 100 customers have made the election TNMP does not expect the implementation of non-standard metering service to have a material impact on its financial position, results of operations, or cash flows

On October 2, 2015, TNMP filed a reconciliation of the costs and savings of its AMS deployment program with the PUCT. Those costs include \$710 million in capital costs and \$18.0 million in operation and maintenance expenses. However, since the deployment was not complete and the total program costs to date were \$1.5 million below the original approved forecasts, TNMP did not request a change to its monthly surcharge amount. On January 8, 2016, the PUCT staff recommended that the PUCT approve TNMP's reconciliation without adjustment and the PUCT accepted that recommendation on March 25, 2016.

Transmission Cost of Service Rates

TNMP can update its transmission rates twice per year to reflect changes in its invested capital Updated rates reflect the addition and retirement of transmission facilities, including appropriate depreciation, federal income tax and other associated taxes, and the approved rate of return on such facilities. The following sets forth TNMP's recent interim transmission cost rate increases:

72

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Effective Date	Incre	proved ase in Rate Base		il Increase Revenue
		(ın mi	llions)	
September 8, 2014	\$	25.2	\$	4.2
March 16, 2015		27.1		4.4
September 10, 2015		7.0		1.4
March 23, 2016		25.8		4.3
September 8, 2016		9.5		1.8

Energy Efficiency

TNMP recovers the costs of its energy efficiency programs through an energy efficiency cost recovery factor ("EECRF"), which includes projected program costs, under or over collected costs from prior years, rate case expenses, and performance bonuses (if the programs exceed mandated savings goals). On May 27, 2016, TNMP filed its request to adjust the EECRF to reflect changes in costs for 2017. The total amount requested is \$6.1 million, which includes a performance bonus of \$0.8 million based on TNMP's energy efficiency achievements in the 2015 plan year. On July 27, 2016, TNMP reached a settlement with the PUCT staff and intervenors approving a total request of \$6.0 million, which includes a performance bonus of \$0.8 million. The settlement was approved by the PUCT on September 8, 2016 and updated rates will become effective on March 1, 2017.

(13) Income Taxes

In 2013, New Mexico House Bill 641 reduced the New Mexico corporate income tax rate from 7.6% to 5.9%. The rate reduction is being phased-in from 2014 to 2018. In accordance with GAAP, PNMR and PNM adjusted accumulated deferred income taxes to reflect the tax rate at which the balances are expected to reverse during the period that includes the date of enactment, which was in the year ended December 31, 2013. At that time, the portion of the adjustment related to PNM's regulated activities was recorded as a reduction in deferred tax liabilities, which was offset by an increase in a regulatory liability, on the assumption that PNM will be required to return the benefit to customers over time. In addition, the portion of the adjustment that is not related to PNM's regulated activities was recorded in PNMR's Corporate and Other segment as a reduction in deferred tax assets and an increase in income tax expense. Changes in the estimated timing of reversals of deferred tax assets and liabilities will result in refinements of the impacts of this change in tax rates being recorded periodically until 2018, when the rate reduction is fully phased in. In the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, PNM's regulatory liability was reduced by \$7.1 million and \$2.0 million, which increased deferred tax liabilities. Deferred tax assets not related to PNM's regulatory activities were: reduced by \$0.7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2016, increasing income tax expense by \$0.8 million for PNM and reducing income tax expense by \$0.1 million for the Corporate and Other segment; and increased by \$0.7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2015, reducing income tax expense by \$0.5 million for PNM and \$0.2 million for the Corporate and Other segment

In 2008, fifty percent bonus tax depreciation was enacted as a temporary two-year stimulus measure as part of the Economic Stimulus Act of 2008. Bonus tax depreciation in various forms has been continuously extended since that time, most recently by the Protecting Americans from Tax Hikes Act of 2015. The 2015 act extends and phases-out bonus tax depreciation through 2019. As a result of the net operating loss carryforwards for income tax purposes created by bonus depreciation, and reduced future income taxes payable resulting from New Mexico House Bill 641, certain tax carryforwards are not expected to be utilized before their expiration. In accordance with GAAP, PNMR and PNM have impaired the tax carryforwards which were not expected to be utilized prior to their expiration. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the impairment of the New Mexico net operating loss carryforward recorded in 2014 was refined, resulting in an additional impairment of \$1.0 million, after federal income tax benefit, \$0.7 million of which was recorded by PNM and \$0.3 million was recorded in the Corporate and Other segment. TNMP had no such impairment in 2015. The Company has not recorded any impairments in 2016. The NMPRC's final order in PNM's NM 2015 Rate Case (Note 12) approved PNM's request to record a regulatory asset to recover a 2014 impairment of PNM's New Mexico net operating loss carryforward resulting from the extension of bonus depreciation. The impact, net of federal income taxes, amounts to \$2.1 million, which is reflected as a reduction of income tax expense on the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

The Company undertook an analysis of interest income and interest expense applicable to federal income tax matters. The analysis encompassed the impacts of IRS examinations, amended income tax returns, and filings for carrybacks of tax matters to previous taxable years applicable to all years not closed under the IRS rules. As a result of this effort, PNMR received net refunds from the IRS of \$6.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2016. Of the refunds, \$2.1 million was recorded as a reduction of interest receivable and \$5.1 million was recorded as interest income, which was partially offset by \$0.7 million of interest expense. In addition, PNMR incurred \$0.9 million in professional fees related to the analysis. Of the net pre-tax impacts aggregating \$3.5 million, \$2.6 million is reflected in the PNM segment, \$0.3 million in the TNMP segment, and \$0.6 million in the Corporate and Other segment.

(14) Related Party Transactions

PNMR, PNM, and TNMP are considered related parties as defined under GAAP. PNMR Services Company provides corporate services to PNMR and its subsidiaries in accordance with shared services agreements. The table below summarizes the nature and amount of related party transactions of PNMR, PNM, and TNMP.

		e Mont eptemb	hs Ended er 30,		nths Ended aber 30,
, , ,	201	6	2015	2016	2015
,			(In tho	usands)	•
Services billings:					
PNMR to PNM	\$ 22	189	21,894	\$ 67,192	\$ 65,961
PNMR to TNMP	² 6	593	6,707	, 20,881	20,366
PNM to TNMP		<u>105</u>	136	347	424
TNMP to PNMR		10	·	, 30	·
Interest billings:					
PNMR to TNMP		13	34	, 112	167
PNMR to PNM		3.	10	8	38)
PNM to PNMR		38	24	110	79
Income tax sharing payments:					
PNMR to PNM					1,450
PNMR to TNMP					

(15) Goodwill

The excess purchase price over the fair value of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed by PNMR for its 2005 acquisition of TNP was recorded as goodwill and was pushed down to the businesses acquired. In 2007, the TNMP assets that were included in its New Mexico operations, including goodwill, were transferred to PNM.

GAAP requires the Company to evaluate its goodwill for impairment annually at the reporting unit level or more frequently if circumstances indicate that the goodwill may be impaired. PNMR's reporting units that have goodwill are PNM and TNMP. Application of the impairment test requires judgment, including the identification of reporting units, assignment of assets and liabilities to reporting units, and determination of the fair value of each reporting unit.

GAAP provides that in certain circumstances an entity may perform a qualitative analysis to conclude that the goodwill of a reporting unit is not impaired. Under a qualitative assessment an entity would consider macroeconomic conditions, industry and market considerations, cost factors, overall financial performance, other relevant entity-specific events affecting a reporting unit, as well as whether a sustained decrease (both absolute and relative to its peers) in share price had occurred. An entity would consider the extent to which each of the adverse events and circumstances identified could affect the comparison of a reporting unit's fair value with its carrying amount. An entity should place more weight on the events and circumstances that most affect a reporting unit's fair value or the carrying amount of its net assets. An entity also should consider positive and mitigating events and circumstances that may affect its determination of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

less than its carrying amount. An entity would evaluate, on the basis of the weight of evidence, the significance of all identified events and circumstances in the context of determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, a quantitative analysis is not required.

In other circumstances, an entity may perform a quantitative analysis to reach the conclusion regarding impairment with respect to a reporting unit. The first step of the quantitative impairment test requires an entity to compare the fair value of the reporting unit with its carrying value, including goodwill. If as a result of this analysis, the entity concludes there is an indication of impairment in a reporting unit having goodwill, the entity is required to perform the second step of the impairment analysis, determining the amount of goodwill impairment to be recorded. The amount is calculated by comparing the implied fair value of the goodwill to its carrying amount. This exercise would require the entity to allocate the fair value determined in step one to the individual assets and liabilities of the reporting unit. Any remaining fair value would be the implied fair value of goodwill on the testing date. To the extent the recorded amount of goodwill of a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value determined in step two, an impairment loss would be reflected in results of operations.

An entity may choose to perform a quantitative analysis without performing a qualitative analysis and may perform a qualitative analysis for certain reporting units but a quantitative analysis for others. For its annual evaluations performed as of April 1, 2016, PNMR performed quantitative analyses for both the PNM and TNMP reporting units. PNMR utilized a quantitative analysis for the PNM reporting unit and a qualitative analysis for the TNMP reporting unit as of April 1, 2015. For the quantitative analyses, a discounted cash flow methodology was primarily used to estimate the fair value of the reporting unit. This analysis requires significant judgments, including estimation of future cash flows, which is dependent on internal forecasts, estimation of long-term growth rates for the business, and determination of appropriate weighted average cost of capital for each reporting unit. Changes in these estimates and assumptions could materially affect the determination of fair value and the conclusion of impairment.

The annual evaluations performed as of April 1, 2016 and 2015 did not indicate impairments of the goodwill of any of PNMR's reporting units. The April 1, 2016 and 2015 quantitative evaluations indicated the fair value of the PNM reporting unit, which has goodwill of \$51.6 million, exceeded its carrying value by approximately 25% and 25%. The April 1, 2016 quantitative evaluation indicated the fair value of the TNMP reporting unit, which has goodwill of \$226.7 million, exceeded its carrying value by approximately 32%. Since the April 1, 2016 annual evaluation, there have been no indications that the fair values of the reporting units with recorded goodwill have decreased below the carrying values Additional information concerning the Company's goodwill is contained in Note 19 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for PNMR is presented on a combined basis, including certain information applicable to PNM and TNMP. The MD&A for PNM and TNMP is presented as permitted by Form 10-Q General Instruction 4 H(2). This report uses the term "Company" when discussing matters of common applicability to PNMR, PNM, and TNMP. A reference to a "Note" in this Item 2 refers to the accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) included in Item 1, unless otherwise specified. Certain of the tables below may not appear visually accurate due to rounding.

MD&A FOR PNMR

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.

Overview and Strategy

PNMR is a holding company with two regulated utilities serving approximately 765,000 residential, commercial, and industrial customers and endusers of electricity in New Mexico and Texas. PNMR's electric utilities are PNM and TNMP.

Strategic Goals

PNMR is focused on achieving three key strategic goals:

- Earning authorized returns on regulated businesses
- · Delivering above industry-average earnings and dividend growth
- Maintaining solid investment grade credit ratings

In conjunction with these goals, PNM and TNMP are dedicated to.

- · Maintaining strong employee safety, plant performance, and system reliability
- Delivering a superior customer experience
- · Demonstrating environmental leadership in their business operations
- Supporting the communities in their service territories

Earning Authorized Returns on Regulated Businesse's

PNMR's success in accomplishing its strategic goals is highly dependent on two key factors: fair and timely regulatory treatment for its utilities and the utilities' strong operating performance. The Company has multiple strategies to achieve favorable regulatory treatment, all of which have as their foundation a focus on the basics: safety, operational excellence, and customer satisfaction, while engaging stakeholders to build productive relationships. Both PNM and TNMP seek cost recovery for their investments through general rate cases and various rate riders.

Fair and timely rate treatment from regulators is crucial to PNM and TNMP in earning their allowed returns, which is critical for PNMR's ability to achieve its strategic goals. PNMR believes that if the utilities earn their allowed returns, it would be viewed positively by credit rating agencies and would further improve the Company's ratings, which could lower costs to utility customers. Also, earning allowed returns should result in increased earnings for PNMR, which would lead to increased growth in EPS.

Additional information about rate filings is provided in Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K and in Note 12.

State Regulation

New Mexico Rate Case - On August 27, 2015, PNM filed an application with the NMPRC for a general increase in retail electric rates (the "NM 2015 Rate Case"). Key aspects of PNM's request were

An increase in base non-fuel revenues of \$121.5 million

76

- Based on a future test year ("FTY") beginning October 1, 2015
- ROE of 10.5%
- Drivers of revenue deficiency
 - Infrastructure investments
 - · Declines in forecasted energy sales due to successful energy efficiency programs and other economic factors
- · Proposed changes to rate design to establish fair and equitable pricing across rate classes and to better align cost recovery with cost causation
 - Increased customer and demand charges
 - A revenue decoupling pilot program applicable to residential and small commercial customers
 - Re-allocation of revenue among customer classes
 - A new economic development rate
 - · Continuation of PNM's renewable energy rider

Hearings were held in April and June of 2016. On August 4, 2016, the hearing examiner in the case issued a recommended decision ("RD"). The RD proposed an increase in non-fuel revenues of \$41.3 million compared to the \$121.5 million increase requested by PNM. Major components of the difference in the increase in non-fuel revenues, include:

- The RD proposed a ROE of 9.575% compared to the 10 5% requested by PNM
- The RD proposed disallowing recovery of the entire \$163.3 million purchase price for the January 15, 2016 purchases of the assets underlying leases of portions of PVNGS Unit 2 (Note 6), aggregating 64.1 MW of capacity
- The RD proposed that PNM not recover from retail customers any of the \$18.1 million of annual rent expense under leases of capacity, aggregating 114.6 MW, in PVNGS Units 1 and 2 that were extended for eight years beginning January 15, 2015 and 2016 (Note 6);
- The RD also proposed that property taxes on the previously leased assets and the extended leases not be recovered from retail customers; the property taxes aggregate \$2.3 million annually
- The RD proposed that PNM not recover the costs of converting SJGS Units 1 and 4 to BDT, which is required by the NSR permit for SJGS, (Note 11), PNM's share of the costs of installing the BDT equipment was \$52.3 million of which \$40.0 million was included in rate base in PNM's current rate request
- · The RD proposed that \$4.5 million of amounts recorded as regulatory assets and deferred charges not be recovered from retail customers

The RD recommended that the NMPRC find PNM was imprudent in the actions taken to purchase the previously leased 64.1 MW of capacity in PVNGS Unit 2, extending the leases for 114.6 MW of capacity of PVNGS Units 1 and 2, and installing the BDT equipment on SJGS Units 1 and 4. The RD also proposed changes in the methods of recovering certain costs through PNM's FPPAC and renewable energy rider. The RD credited retail customers with 100% of the New Mexico jurisdictional portion of revenues from refined coal (a third-party pre-treatment process) at SJGS. The RD recommended continuation of the renewable energy rider and certain aspects of PNM's proposals regarding rate design, but would not approve certain other rate design proposals or PNM's request for a revenue decoupling pilot program. The RD proposed approving PNM's proposals for revised depreciation rates (with one exception), the inclusion of CWIP in rate base, and ratemaking treatment of the prepaid pension asset. The RD did not preclude PNM from supporting the prudence of the PVNGS purchases and lease renewals in its next general rate case and seeking recovery of those costs. PNM disagreed with many of the key conclusions reached by the hearing examiner in the RD and filed exceptions to those conclusions. Other parties also filed exceptions to the RD.

The NMPRC issued a final order on September 28, 2016 that authorizes PNM to implement an increase in non-fuel rates of \$61.2 million, effective for bills sent after September 30, 2016. The final order generally approved the RD, but with certain significant modifications. The modifications to the RD include.

- Inclusion of the January 2016 purchase of the assets underlying three leases of capacity, aggregating 64.1 MW, of PVNGS Unit 2 at an initial rate base value of \$83.7 million; and disallowance of the recovery of the undepreciated costs of capitalized improvements made during the period the 64.1 MW was being leased by PNM, which aggregated \$43.8 million when the final order was issued
- Full recovery of the rent expense and property taxes associated with the extended leases for capacity, aggregating 114.6 MW, in Palo Verde Units 1 and 2
- Disallowance of the recovery of any future contribution for PVNGS decommissioning costs related to the 64.1 MW of capacity purchased in January 2016 and the 114.6 MW of capacity under the extended leases
- Recovery of assumed operating and maintenance expense savings of \$0.3 million annually related to BDT

On September 30, 2016, PNM filed a Notice of Appeal with the NM Supreme Court regarding the order in the NM 2015 Rate Case. Subsequently, NEE, NMIEC, and ABCWUA filed notices of cross appeal. On October 26, 2016, PNM filed, with the NM Supreme Court, a statement of issues related to its appeal, which states PNM is appealing the NMPRC's determination that PNM was imprudent in the actions taken to purchase the previously leased 64.1 MW of capacity in PVNGS Unit 2, extending the leases for 114.6 MW of capacity of PVNGS Units 1 and 2, and installing the BDT equipment on SJGS Units 1 and 4. Specifically, PNM's statement indicated it is appealing the following elements of the NMPRC's final order:

- Disallowance of recovery of the full purchase price, representing fair market value, of the 64 1 MW of capacity in PVNGS Unit 2 purchased in January 2016
- Disallowance of the recovery of the undepreciated costs of capitalized improvements made during the period the 64.1 MW of capacity was leased by PNM
- · Disallowance of recovery of future contributions for PVNGS decommissioning attributable to previously leased capacity
- Disallowance of recovery of the costs of converting SJGS Units 1 and 4 to BDT

The court has taken no action with respect to the appeals. Although appeals of regulatory actions of the NMPRC have a priority at the NM Supreme Court under New Mexico law, there is no required time frame for the court to act on the appeals.

As of September 30, 2016, PNM evaluated the accounting consequences of the final order in the NM 2015 Rate Case and the likelihood of being successful on the issues it is appealing in the NM Supreme Court as required under GAAP. The evaluation indicates it is reasonably possible that PNM will be successful on the issues it is appealing. If the NM Supreme Court rules in PNM's favor on some or all of the issues, those issues would be remanded back to the NMPRC for further action. PNM estimates that it will take a minimum of 15 months, from the date PNM filed its appeal, for the NM Supreme Court to render a decision and for the NMPRC to take action on any remanded issues. During such time, the rates specified in the final order will remain in effect. Accordingly, at September 30, 2016, PNM recorded a pre-tax regulatory disallowance of \$11.3 million representing 15 months of capital cost recovery on its investments that the final order disallowed and amounts recorded as regulatory assets and deferred charges that the final order disallowed.

PNM continues to believe that the disallowed investments, which are the subject of PNM's appeal, were prudently incurred and that PNM is entitled to full recovery of those investments through the ratemaking process. Although PNM believes it is reasonably possible that its appeals will be successful, it cannot predict what decision the NM Supreme Court will reach or what further actions the NMPRC will take on any issued remanded to it by the court. If PNM's appeal is unsuccessful, PNM would record additional pre-tax losses related to any unsuccessful issues. If the appeal is unsuccessful on all issues, the additional losses could aggregate \$168.5 million based on the September 30, 2016 book values of PNM's investments that the final order disallowed, after considering the loss recorded at September 30, 2016.

PNM is unable to predict the outcome of this matter.

PVNGS Unit 3 – Currently, PNM's 134 MW interest in PVNGS Unit 3 is excluded from NMPRC jurisdictional rates. The power generated from that interest is sold into the wholesale market and any earnings or losses are realized by shareholders. As part of compliance with the requirements for BART at SJGS discussed below, the NMPRC approved including PVNGS Unit 3 as a jurisdictional resource in the determination of rates charged to customers in New Mexico beginning in 2018.

Rate Riders and Interim Rate Relief – The PUCT has approved mechanisms that allow TNMP to recover capital invested in transmission and distribution projects without having to file a general rate case. This permits more timely recovery of investments. The PUCT has also approved riders that allow TNMP to recover amounts related to AMS, energy efficiency, third-party transmission costs, and the CTC. The NMPRC has approved rate riders for renewable energy and energy efficiency that allow for more timely recovery of investments and improve PNM's ability to earn its authorized return.

TNMP General Rate Case – TNMP's last general rate case was filed in 2010 with new rates becoming effective on February 1, 2011. In connection with TNMP's deployment of its advance meter system (Note 12), TNMP has committed to file a general rate case no later than September 1, 2018. TNMP has also committed that it would not file a request for an increase in rates under the PUCT's rule permitting interim rate adjustments to reflect changes in investments in distribution assets until after the 2018 general rate case.

FERC Regulation

In early 2013, PNM completed rate proceedings for all of its FERC regulated transmission customers and for NEC, its largest generation services customer, which improved PNM's returns for providing those services. PNM has allocated a portion of its generation assets to serve FERC wholesale generation services customers for a number of years. Recently, the low natural gas price environment has caused market prices for power to be substantially lower than what PNM is able to offer wholesale customers under the cost of service model that FERC requires PNM to use. As a result of this change in market conditions, PNM has not been earning an adequate return on the assets required to serve wholesale contracts. Consequently, PNM has decided to stop pursuing wholesale contracts that are served with the same generation assets that serve retail customers

Navopache Electric Cooperative, Inc – PNM had a PSA to supply power to NEC that was approved by FERC in April 2013. On April 8, 2015, NEC filed a petition for a declaratory order requesting that FERC find that NEC can purchase an unlimited amount of power and energy from third party supplier(s) under the PSA. PNM intervened, requesting that FERC deny NEC's petition. On July 16, 2015, FERC set the matter for a public hearing concerning the parties' intent with regard to certain provisions of the PSA and held the hearing in abeyance to provide time for settlement judge procedures.

On October 29, 2015, PNM and NEC entered into, and filed with FERC, a settlement agreement that includes amendments to the PSA and related contracts. FERC approved the settlement in January 2016. Under the agreement, PNM will serve all of NEC's load in 2016 at reduced demand and energy rates from those under the PSA Beginning January 1, 2016, NEC is also paying certain third-party transmission costs that it did not pay in 2014 and only partially paid in 2015. The PSA, which contained an expiration date in 2035, will terminate on December 31, 2016. In 2017, PNM will continue to serve 10 MW of NEC's load under a short-term coordination tariff at a rate lower than provided under the PSA, but higher than prices available under short-term market rates at the time of the settlement. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, revenues were \$14.8 million and \$19.7 million under the PSA. Although the settlement agreement will negatively impact results of operations in 2016 and 2017, PNM expects to be able to mitigate these impacts through market sales of power that would have been sold to NEC, reductions in fuel and transmission expenses, and other measures PNM anticipates that, in future general rate cases, assets and costs previously assigned to serve NEC will be reassigned, primarily to retail customers.

Transmission Service Formula Rate Mechanism – PNM filed a request with FERC for an increase in rates charged to transmission customers based on a formula rate mechanism. On March 20, 2015, PNM along with five other parties entered into a settlement agreement, which FERC approved on March 17, 2016. The settlement reflects a ROE of 10% and resulted in an annualized increase in rates of \$1.3 million above the rates approved in the previous case.

Delivering Above Industry-Average Earnings and Dividend Growth

PNMR's strategic goal to deliver above industry-average earnings and dividend growth enables investors to realize the value in the Company's business. PNMR's current target is seven to eight percent earnings growth through 2019. Earnings growth is based on ongoing earnings, which is a non-GAAP financial measure that excludes from earnings determined in accordance with GAAP certain non-recurring, infrequent, and other items that are not indicative of fundamental changes in the earnings capacity of the Company's operations. PNMR uses ongoing earnings to evaluate the operations of the Company and to establish goals, including those used for certain aspects of incentive compensation, for management and employees

PNMR targets a dividend payout ratio of 50% to 60% of its ongoing earnings. PNMR expects to provide above industry-average dividend growth in the near-term and to manage the payout ratio to meet its long-term target. The Board will continue to evaluate the dividend on an annual basis, considering sustainability and growth, capital planning, and industry standards The Board approved the following increases in the indicated annual common stock dividend:

Approval Date	Percent Increase
February 2012	16%
February 2013	14%
December 2013	12%
December 2014	8%
December 2015	10%

Maintaining Solid Investment Grade Credit Ratings

The Company is committed to maintaining investment grade credit ratings in order to reduce the cost of debt financing and to help ensure access to credit markets, when required See the subheading Liquidity included in the full discussion of Liquidity and Capital Resources below for the specific credit ratings for PNMR, PNM, and TNMP Currently, all of the credit ratings issued by both Moody's and S&P on the Company's debt are investment grade with a stable outlook.

Business Focus

PNMR strives to create enduring value for customers, communities, and shareholders. PNMR's strategy and decision-making are focused on safely providing reliable, affordable, and environmentally responsible power. PNMR works closely with customers, stakeholders, legislators, and regulators to ensure that resource plans and infrastructure investments benefit from robust public dialogue and balance the diverse needs of our communities. Equally important are PNMR's utilities' focus on customer satisfaction and community engagement

Reliable and Affordable Power

PNMR and its utilities are aware of the important roles they play in enhancing economic vitality in their service territories. Management believes that maintaining strong and modern electric infrastructure is critical to ensuring reliability and supporting economic growth. When contemplating expanding or relocating their operations, businesses consider energy affordability and reliability to be important factors. PNM and TNMP strive to balance service affordability with infrastructure investment to maintain a high level of electric reliability and to deliver a superior customer experience.

Investing in PNM's and TNMP's infrastructure is critical to ensuring reliability and meeting future energy needs. Both utilities have long-established records of providing customers with reliable electric service. Through 2014, both PNM and TNMP ranked in the top quartile nationally for reliability for three out of the previous five years. In 2014, PNM delivered its best reliability performance in the past seven years and TNMP's reliability was its best in a decade. PNM was again ranked in the electric utility industry top (first) quartile for 2015 despite 2015 being one of the wettest years on record in New Mexico, whereas TNMP's reliability was ranked in the third quartile as it was more negatively impacted by severe weather events accompanied with record amounts of rain in certain areas of Texas.

Advanced Metering

In September 2011, TNMP began its deployment of advanced meters for homes and businesses across its Texas service area. As of September 30, 2016, TNMP had completed its mass deployment by installing more than 242,000 advanced meters. As part of the State of Texas' long-term initiative to create an advanced electric grid, installation of advanced meters will ultimately give consumers more data about their energy consumption and help them make more informed decisions. In addition, TNMP recently completed installation of a new outage management system that will leverage capabilities of the advanced metering infrastructure to enhance TNMP's responsiveness to outages

On February 26, 2016, PNM filed an application with the NMPRC requesting approval of a project to replace its existing customer metering equipment with Advanced Metering Infrastructure ("AMF"). The application also asks the NMPRC to authorize the recovery, in future ratemaking proceedings, of the cost of the project, up to \$87.2 million, as well as to approve the recovery of the remaining undepreciated investment in existing metering equipment estimated to be approximately \$33 million and the costs of customer education and severance for any affected employees. PNM does not intend to proceed with the AMI project unless the NMPRC approves the entire application. On August 5, 2016, PNM filed a motion to suspend its AMI application so that it could evaluate the effect of the final order in the NM 2015 Rate Case. This motion was approved and PNM must either propose a new procedural schedule or file a motion to withdraw the AMI application by November 28, 2016. PNM cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

Utility Plant Investments

During the 2013 to 2015 period, PNM and TNMP together invested \$1,302.4 million in utility plant, including substations, power plants, nuclear fuel, and transmission and distribution systems. In 2012, PNM announced plans for the 40 MW natural gas-fired La Luz peaking generating station to be located near Belen, New Mexico. Construction began in April 2015 and the facility went into service in December 2015. In addition, on January 15, 2016, PNM completed the \$163.3 million acquisition of 64 MW of capacity in PVNGS Unit 2 that had previously been leased to PNM.

Integrated Resource Plan

NMPRC rules require that investor-owned utilities file an IRP every three years. The IRP is required to cover a 20-year planning period and contain an action plan covering the first four years of that period. PNM filed its 2014 IRP on July 1, 2014. The four-year action plan was consistent with the replacement resources identified in PNM's application to retire SJGS Units 2 and 3. PNM indicated that it planned to meet its anticipated energy demand with a combination of additional renewable energy resources, energy efficiency, and natural gas-fired facilities.

PNM has begun its process for the 2017 IRP that is to be filed by July 3, 2017. In the NMPRC's final order concerning SJGS' compliance with the BART requirements of the CAA discussed in Note 11, PNM is required to make a filing in 2018 to determine the extent to which SJGS should continue serving PNM's retail customers' needs after June 30, 2022. To facilitate the 2018 filing, PNM anticipates developing two resource portfolios in the 2017 IRP, one with SJGS continuing beyond 2022 and one where it is shutdown.

Environmentally Responsible Power

PNMR has a long-standing record of environmental stewardship. PNM's environmental focus has been in three key areas:

- Developing strategies to meet regional haze rules at the coal-fired SJGS as cost-effectively as possible while providing broad environmental benefits that also demonstrate progress in addressing new federal regulations for CO₂ emissions from existing power plants
- · Preparing to meet New Mexico's increasing renewable energy requirements as cost-effectively as possible
- · Increasing energy efficiency participation

SJGS

Regional Haze Rule Compliance Plan – On December 16, 2015, PNM received NMPRC approval for the plan to comply with the EPA regional haze rule at SJGS that minimizes the cost impact to customers while still achieving broad environmental benefits. Under the approved plan, the installation of SNCRs on SJGS Units 1 and 4 was completed in early 2016 and Units 2 and 3 will be retired by the end of 2017. The plan provides for similar visibility improvements, but at a lower cost to PNM customers than a previous EPA ruling that would have required the installation of more expensive SCRs on all four units at SJGS. The plan has the added advantage of reducing other emissions in addition to NOx, including SO₂, particulate matter, CO₂, and mercury, as well as reducing water usage. Additional information is contained in Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K and in Note 11.

Under the key provisions of the order approving the compliance plan, PNM:

- Will retire SJGS Units 2 and 3 (PNM's current ownership interest totals 418 MW) at December 31, 2017 and recover, over 20 years, 50% (currently estimated to be approximately \$128.6 million) of their undepreciated net book value at that date and earn a regulated return on those costs
- Is granted a CCN to acquire an additional 132 MW in SIGS Unit 4, with an initial book value of zero, plus SNCR costs and whatever portion of BDT costs the NMPRC determines to be reasonable and prudent to be allowed for recovery in rates (Note 12)
- Is granted a CCN for 134 MW of PVNGS Unit 3 with an initial rate base value equal to the book value as of December 31, 2017 (estimated to be approximately \$152 million)
- · Is authorized to acquire 65 MW of SJGS Unit 4 as merchant utility plant, which will not be included in rates charged to retail customers
- Will accelerate recovery of SNCR costs on SJGS Units 1 and 4 so that the costs are fully recovered by July 1, 2022
- · Is required to make a NMPRC filing in 2018 to determine the extent that SJGS should continue serving PNM's customers' needs after mid-2022
- Will acquire and retire one MWh of RECs that include a zero-CO₂ emission attribute beginning January 1, 2020 for every MWh produced by 197
 MW of coal-fired generation from PNM's ownership share of SJGS (the cost of these RECs would be capped at \$7.0 million per year and recovered in rates)
- Will not recover approximately \$20 million of increased operations and maintenance expenses and other costs incurred in connection with CAA compliance

At December 31, 2015, PNM recorded pre-tax losses aggregating \$165.7 million to reflect the write-off of the 50% of the estimated December 31, 2017 net book value of SJGS Units 2 and 3 that will not be recovered, the other unrecoverable costs, and the increase in the estimated liability recorded for coal mine reclamation resulting from the new coal mine reclamation arrangement entered into in conjunction with the new coal supply agreement ("CSA"). In the nine months ended September 30, 2016, PNM recorded additional pre-tax losses of \$6.0 million resulting from revised estimates of these items. Additional information about the CSA is discussed below and further described under Coal Supply in Note 16 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K and in Note 11.

On January 14, 2016, NEE filed, with the NM Supreme Court, a Notice of Appeal of the NMPRC's December 16, 2015 final order. On March 31, 2016, NEE filed, with the NMPRC, a complaint against PNM regarding the financing provided by NM Capital to facilitate the sale of SJCC. The complaint alleges that PNM failed to comply with its discovery obligation in the SJGS abandonment case and requests the NMPRC to investigate whether the financing transactions could adversely affect PNM's ability to provide electric service to its retail customers. PNM responded to the complaint on May 4, 2016. The NMPRC has taken no action on this matter.

SJGS Ownership Restructuring – In connection with the proposed retirement of SJGS Units 2 and 3, some of the SJGS participants expressed a desire to exit their ownership in the plant. As a result, the SJGS participants negotiated a restructuring of the ownership in SJGS and addressed the obligations of the exiting participants for plant decommissioning, mine reclamation, environmental matters, and certain future operating costs, among other items.

The San Juan Project Restructuring Agreement ("RA") sets forth the agreement among the SJGS owners regarding ownership restructuring. Key provisions of the RA include:

- Capacity acquisition On December 31, 2017, PNM will acquire 132 MW of the exiting owners' capacity in SIGS Unit 4 and PNMR Development agreed to acquire 65 MW of such capacity. It is currently anticipated that PNMR Development will transfer the rights and obligations related to the 65 MW to PNM prior to December 31, 2017 in order to facilitate dispatch of power from that capacity. As ordered by the NMPRC, PNM would treat the 65 MW as merchant utility plant that would be excluded from retail rates.
- Coal inventory The RA also sets forth the terms under which PNM acquired the coal inventory of the exiting SJGS participants as of January 1, 2016 and will provide coal supply to the exiting participants during the period from January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017, which arrangement provides economic benefits that are being passed on to PNM's customers through the FPPAC.
- Coal supply The RA became effective contemporaneously with the effectiveness of the new CSA for SJGS. The effectiveness of the new CSA was dependent on the closing of the purchase of the existing coal mine operation by a new mine operator, which occurred on January 31, 2016 In support of the closing of the mine purchase and to facilitate PNM customer savings, NM Capital, a wholly owned subsidiary of PNMR, provided funding of \$125.0 million to Westmoreland San Juan, LLC ("WSJ"), a ring-fenced, bankruptcy-remote, special-purpose entity that is a subsidiary of Westmoreland Coal Company to finance the purchase price. NM Capital was able to provide the \$125.0 million financing to WSJ by first entering into a \$125.0 million term loan agreement with a commercial bank. PNMR guarantees NM Capital's obligations to the bank. The Westmoreland Loan has a maturity date of February 1, 2021 and initially bears interest at a rate of 7.25% plus LIBOR and escalates over time. WSJ must pay principal and interest quarterly to NM Capital in accordance with an amortization schedule. The Westmoreland Loan has been structured to encourage prepayments and early retirement of the debt. As of October 21, 2016, the balance of the Westmoreland Loan was \$110.0 million and \$17.3 million was held in a restricted bank account that will be used to make a \$15.0 million principal payment on the Westmoreland Loan and interest of \$2.3 million, which are due on November 1, 2016.
- Coal mine reclamation Under the terms of the CSA, PNM and the other SJGS owners are obligated to compensate SJCC for all reclamation liabilities associated with the supply of coal from the San Juan mine. In connection with certain mining permits relating to the operation of the San Juan mine, SJCC is required to post reclamation bonds, which currently aggregate \$118.7 million, with the NMMMD PNMR has arrangements under which a bank has issued \$30.3 million in letters of credit to facilitate posting of the required reclamation bonds. See Note 11.

Other SJGS Environmental Matters – In addition to the regional haze rule, SJGS is required to comply with other rules currently being developed or implemented that affect coal-fired generating units, including rules regarding GHG under Section 111(d) of the CAA Implementation of the Clean Power Plan, which was published by EPA in October 2015, is currently stayed by order of the US Supreme Court pending further proceedings before the DC Circuit. Oral argument was heard by the DC Circuit in September 2016, but the court has taken no action. PNM estimates that implementation of the BART plan at SJGS discussed

above should provide a significant step for New Mexico to meet its ultimate compliance with Section 111(d). PNM is unable to predict the impact of this rule on its fossil-fueled generation.

Because of environmental upgrades completed in 2009, SJGS is well positioned to outperform the mercury limit imposed by EPA in the 2011 Mercury and Air Toxics Standards. The major environmental upgrades on each of the four units at SJGS have significantly reduced emissions of NOx, SO₂, particulate matter, and mercury. Since 2006, SJGS has reduced NOx emissions by 49%, SO₂ by 77%, particulate matter by 78%, and mercury by 98%.

Water Conservation and Solid Waste Reduction

PNM's fleet of solar, wind, and geothermal energy sources, energy efficiency programs, and innovative uses of gray water and air-cooling technology have contributed to this reduction. Water usage will continue to decline as PNM substitutes less fresh-water-intensive generation resources to replace SJGS Units 2 and 3 starting in 2018 when water consumption at that plant will be reduced by around 50%. Focusing on responsible stewardship of New Mexico's scarce water resources improves PNM's water-resilience in the face of persistent drought and ever-increasing demands for water to spur the growth of New Mexico's economy. In addition to the above areas of focus, the Company is working to reduce the amount of solid waste going to landfills through increased recycling and reduction of waste. In 2015, 20 of the Company's 23 facilities exceeded a 60% diversion rate, often by a wide margin. The Company expects to continue to do well in this area in the future.

Renewable Energy

PNM's renewable procurement strategy includes utility-owned solar capacity, as well as wind and geothermal energy purchased under PPAs. As of December 31, 2015, PNM owned 107 MW of utility-scale solar capacity, including 40 MW completed in 2015. The application for a general rate increase discussed above includes recovery of the costs associated with the new 40 MW solar facilities. As discussed in Note 12, PNMR Development will construct and own 30 MW of new solar capacity that PNM will use to supply power to new data center being constructed by Facebook Inc. in PNM's service territory In addition, PNM purchases power from a customer-owned distributed solar generation program that had an installed capacity of 57.3 MW at September 30, 2016. PNM also owns the 500 KW PNM Prosperity Energy Storage Project, which uses advanced batteries to store solar power and dispatch the energy either during high-use periods or when solar production is limited. The project was one of the first combinations of battery storage and PV energy in the nation and involved extensive research and development of advanced grid concepts. The facility was also the nation's first solar storage facility fully integrated into a utility's power grid. Since 2003, PNM has purchased the output from a 204 MW wind facility and began purchasing the output of another existing 102 MW wind energy center on January 1, 2015. PNM has a 20-year agreement to purchase energy from a geothermal facility built near Lordsburg, New Mexico. The facility began providing power to PNM in January 2014. The current capacity of the geothermal facility is 4 MW and future expansion may result in up to 9 MW of generation capacity. PNM also purchases RECs as necessary to meet the RPS.

These renewable resources are key means for PNM to meet the RPS and related regulations that require PNM to achieve prescribed levels of energy sales from renewable sources, if that can be accomplished without exceeding the RCT limit set by the NMPRC PNM makes renewable procurements consistent with the plans approved by the NMPRC PNM's 2016 renewable energy procurement plan meets RPS and diversity requirements within the RCT in 2016 and 2017 PNM's 2017 renewable energy procurement plan meets RPS and diversity requirements for 2017 and 2018 using existing resources and does not propose any significant new procurements. PNM projects that its plan will slightly exceed the RCT in 2017 and has requested a variance from the RCT, but will be within the RCT in 2018. The NMPRC must enter an order approving or modifying the plan by November 28, 2016. PNM will continue to procure renewable resources while balancing the impact to customers' bills in order to meet New Mexico's escalating RPS requirements.

Energy Efficiency

Energy efficiency also plays a significant role in helping to keep customers' electricity costs low while continuing to meet their energy needs. PNM's and TNMP's energy efficiency and load management portfolios continue to achieve robust results. In 2015, annual energy saved as a result of PNM's portfolio of energy efficiency programs was approximately 79 GWh. This is equivalent to the annual consumption of approximately 10,900 homes in PNM's service territory. PNM's load management and energy efficiency programs also help lower peak demand requirements. TNMP's energy efficiency programs in 2015 resulted in energy savings totaling an estimated 18 GWh. This is equivalent to the annual consumption of approximately 1,660 homes in TNMP's service territory.

Customer, Stakeholder, and Community Engagement

The Company strives to deliver a superior customer experience by understanding the dynamic needs of its customers through ongoing market research, identifying and establishing best-in-class services and programs, and proactively communicating and engaging with customers at regional and community levels. Beginning in 2013, PNM refocused its efforts to improve the customer experience through an integrated marketing and communications strategy that encompassed brand repositioning and advertising, customer service improvements, including billing and payment options, and strategic customer and stakeholder engagement. PNM's focus on these efforts has resulted in increasing scores in the JD Power Electric Utility Residential Customer Satisfaction Study.

Through outreach, collaboration, and various community-oriented programs, PNMR has a demonstrated commitment to build productive relationships with stakeholders, including customers, regulators, legislators, and intervenors.

The PNM Resources Foundation helps nonprofits become more energy efficient through Reduce Your Use grants In each of 2015 and 2016, the foundation has awarded \$0.3 million to support 54 projects in New Mexico to provide shade structure installations, window replacements, and efficient appliance purchases. Since the program's inception in 2008, Reduce Your Use grants have provided nonprofit agencies in New Mexico with more than \$2.1 million of support. In 2014, the PNM Resources Foundation launched a new grant program designed to help nonprofit organizations build more vibrant communities. In 2015 and 2016, Power Up Grants in the aggregate amount of \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million were awarded to 34 and 29 nonprofits in New Mexico and Texas for projects ranging from creating community gathering spaces to revitalizing neighborhood parks to building a youth sports field.

PNM

Stakeholder Outreach – PNM continues to expand its key stakeholder outreach to various organizations including business and economic development, environmental and nonprofit organizations, as well as state and local elected officials. Community meetings, one-on-one briefings, and enewsletter communications are just some of the tools being used to reach a wide array of stakeholders on key PNM issues including environmental commitment, infrastructure investments, price increases, energy savings opportunities, and other timely issues. Recent customer awareness scores have increased regarding PNM's commitment to the environment, the community, and energy efficiency programs.

Communications – PNM also has expanded its integrated communication efforts, including increased social media efforts, radio, television, newspaper and digital advertising, fact sheets for stakeholders on key PNM issues, e-newsletters, and identification and participation in key stakeholder events. Communication is a major driver for JD Power customer satisfaction scores. PNM's websites, www.pnm.com and www.PowerforProgress.com, provide the details of major regulatory filings, including general rate requests, as well as the background on PNM's efforts to maintain reliability, keep prices affordable, and protect the environment. The websites are designed to be a resource for the facts about PNM's operations and community support efforts, including plans for building a sustainable energy future for New Mexico.

Low-income Customer Outreach – PNM continues its outreach efforts to connect low-income customers with nonprofit community service providers offering support and help with such needs as utility bills, food, clothing, medical programs, services for seniors, and weatherization. In 2015, PNM hosted 38 community events throughout its service territory to assist low-income customers. Furthermore, the PNM Good Neighbor Fund provided \$0.4 million of assistance with utility bills to 3,554 families in 2015. In 2015, PNM committed funding of \$0.6 million to the PNM Good Neighbor Fund.

TNMP

Community Outreach - In Texas, community outreach is centered first on local relationships, specifically with community leaders, nonprofit organizations, and key customers in areas served by TNMP. Community liaisons serve in each of TNMP's three business areas, reaching out and ensuring productive lines of communication between TNMP and its key stakeholders.

TNMP maintains long-standing relationships with several key nonprofit organizations, including agencies that support children and families in crisis, food banks, environmental organizations, and educational nonprofits, through employee volunteerism and corporate support TNMP also actively participates in safety fairs and demonstrations in addition to supporting local chambers of commerce in efforts to build their local economies.

84

Energy Efficiency – TNMP's energy efficiency program discussed above provides unique offers to multiple customer groups, including residential, commercial, government, education, and nonprofit customers. These programs not only enable peak load and consumption reductions, particularly important when severe weather affects Texas' electric system, but also demonstrate TNMP's commitment to more than just delivering electricity by partnering with customers to optimize their energy usage. In April 2016, TNMP was recognized by Energy Star for TNMP's successful energy efficiency efforts TNMP received the "Partner of the Year Energy Efficiency Delivery Award" for its High-Performance Homes Program.

Economic Factors

PNM – In the nine months ended September 30, 2016, PNM experienced a decrease in weather normalized retail load of 0.6% compared to 2015, primarily due to decreased industrial sales. PNM has been impacted by a sluggish economy in New Mexico although PNM's service territory, particularly in the Albuquerque metropolitan area, has recently experienced small business growth. There have been some recent announcements of businesses moving operations into PNM's service territory, including the selection of a site in New Mexico for a data center by Facebook Inc., and there have been some expansions of existing businesses, particularly in healthcare, education, and professional services. The employment growth recently in the Albuquerque metro area has been improving with growth of 1.2% for the rolling twelve months ended in September 2016. New Mexico overall continues to experience softness that is driven primarily by low oil and natural gas prices. Although PNM does not serve the regions of the state that produce oil and gas, it is anticipated that the impacts of layoffs and the decrease in state royalty revenues will further soften the economies in PNM's service territory to some degree, particularly in the Albuquerque metropolitan area and Santa Fe, as the state deals with budget shortfalls.

A large industrial customer of PNM has announced a restructuring initiative, but has not formally announced what impacts, if any, the restructuring would have on its operations in PNM's service territory. Accordingly, PNM is unable to predict if there will be any impact to its operations.

TNMP – In the nine months ended September 30, 2016, TNMP's weather normalized volumetric retail load increased 3 0% compared to 2015 and demand-based load was up 2.9%. Most of TNMP's industrial and larger commercial customers are billed based on their peak demand. The Texas economy continues to grow, primarily due to its diverse base, which helps compensate for the weakness in the energy sector that is being impacted by the continued low oil price environment. Employment growth, particularly in Dallas, continues to increase. Since the recent recession, Texas has fared better than the national average in job growth and unemployment although there has been some recent softening in job growth, particularly in the Houston area that appears to be related to lower oil prices.

Results of Operations

Net earnings attributable to PNMR were \$92.0 million, or \$1.15 per diluted share in the nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to \$107.1 million, or \$1.34 per diluted share, in 2015. Among other things, earnings in 2016 benefited from warmer weather in the summer months, including the impacts of rates per KWh being higher in the summer than the rest of the year, increased number of customers, and rate relief at PNM; rate increases and increased load at TNMP; reduced rent expense under the PVNGS leases and plant maintenance costs at PNM; higher interest income; and greater earnings and realized gains on securities held in decommissioning and reclamation trusts compared to the prior year. However, these increases were more than offset by regulatory disallowances, decreased load at PNM in early 2016, lower sales prices for power from PVNGS Unit 3, lower revenue from NEC, lower equity AFUDC, milder weather at TNMP, a 2015 refund under a FERC tariff for gas transportation agreements, and increased depreciation, property tax, interest, and employee related expenses. Additional information on factors impacting results of operation for each segment is discussed under Results of Operations below.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

PNMR has a \$300.0 million revolving credit facility and PNM has a \$400.0 million revolving credit facility, both of which expire in October 2020. Both facilities provide capacities for short-term borrowing and letters of credit. In addition, PNM has a \$50.0 million revolving credit facility, which expires in January 2018, with banks having a significant presence in New Mexico and TNMP has a \$75.0 million revolving credit facility, which expires in September 2018. Total availability for PNMR on a consolidated basis was \$592.8 million at October 21, 2016. The Company utilizes these credit facilities and cash flows from operations to provide funds for both construction and operational expenditures. PNMR also has intercompany loan agreements with each of its subsidiaries.

The Company projects that its total capital requirements, consisting of construction expenditures and dividends, will total \$2,675.0 million for 2016-2020, including amounts expended through September 30, 2016. The construction expenditures include estimated amounts for environmental upgrades at SJGS and Four Comers, 30 MW of new solar capacity to supply power to a new

data center being constructed by Facebook Inc. (Note 12), and the January 15, 2016 purchase of the assets underlying three of the PVNGS Unit 2 leases at the expiration of those leases. In addition to internal cash generation, the Company anticipates that it will be necessary to obtain additional long-term financing in the form of debt refinancing, new debt issuances, and/or new equity in order to fund its capital requirements during the 2016-2020 period. The Company currently believes that its internal cash generation, existing credit arrangements, and access to public and private capital markets will provide sufficient resources to meet the Company's capital requirements.

. RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto. Trends and contingencies of a material nature are discussed to the extent known. Refer also to Disclosure Regarding Forward Looking Statements and to Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors.

A summary of net earnings attributable to PNMR is as follows:

	TI	hree Mo	nth	s Ended 30,	Sep	tember	Nine	Mont	hs Ended S 30,	September
·	2	2016		2015	C	Change	20	16	, 2015	Change
				(In milli	ons	, except pe	r shar	e amo	unts)	
Net earnings attributable to PNMR	\$	54.4	\$	61.0	\$_	(6.6)	\$	92.0	\$ 107.1	\$ (15.1)
Average diluted common and common equivalent						١	•		•	
shares		80.1		80.1		<u> </u>		80 1	80.1	
Net earnings attributable to PNMR per diluted share	\$_	0.68	\$	0.76	S	(0.08)	\$	1.15	\$ 1.34	\$ (0.19)

The components of the change in earnings attributable to PNMR are:

•		e Months Ended ≱	Ni	ne Months Ended
		ember 30, 2016	Sep	tember 30, 2016
		(In mil	lions))
PNM	\$	(8.3)	\$	(19.7)
TNMP	,	0.2		(1.4)
Corporate and Other	_	1.6		6:1
Net change	\$	(6.6)	\$	(15.1)

Information regarding the factors impacting PNMR's operating results by segment are set forth below.

Segment Information

The following discussion is based on the segment methodology that PNMR's management uses for making operating decisions and assessing performance of its various business activities. See Note 3 for more information on PNMR's operating segments.

PNM

PNM's utility margin is defined as electric operating revenues less cost of energy, which consists primarily of fuel and purchase power costs. PNM believes that utility margin provides a more meaningful basis for evaluating operations than electric operating revenues since substantially all fuel and purchase power costs are offset in revenues as those costs are passed through to customers under PNM's FPPAC. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, fuel and purchased power costs passed through the FPPAC were \$16.7 million and \$78.8 million less than in 2015, which reduced both revenue and cost of energy. The decreases reflect lower coal costs at SJGS beginning in 2016 under the new CSA. See Note 11. In 2015, PNM also was recovering an under-collection of fuel costs that resulted from a prior regulatory proceeding, which amount was fully recovered as of December 31, 2015. See Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2015 Annual Reports on Form 10-K.

The following table summarizes the operating results for PNM:

	T	hree Mo	nth	30,	Sept	ember	_	line Mo	nth:	s Ended	Sept	ember
		2016		2015	(hange		2016		2015	C	hange
						(In mil	lıon	s)				
Electric operating revenues	\$	311.3	\$	333.4	\$	(22.1)	\$	780.2	\$	870.8	\$	(90.6)
Cost of energy		88 6		105.7		(17.1)		222.4		299.3		(76.9)
Utility margin		222.7		227.7		(5.0)		557.9		571.5		(13.6)
Operating expenses		109.3		105.0		4.3		315.0		312.5		2.5
Depreciation and amortization		33.3		29.0		4.3		97.8		86.4		11.4
Operating income		80.1		93 7		(13.6)		145.1		172.5		(27.4)
Other income (deductions)		6.5		6.4		0.1		25.9		23.4		2.4
Interest charges	_	(22.2)		(19.8)		(2.4)		(66.5)		(59.5)		(7.0)
Segment earnings before income taxes		64.3		80.3		(16.0)		104.5		136.5		(32.0)
Income (taxes)		(19.3)		(27.3)		8.0		(32.1)		(44.6)		12.4
Valencia non-controlling interest		(4.0)		(3.7)		(0.3)		(11.0)		(10.9)		(0.1)
Preferred stock dividend requirements		(0.1)		(0.1)				(0.4)		(0.4)		
Segment earnings	\$	40.9	\$	49.2	\$	(8.3)	\$	60.9	\$	80.6	\$	(19.7)

The following table shows total GWh sales, including the impacts of weather, by customer class and average number of customers

	Three Mo	nths Ended Se	ptember 30,	Nine Mon	ths Ended S	eptember 30,
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Percentage			Percentage
	2016	2015	Change	2016	2015	Change
		(G	igawatt hours, ex	cept custom	ers)	-
Residential	967.9	958.0	1.0 %	2,468.6	2,436.7	1.3 %
Commercial	1,063.5	1,060.1	, 0.3	2,921.7	2,882.2	1.4
Industrial	223.9	254.7	(12.1)	658.8	720.3	(8.5)
Public authority	73.9	72 8	1.5	187.3	182.7	2 5
Economy energy service (1)	197.5	195.8	0.9	610.2	591.8	3.1
Firm-requirements wholesale	100 1	108.3	(7.6)	324.7	322.9	0.6
Other sales for resale (2)	727.6	515.8	41.1	1,997.4	1,527.4	30.8
	3,354.4	3,165.5	6.0 %	9,168.7	8,664.0	5.8 %
Average retail customers (thousands)	519.0	515.3	0.7 %	518.2	5144	0.7 %

⁽¹⁾ PNM purchases energy for a major customer on the customer's behalf and delivers the energy to the customer's location through PNM's transmission system with only a minor impact in utility margin resulting from providing ancillary services

⁽²⁾ Increase due to more power available for off-system sales, primarily related to SJGS. Ninety percent of the margin from off-system sales, excluding sales from PVNGS Unit 3, is returned to customers through the FPPAC.

* Operating Results – Three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 2015

The following table summarizes the significant changes to utility margin:

Ended September 30, 2016 Change (In millions) Utility margin Customer usage/load - PNM's weather normalized retail KWh sales decreased 0.4%, but increased for residential and commercial classes, who pay a higher price per KWh; the average number of retail customers increased 0 7% 2.6 Rate relief - Additional revenue due to rate increase approved by the NMPRC 2.3 on September 28, 2016 Weather - Cooler weather in 2016 compared to 2015 resulted in lower sales; (0.3)cooling degree days were 9.1% lower in 2016 Transmission - Higher revenues under formula transmission rates, partly offset 07 by increased cost of third party transmission Wholesale contracts - Primarily lower revenues from NEC (Note 12) (1.3)Unregulated margin - Lower market prices for PVNGS Unit 3 sales (3.5)Rate riders - Includes renewable energy and energy efficiency riders, which are offset in operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, and interest (1.8)charges Net unrealized economic hedges - Primarily related to hedges of PVNGS Unit 3 (1.9)power sales (1.8)Other (5.0)Net Change

The following tables summarize the primary drivers for operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, other income (deductions), interest charges, and income taxes:

88

Three Months

	Sept	ee Months Ended ember 30, 2016
Operating expenses		millions)
Operating expenses	(III)	millions)
Regulatory disallowance due to the NMPRC's September 28, 2016 final order in PNM's NM 2015 Rate Case (Note 12)	\$	11.3
Regulatory disallowance due to change in estimated write-offs associated with the SJGS BART determination and ownership restructuring (Note 11)		5.2
Higher pension and OPEB costs		1.5
Lower labor and outside consulting costs		(1.9)
Lower costs associated with rate riders, which are offset in utility margin		(0.5)
Lower environmental expenses		(0.6)
Lower employee medical costs due to lower claims experience		(0.9)
Lower plant maintenance costs		(4.4)
Lower rent expense associated with PVNGS leases (Note 6)		(5.6)
Other		0.2
Net Change	\$	4.3
	Sept	ee Months Ended ember 30, 2016 Change
		millions)
Depreciation and amortization	(
Purchase of assets underlying PVNGS Unit 2 leases (Note 6)	\$	1.5
Other additions to utility plant in service, including PNM-owned solar PV facilities and environmental upgrades at SJGS		2 8
Net Change	\$	4.3
--		
Other income (deductions).		
Higher gains on available-for-sale securities in the NDT and coal mine reclamation trusts	\$	2.0
Higher interest income and lower trust expenses related to available-for-sale securities in the NDT and coal mine reclamation trusts		0.4
Lower equity AFUDC as a result of lower construction spending,		(2.5)
Other		0.2
Net Change	\$	0.1
-		

Interest cha

Income

Other

Net Change

*		, .
ower debt AFUDC as a result of lower construction spending	\$	(1.3)
ssuance of \$250.0 million of long-term debt on August 11, 2015		(0.9)
Other		(0.2)
Net Change	\$	(2.4)
*		
xes		
Decrease due to lower segment earnings before income taxes	\$	6.4
Allowed regulatory recovery of prior year impairment of state net operating loss	,	
carry forward (Note 13)	\$	2.1
Other		(0.5)

90

8.0

Operating Results - Nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 2015

The following table summarizes the significant changes to utility margin:

		ne Months Ended tember 30, 2016
		Change
Utility margin	(In	millions)
Customer usage/load – PNM's weather normalized retail KWh sales decreased 0.6%, as increased commercial sales were offset by decreased industrial sales; increased residential sales during the summer months when rates per KWh are higher more than offset decreases in sales from the spring and summer months; the average number of retail customers increased 0.7%	\$	1.4
Rate relief – Additional revenue due to rate increase approved by the NMPRC on September 28, 2016		2 3
Leap year - Increase in revenue due to additional day in 2016		1.6
Weather – Warmer summer weather; cooling degree days were higher by 20.9% in the second quarter of 2016, but were lower by 9.1% in the third quarter		2.8
Transmission – Higher revenues under formula transmission rates and lower cost of third party transmission		2.2
Wholesale contracts - Primarily lower revenues from NEC (Note 12)		(4.2)
Unregulated margin - Lower market prices for PVNGS Unit 3 sales		(9.5)
Rate riders – Includes renewable energy and energy efficiency riders, which are offset in operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, and interest charges		(3 1)
Net unrealized economic hedges – Primarily related to hedges of PVNGS Unit 3		(31)
power sales		(0.9)
Gas transportation agreement - 2015 refund under FERC tariff		(4.2)
Other		(2.0)
Net Change	\$	(13.6)

The following tables summarize the primary drivers for operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, other income (deductions), interest charges, and income taxes

		•
•	Mar.	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 Change
erating expenses	*	(In millions)
in PNM's NM 2015 Rate C Regulatory disallowance due the SJGS BART determina Higher labor, pension, and O Higher property taxes due to Lower rent expense due to th Lower environmental expens 2015 regulatory disallowanc dismissal of the 2014 gene Lower plant maintenance cos increased costs at PVNGS a Lower rent expense associate	e to change in estimated write-offs associated with a stion and ownership restructuring (Note 11) IPEB costs increases in utility plant in service are termination of the EIP lease on April 1, 2015 ses te of rate case expenses resulting from the NMPROPAL rate case sts at SJGS and gas-fired plants, partially offset by	\$ 11.3 5.9 5.4 1.2 (0.7) (1.0) (1.5) (1.6) (1.63)
Other	merchanic a hardeninanica in co. A marine co	(0.2)
Net Change		\$ 2.5
An experience and anomalies are a	g PVNGS Unit 2 leases (Note 6) unt in service, including PNM-owned solar PV	\$ 3.8
facilities and environments Net Change	al upgrades at SJGS	\$ 11.4
income (deductions):		
reclamation trusts	r-sale securities in the NDT and coal mine	\$ 3.3
	t of expenses (Note13) ower trust expenses related to available-for-sale coal mine reclamation trusts	2.9
Sale of substations and assoc	ciated transmission facilities in 2015	(1.1)
Lower equity AFUDC as a re	sult of lower construction spending	(4.3)
Net Change		\$ 2.4
	92	Ng g

Interest charges.

Issuance of \$250.0 million of long-term debt on August 11, 2015	\$	(5.5)
Higher short term debt borrowings		(0.9)
Lower debt AFUDC as a result of lower construction spending		(0.9)
Other		0.3
Net Change	\$	(7.0)
	E Septe	Months inded mber 30, 2016 hange
Income taxes	(In n	nillions)
Decrease due to lower segment earnings before income taxes	\$	12.5
Allowed regulatory recovery of prior year impairment of state net operating loss carryforward (Note 13)		2.1
Impacts of phased-in reduction in New Mexico corporate income tax rates		(1.3)
Other		(0.9)
Net Change	\$	12.4

TNMP

TNMP's utility margin is defined as electric operating revenues less cost of energy, which consists of costs charged by third-party transmission providers. TNMP believes that utility margin provides a more meaningful basis for evaluating operations than electric operating revenues since all third-party transmission costs are passed on to customers through a transmission cost recovery factor.

The following table summarizes the operating results for TNMP:

	Three Months Ended September 30,					Nine Months Ended September 30,						
	2016		2016 2		Change		2016		2015		C	hange
	(In millions)											
Electric operating revenues	\$	89.1	\$	84.0	\$	5.1	\$	246.5	\$	232.4	\$	14.1
Cost of energy		20.2		18.5		1.7		60.1		54.6		5 5
Utility margin		68.9		65.4		3.5		186.4		177.7		8.7
Operating expenses		24.2		22.8		1.4		70.3		65 3		5.0
Depreciation and amortization		16.4		15.0		1.4		45.8		42.1		3.7
Operating income		28.4		27.7		0.7		70.3		70.3		
Other income (deductions)		0.9		0.7		0.2		2.1		2.8		(0.7)
Interest charges		(7.3)		(6.9)		(0.4)		(22.2)		(20.6)		(1.6)
Segment earnings before income taxes		21.9		21.5		0.4		50.3		52.4		(2.1)
Income (taxes)		(8.1)		(7.8)		(0.3)		(18.5)		(19.2)		0 7
Segment earnings	\$	13.9	\$	13.7	\$	0.2	\$	31.8	\$	33.2	\$	(1.4)

The following table shows total GWh sales, including the impacts of weather, by retail tariff consumer class and average number of consumers:

	Three Mor	iths Ended Se	ptember 30,	Nine Mon	eptember 30,	
•		Percentage				
	2016	2015	Change	2016	2015	Change
•		b				
Residential	1,032.5	993 2	4.0 %	2,314 3	2,338.3	(1.0)%
Commercial	790.3	767.5	3.0	2,073.6	2,020.3	2.6
Industrial	758.6	694 [°] 2	9.3	2,169.9	2,083.4	4.2
Other	24.7	26.5	(6.8)	73.6	76.1	(3.3)
	2,606.1	2,481.4	50%	6,631.4	6,518.1	1.7 %
Average retail consumers (thousands) (1)	245.9	242 2	1.5 %	244.9	-241.2	1.5 %

⁽¹⁾ TNMP provides transmission and distribution services to REPs that provide electric service to customers in TNMP's service territories. The number of consumers above represents the customers of these REPs. Under TECA, consumers in Texas have the ability to choose any REP to provide energy.

Operating Results - Three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 2015.

The following table summarizes the significant changes to utility margin:

**		Months ided inber 30, 016 ange
ility margin		illions)
Rate relief – Transmission cost of service rate increases in March 2016 and September 2016	\$	1.0
Customer usage/load - 3.7% increase in weather normalized retail KWh sales, primarily related to the residential class; higher demand-based revenues for large commercial and industrial retail customers; and increased wholesale transmission load; in 2016, the average number of retail customers increased 1.5%	•	1.3
Rate riders - Impacts of rate riders, including the AMS surcharge, CTC surcharge, energy efficiency rider, and transmission cost recovery factor, which are offset in operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, and interest charges		1 1:
Energy efficiency program - Higher incentive bonus in 2016		0.1
Net Change	<u>s</u>	3 5

94

The following tables summarize the primary drivers for operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, other income (deductions), interest charges, and income taxes

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016				
		ange			
Operating expenses	(In m	illions)			
Lease abandonments in building consolidation efforts	\$	1.0			
Higher labor and outside services		0.3			
Higher rate rider related costs, which are offset in utility margin		0.4			
Increased property taxes due to increases in utility plant in service and higher assessed values		0.3			
Lower property and casualty expense and lower employee medical expense, primarily due to favorable claims experience, partially offset by higher pension expense		(0.9)			
Other		0.3			
	•				
Net Change	\$	1.4			
Depreciation and amortization.					
Increase primarily due to AMS deployment and other increases in utility plant in service	\$	1.4			
Other income (deductions).					
Increase primarily due to higher equity AFUDC, partially offset by lower contributions in aid of construction	\$	0.2			
Interest charges					
Increase primarily due to the issuance of \$60.0 million of long-term debt on February 10, 2016	\$	(0.4)			
Income taxes					
Increase primarily due to higher segment earnings before income taxes and change in the effective tax rate	\$	(0.3)			

Operating Results - Nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 2015

The following table summarizes the significant changes to utility margin.

Utility margin	Septer 2 Ch	Months nded mber 30, 016 nange
Rate relief – Transmission cost of service rate increases in March 2015, September 2015, March 2016, and September 2016	\$	3.5
Customer usage/load – 3.0% increase in weather normalized retail KWh sales primarily related to the residential class; higher demand-based revenues for large commercial and industrial retail customers; and increased wholesale transmission load; in 2016, the average number of retail customers increased 1.5%		4 6
Rate riders – Impacts of rate riders, including the AMS surcharge, CTC surcharge, energy efficiency rider, and transmission cost recovery factor, which are offset in operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, and interest charges		2.9
Energy efficiency program - Higher incentive bonus in 2016		0.1
Weather – Milder weather in 2016; heating degree days were 27.3% lower and cooling degree days were 1.9% lower in 2016		(2.4)
Net Change	. \$	8.7

The following tables summarize the primary drivers for operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, other income (deductions), interest charges', and income taxes:

· ·	En Septen	Months nded nber 30, 016
• •	Ch:	ange
Operating expenses	(In m	illions)
Lease abandonments in building consolidation efforts	\$	1.0
Higher labor and outside services		1.7
Higher rate rider related costs, which are offset in utility margin		0.8
Increased property taxes due to increases in utility plant in service and higher assessed values		0.8
Higher employee medical expense primarily due to unfavorable claims experience and higher pension expense		0.6
Other		. 0.1
Net Change	\$	5 0
Depreciation and amortization:		
Increase primarily due to AMS deployment and other increases in utility plant in service	\$	3.7
96		

trom any ask of this information.

Other income (deductions)

Decrease primarily due to reduced contributions in aid of construction, partially offset by higher AFUDC and interest income from IRS (Note 13) (0.7)Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 Change Interest charges: (In millions) Increase primarily due to the issuance of \$60.0 million of long-term debt on February 10, 2016 and higher short term debt balances (1.6)Income taxes: Decrease primarily due to lower segment earnings before income taxes 0.7

Corporate and Other

The table below summarizes the operating results for Corporate and Other:

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,							
	2016		2015		Change		2016		2015		Cl	nange
						(In mi	llion	s)				
Total revenues	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	_	\$	
Cost of energy												
Utility margin						*****		******				
Operating expenses		(3 0)		(3 6)		0.6		(9.3)		(11.1)		1.8
Depreciation and amortization		3.4		3.4				10.3		10.5		(0.2)
Operating income		(0.3)		0.1		(0.4)		(1.0)		0.6		(1.6)
Other income (deductions)		2.9		(0.5)		3.4		8.4		(3.0)		11.4
Interest charges		(29)		(0.8)		(2.1)		(8.5)		(6.6)		(1.9)
Segment earnings (loss) before income taxes		(0.4)		(1.2)		0.8		(1.2)	,	(8.9)		7.7
Income (taxes) benefit		0.1		(0.7)		0.8		0.5		2.1		(1.6)
Segment earnings (loss)	\$	(0.3)	\$	(1.9)	\$	1.6	\$	(0.7)	\$	(6.8)	\$	6.1

Corporate and Other operating expenses shown above are net of amounts allocated to PNM and TNMP under shared services agreements The amounts allocated include certain expenses shown as depreciation and amortization and other income (deductions) in the table above. The change in depreciation expense primarily relates to computer software. Substantially all depreciation and amortization expense is offset in operating expenses as a result of allocation of these costs to other business segments.

Operating Results - Three months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 2015

The following tables summarize the primary drivers for other income (deductions), interest charges, and income taxes:

	E Septe	e Months Inded Imber 30, 2016
	C	hange
Other income (deductions)	(In n	nıllıons)
Interest income on the \$125.0 million Westmoreland Loan (Note 11) beginning February 1, 2016	\$	3 1
Other		0.3
Net Change	<u>\$</u>	3.4
Interest charges:	*	
Issuance of the \$125.0 million BTMU Term Loan Agreement on February 1, 2016 (Note 9)	\$	(1.2)

Issuance of the \$150.0 million PNMR 2015 Term Loan Agreement on March 9,

Income	tares

Other

Net Change

Higher short term borrowings

Reduction in benefit due to change in segment earnings (loss) before incom	ė	
taxes	\$	(0.3)
Impairment of wind energy production tax credits in 2015	• •	10
Impairment of New Mexico state net operating loss recorded in 2015		0.1
Net Change	\$	0.8

Operating Results - Nine months ended September 30, 2016 compared to 2015

The following tables summarize the primary drivers for other income (deductions), interest charges, and income taxes:

(0.3)(0.7)

0 1

 $(2.1)^4$